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403 W. bth Street - Los Angeles, California 90014 (213) 628-6318
May 16, 1975

Mr. Howard Beardsley
Assistant Manager for Surface Transportation Planning Southern California Rapid Transit District
1060 South Broadway
Los Angeles, California 90015
Dear Mr. Beardsley:
We are pleased to submit our Final Report documenting our recommendations for a revised RTD transit system for the Mid-Cities area of southeast Los Angeles County.

The report contains the rationale for the recommendations and it documents the process that was used to exchange ideas and concepts with the planning agencies, elected officials and citizens throughout the development of the recommended system. We are very gratified that the community meetings in each of the twelve cities of the Mid-Cities area are favorably disposed toward the recommendations and are anxious to see an alternative to the current service implemented without delay.

We have included in the report the suggestions for changes in routing and scheduling that emerged at the public meetings, and we have incorporated those that are technically defensible in our recommendations contained in Chapter Six.

The recommended plan reduces travel time by an average of 28 per cent for trips within the area. Access to the system is improved by 57 per cent. An equally vital aspect of the plan is the improvements that it recommends regarding the interconnections between RTD lines and those of the Long Beach Public Transportation Company (LBPTC) and in eliminating certain service duplications between RTD, LBPTC and the Orange County Transit District. We are very proud that our recommendations were accepted by LBPTC and Orange County and that we were able to assist in the technical negotiations.

We are most grateful for the help that we obtained from the staff of the Planning Department and the Community Relations Department. We are particularly indebted to you, Joe Cooper, Russ Wilson and Al Holman who worked alongside of us. Without their help, the plan would have lacked in insight and breadth.

Mr. H. Beardsley
May 16, 1975
Page Two

Finally, we would like to commend Mr. George Mc Donald for his foresight in devising the staff augmentation concept wherein the consultant staff and RTD staffs interact on virtually a daily basis to exchange ideas and knowledge. We believe that the concept established a communication process by which the RTD staff was at all times aware of our ideas and was able to bring their considerable experience to bear on them. Due to the high degree of collaboration, the technical recommendations contained in this report were known to the RTD staff long before this report was prepared. Their intimacy with the plan played a key role in communicating the plan to the communities in the MidCities and in eliciting the favorable responses to it.

Sincerely yours,


Wolfgang Jakobsberg President
 EN CE:
Thomas E. Dolan Project Director
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This study is a part of an intensive short-term transit improvement program initiated by SCRTD to evaluate the quality and efficiency of bus service in the Los Angeles Metropolitan Area and to recommend those improvements that can be achieved within available or reasonable resources. The program is organized into a series of studies, each concentrating on a specific area serviced by SCRTD. The area assigned to CENTS by SCRTD for evaluation, and the subject of this report, is the Mid-Cities area of Southeast Los Angeles County. This area is populated by over 625,000 people and encompasses approximately 105 square miles.

## STUDY OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE

This study, and similar studies covering other areas, is designed to:

1. Evaluate the transit services that are currently being offered to the population living in southeast Los Angeles County from the point of view of the transit system operator(s), the people that use it, and the community in general.
2. Develop a plan for improving SCRTD's bus services in the study area that contains sufficient operational detail to enable its immediate implementation.

The scope of work to accomplish these objectives encompasses the following steps:

1. Estimate the transit needs and travel behavior of the residents of the area.
2. Define the location of trip origins and destinations.
3. Identify service deficiencies and inadequacies within existing public transit services offered within the area.
4. Design new transit routes or alter existing routes so as to better connect trip origins and destinations.
5. Design operating performance for new service.
6. Evaluate the impact of the new service on SCRTD, other transit system operators, current and potential patrons and the community in the area.

This study contains three basic sections: an analysis of the area; an analysis of the existing transit service provided; and recommended changes to the system. The first section includes a description of the area's demography and travel patterns. The second section contains an account of the existing system and the service it is currently providing to the area. Also included is a summary of present patronage, itemization of apparent deficiencies and an account of how the present service meets or fails to meet the mobility requirements of the population.

Section three itemizes the recommended changes in service and evaluates the proposed system from the point of view of the user, the community and
the operator.
The recommended transit system for the area is described in the report by:

- a network map showing the relationship between the routes and the major activity centers (destinations) within the area,
- a matrix of travel times between city halls within the study area,
- the headways, inservice route miles, service hours, trip times, operating speeds of buses along each route, running times along various segments of a route, and number of vehicles,
- layover points,
- a complete data sheet showing profile requirements for each line.

Three alternative levels of service are developed. The Base Level proposes service at a level that will utilize approximately the same number of buses and drivers as used by the current system serving the area. Developmental Level A allows for certain headway improvements which result in a moderate increase in service. Developmental Level B is the ultimate level of service that would be useful in the area assuming no restrictions on resources.

APPROACH
In order to assess the adequacy of the current transit services offered in the Mid-Cities study area and to identify possible service improvements, it is necessary to adopt standards or criteria for measuring service and for judging adequacy. In practice, the number of such criteria that are used and the manner in which the transit system is measured is conditioned by the purpose for which the measurement is undertaken and by such realities as availablity of data and the time involved in acquiring or processing such data relative to the time allotted for arriving at an answer.

Evaluation of the adequacy of the current services had to extend only to the point where answers to the following questions could be attained:

1. Are there patterns of travel within the study area that are sufficiently distinctive that they can serve as criteria for examining the compatibility of existing routes with these mobility requirements?
2. Are there areas of population groups that have no access to the public transit system and that are generally regarded as requiring such accessibility?
3. Are there significant numbers of trips that can only be accomplished on the current system at considerable inconvenience or time consumption?

A precise determination of travel patterns would require data on where
people who live in the area go and where those who come into the area originate. Data at such a level of specificity is available only from origin and destination surveys which do not exist for this area. The 1967-68 LARTS data are, not only, too imprecise for transit route planning, but are also too old, especially in light of the recent growth of the area. Travel patterns were thus deduced from interviews with planning agencies serving the area, representatives from municipal governments, operators of such major activity centers as shopping plazas, hospitals and colleges. The study staff met twice with representatives of 10 cities within the study area. The exceptions were Pico Rivera, which is not officially a part of the study area, and Artesia, which, unfortunately, did not respond. The first meeting was held in each city and included city representatives who had an interest in transportation planning. Each group was acquainted with the purpose of the study and what was hoped to be accomplished. General information about the city such as city plan, maps, transportation system, development, etc., was obtained from each group. After these data were examined and interpreted, a second meeting was held with each city. Each of these meetings was concerned with ascertaining that the proper information about each city had been obtained, and that it was being interpreted correctly. To this end, representatives of each city were shown a series of maps and overlays representing the various transportation, geographical, land use, and socio-economic aspects of each city and were asked to comment and, where feasible, suggest improvements.

Census data were used to determine if there were significant travel patterns for work trips. SCRTD data from traffic checks were used to gauge the principal points where people who board a bus live, where they alight, and, in selected cases, their travel distance. These data are part of the line profiles which are included in the Appendices. Revenue estimates for each line were another source of information for determining the importance of a line. Heavily traveled lines served as indicators of existing major travel corridors.

Travel behavior is also derivable from the demography of the area, that is, the socio-economic character of the population living in subareas of the study. These data came from the 1970 Census data for each census tract comprising the study area. Some data elements have been updated by individual cities to reflect some of the changes that have taken place since 1970. The interviews mentioned earlier helped to identify certain population groups as potential users of public transit. The demographic variables that have traditionally been used to identify groups with a high potentiality for transit use are: low income levels, low incidence of auto ownership, low incidence of drivers per household, minority group affiliation, age (under 16 and over 60), large concentrations of individuals with work trip destinations in the Los Angeles CBD, and high density land use.

A more precise identification of existing and potential transit patronage would have been desirable. This would have necessitated surveying the characteristics of a sample of the patronage on each existing SCRTD transit line. The two month interruption in service produced by the labor strike prevented such a survey. Although the absence of more precise identification of potential transit users is limiting, its impact on new routes or on realigning of existing routes can be minimized by a network of new routes that are well distributed throughout the study area and that connect the
principal communities in the area with each other. Such a network should be accessible by all population groups and it should attract some of those who are now considered potential transit patrons. Where more precise knowledge would help is in determining when it would pay to intensify service beyond the "reasonable level". Such an increment in service should result in a dramatic increase in patronage.

Adequacy of the level of service offered by the existing transit system was measured in terms of travel time, including wait and transfer time, intercity connections, headways, and speed. Although not an exhaustive set, these measures are generally regarded as among the principal criteria for assessing the patronage profiles of each line, the daily schedules of each service. To the extent that drivers adhere to schedules, these measures reflect the service provided on each line.

The deficiencies of the current system were identified by comparing its performance with the following standards for the stated measurements:

Population coverage: 50 bus miles/1000 population
Headways: 60
Number of intercity connections: 4 or more
Trip time: 60
Bus miles/passenger: $\quad 0.287$, average for RTD system (1974)
These standards express measures by which the community, the transit patron, and the transit operator can judge the performance of a transit system.

Once the deficiencies are known, improvements can be formulated. In the case of this study, a new route structure had to be developed as well as new levels of service on these routes. Recognizing that SCRTD is limited in the number of buses and drivers, and in the amount of support facilities that it can bring to bear in the study area, three levels of service were postulated for each line. Each line was described using the same measures that were used to assess the current system and these were also used to evaluate the alternative systems.

The evaluation of the system takes into consideration the sometimes conflicting viewpoints of the operator of the transit service, the potential user of that service, and that of the community at large. For each line, the optimum level of service is defined. The judgment was based upon the characterisiics of the population, the potential number of people that would use the service, and the attractiveness of the destinations served by that route. From this, the optimum service levels for the entire system were synthesized and presented as the recommended system.

The Mid-Cities study area consists of 12 incorporated municipalities within Los Angeles County plus unincorporated portions of the County. The study area, shown in Figure 2-1, is bounded on the west by the Los Angeles River - Rio Hondo, on the north by Puente Hills, on the east by Orange County, and on the south by the City of Long Beach. The City of Pico Rivera, although not officially a part of the study area, was nevertheless included in the analysis because of its location and its impact on potential route and schedule changes. The population and area of each city and unincorporated portions of the study area are shown in Table 2-1.

The topography of much of the study area is flat, the only exceptions being the Puente Hills area in Whittier, and the Los Coyotes Hills in La Mirada. The relative absence of other topographic obstacles (the Los Angeles and San Gabriel Rivers are not major obstacles) has fostered a network of uninterrupted, straight arterial streets. North of Imperial Highway the inclination of the road grid is along a southeast-northwest axis. This pattern is determined by the hills to the northeast and by the railroads. Major roads that follow this inclination are, from north to south: Whittier Boulevard, Washington Boulevard, Telegraph Road, Firestone Boulevard, and the Santa Ana Freeway.

From Imperial Highway south, the area exhibits a more or less conventional square grid pattern aligned along a north-south axis. Major eastwest arterials south of Imperial are: Rosecrans Avenue, Alondra Boulevard, Artesia Boulevard, South Street, Del Amo Boulevard, and Carson Street.

There are several major arteries that traverse the entire study area in the north-south direction. Where the two grid patterns meet the orientation changes for such major arteries as Paramount Boulevard, LakewoodRosemead Boulevards, Bellflower Boulevard, Studebaker Road, Pioneer Boulevard, Norwalk Boulevard, Carmenita Road, and Valley View Avenue.

The extensiveness of the grid pattern has facilitated movement within the area. The street pattern together with extensive new residential construction that has taken place within the last 10 years, has spurred construction of large shopping plazas and commercial strips. The population has grown from 520,000 to 588,000 between 1960 and 1970, an incrense of 13 percent. Between 1970 and 1974 it is estimated that the area's population has increased by 6.5 percent. In contrast, the population of the Los Angeles basin has declined by 2 percent over the same period.

All major activity centers are readily accessible by freeway and arterial highway. The principal shopping plazas include the Quad and Whittwood in Whittier, Stonewood in Downey, La Mirada Center in La Mirada, Norwalk Square in Norwalk, Los Cerritos Center in Cerritos, and Lakewood Center in Lakewood. These centers provide not only shopping for area residents, but employment as well. These centers, shown in Figure 2-9, became major foci for the proposed transit system concept for the area.

## DEMOGRAPHY - /

The area is inhabited by a predominantly middle class population. The median income of each census tract in the area is shown in Figure 2-2. It

[^0]TABLE 2-1
POPULATION AND AREA OF MID-CITIES AREA

| CITY | SOURCE | POPULATION | SQUARE MILE AREA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Artesia | City Data 1973 | 14,600 | 2.00 |
| Bellflower | City Data 6-1971 | 52,166 | 6.10 |
| Cerritos | City Data 10-1972 | 37,739 | 8.70 |
| Downey | City Data 6-1-1974 | 92,064 | 12.70 |
| Hawaiian Gardens | City Data 1974 | 10,000 | . 95 |
| Lakewood | City Data 1973 | 82,400 | 9.00 |
| La Mirada | City Data 1974 | 38,900 | $7.0 n$ |
| Los Angeles County | Census 1970 | 27,429 | 12.00 |
| Norwalk | City Data 1974 | 95,000 | 12.0 ก |
| Paramount | City Data 1973 | 31,650 | 4.54 |
| Pico Rivera | City Data 1973 | 53,100 | 8.40 |
| Santa Fe Springs | City Data 1974 | 15,500 | 8.68 |
| Whittier | City Data 1973 | 74,048 | 12.04 |
| TOTALS |  | 624,596 | 104.11 |

is noteworthy that the income levels are distributed throughout the area although the western half tends toward the lower middle end of the income range while those in the eastern portions comprising the areas of La Mirada and Eastern Whittier are more affluent.

The distribution of incomes and the relatively narrow range of the distribution, i.e. 80 percent of the areas population falls within the income range of $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 15,000$ ( 1970 Census), contributes to the homogeneity of travel and the diffusion of trip destinations throughout the area. Work trips are an example of this. A major work trip destination from the study area is the Los Angeles CBD, yet Figure 2-3 shows that the total work trips to the Los Angeles CBD range from below 1 to 7 percent with the average around 4 percent.

Regardless of the destination, Figure 2-4 shows that in only a few census tracts are more than 2 percent of the work trips made by public transportation.

The poor, the elderly, and the young have repeatedly been shown to be a subset of the population that is over represented among transit riders. Figure 2-5 is a graphical presentation of the ratio of elderly, i.e. those over 60 years, to the total population. For the most part, the area contains a very low percentage of elderly, there being only a small concentration in Whittier, Downey, and Bellflower in the north and west portions of the study area.

Predictably, the percentage of the population below the poverty level, i.e. household incomes of $\$ 3,743$ per year, is very small. As shown by Figure 2-6, the below poverty level population is typically under 7 percent. Only a few pockets of poverty exist in a few tracts in Artesia, Paramount/ Downey, Pico Rivera, Hawaiian Gardens, and Norwalk. By comparing Figures 2-2 and 2-6, it is evident that the census tracts that comprise the poor correspond closely to those that reflect high percentages of unemployment.

In Southern California there is often a very high correlation between low income and Spanish speaking peoples. For the study area (Figure 2-7), this correlation is evident in only the Pico Rivera and Norwalk areas. Throughout the area, the representation of Spanish speaking people is less than 30 percent of the population. In other census tracts there appears to be no correlation between the average household income and the percent of Spanish speaking residents.

In most suburban areas, transit usage varies inversely with auto ownership. Auto ownership for the Mid-Cities study area, as shown in Figure 2-8, is high, being above 1.6 autos per household. Where auto ownership is low, there is a correlation with low income. There is very little correlation between transit usage for work trips (Figure 2-4), and auto usage. Where transit usage is above average for the area, auto availability tends to be low. However, there are a sufficient number of exceptions, i.e. census tracts in La Mirada and Bellflower, to discourage a convenient generalization.

In summary, the area is populated by a middle income population, most of whom have access to an automobile and make most of their trips by auto.
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There are no concentrations of residents that fall into the category of the transit dependent. The preponderence of work trips by residents have destinations within the study area, with less than 4 percent destined for the Los Angeles CBD. There are a large number of possible destinations for work and non-work trips within the area. None of these potential destinations are geographically concentrated, virtually all of the 12 cities have several hospitals, shopping centers, schools and major industries.

## TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES AND SERVICE

Highways and Roads
The study are is crossed by three freeways. The San Gabriel River Freeway (I-605) runs in a north-south direction connecting the San Gabriel Valley with Long Beach. The Santa Ana Freeway (I-5) traverses the area in a northwest-southeast direction connecting Orange County with the Los Angeles CBD. This facility is one of the most congested of the freeways in the Los Angeles area during the peak travel periods. The Artesia Freeway (91) traverses the area in an east-west direction connecting Orange County with the South Bay cities. There are several other major arteries that traverse the area, among these are Firestone Boulevard, Norwalk Boulevard, Lakewood-Rosemead Boulevard, Carson Street, Rosecrans Avenue, Imperial Highway, and Pioneer Boulevard to name a few. Figure 2-9 shows these surface facilities as well as the major bus routes that traverse the area. There are, at present, no preferential facilities for public transit.

## Description of Public Transit System

Transit services are provided to the Mid-Cities area by RTD, which connects the 12 cities with the region; Long Beach Public Transportation Company (LBPTC), which connects Long Beach with Lakewood, Bellflower and Paramount; the Norwalk Transit System, which operates within that city's borders; the Santa Fe Springs Tram, which operates within the northern section of that city; and the Montebello Transit System, which provides service in Pico Rivera and Whittier. Figure 2-9 is a map of the area and the various transit systems operating within it. Also operating within the study area is the La Mirada Dial-A-Bus System serving the City of La Mirada with demand responsive services.

Currently RTD operates 16 lines within the area. Of these, 4 lines connect 9 of the Mid-Cities with the Los Angeles CBD. Table 2-2 shows the operating miles of each RTD line within the area, the daily one-way trips, the daily passengers per line, the total daily bus miles, the operating and layover hours, the number of vehicles used on the line, and the average operating speed. With no transfers between RTD lines the area's residents can reach several neighboring cities within the area as shown by Figure 2-10. In most cases, residents of an area can use public transit to reach at least 6 cities within the Mid-Cities study area without the need of a transfer.

For each of the 12 cities the City Hall was selected as a general activity center, travel to and from which is illustrated by the accessibility maps included in the Appendices. The peak base and evening headways offered by each line and the hours of service are shown in Table 2-3.


TABLE 2-2
OPERATING REQUIREMENTS
PRESENT S.C.R.T.D. SERVICE

| Present routes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Operating } \\ & \text { Miles } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Operating } \\ \text { Hours } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Layover Hours | Vehicles Required A.M. Peak | Vehicles <br> Required <br> P.M. Peak | \% of Oper. Hours In Layover | Line Speed | Operating <br> Miles <br> Within <br> Study Area | Operating Hours Within Study Area | \% of Oper. Miles In Study Area | \% of <br> Oper. <br> Hours In <br> Study Are |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vo. 34 | 771.56 | 40.14 | 11.66 | 6 | 6 | 29.1 | 19.2 | 256.20 | 13.70 | 33.2 | 34.1 |
| งo. 38 | 202.00 | 10.49 | 1.30 | 1 | 1 | 12.4 | 19.2 | 102.36 | 5.33 | 50.6 | 50.8 |
| No. 46 | 1,271.72 | 103.66 | 20.63 | 8 | 7 | 19.9 | 12.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :0. 54 | 1,637.66 | 145.74 | 29.77 | 12 | 11 | 20.4 | 11.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \%. 55 | 854.51 | 36.58 | 5.05 | 3 | 6 | 13.8 | 23.4 | 269.10 | 12.82 | 31.5 | 35.0 |
| io. 58 | 4,344.05 | 193.64 | 37.16 | 16 | 21 | 19.2 | 22.4 | 827.99 | 32.00 | 19.1 | 16.5 |
| No. 72 | 2,290.43 | 134.29 | 32.16 | 25 | 28 | 24.0 | 17.1 | 691.34 | 42.02 | 30.2 | 31.3 |
| No. 77 | 803.42 | 76.46 | 19.53 | 5 | 5 | 25.4 | 10.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No. 111 | 575.84 | 32.28 | 14.61 | 4 | 4 | 45.3 | 17.8 | 274.85 | 15.61 | 47.7 | 48.4 |
| Vo. 112 | 177.79 | 10.16 | 1.50 | 1 | 1 | 14.8 | 17.5 | 75.60 | 4.50 | 42.5 | 44.3 |
| *io. 113 | 498.52 | 29.59 | 3.72 | 3 | 3 | 12.6 | 16.8 | 362.14 | 18.65 | 72.6 | 63.0 |
| No. 116 | 364.36 | 18.42 | 3.63 | 2 | 2 | 19.7 | 19.8 | 308.78 | 16.03 | 84.7 | 87.0 |
| No. 117 | 422.88 | 24.44 | 7.67 | 2 | 3 | 31.4 | 17.3 | 422.88 | 24.44 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| +io. 118 | 182.40 | 9.90 | 1.42 | 1 | 1 | 14.3 | 18.4 | 93.70 | 5.35 | - 51.4 | 54.0 |
| (\%o. 132 | 593.97 | 32.82 | 12.35 | 4 | 4 | 37.6 | 18.1 | 593.97 | 32.82 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Vo. 134 | 248.01 | 27.88 | 5.50 | 2 | 2 | 19.7 | 8.9 | 69.84 | 4.08 | 28.2 | 14.6 |
| No. 136 | 139.62 | 8.30 | 4.28 | 1 | 1 | 51.6 | 16.8 | 139.62 | 8.30 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. 137 | 530.61 | 26.78 | 10.73 | 3 | 3 | 40.1 | 19.8 | 170.37 | 8.81 | 32.1 | 32.9 |
| TOTAL | 15,909.05 | 961.57 | 222.67 | 99 | 109 | 23.2 | 17.0 | 4,658.74 | 244.46 | 29.3 | 25.4 |

Figure 2-10
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Long Beach Public Transportation Company operates 12 lines or branches of lines within the study area. Passengers can transfer from RTD lines to Long Beach lines in only 7 instances out of 12 . In the case of 5 lines, the lines from the two systems come within a few blocks of each other. Long Beach and RTD have competing service over 6.6 street miles within the study area. The principal characteristics of the Long Beach lines such as peak nad base headways, and service hours are shown on Table 2-3. The fare is $25 \$$ and transfers between SCRTD and LBPTC lines are $10 \$$.

The Norwalk Transit system consists of 3 lines that operate on 30 min ute peak hour headways and 60 minute base hour headways. The lines are intended to provide circulation within Norwalk. They connect directly with RTD lines nos. $38,58,116,132$, and 137 . The basic fare is $10 \phi$, transfers to RTD lines are not available.

The Santa Fe Springs Tram operates one line with an alternating branch operation; headways are 60 minutes all day long. This line is intended to provide circulation to residents within the Santa Fe Springs boundaries. This line intersects 6 RTD lines. Transfers are not available. This service is free.

The Montebello Transit System operates mostly outside the Mid-Cities area. However, three lines penetrate the study area. Headways and service hours are shown on Table 2-3. The basic fare is $25 \phi$ and the cost of a transfer to any of the 4 RTD lines with which they intersect is $10 \$$.

In 1973 La Mirada inaugurated Dial-A-Bus service within its city limits. The basic cost is $25 \phi$ per ride. The service hours extend from 7 AM to 7 PM. The Dail-A-Bus service connects with 2 RTD lines. Transfers to RTD lines are not available.

Table 2-3

## TRANSIT SYSTEMS HEADWAYS

## RTD LINES

|  | 34 |
| ---: | :--- |
| 38 | L.A.-Lynwood-Paramount-Bellflower |
| * 46 | E. Floach-Whittier-El Monte |
| * 54 | South Gate-Inglewood-Weschester |
| 55 | L.A.-Newport Beach-Balboa |
| 58 | Los Angeles-Santa Ana |
| 72 | L.A.-Whittier-Fullerton |
| * 77 | Maywood-Bell |
| 111 | Bellflower-Huntington Park |
| 112 | Whittier-Huntington Park |
| 113 | Compton-Whittier |
| 116 | Compton-Paramount-Bel1flower-Norwalk-La Mirada |
| 117 | S. Whittier-La Mirada |
| 118 | Whittier-E. Washington Blvd. |
| 124 | L.B.-Anaheim-Fullerton-Knotts B.F.-Disneyland |
| 132 | Hawaiian Gardens-Artesia-Downey-Lakewood |
| 134 | E1 Monte-Durfee Ave.-Peck Rd.-Whittier |
| 136 | Pico Rivera-Passons Blvd. |
| 137 | El Segundo-Inglewood-Norwalk |

HEADWAYS IN MINUTES

| AM PEAK | $\frac{\text { BASE }}{20}$ |  | PM PEAK | EVENING |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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MONTEBELLO M.B.L.

| 10 | Whittier Blvd. | 11 | 13 | 11 | 20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 40 | Beverly Blvd. | 60 | 60 | 60 | - |
| 60 | Paramount | 60 | 60 | 60 | - |

NORWALK TRANSIT SYSTEM

| 1 | Red | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Blue | 15 | 30 | 15 |
| 3 | Green | 15 | 30 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| NTA FE SPRINGS DAILY TRAM SCHEDULE | 60 | 60 | 60 |  |

LONG BEACH PUBLIC T.C.

| 1 | Pacific Coast Hwy-Easy Avenue | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Santa Fe-Cherry Avenues | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| 6 | Atlantic Avenue | 20 | 30 | 20 |
| 7 | Orange Avenue | 20 | 30 | 20 |
| 9 | E. 7th St. -Bellflower Blvd. -Woodruff Ave. | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 10 | Carson St.-Crosstown | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| 11 | E. Broadway-Lakewood-Bellflower | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 15 | Del Amo Blvd. | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| 16 | Crosstown Streaker | 30 | 30 | 30 |

[^1]
## EVALUATION OF MID-CITIES TRANSIT SERVICES

SCRTD routes in the Mid-Cities area evolved from its predecessor Pacific Electric Lines and the private bus systems that replaced the latter during the first half of the century.

The famous Pacific Electric "Red Cars" operated over what was essentially an interurban railroad, carrying both passengers and freight among the small centers of population in the then sparsely settled region. The Southern Pacific Railroad (SP), which along with Henry Huntington controlled the PE and Los Angeles Railways during their glory years, maintained that control for one basic reason, to prevent PE from becoming serious competion to SP. Huntington, on the other hand, saw the transit networks, both Los Angeles Railways and PE, as a means of providing transportation linkages between his multifarious real estate developments and the then only developed center of the basin, Downtown Los Angeles. Neither the SP nor Huntington had any plans for providing the area with a unified transportation service. Rather, it was simply a means of connecting various isolated centers, both preexisting communities and real estate developments, with the Los Angeles CBD.

As the area began to assume a more urbanized character, other services came into being. If an existing transportation need was perceived, usually an independent transit operator, he would normally provide service to meet it. Thus, as industry grew in the South Gate-Cudagy-Bell-Huntington Park-Compton-etc., areas, transit lines connecting such residential enclaves as Whittier and Downey with jobs in these new industrial areas came into being. One of the larger of such transit operations in the study area was the Crosstown Lines.

The independent lines had as their goal the provision of a type of service that would compete with, not complement, the preexisting PE lines. In the same spirit, PE made it as difficult as possible for these independent operations to succeed, keeping them from operating on certain streets, forcing restrictions in their operating rights, etc.

After World War II, the fortunes of the Pacific Electric, which were never really good, turned poor. The operation was sold and soon after the sale buses were substituted for rail. This substitution often meant rerouting, since streets and highways didn't always follow the rail right-ofway. The buses attempted to serve the same centers as had the rail, but some rather obvious redirection was unavoidable.

The network of routes that RTD inherited in the Mid-Cities area was not a system. Rather, the routes represented a series of accommodations and compromises that were meant to serve a mobility pattern that had long changed. As was discussed in Chapter 2, in the decade between 1960 and 1970 the Mid-Cities area had undergone very significant growth. Perhaps more importantly, the pattern of trip making changed dramatically away from trips to the Los Angeles CBD toward work trip destinations within the Mid-Cities study area. The residential growth discussed in Chapter 2 gave rise to such support facilities as shopping plazas, hospitals, and educational facilities which reoriented the personal trips to these major activity centers.

The study area's recent development and the outmoded route structure have combined to render the existing route structure obsolete. The dispersion of origins and destinations requires a similarly dispersed service. Using bus miles per unit of population as a measure of access to transit services, Figure 3-1 shows that the existing RTD network serving the area falls far below the level of 50 bus miles per thousand population that is generally regarded as the minimum acceptable level for suburban areas. Another measure of the soundness of a route structure is the access to destinations that it provides. As is evident from Figures 2-1, 2-9, and Table 2-3 presented in the previous chapter, the current RTD route network often imposes on the present user either 1 or 2 transfers, a long travel time or both. Assuming a travel time of under 60 minutes as a tolerable standard of travel time between adjacent cities in the study area, it is evident from Table 3-1 that travel time in the existing system far exceeds this. In only a few cases is travel time below 60 minutes. In most cases, travel times to adjacent cormunities are between 80 and 90 minutes and often exceed 2 hours. In a few cases, travel time exceeds three or even four hours. Such travel times are unacceptable even to the transit dependent groups because the duration of travel is several times that required for the activity that precipitated the trip.

For the reasons described above, from the point of view of the transit system operator and the community, the current network of lines and the service on them is far below the average performance of the RTD system. Using bus miles per passenger as a measure, the current total RTD system averages 0.287 miles while the current lines serving the Mid-Cities study area averages 0.957 miles per passenger.

The evaluation of local transit services operated by the municipalities within the study area and by communities adjacent to it has placed new service requirements on RTD and on local municipal operations. By state law, the municipal carriers may operate outside the political boundaries of their jurisdiction only with the consent of SCRTD, while the latter may operate in municipal territory only with consent from the local carrier. These legal constraints have, to an extent, discouraged more effective routing.

Since 1970 three local political jurisdictions within the Mid-Cities area have initiated transit services within their own borders. Norwalk and Santa Fe Springs operate conventional, fixed route service while La Mirada operates a Dial-A-Bus service. These new services are in addition to the services already offered by the Long Beach Public Transportation Company and Montebello Bus Lines.

The effect of these local transit services is that they often duplicate current RTD service by operating over parts of the same routes or they impose the need for interconnecting them with the regional service provided by RTD. Coordination of RTD and local services is presently inadequate. From Figure 2-9 in the preceeding chapter, it becomes evident that the lines from SCRTD and Long Beach are often separated by only a few blocks, creating an inconvenience to passengers of both systems.

Figure 3-1
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TABLE 3－1
TRAVEL TIME－PRESENT SYSTEM

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \text { 山己 } \\ & \text { a } \\ & \text { c } \\ & \text { c } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 邑 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{\mid} \\ & \underline{\underline{y}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 宸 } \\ & \text { 右 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \text { 雯 } \\ & \text { 区 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{1}{x}} \\ & \frac{1}{x} \\ & \frac{c}{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{I}{d} \\ & \frac{c}{d} \\ & \frac{a}{\Sigma} \\ & \leq \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { 3 } \\ & \text { 4 } \end{aligned}$ | 气 总 岕 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PICO RIVERA |  | 45 | 85 | 105 | 151 | 92 | 132 | 185 | 161 | 202 | 176 | 188 | 1522 |
| WHITTIER | 45 |  | 82 | 93 | 139 | 119 | 87 | 143 | 158 | 269 | 173 | 185 | 1493 |
| SANTA FE SPRINGS | 85 | 82 |  | 71 | 117 | 37 | 114 | 87 | 76 | 161 | 91 | 103 | 1024 |
| DOWNEY | 105 | 93 | 71 |  | 76 | 41 | 118 | 80 | 80 | 59 | 95 | 107 | 925 |
| PARAMOUNT | 151 | 139 | 117 | 76 |  | 86 | 133 | 36 | 86 | 80 | 101 | 113 | 1118 |
| NORWALK | 92 | 119 | 37 | 41 | 86 |  | 77 | 50 | 39 | 111 | 54 | 66 | 772 |
| LA MIRADA | 132 | 87 | 114 | 118 | 137 | 77 |  | 97 | 52 | 158 | 97 | 109 | 1174 |
| BELLFLOWER | 185 | 143 | 87 | 80 | 36 | 50 | 97 |  | 80 | 74 | 95 | 107 | 1034 |
| ARTESIA | 161 | 158 | 76 | 80 | 86 | 39 | 52 | 80 |  | 85 | 45 | 57 | 919 |
| LAKEWOOD | 202 | 269 | 161 | 59 | 80 | 111 | 158 | 74 | 85 |  | 100 | 112 | 1411 |
| CERRITOS | 176 | 173 | 91 | 95 | 101 | 54 | 97 | 95 | 45 | 100 |  | 42 | 1069 |
| HAWAIIAN GARDENS | 188 | 185 | 107 | 107 | 113 | 66 | 109 | 107 | 57 | 112 | 42 |  | 1189 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1 \overline{3,600}$ |

Points are from City Halls of each city．
All times listed are in minutes of bus running time plus average waiting time and transfer time，if applicable．

## SPECIFIC DEFICIENCIES

Besides these overall structural weaknesses in the current transit network, certain SCRTD lines present specific opportunities for improvements. As can be seen from Table 3-2, the improvements are of four typas:

- eliminating excessive branching of a line. Many lines operate over several routes and it is not always clear to the user which route the bus will follow. Also, headways on a branch line are always a multiple of the operation, which in itself might be inadequate.
- reducing headways or eliminating certain lines because reasonable headways cannot be maintained. Headways in excess of one hour are excessive.
- improved directionality in service. Some service should be northsouth, other service east-west in order to develop stable structural elements in the transit network.
- increasing productivity of lines. Certain lines expend too many bus hours or miles per passenger. Reducing bus miles to a level where headways are more than 60 minutes tends to reduce patronage and in the long run lowers productivity.


## SUMMARY OF NECESSARY IMPROVEMENTS

The improvements cited above amount to a complete rearrangement of the service that RTD is offering in the area, starting with the development of a service structure that acknowledges the newly emerging travel patterns of the area and then developing a level of service around this structure that is responsive to the behavior of the population of the Mid-Cities area. From the evidence, minor changes such as reductions in headways on existing routes will not serve the patronage, the community, nor the operators. The required structural changes must also harmonize the services that the various operators offer in the Mid-Cities area. Competition, in this instance, is wasteful of public funds and parochial adherence to old franchises which place a barrier to efficient movement of people, is against the public interest.

Table 3-2

## ADEQUACY OF EXISTING S.C.R.T.D. SERVICE

| LINE | ADQUACY | REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. 34 | Remove from Mid-Cities | Terminate in Compton |
| No. 38 | Replace | Improve headway - break into many lines. |
| No. 46 | Improve | Incorporate into through service into Mid-Cities. |
| No. 54 | Improve | Incorporate into through service into Mid-Cities. |
| No. 55 | Improve | Remove from Orange Co. and coordinate with Lakewood Blvd. service. |
| No. 58 | Improve | Eliminate excessive branching. |
| No. 72 | Improve | Extend trunk to Whittwood and remove from Downtown Whittier. |
| No. 111 | Replace | Improve headway and routing direction, branching. |
| No. 112 | Replace | Improve headway and routing. |
| No. 113 | Replace | Eliminate branching, improve headway. |
| No. 116 | Replace | Unify route - improve headway. |
| No. 117 | Replace | Branching - break into several usable routes. |
| No. 118 | Replace | Improve headway and routing. |
| No. 132 | Replace | Unify route direction, end branching, improve headway. |
| No. 134 | Replace | Connect with more useful service. |
| No. 136 | Replace | Extend southward to serve more people and activity centers. |
| No. 137 | Replace | Improve headway and routing. |

The mobility requirements of the Mid-Cities residents, and of those who come into this area from other parts of the region, specify a transit network that:

1. Facilitates movements among the principal activity centers within the 12 city area.
2. Provides direct and frequent service from selected points within the Mid-Cities area to as many activity centers throughout the region as possible either by direct service or requiring only a single transfer.

In order to satisfy these requirements, a skeleton of six RTD lines was conceived. Three of the lines are routed in substantially north-south directions, and three are routed in a predominantly east-west direction. These six lines, shown in Figure 4-1, are for the most part routed on the principal thoroughfares in order to connect the major activity centers of the area. The spine of this skeleton is the C-1 line which operates along the Santa Ana Freeway. This freeway is the principal high speed arterial to the Los Angeles CBD and to such major activity centers in Orange County as Disneyland, Knotts Berry Farm and many major industrial employers. Since it virtually bisects the Mid-Cities area diagonally, it is easily accessible from all parts of the area.

A line operating on this spine could collect passengers from all parts of the Mid-Cities area and it would serve to bring people from other parts of the region. The line would operate on the freeway, leaving this facility at selected interchanges within the study area to allow transfer opportunities to other lines that would collect or distribute passengers.

This skeletal system of lines would be augmented by ten complementary RTD lines that interconnect the 12 cities comprising the Mid-Cities study area. These lines have been routed to travel through the principal residential sections and to connect them with the principal activity centers. The entire network of new lines is shown in Figure 4-2. This figure also shows the relationship of the new lines to those of the local municipal operators.

An important consideration in designing the routes for the proposed 16 RTD lines was that of taking full advantage of the municipal bus operations already in existence. The level of service offered by these systems would be uneconomical for RTD to duplicate. The 16 proposed P.TD lines, particularly the 10 tributary lines, were routed to provide frequent and efficient interchanges with the existing municipal lines.

In harmonizing the services of the RTD lines and those of the existing municipal lines, the concept paid particular attention to the predominant movenent patterns. In cases where such movements could be best streamlined by avoiding a transfer between an RTD and a municipal line and instead extending one or another line to serve a destination, the latter course of action was followed.

FIGURE 4-1


COMPLETE PROPOSED TRANSIT SYSTEM FOR THE MID-CITIES AREA - BASIC SERVICE LEVEL


The routing of the 16 proposed RTD lines and the level of service proposed for them was also governed by the desire to offer frequent transfer opportunities and to minimize the delays that attend them. The resultant transit network forms a systematic grid that offers the user fairly uniform travel times for equal distances regardless of origin, destination, or travel direction.

In arriving at levels of service on the grid, the availability of resources, i.e. buses, drivers and support facilities, had to be considered. Accordingly, three service level alternatives were considered. The Base Level Service proposes headways that result in approximately the same number of buses and drivers now serving the Mid-Cities area. What is considered a Base Level Service headway for one line will differ from that of another line. The headways of a line during the peak, base and night hours are governed by either demand for service or, when demand is very light, by a policy decision. The maximum policy headway was chosen as 60 minutes. Certain lines, because of actual or potential patronage or the types of people and destinations that they serve, require more frequent headways.

The next higher service level is Developmental Level A. This level decreases the headways on the entire system to 30 minutes throughout the day, and provides for at least 60 minute headways throughout evening operation on most routes. Developmental Level B is an extension of Developmental Level A, adding to the latter additional tributary lines in selected areas. These are routed so as to approach a more complete and uniform level of accessibility to bus service in the more densely populated portions of the Mid-Cities area.

The transit services concept for the Mid-Cities area specifies simplicity of operation. Headways and routes are kept as uniform as possible in order to simplify the amount of knowledge that users and potential users of the system must have before they can utilize it. Branching of lines, for example, was avoided because they tend to create confusion, especially among occasional patrons, and because they reduce service on each branch.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED SERVICE

Each of the 16 proposed lines is described by means of a map and an operating characteristics summary. These are presented in numerical order, starting with Route $\mathrm{C}-1$, at the end of this chapter. The map shows the route alignment within the study area in red. Routes extending beyond the boundaries of the study area are identified by arrows pointing off the map. The operating characteristics summary for each line contains a complete operating description of the service and what is needed to operate it. The routing included for each route lists the streets and directions of operation from terminus to terminus. Locations for layovers are also included, although these are only suggested locations. Also included on the summary sheet is a list of areas served by the route. Each city through which the route passes is listed as well as significant activity centers within the study area such as shopping centers, schools and hospitals. Attractions of major proportions outside of the study area served by a proposed route are also cited.

Minor alterations in the locations of the termini can be made by RTD without significantly altering the overall operation, the requirements of
the individual line or those of the entire system. Each street and layover point has been surveyed by the CENTS staff in order to guarantee operational feasibility.

Each point at which a recommended route intersects with another recommended route within the area is listed as a transfer point in Table 4-1. This listing helps to illustrate how effectively the proposed system accomplishes its major objectives of assisting circulation within the Mid-Cities area.

Service frequency and operating requirements for each route are also described by 16 variables for each possible level of service: Base Level, Developmental Level A and Developmental Level B. The one-way route miles listed pertain to mileage between the farthest point on the route and the average turnaround loop mileage where applicable. The hours of operation are shown to the nearest hour only because it can be anticipated that actual scheduling will deviate slightly from the times specified by CENTS' preliminary schedules. The headways that are shown on the summaries are those that CENTS used in building the preliminary schedules. Total trips, operating miles and hours, vehicles needed and layover time were all extracted directly from preliminary route schedules made by CENTS for each route recommendation for Base Level Service. Schedule estimates for the Developmental Levels are projected from the Base Level data. The estimates shown for running time and operating speed are averages and may vary significantly throughout the hours of operation.

The summaries also contain a list of mileage segments for each recommended route, including time points, mileage, running time and speed. Therefore, it is recommended that for schedule making purposes, RTD use the information included in the line summaries in conjunction with the preliminary schedules that have been prepared for each route.

## PROPOSED SKELETAL ROUTES

The skeleton of the proposed systen for the Mid-Cities area is a grid consisting of six routes:

## Route C-1

The most important of these, and the key line in the entire system, is Route C-1 which would operate within the the Santa Ana Freeway Corridor from the Los Angeles CBD to the Santa Ana CBD. This service would operate as an express via the freeway, exiting at key locations within the study area to allow passengers to transfer to and from other lines. The connecting lines would have their schedules built around the C-l arrival times at the designated transfer points. Because of the present configuration of many of the access ramps on the Santa Ana Freeway, $\mathrm{C}-1$ is, at times, routed along local streets in order to make the necessary transfer connections. Similarly, because of exit ramp problems on the freeway, some possible transfer points within the study area have not been included in the operation of Route C-1. RTD may wish to look into the possibility of developing on-freeway transfer points at those intersecting lines where ramps impede exit and reentry for transferring purposes. Route $\mathrm{C}-1$ would operate every 15 minutes during the peak hours and every 30 minutes during the base period under the recommended

TRANSFER OPPORTUNITIES AMONG PROPOSED RTD LINES WITHIN THE MID-CITIES AREA

| LINE | C-1 | C-2 | C-3 | C-4 | C-5 | C-6 | C-7 | C-8 | C-9 | C-10 | C-11 | C-12 | C-13 | C-14 | C-15 | C-16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-1 |  | x |  | x | x |  |  |  | x |  |  | x | $x$ | x |  |  |
| C-2 | $x$ |  |  | x | x |  | , |  | x |  | $x$ |  | $x$ |  | $x$ |  |
| C-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ |  | $x$ | x | $x$ |
| C-4 | x | x |  |  | x | x |  | $x$ | x | $x$ | x | x | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| C-5 | x | x |  | x |  | x | x | x | $x$ |  |  | $x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ | x |
| C-6 |  |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | x | $x$ | x |  | x |  |  |  |
| C-7 |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| C-8 |  |  |  | x | x | x |  |  | x | x | x | x | x | x |  | $x$ |
| C-9 | x | x |  | $x$ | x | x |  | x |  | x |  | x |  | x | x | x |
| C-10 |  |  |  | x |  | x |  | x | x |  | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| C-11 |  | x |  | x |  | x |  | x |  | x |  | x | x | x | x | $x$ |
| C-12 | x |  | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |  | X | $x$ | x | $x$ | $x$ |  | $x$ |  | $x$ |  |
| C-13 | $x$ | x |  | $x$ |  | $x$ |  | x |  | $x$ | x | x |  | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| C-14 | $x$ |  | $x$ | x | x |  | x | x | $x$ | X | X |  | x |  | x | $x$ |
| C-15 |  | $x$ | x | $x$ | $x$ |  | $x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |  | $x$ |
| C-16 |  |  | x | $x$ | x |  | $x$ | x | x | x | x |  | x | x | $x$ |  |

Base Level Service. Under Developmental Level A, Route C-1 would operate on a 15 minute headway all day with additional trips diverting through Fullerton. In addition, peak hour service would operate via Telegraph Road from Norwalk Square to the Santa Ana Freeway and then operate as an express to the Los Angeles CBD. The Disneyland Flyer service, presently operating on Route 58 , would continue unchanged.

## Route C-4

Another major north-south route in the proposed grid network is Route C-4 which would operate from Los Cerritos Center via the Whittwood Shopping Center to northern Whittier. At the Base Level Service Route C-4 would operate on a 60 minute headway during both base and peak hour periods. Under Developmental Level A this route would operate on a 30 minute headway during both peak and base hour periods with the 60 minute headway being maintained during night operations.

## Route C-6

The major link between the Los Angeles CBD and the northern portion of the study area would be Route $C-6$ which would operate in the Whittier Boulevard Corridor from Orange County to Los Angeles. Largely operating over the route of the present RTD Route 72, this proposed line would operate on a 5 to 10 minute headway during peak hours and a 20 minute headway during base hours. This headway would be maintained for both Base Level and Developmental Level A service throughout the day. Under Developmental Level A additional service would be extended from the Orange County terminus to Fullerton on a one hour headway.

## Route C-9

Route C-9 would operate from the Lakewood Shopping Center to Beverly Boulevard via the Lakewood-Rosemead Boulevard Corridor, In addition, selected trips would be extended throughout the day to the El Monte Station via Rio Hondo College. At the Base Level service on the line would operate every 15 minutes during peak hours and every hour during base hours. Under Developmental Level A the base hour headway would be decreased to 30 minutes with the one hour frequency of service to El Monte remaining unchanged.

## Route C-11

Route C-11 is another major north-south line which would operate from Los Cerritos Center to Whittier via the Studebaker Road-Norwalk Boulevard Corridor. This service would operate every 30 minutes during the peak hours and every hour during the base hours at the Base Level Service. Under Developmental Level A this service would operate every 15 minutes during the peak hours, every 30 minutes during base hours with a one hour headway maintained during evening hours.

Route C-14
Route C-14, a major east-west link to the South Bay area, operates from Manhattan Beach to the Orange County line via Rosecrans Avenue. This service would operate every 30 minutes during the peak and every hour during
the base hours with service being increased to 15 minute headways in the peak and 30 minutes in the base hours under Developmental Level A.

In order to more effectively link the Mid-Cities area with surrounding residential areas, six proposed lines have been extended beyond the limits of the study area thereby connecting them with the existing RTD grid.

## PROPOSED COMPLEMENTARY ROUTES

These lines are designed to distribute people from their dispersed origins to their diverse destinations. The 10 complementary lines are discussed below and described in the operational characteristics summaries and maps at the end of this chapter. These lines can be subdivided into those that connect with existing RTD service to communities adjacent to the study area and those that are entirely local and facilitate circulation within the study area. The proposed Lines $\mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-7, \mathrm{C}-8, \mathrm{C}-12$ and $\mathrm{C}-15$ are the connector routes. Lines $\mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-10, \mathrm{C}-13$ and $\mathrm{C}-16$ are the circulatory routes.

## Route C-2

Route C-2 would operate from South Bay Shopping Center in Redondo Beach to Buena Park via Artesia Boulevard. It is recommended that this route operate every 60 minutes throughout the day at Base Level Service and that it operate every 20 minutes during peak hours and every 30 minutes during base hours under Developmental Level A.

## Route C-3

Route C-3 would operate from South Los Angeles to Paramount via the route of the present RTD Line 46, service to Florence Boulevard to 0ld River School Road to Garfield. Additional service on this line would terminate at Cecilia and Wilcox outside of the study area. Under the recommended Base Level this service would operate every 30 minutes during peak hours, within the study area, and every 15 minutes in those segments of the route that lie outside the study area. It would operate every hour within the study area during base hours while maintaining a 15 minute headway on those route segments that are outside the study area. Under Developmental Level A service frequency would be increased to every 15 minutes during peak hours throughout the line and every 15 minutes during base hours for those segments of the line that are outside of the study area. Base hour headways for those portions of the line within the study area would be every 30 minutes.

## Route C-7

Route C-7 would operate in a manner very similar to that of Route C-3. It would connect Los Angeles and Paramount, operating on Gage Avenue and Downey Boulevard. Like Route C-3, Route C-7 would operate a short service that would terminate outside of the study area. The service extending into the study area would operate on a one hour headway throughout the day while service for the trunk of the line would operate every 20 minutes. Under Developmental Level A, this frequency would be increased to every 30 minutes for operation within the study area and every 15 minutes for the trunk of the line.

## Route C-8

Route C-8 would connect La Mirada and South Whittier with the Los Angeles CBD via Washington Boulevard. This service would operate on a one hour frequency throughout the day at the Base Level and would have its frequency increased to operate every 30 minutes during both base and peak hours under Developmental Level A.

## Route C-12

Route C-12 would be a key link between the Mid-Cities area and South Bay. It would be routed via the Imperial Highway Corridor from El Sequndo to the La Habra Fashion Square in Orange County. Service on this route would operate every 30 minutes during peak hours and every 60 minutes during base hours. For Developmental Level A headways would be decreased to 15 minutes during peak hours and 30 minutes during base hours.

## Route C-15

Route C-15 would operate from Playa del Rey to Hawaiian Gardens via Manchester, Firestone and Norwalk Boulevards. This route, in addition to extending into the Mid-Cities area, would include a segment of the RTD Line 54 in order to accommodate the many trips that terminate outside of the MidCities study area. Service on Route C-15 within the study area would operate every 30 minutes during peak hours and every 60 minutes during base hours with this frequency being increased to headways of 30 minutes throughout the day under Developmental Level A. Service on the trunk of the line, which includes trips that do not extend into the study area, would operate every 15 minutes throughout the day.

The remaining four lines included in the Base Level recommendation operate exclusively within the study area itself, supplementing the operation of the fixed route grid network.

Route C-5
Route C-5 would connect Pico Rivera, Downey and Paramount to the Lakewood Shopping Center via Passons Avenue and Paramount Boulevard. The service would operate every 30 minutes during the peak and every 60 minutes during the base with this frequency being increased under Developmental Level A to operate every 20 minutes during the peak and every 30 minutes during the base.

## Route C-10

Route C-10 would operate from the Whittwood Shopping Center to Bellflower via Florence Avenue, Telegraph Road and Bellflower Boulevard. This service would maintain its 60 minute headway throughout the day with the service frequency being increased to every 30 minutes throughout the day under Developmental Level A.

## Route C-13

The Norwalk and Pioneer Boulevard Corridor would be served by the operation of Route $\mathrm{C}-13$ which would connect the Quad Shopping Center in Whittier
with Norwalk, Artesia and Hawaiian Gardens. This service would operate every 30 minutes during peak hours and every 60 minutes during base hours with this frequency being increased under Developmental Level A to every 15 minutes during peak hours and every 30 minutes during base hours.

## Route C-16

Route C-16 would operate from the La Mirada Shopping Center to Paramount via Alondra Boulevard. Service would be on a one hour headway at the Base Level and a 30 minute headway under Developmental Level A.

Recommended headways for Saturday service on each of the 16 proposed lines would be the same as those headways listed for base hour periods of operation. Sunday service for each route would be the same as that included in the preliminary schedule for periods of night operation.

## SUPPLEMENTARY ROUTES - DEVELOPMENTAL LEVEL B

Developmental Level $B$ adds to the basic 16 line grid 4 additional routes. These routes are described below. Their operating characteristics have not been developed to the same level of detail as the basic 16 routes and no operating characteristics summaries exist for them. These 4 additional routes are not essential for an adequate transit system to serve the MidCities area. They would, however, increase the accessibility of the area's residents to the transit system.

Route B-1 - Whittier
This route would operate from Downtown Whittier to Cerritos Center via Painter, Telegraph, Meyer, Valley View to Artesia, Carmenita, 183rd Street to Los Cerritos Center. This service would operate every 30 minutes during peak and every hour during base hours.

Route B-2 - Stonewood
This service would operate from Lakewood Center to the Stonewood Shopping Center to Downtown Whittier via Clark, Compton, Woodruff to San Gabriel Ricer Freeway, Telegraph, Santa Fe Springs Road, Pickering, Wardman to Downtown Whittier. This service would operate every 30 minutes during the peak and every 60 minutes during base hours.

## Route B-3 - Rio Hondo College

Service between South Los Angeles and Rio Hondo College would operate from the route of the present Slauson Avenue service to Miller Ĝrove Road to Norwalk Boulevard to Workman Mill Road then into Rio Hondo College. This service would operate on a one hour headway, preferably with arrival and departure times to and from the college coordinated with the major class shift hours at the college.

Route B-4 - South Gate to Whittwood
This service would connect with existing routes in South Gate and would proceed eastward to the Whittwood Shopping Center in East Whittier via Stuart
and Grey Road, Firestone, Orr and Day, Hercules, Pioneer, Lakeland, Leland, Telegraph, Victoria, Mulberry, Santa Fe Street, Scott Street then into Whittwood Shopping Center. This service would operate every 30 minutes during peak hours and every 60 minutes during base hours.

PROPOSED CHANGES TO EXISTING TRANSIT SERVICES
Implementation of the proposed routes would require changes not only in the current RTD lines but also in the lines operated by the Long Beach Public Transportation Company (LBPTC) and those of the Orange County Transit District (OCTD).

## Changes in RTD Lines

With the implementation of the 16 proposed bus lines all services from the following 15 present RTD bus lines would be discontinued: Numbers 38, $46,54,58,72,111,112,113,116,117,118,132,134,136$ and 137 . In addition, RTD Lines 34,55 and 77 would be revised. An operating characteristics summary describing the revised operation of $55 \& 77$ have been included at the end of this chapter. Line 55 would continue to operate essentially unchanged except that service will terminate at Seal Beach and its schedule will be incorporated into the operation of Route C-9, Lakewood Boulevard, to coordinate headways and minimize operating requirements. Route 34 would no longer provide service south of Compton and Willowbrook.

## Changes in LBPTC Lines

Seven major changes recommended for the LBPTC, shown in Figure 4-3, are described below:

## Route 1 (Carson Branch)

The line should be extended to Hawaiian Gardens via Carson Street to Norwalk Boulevard to Wardlow Road back to Studebaker Road.

Route 1 (Palo Verde Branch)
This line should be extended to Los Cerritos Center via Palo Verde Avenue to South Street to Gridley Road then into the shopping center.

## Route 2 (Downey Avenue Branch)

This line should be extended northward to Alondra Boulevard where it would meet two RTD lines, C-16 would operate on Alondra Boulevard, and C-7 would terminate south on Downey Avenue and would loop via Motz Street and Indiana Avenue.

## Route 2 (Cherry Avenue Branch)

This line should be rerouted northward on Cherry Avenue and Garfield Avenue to Alondra Boulevard where it would loop via Alondra Boulevard to Minnesota Avenue to Monroe Street. This service would connect with the proposed C-16 Line operating on Alondra Boulevard and another proposed RTD line C-3 that would terminate south on Garfield Avenue at Alondra Boulevard.

FIGURE 4-3
RECOMMENDED CHANGES TO
LONG BEACH PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY SERVICE WITHIN THE STUDY AREA


[^2]............... Recommended extensions of L.B.P.T.C. service
-.-. Recommended areas of abandonment of L.B.P.T.C. service

## Route 7 (Orange Avenue Branch)

This line should be extended north to Rosecrans Avenue to connect with the proposed Line C-14. This route will loop via Carlos Street and El Camino Avenue.

## Route 9 (Woodruff Avenue Branch)

This line should be extended north along Woodruff Avenue to Alondra Boulevard where it would loop via Carpintero Avenue and Trabuco Street.

## Route 11 (Lakewood Boulevard Branch)

This line would be truncated at Del Amo Boulevard and would no longer operate north of Lakewood Shopping Center. This line would be rerouted via Del Amo Boulevard to Greywood Avenue to Hardwick Street at Lakewood Shopping Center and would terminate at that point.

The seven changes in the LBPTC service listed above represented a net daily increase of 174 bus miles. It is recommended that the service frequency of all of the affected lines remain unchanged. Table 4-2 summarizes the recommended LBPTC changes.

## Changes in OCTD Lines

The principal recommended change in the operation of the OCTD involves the rerouting of the present Orange County Route 38 to serve the Hawaiian Gardens area. This rerouting would involve operating over the regular route to Lincoln Avenue and Denni Street and then continuing southward on Norwalk Boulevard to Los Alamitos Boulevard where the existing route would then be resumed. This rerouting would not measurably change the existing route miles. Headways would also remain unchanged.

## SUM:AARY OF OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PROPOSED RTD SYSTEMS

The principal characteristics of the proposed system of 16 RTD routes is shown in Table 4-3. This table also lists the current RTD lines serving the areas and compares the operating features with the proposed Base Level Service. The number of vehicles required to provide the new service is a modest increase of 5 percent. Table 4-4 describes each level of service in terms of 9 operating characteristics. It also shows the incremental change in performance from that offered by the existing RTD system. Table 4-5 is a comparison of present and the various proposed peak vehicle requirements.

## Table 4-2

## RECOMMENDED LONG BEACH PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY CHANGES

| LINE | CHANGE | TRIPS | DISTANCE | TOTAL MILEAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. 1-Carson | Extend to Norwalk Boulevard | 21 | 0.97 | +20.36 |
| No. 1 - Palo Verde | Extend to Cerritos | 42 | 1.58 | +66.18 |
| No. 2 - Downey Avenue | Extend to Alondra | 44 | 0.39 | +17.33 |
| No. 2 - Cherry Avenue | Via Garfield to Alondra-Direct | 44 | -0.88 | -38.67 |
| No. 7 - Orange <br> Avenue | Extend to Rosecrans | 78 | 1.24 | +96.91 |
| No. 9 - Woodruff Avenue | Extend to Alondra | 54 | 1.52 | +81.82 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } 11 \text { - Lakewood } \\ \text { Blvd. } \end{gathered}$ | Terminate at Lakewood S.C. | 60 | -1.17 | -70.00 |
|  | TOTAL DAILY MILEAGE DIFFER | ENCE |  | +173.93 |

Table 4-3
SERVICE REQUIREMENTS COMPARISONS

| PRESENT ROUTES | $\begin{gathered} \text { OPERATING } \\ \text { MILES } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OPERAT ING } \\ & \text { HOURS } \end{aligned}$ | LAYOVER HOURS | VEHICLES REQUIRED A.M. PEAK | VEHICLES <br> REQUIRED <br> P.M. PEAK | \% OF OPER HOURS IN LAYOVER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LINE } \\ & \text { SPEED } \end{aligned}$ | ```OPERATING MILES WITHIN STUDY AREA``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OPERATING } \\ & \text { HOURS } \\ & \text { WITHIN } \\ & \text { STUDY AREA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```% OF OPER. MILES IN STUDY AREA``` | \% OF OPER. HOURS IN STUDY AREA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. 34 | 771.56 | 40.14 | 11.66 | 6 | 6 | 29.1 | 19.2 | 256.20 | 13.70 | 33.2 | 34.1 |
| No. 38 | 202.00 | 10.49 | 1.30 | 1 | 1 | 12.4 | 19.2 | 102.36 | 5.33 | 50.6 | 50.8 |
| No. 46 | 1,271.72 | 103.66 | 20.63 | 8 | 7 | 19.9 | 12.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No. 54 | 1,637.66 | 145.74 | 29.77 | 12 | 11 | 20.4 | 11.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No. 55 | 854.51 | 36.58 | 5.05 | 3 | 6 | 13.8 | 23.4 | 269.10 | 12.82 | 31.5 | 35.0 |
| No. 58 | 4,344.05 | 193.64 | 37.16 | 16 | 21 | 19.2 | 22.4 | 827.99 | 32.00 | 19.1 | 16.5 |
| No. 72 | 2,290.43 | 134.29 | 32.16 | 25 | 28 | 24.0 | 17.1 | 691.34 | 42.02 | 30.2 | 31.3 |
| No. 77 | 803.42 | 76.46 | 19.53 | 5 | 5 | 25.4 | 10.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No. 111 | 575.84 | 32.28 | 14.61 | 4 | 4 | 45.3 | 17.8 | 274.85 | 15.61 | 47.7 | 48.4 |
| No. 112 | 177.79 | 10.16 | 1.50 | 1 | 1 | 14.8 | 17.5 | 75.60 | 4.50 | 42.5 | 44.3 |
| No. 113 | 498.52 | 29.59 | 3.72 | 3 | 3 | 12.6 | 16.8 | 362.14 | 18.65 | 72.6 | 63.0 |
| No. 116 | 364.36 | 18.42 | 3.63 | 2 | 2 | 19.7 | 19.8 | 308.78 | 16.03 | 84.7 | 87.0 |
| No. 117 | 422.88 | 24.44 | 7.67 | 2 | 3 | 31.4 | 17.3 | 422.88 | 24.44 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. 118 | 182.40 | 9.90 | 1.42 | 1 | 1 | 14.3 | 18.4 | 93.70 | 5.35 | 51.4 | 54.0 |
| No. 132 | 593.97 | 32.82 | 12.35 | 4 | 4 | 37.6 | 18.1 | 593.97 | 32.82 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. 134 | 248.01 | 27.88 | 5.50 | 2 | 2 | 19.7 | 8.9 | 69.84 | 4.08 | 28.2 | 14.6 |
| No. 136 | 139.62 | 8.30 | 4.28 | 1 | 1 | 51.6 | 16.8 | 139.62 | 8.30 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| No. 137 | 530.61 | 26.78 | 10.73 | 3 | 3 | 40.1 | 19.8 | 170.37 | 8.81 | 32.1 | 32.9 |
| TOTAL | 15,909.05 | 961.57 | 222.67 | 99 | 109 | 23.2 | 17.0 | 4658.74 | 244.46 | 29.3 | 25.4 |

PROPOSED SYSTEM (BASE LEVEL)

| C-1 Santa Ana Freeway | 3,847.40 | 199.43 | 40.98 | 12 | 16 | 20.1 | 19.3 | 1,065.73 | 55.24 | 27.7 | 27.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-2 Artesia Boulevard | 548.60 | 36.83 | 12.27 | 3 | 3 | 33.3 | 14.9 | 200.24 | 13.44 | 36.5 | 36.5 |
| C-3 Slauson-Garfield (46) | 1,837.00 | 138.01 | 26.37 | 10 | 10 | 19.1 | 13.3 | 145.12 | 10.90 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| C-4 Cerritos-Whittwood-Beverly | 517.50 | 37.31 | 6.70 | 3 | 3 | 18.0 | 13.9 | 517.50 | 37.31 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| C-5 Passons-Paramount | 621.56 | 52.54 | 15.67 | 6 | 5 | 29.8 | 11.8 | 621.56 | 52.54 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| C-6 Whittier Boulevard | 2,554.53 | 183.16 | 14.30 | 24 | 27 | 7.8 | 13.4 | 906.86 | 65.02 | 35.5 | 35.5 |
| C-7 Gage-Downey | 652.30 | 47.40 | 6.72 | 3 | 3 | 14.2 | 13.8 | 170.25 | 12.37 | 26.1 | 26.1 |
| C-8 Olympic-Washington | 576.00 | 36.13 | 3.67 | 3 | 3 | 10.2 | 15.9 | 292.61 | 18.35 | 50.8 | 50.8 |
| C-9 Lakewood-Rosemead | 887.10 | 78.83 | 14.58 | 7 | 8 | 18.5 | 11.3 | 742.50 | 65.98 | 83.7 | 83.7 |
| C-10 Whittwood-Bellflower | 348.40 | 25.10 | 5.88 | 2 | 2 | 23.4 | 13.9 | 348.40 | 25.10 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| C-11 Studebaker | 437.41 | 33.33 | 7.42 | 4 | 4 | 22.3 | 13.1 | 437.41 | 33.33 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| C-12 Imperial Highway | 820.80 | 47.47 | 4.67 | 6 | 4 | 8.6 | 17.3 | 338.99 | 19.61 | 41.3 | 41.3 |
| C-13 Pioneer Boulevard | 490.60 | 34.10 | 5.03 | 4 | 4 | 14.7 | 14.4 | 490.60 | 34.10 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| C-14 Rosecrans Avenue | 1,007.00 | 63.39 | 9.27 | 5 | 6 | 14.6 | 15.9 | 420.93 | 26.50 | 41.8 | 41.8 |
| C-15 Firestone-Norwalk (54) | 2,566.10 | 201.90 | 34.85 | 15 | 5 | 17.3 | 12.7 | 387.48 | 30.49 | 15.1 | 15.1 |
| C-16 Alondra Boulevard | 286.00 | 24.78 | 9.50 | 2 | 2 | 38.3 | $11^{\prime} .6$ | 286.00 | 24.78 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| RTD No. 34 (revised) | 525.00 | 27.31 | 10.45 | 5 | 5 | 38.2 | 19.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTD No. 55 (revised) RTD No. 77 (revised) | 955.43 513.70 | 60.07 46.44 | 5.02 11.60 | 4 3 | 6 3 | 8.4 25.0 | 15.9 | 246.50 | 15.58 | 25.8 0 | 25.8 0 |
| TOTAL | 19,992.43 | 1.373.53 | 244.95 | 121 | 129 | 17.8 | 14.6 | 7,618.68 | 540.56 | 38.1 | 39.4 |
| DIFFERENCE | +4,083.38 | +411.96 | +22.28 | +22 | +20 | -5.4 | -2.4 | $+2,959.94$ | +207.1 | +8.8 | $+14.0$ |

TABLE 4-4
COMPARATIVE OPERATING REQUIREMENTS
ALL SERVICE LEVELS

| SERVICE LEVEL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { DAILY } \\ & \text { T-WAY } \\ & \text { TRIPS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | AVERAGE OPER. SPEED (MPH) | DAILY OPERATING MILES | DAILY OPERATING HOURS | TOTAL DAILY LAYOVER HOURS | PER CENT OPER. OF HRS. IN LAYOVER | VEHICLES NEEDED AM PEAK | VEHICLES NEEDED PM PEAK | VEHICLES NEEDED BASE HRS. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRESENT RTD SERVICE | 976 | 17.0 | 15,909.05 | 961.51 | 222.67 | 23.2 | 99 | 109 | 61 |
| BASE LEVEL SERVICE Change Per Cent Change | $\begin{array}{r} 1160 \\ +184 \\ +18.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.6 \\ -2.4 \\ -14.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19,992.43 \\ +4,08338 \\ +25.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,373.53 \\ +411.96 \\ +42.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 244.95 \\ & +22.28 \\ & +10.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.8 \\ -5.4 \\ -23.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121 \\ & +22 \\ & +22.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129 \\ & +20 \\ & +18.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ +22 \\ +36.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| DEVELOPMENTAL LEVEL A Change Per Cent Change | $\begin{gathered} 1666 \\ +690 \\ +70.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.8 \\ -2.2 \\ -12.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31,244.54 \\ +15,335.49 \\ +96.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,104.50 \\ +1,142.99 \\ +118.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 359.00 \\ +136.33 \\ +61.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.1 \\ -6.1 \\ -26.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169 \\ & +70 \\ & +70.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 179 \\ & +70 \\ & +64.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ +62 \\ +101.6 \end{gathered}$ |
| DEVELOPMENTAL LEVEL B Change Per Cent Change | $\begin{gathered} 1774 \\ +798 \\ +81.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.5 \\ -2.5 \\ -14.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,609.60 \\ +16,700.55 \\ +105.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,248.25 \\ +1,286.74 \\ +133.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 376.97 \\ +154.30 \\ +69.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.8 \\ -6.4 \\ -27.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 187 \\ & +88 \\ & +88.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 197 \\ & +88 \\ & +80.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132 \\ +71 \\ +116.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| CENTS RECOMMENDATION Change Per Cent Change | $\begin{gathered} 1329 \\ +353 \\ +36.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.5 \\ -2.5 \\ -14.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23,042.87 \\ +7,133.82 \\ +44.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,590.52 \\ +629.01 \\ +65.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 276.70 \\ & +54.03 \\ & +24.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.4 \\ -5.8 \\ -25.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & +42 \\ & +42.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & +41 \\ & +37.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ +38 \\ +62.3 \end{gathered}$ |

$\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i}}{\omega}$

TABLE 4-5
COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND PROPOSED VEHICLE REQUIREMENTS


SUMMARY LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS

## SUMMARY

LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS

ROUTE NO C－1
$\qquad$

## MAESS StME

Los Angeles，Downey，Norwalk，Santa Fe Springs， La Mirada，Buena Park，Anaheim，Santa Ana， Downtown Los Angeles，Downtown Santa Ana， Disneytand，Knotts Berry Farm，Japanese Village

|  | Base Level | Devel． <br> Level A | Devel <br> Level B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avg． 1 way route miles | 38.8 | 368 | 36.8 |
| Miles w／in study ares | 10.2 | 102 | 102 |
| $z$ of sillea in study area | 277 | 28.7 | 28，7 |
| Eours of operation | 5＊2 | 5－2 am | $5-2 \mathrm{am}$ |
| Peak hour headway | 15 | 15 | ． 15 |
| Base hour headway | 30 | 15 | ：15 |
| Total daily 1 vay trips | 113 | 210 | 210 |
| Avg． 1 tay rumning time | $140{ }^{*}$ | 1．40＊ | $140^{*}$ |
| Avg．operatiog apeed | 24．3＊ | 24．3＊ | $24{ }^{\text {\％}}$ |
| Delly operating ailea | 38474 | 6894.4 | 6894.4 |
| Daily operating hours | 19943 | 350.92 | 350.92 |
| Total daily Layover time | 4098 | 67.20 | 67.20 |
| $\chi$ of op．hrs．in layover | 20.1 | 22.2 | 222 |
| Vehiclee needed，am penk | 12 | 14 | 14 |
| Vehiclee needed，pa peak | 16 | 18 | 18 |
| Vehicles needed，base | 9 | 14 | 14 |

＊Varies greatly depending on time of day of trip

## 

```
Morth－RTD Station
```

South－Santa Ana Station


| Time Point | Miles | Runaing Tine | Speed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTD Station |  |  |  |
| Santa Ana Freeway | B， 87 | 17 | 31 |
| Santa Ana Freeway at Lakernod | 1.33 | 5 | 17 |
| Santa na Freeway at Imoncial | 3，33 | 4 | 32. |
| Sinta ana freeway at San Antonio | 88 | 5 | 15 |
| Santa Rna Freeway at Rosecrans | 91 | 2 | 25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Santa Nna freeway } \\ & \text { at Carnenits } \end{aligned}$ | 1.48 | 4 | 20 |
| santa mad reeway at Knott | 2.48 | 4 | 35 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { knotts'seriry } \\ & \text { Farm. } \end{aligned}$ | 2.36 | 6 | 24 |
| Disneyland | 7.42 | 25 | 18 |
| Santa Ana Station | 17.72 | 18 | 26 |

## 港过的品

Southbound from RTD Station

E on 8th Street．
S on Santa Ana Freeway
S on Paramount Blvd
$E$ on Gallatin Road
$N$ on Lakewood Blvd
5 on Santa Ana Freeway
$S$ on Pioneer Blyd．
E on Imperial Highway
S．on Santa Ana Freeway
Exit at San Antonio Drive
Exit E ．on Union Street
cross San Antonio back onto fwo
S．on Santa Ana Freeway
$E$ on Rosecrans Averue
on Camenita Averne
S on Santa Ana Freeway
Exit at Beach Blvd exit $S$ on Knott Avenue
E on Orangethorpe Avenue
$E$ on Ball Road
S．on Hartor Blyd
E on Katella Avenue
5 on Santa Ana Freeway
5 on Main Street
N on 2nd Street to Santa Ana Station

Northbound．from Santa Ana Station
5 on Sycamore Street
E．on 1st Street
${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}}$ on Santa Ana Freeway
5 on Katella Avenue
$N$ on Harbor
$W$ on Ball Road
N．on Beach Blyd
W．on Orangethorpe Avenue
on Knott Avenue
On Artes la Aveneu to
entranice to freeway
M．on Camenita Avemue
W．on Rosecrans Avenue
N on Santa Ana Fresway
$N$ on Norwalk Bivd
W．on Imperial Highay
$N$ on Pioneer Blvd
N．On Santa Ana Freeway
$S$ on Lakenood Blvd
W on Gallatin Road
N on Paramount Blvd
N on Paramount Bivd．
$N$ on Santa Ana Freeway
N
$N$ on Soto Street
$W$ on Whittier Bivd
$W$ on 6th Street
$S$ on Central Avenue
$\forall$ on 7 th Street
N．On Maple Avenue to RTD Station


SUMMARY

## ROUTE NO C-2

LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS

| FROM | South Bay Shopping Center |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vith | Buena Park |

## AREAS SERUED

Redondo Beach, Torrance, Gardena, los Angeles Carson, Compton, N Long Beach, gellf lower, Cerritos, Artesfa, La Mirada, Buena Park, South Bay Center, Compton College, Bellwood Hospital, Japanese village, Bellflower Comminity Hospitai

## 

|  | Base Level | Deve1 <br> Level A | Deve1 Leve1 B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avg 1 way route milea | 211 | 211 | 21.1 |
| Miles w/in study area | 7.7 | 77 | 77 |
| \% of wiles in study aren | 36.5 | 36.5 | 35.5 |
| Hours of operation | 6a-7p | $6 \mathrm{a}-11 \mathrm{p}$ | 6a-11p |
| Peak hour headway | $1 \cdot 00$ | 20 | 20 |
| Base hour headway | 100 | $30 \quad 60$ | :30 60 |
| Tozal daily 1 way trips | 26 | 68 | 68 |
| Avg. 1 way running time | 58 | 58 | :58 |
| Avg operating speed | 22.3 | 223 | 22.3 |
| Daily operating miles | 54860 | 1434,8 | 1434,8 |
| Dally operating hours | 36.83 | 84,77 | 84.77 |
| Total daily layover time | 1227 | 2043 | 2043 |
| \% of op. hrs. in layover | 33.3 | 24.1 | 24.1 |
| Vehicles needed, alit pear | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| Vehicles needed, pr peak | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| Vehicles needed, base | 3 | 5 | 5 |

## 

East - South on Beach Blwd between Artesia and 5th
West - at South Bay Shopping Center

ROUTINS

## MLEACE SEAMENTS



$\qquad$

## ARFAS SERUED

Los Angeles, Huntington Park, Bell, Inglewood, Bell Gardens, Downey, Paramount, Rancho Los
Amigos Hospital

## SERYCE FREOUEMCY AMO REQUREMENTS

|  | Base <br> Level | Devel <br> Level A | Devel <br> Level B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avg 1 wav route miles | 202 | 20.2 | 202 |
| Miles w/in study area | 44 | 44 | 44 |
| \% of miles in study area | 79 | 14.3 | 14,3 |
| Hours of operation | 5a-7a | 5a-la | 5amla |
| Peak hour headwav | 15-30 | 15 | 15 |
| Base hour headway | 15-1.00 | $15 \quad 30$ | 15.30 |
| Total daily 1 way trips | 126(33) | 126(66) | 126(66) |
| Avg 1 way running time | 56.117 | 56-1 17 | -56-1 17 |
| Avg operating speed | 165 | 167 | 16.7 |
| Daily operating miles | 18370 | 20284 | 2028.4 |
| Datly operating hours | 13801 | 149.37 | 14937 |
| Total daily layover time | 2637 | 27.91 | 27 91 |
| \% of op. hrs in layover | $19]$ | 187 | 187 |
| Vehicles needed, am peak | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| Vehic1es needed, pm peak | 10 | 11 | 11 |
| Vehicles needed, base | 10 | 11 | 11 |

## Recomen ino Latorer Polims

South - South on Minnesota Avenue around the corner from Alondra Souleyard

## tratisfer polints

## Number

 Location:| C-16 | Alondra \& Garfield (also Long <br> Beach) <br> C-12 <br> C-14 <br> C-15 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Imperial \& Garfield <br> Rosecrans \& Garfield <br> Firestone and Old River School <br> Road |  |


| Time Point | Miles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Running } \\ & \text { Time } \end{aligned}$ | Speed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Slauson at Fairfax |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S]auson } \\ & \text { at_Broadway } \end{aligned}$ | 5.88 | 20 | 17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stauson } \\ & \text { at Pacific } \end{aligned}$ | 3.05 | 12 | 16 |
| Pacifle at, Florence | 1,00 | 6 | 10 |
| Horence at Atlantic | 273 | 11 | 15 |
| old River school at Firestone | 190 | 6 | 18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0才d River Sciool } \\ & \text { at Inperial } \end{aligned}$ | 179 | 6 | 18 |
| Garflyeld at Rosecrans | 2.18 | 7 | 18 |
| Garfteld at Alondra | 121 | 4 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

```
Southbound from present No
``` Line service
S. on Pacific Blyd
S. on Pacific Blvd
E. on Florence BIvd
\(S\). on Old River School Road
\(W\) on Imperial Highway
W on Imperial Hoghway
\(E\) on Alondra Blvd
S. on Minnesota Avenue to layover
(additional service will
adiminate secelia and
Wlcox via present route

Northbound from layover
\(\$\) on Minnesota Avenue
W an Motz Street
N on Garfieid Avenue
E on Imperial Highway
\(N\) on 0id River School Road
N on Pacific Blvd
Then pickup route of present No 46 Line to terminus

\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Fi日品 & Prco Rivera \\
\hline T0 & Los Cerritos Center \\
\hline VIA & Whittier and Whittwood S C \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AREAS SERYED}

> Pico Rivera, Whitter, \(\$\) Whitier, Santa Fe Springs, Artesfa, Cerritos, whittwood Shopping Center, Downte in Whittier, Whittier Coilege, Los Cerritos Center, Whittier General Hospital
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{SERYICE FREQUEMCY AHD REQUREMENTS} \\
\hline & Base Level & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level A
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Leve1 B
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Avg I wav route miles & 203 & 203 & 203 \\
\hline Miles w/in study area & 203 & 203 & 203 \\
\hline \% of miles in study area & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
\hline Hours of operation & 6a-7p & 6a-31p & 6a-120 \\
\hline Feak hour headway & 100 & 30 & 30 \\
\hline Base hour headway & 100 & 30-60 & (nıcht) \\
\hline Total daily 1 way trips & 26 & 60 & 60 \\
\hline Avg 1 way running time & 114 & 114 & 114 \\
\hline Avg operating speed & 169 & 169 & 16.9 \\
\hline Daily operating milies & 51750 & 120770 & 1207.70 \\
\hline Daily operating hours & 3731 & 8566 & 85.66 \\
\hline Total daily layover time & 670 & 34.20 & 14.20 \\
\hline \% of op hrs. in layover & 180 & 16.6 & 16.6 \\
\hline Vehic1es needed, am peak & 3 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, pm peak & 3 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline Vehtcles needed, base & 3 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Rfcoldyenden LAYOUER POIN:IS}

South - South on Shopping Center Drive in front of Ohrbachs
North - West on Arma Street around the comer from Layman Avenue.

\section*{TRAMSEER POUHTS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline To Line Number & Location. \\
\hline C-? & Carmenita at Rosecrans \\
\hline C-2 & Carmenita at Artesia \\
\hline C-5 & Beverly at Durfee \\
\hline C-6 & Whitter at Ocean Vrew or Whittwood \\
\hline C-8 & Leffingwell at La Mirada or Painter at Mar Vista or La Cuarta \\
\hline C-9 & Beverly at Rosemead \\
\hline C-10 & Whittwood Shopping Center \\
\hline C-11 & Los Cerritos or Phil at Greenleaf \\
\hline C-12 & Carmensta at Imperial \\
\hline C-13 & South \& Pioneer or Phifadelphia \& Greenleaf \\
\hline C-14 & Carmentta \& Rosecrans \\
\hline C-15 & South \& Norwalk \\
\hline C-16 & Carmenita \& Alondra \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Time Point & Miles & Running Time & Speed \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Beveriy-Rosemead} \\
\hline Greenteaf
at Hadlev & 4.15 & 21 & 12 \\
\hline Ocean View at whittier Blyd. & 2,97 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline Whittwood
at Shopping Center & 1.85 & 6 & 20 \\
\hline Leftingwell at La Mirada & 2.06 & 4 & 30 \\
\hline carmentita at_Imnerial & 2.18 & 4 & 30 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Carment ta } \\
& \text { at Rosecrans }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1.00 & 2 & 30 \\
\hline Carmenita at Alondra & 100 & 2 & 30 \\
\hline Casmenita -at Artesia & 100 & 2 & 30 \\
\hline South
at Norwalk & 252 & 5 & 30 \\
\hline Cerritos Center & 152 & 8 & 12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Southbound}
\(W\) on Arma Street
N. on Rosemead Blivd
on Beverly Blvd on Orange Grove Avenue on Norwalk Blvd on Monte Vista Drive on Palm Avenue on Floral Drive on Pickering Avenue on Hadley Street on Greenleaf Avenue on Philadelphia Avenue on Painter Avenue on La Cuarta Street on Ocean vew Ave on Whatier Dod
on Whyt twood Park on Santa Gertrudes Avenue on Leffingwel] Road on Carmenita Avenue on South Street
\(N\) on Gridley Road
W on Los Cerritos Center Dr (at 2nd signal
\(S\) at stop sign on Shopping Center Dr to front of Ohrbachs

Nor thbound from Los Cerritos Center

E on Shopping Center Drive
on Gridley Road
on South Street
on Carmenita Avenu
on Leffingwell Road
on Santa Gertrudes Avenue
on LaForge Stree
on Whettwood Park
on whitwood Parkway
on Whittier BJyd
on Ocean \(V\) iew Avenue
on La Cuarta Street
N on Painter Avenue
W on Philadelphia Avenue
N on Greenleaf Avenue
\(W\) on Hadley Street
N on Pickering Avenue
\(W\) on Floral Orive
\(N\) on Palm Avenue
\(W\) on Monte Vista Orive
N on Norwalk Blyd
\(W\). on Orange Grove Avenue
\(S\) on Pioneer Bivd
W on Arma Street to layover

\begin{tabular}{ll} 
FROM & Pico Rivera \\
\hline TO & Lakewood Shopping Center \\
\hline VIA & Passons \& Paramount Blvd \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

AREAS SERYED


SERUICE FREPHENCY AND REPUUREMENIS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Base \\
Level
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel. \\
Level A
\end{tabular} & Devel Level B \\
\hline Avg I way route miles & 152 & 152 & 15.2 \\
\hline Miles w/in study area & 152 & 152 & 152 \\
\hline \% of miles in study area & 300 & 100 & 100 \\
\hline Hours of operation & 6\% 200 & 6arıla & 6amla \\
\hline Peah hour headway & 30 & 20 & 20 \\
\hline Base hour headway & 100 & 30-60 & (njaht) \\
\hline Total dally 1 way trips & 41 & 72 & 72 \\
\hline Avg 1 way running time & \(\cdot 54\) & - 54 & :54 \\
\hline Avg operating speed & 169 & 16.9 & 169 \\
\hline Daily operating miles & 621,56 & 1092.76 & 1092.76 \\
\hline Daily operating hours & 5254 & 90.76 & 9076 \\
\hline Total daily layover time & 15.67 & 26,10 & 2610 \\
\hline \% of op hrs in layover & 298 & 28.8 & 28.8 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, am peak & 6 & 9 & 9 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, pur peak & 5 & 8 & 8 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, base & 3 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{RECOMMENDED LAYOYER POHITS}

North - South on Deland Aversue in advance of Beverly Road.
South - Back of May Co. at Lakewood Shopping Center


\section*{MLEACE SEGMENTS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Time Point & Miles & Rumning Time & Speed \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Beverly at Deland} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Passons } \\
& \text { at_Whittien }
\end{aligned}
\] & 94 & 3 & 17 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Passons } \\
& \text { at Washington }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1.49 & 6 & 15 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Telegraph } \\
& \text { at Rosemead }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1.46 & 5 & 18 \\
\hline Paramount at
Santa Ana Freeway & 1.14 & 3 & 18 \\
\hline Paramount Lat Eirestone & 1.88 & 6 & 20 \\
\hline Paramount at Imberial & 1.52 & 5 & 20 \\
\hline Parastount at Rosecrans & 1.52 & 5 & 20 \\
\hline raramount
at Alondra & 1.00 & 3 & 20 \\
\hline Paramount
at Del Amo & 2,91 & 8. & 20 \\
\hline Lakewood Center & 130 & 5 & 15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Southbound from layover}

S
E on Deland Avemue
on Beverly Road E on Beverly Road
5 on Durfee Avenue E on Whittier Blvd
S on Passons Blyd
\(W\) on Telegraph Road
on Paramount Blyd
on Del Amo Blvd.
N on Greywood Avenue
on Drjve to May Co

Northbound from layover
S on Haze]brook Avenue
w on De? Amo Blvd
N on Paramount Blvd
\(E\) on Telegraph Road
N on Passons Bivd
\(W\) on Whittier Blvd
N on Durfee Avenue
W. on Beverly Blvd
W. on Beverly Blvd
\(\$\) on Deland Avenue to layover


\section*{LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
FROM & Downtown Los Angeles \\
\hline TO & Orange County Line \\
\hline VIA & Whittier Boulevard \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{arras sirvice}

Los Angeles, Commerce, Montebello, Whittier, La Habra, Downtown los Angeles, Downtown Whittier hittwood Shopping Center, the Quad Shopping Center, Helles School, Whittier Genera? Hospital
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{SERYICE FREQUENCY AMD REPUREMENTS} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Base \\
Level
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level A
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level B
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Avg 1 way route milles & 17.8-19.5 & 17.8-28.8 & 17.8-28.8 \\
\hline Miles w/in study area & 7.1-8.8 & 71-8.8 & 7,1-8,8 \\
\hline \% of miles in study area & 355 & 30.8 & 30.8 \\
\hline Hours of operstion & 5a-2a & 5a~2a & 5a-2a \\
\hline Peak hour headway & 05-. 10 & -05- 10 & -05-. 10 \\
\hline Base hour headway & :20 & :20- 60 & (E11) \\
\hline Total daily 1 way trips & 154 & 154 & 154 \\
\hline Avg. 1 way running time & 1.12-1 18 & 1;12-1 46 & 1-12-1,46 \\
\hline Avg operating speed & 15.0 & 15.4 & 15.4 \\
\hline Daily operating miles & 2554.53 & 2947 ,08 & 2947.85. \\
\hline Dally operating hours & 183.16 & 206.13 & 206. 13 \\
\hline Total daily layover time & 1430 & 14.76 & 14.76 \\
\hline \% of op. hrs in layover & 78 & 7.2 & 7.2 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, am peak & 28 & 29 & 29 \\
\hline Vehicies needed, pmipeak & 31 & 32 & 32 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, base & 8 & 9 & 9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{}

East - Back of Broadway in Whittwood or South on Lindauer at whittter

TRAMSEER POIMTS
Number.

Location.


\section*{Eastbound}
\(S\) on Flower Street
on 6th Street
on Whittier Bivd
5 on Santa Gertrudes Avenue
W on LaForge Road (Orange
County trips)
\(E\) on whittier Blvo
\({ }^{4}\) on Hacienda Blvd
S on Laguna Drive

Westbound from Whittwood layover
\(W\) on Laforge Road
\(N\) on Woodstead Road
\(W\) on Whittwood Parkway
\(N\) on Whittwood Drive
on whittier Blvd to LA of present No \(7271 n e\) (Orange County trips) on Lindauer Drive
\(S\) on Lindauer Drive
N on Whittier Blvd
\(W\) on 5th Street
N on Figueroa Street
\(E\) on 4th Street


\section*{rovitico}

\section*{LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS}
\(\qquad\)

\section*{AREAS SERYED}

Los Angeles, Huntington Park, Bell Gardens,
Downey, Paramount, Downtown Downey and three Downey, Paramount, Downtown Downey and three
high schools

SERYICE FREQUFNCY RND REQUIREMENTS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & Base Level & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level A
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level B
\end{tabular} & C-16 & Downey \& Alondra (also Long Beach) \\
\hline Avg 1 way route miles & 131 & 131 & 131 & & \\
\hline Miles w/in study area & 63 & 63 & 6.3 & & \\
\hline \% of miles in study area & 261 & 34.] & 341 & & \\
\hline Hours of operation & 5a-Ta & 5a-la & 5a-1a & & \\
\hline Peak hour headway & 20-1 00 & 15-30 & 15-30 & & \\
\hline Base hour headway & 20-1 00 & \(\cdot 15\) - 30 & 15-. 30 & & \\
\hline Total dafly 1 way trips & 106(37) & 132(54) & 132(54) & & \\
\hline Avg 1 way running time & 17-45 & 17-:45 & -17-*45 & & \\
\hline Avg & & 160 & 6.0 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{PECOMMEHOCO LAYOJER POINTS}

North - North on Rughy Street in advance of Slauson Boulevard
South - East on Alondra Boulevard around the corner from Monroe Avenue.

\section*{MLEACE SGGMENTS}


\section*{Northbound from layover}
\(E\) on Alondra Bivd
N on Downey Blvd
\(W\) on Gallatin Avenue
\(S\) on Paramount Bivd
\(W\) on Suva Street
\(W\) on Foster Bridge Blvd
W on Foster Brid
N on Perry Road
N
W on Perry Road
W
\(N\) on Pacific Bivd
\(W\) on Belgrave Avemue
N on Rugby Street to layover

Southbound
\(E\) on Slauson Blvd
\(\$\) on Pacific Bivd
on Gage Avenue
S
E
on Perry Road
on Foster Bridge Blvd
\(E\) on Foster Bridge
\(E\) on Suva Street
\(\underset{N}{ }\) on Puva Street
\(E\) on Gallatin Avenue
\(\$\) on Downey Bivd
\(W\) on Monroe Avenue
\(N\) on Indiana Avenue
\(E\) on Atondra Blvo to layover


\section*{AREAS SERVED}

Downtown Los Angeles, Commerce, Montebello, Pico Rivera, Whittier, South Whittier,
La Mirada, Iowntown Whittier, The Ouad
La Mirada, Lowntown Whyttier, The Quad La Mirada Shopping Center, La Mirada Hospita].

\section*{SERTICE frequenct mid requirements}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Base Level & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level A
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel. \\
Level B
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Avg 1 wav route miles & 240 & 240 & 24.0 \\
\hline Miles w/in study area & 122 & 122 & 122 \\
\hline \% of miles in study azea & 508 & 50.8 & 508 \\
\hline Hours of operation & 6a-8p & 6a-1a & \(6 \mathrm{a}-1 \mathrm{a}\) \\
\hline Peak hour headway & 100 & 30 & 30 \\
\hline Base hour headway & 100 & -30-60 & night \\
\hline Total daily 1 way trips & 24 & 58 & 58 \\
\hline Avg 1 way rumning time & 120 & 120 & 1-20 \\
\hline Avg operating speed & 17.7 & 17.7 & 177 \\
\hline Dsily operating miles & 576.00 & 1392.0 & 13920 \\
\hline Dally operating hours & 3613 & 87.29 & 8729 \\
\hline Total dally layover time & 367 & 8.65 & 8.65 \\
\hline \(z\) of op hrs. in layover & 10.2 & 99 & 99 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, am peak & 3 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, pmin peak & 3 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline Vehic1es needed, base & 3 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{RECOMENDED LAYONER POHTS}

East - North on La Mirada Shopping Center Drive along side of Thrifty store.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline TRAMSFER P & \\
\hline To Line Number & Location \\
\hline C-14 & La Mirada \& Rosecrans \\
\hline C-4 & Painter \& Mar Vista or La Cuarta or L.a Mirada at Leffingwell \\
\hline C-5 & Washington Bivd. \& Passons \\
\hline C-6 & Whittier \& Washington or Painter or The Quad \\
\hline C-9 & Washington \& Rosemead \\
\hline C-10 & Mulberry \& Mills \\
\hline C-11 & Washington \& Norwailk or Painter \& Mar Vista \\
\hline C-12 & La Mirada \& Imperial \\
\hline C-13 & Washington \& Broadway or Mar Vista \& Greenleaf or The Quad \\
\hline C-16 & La Mirada Shopping Center \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{MLEACE SEGMEHTS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Time Point & Miles & \begin{tabular}{l}
Running \\
Time
\end{tabular} & Speed \\
\hline La Mirada Shopping Center & & & \\
\hline La Mirada at lefffugwell & 230 & 4 & 31 \\
\hline Mulberry at M1]ls & 1.60 & 5 & 21 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Laurel } \\
& \text { at Whither }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1.76 & 8 & 14 \\
\hline Mar Vista at Greenleaf & 1.21 & 5 & 15. \\
\hline Washington at Broadray & 2.18 & 6 & 22 \\
\hline Washington at Rosemead & 1.82 & 7 & 16 \\
\hline Washington at Atlantic & 347 & 13 & 16 \\
\hline Washington at Boyle & 2.93 & 11 & 16 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6th } \\
& \text { at Hope. }
\end{aligned}
\] & 535 & 21-27 & 15-12 \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Eastbound from 6th \& Grand
5 on Grand Avenue
E on Grand Avenue
E on hashington Blvd
on Mar Vista Street
on Painter Avenue
on Whittier Blyd
on Laurel Avenue
on Mulberry Drive
on La Mirada B7yd.
E on Excelsior Drive
Entrance to shopping center orth at stop sign to side of Thrifty store
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hestbound from La Mirada } \\
& \text { Shopping Center }
\end{aligned}
\]

N on Shopping Center exit drive
\(W\) on Rosecrans Avenue
N on La Mirada Blvd
\(W\) on Mulberry Drive
\(N\) on Laurel Avenue
\(W\) on Whittier Blivd
4 on Painter Avenue
5 on Mar Vista Street
\(W\) on Wickering Avenue
N on Flower Street
\(E\) on 6th Street to Grand
Avenue


SUMMARY
LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS

\section*{ROUTE NO C-9}

\section*{FROM EI Monte}

IO Lakemood

\section*{ARESS SERYCD}

E1 Monte, Whittier, Pico Rivera, Downey, Paramount, Bellflower, Lakewood, Río Hondo College, Stonewood Shopping Center, Ford Plant, Lakewood Shopping Center, North American Rockwelt, Pico Rivera Hospital.

SEDUICE FREPUEMCY AMD REOURELENTS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Base Leve1 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level A
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level B
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Avg 1 way route nifles & 12.6-18 & 12,6-18 & 12 6-18 \\
\hline Hiles w/in study area & 126-14 & 12.6-14 & 12 6-14 \\
\hline \(X\) of miles in study area & 837 & 883 & 883 \\
\hline Hours of operation & 6a-1a & 6apla & 6a-1a \\
\hline Peak hour headway & 15 & 15 & 15 \\
\hline Base hour beadway & 100 & 30-60 & 30-60 \\
\hline Total daily 1 way tripa & 55 & 83 & 83 \\
\hline Avg. 1 way running time & 52-1.20 & -52-1 20 & 52-1 20 \\
\hline Avg operating apeed & 130 & 140 & 14.0 \\
\hline Daily operating milles & 887.10 & 1239,90 & 1239.90 \\
\hline Dally operating hours & 7883 & 110.56 & 110.56 \\
\hline Total daily layover time & 14.58 & 22.0 & 220 \\
\hline X of op, hra in layover & 185 & 199 & 19,9 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, an peak & 7 & 7 & 7 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, pra peak & 8 & 8 & 8 \\
\hline Vehicles aneeded, base & 4 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Recomenep Lavers retiss}

Horth - West on Arma around the corner from Layman or at El Monte Station,
South - South on Haxelbrook Road at May Co

\section*{TRAMSER POIUTS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Number & Location \\
\hline c-1 & Lakewood \& Santa Ana Freeway \\
\hline C-2 & Lakewood \& Artesia \\
\hline C-4 & Rosemead \$ Beverly \\
\hline C-5 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rosemead \& Slauson \& Lakeword S.C \\
(also Long Beach)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline C-6 & Rosemead \& Whittier \\
\hline C-8 & Rosenead \& Washington \\
\hline C-10 & Lakewood \& Florence or Bellflower \\
\hline C-12 & Lakewood \& Imperial \\
\hline C-14 & Lakewood \& Rosecrans \\
\hline C-15 & Lakewood \& Firestone \\
\hline C-16 & Lakewood \& Alondra \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Time Point & Miles & Runnifg True & Speed \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{El Monte Station} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rosemead } \\
& \text { at_Arma }
\end{aligned}
\] & -5,40 & 28 & 12 \\
\hline Rosemead lat Uhittier & 56 & 2 & 19 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rosemear } \\
& \text { at Mashington }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1.45 & 5 & 19 \\
\hline Lakewood at Santa Ana Freeway & 197 & 6 & 19 \\
\hline Lakerood at Firestone & 1.70 & 5 & 18 \\
\hline Lakewood at Rosearans & 2.51 & 11 & 14 \\
\hline Lakewood at Alondra & 1.010 & 4 & 14. \\
\hline Lakewood at Artesta & 1.03 & 5 & 14 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lakewood } \\
& \text { Shopning Center }
\end{aligned}
\] & 2.03 & 9 & 14 \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ROUTIM

Southbound
\(W\) on Arma Street
5 on Rosemead Blyd
on Lakewood Blyd
\(E\) on Candlewood Avenue
on Hazelbrook Road to
(Selected trips) from ET Monte Station*
\(E\) on Busway Lane
S. on Santa Antita Avenue
on Ramona Blyd
E. on Valley Blvd

S
E on Peck Road
on Elliott Averue
on Durfee Avenue
- on Peck Road
on Worknan Mill Road
on Beverly Blyd
on Rosemead Blvd
on Lakewod Blyd
E on Candlewood Avenue
S on Hazelbrook Road to
May Co stop

Horthbound from Lakewood Shopping Center
\(S\) on Hazelbrook Road
\(N\) on Silva Road
N on Lakewood Blyd
H on Rosemead Blvd
\(E\) on Beverly Blyd
S on Layman Avenue
\(W\) on Arma Street
(Selected trips)
Extended via above route to
Rosemead \& Beverly, then
E. on Beverly Blvd.
W. on Peck Road
N. on Durfee Avenue
W. on Elliott Avemue

N on Peck Road
\(W\) on Valley Blyd
W. on Ramona Blvd
N. on Santa Anita Avenue
W. on Busway - On Busway Lane into E1 station drive clockwise


\section*{SUMMARY}

\section*{LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS}
```

FROM}\mathrm{ Whittwood Shopping Center

| FROW | Whittwood Shopping Center |
| :--- | :--- |
| T0 | Bellflower |
| Vilin | Florence Aveme \& Bellflower Boulevard |

```

AREAS SERVED


SERUCE frevuency and reouremenis
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Base \\
Level
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel. \\
Level A
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level 8
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Avg 1 way route miles & 13.4 & 134 & 134 \\
\hline Miles u/in atudy area & 134 & 134 & 134 \\
\hline \% of miles in study area & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
\hline Hours of operation & 6a-7p & 64-110. & 63.110 \\
\hline Peak hour headway & 100 & 30 & . 30 \\
\hline Base hour headway & 100 & 30-60 & (nıqht) \\
\hline Total dally 1 way trips & 26 & 60 & 60 \\
\hline Avg 1 vay runaing time & . 45 & 45 & 45 \\
\hline Avg operating apeed & 18.1 & 181 & 181 \\
\hline Daily operating ailes & 34840 & 804,0 & 804.0 \\
\hline Daily operating hours & 2510 & 57.99 & 57.99 \\
\hline Total daily layover time & 588 & 13.57 & 13.57 \\
\hline \% of op hra in layover & 23.4 & 23.4 & 234 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, an peak & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, po peak & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, base & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{}

West - West on Harvard Avenue between Bellflower and Orchard
East - West on Shopping Center Orive at the back of the Broadway store

\section*{ROUTE NO C-10}

\section*{TRUNSER POINTS}


\section*{MLEACE SECMENTS}


\section*{Rodinnc}

\section*{Eastbound}

W on Harvard Avenue
N on Orchard Avenue
K
E on Orchard Avenue
on Alondra Blvd
\(E\) on Alondra Blvd
N on Bellf lower Blyd
N
N
on
on Lakewood Blivd
N on Lakewood Blvd
\(E\) on Fiorence Avenue
E on Forence Avenu
E. on Telegraph Road

H on Mills Avenue
E. On Lambert Road
\(A\) on Scott Street
E . on Cullen Street
N on Whittwood Road
E on Whittwood Parkway
S. the W on Shopping Center
Drive to back of Broadway

Hestbound
W on Shopping Center Drive
S on Whittwood Road
\(W\) on Cunlen Street
W on Cullen Street
S on Scott Street
S on Scott Street
W on Lambert Road
\(S\) on Mills Avenue
\(W\) on Telegraph Road
5 on Norwalk Blvd
\(W\) on Florence Avemue
5 on Lakewood Blyd.
H. on Harvard Avenue


\section*{LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
FROM & Whittier \\
\hline TO & Los Cerritos Center \\
\hline VIA & Studebaker Road \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

AREAS SERYED

Whittier, Santa Fe Springs, Downey, Norwalk Cerritos, Downtown Whittier, Los Cerrítos
Center, Cerritos College, Presbyterian Hospital, Norwalk General Hospital, five high schools

\section*{SERUICE FREQUENCY AMD REQUREMENTS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Base Level & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level A
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel. \\
Level B
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Avg 1 way route miles & 136 & 136 & 136 \\
\hline Miles w/in study area & 136 & 136 & 13,6 \\
\hline \% of miles in study area & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
\hline Hours of operation & 6a-7a & 6a-11p & 6a-11p \\
\hline Peak hour headway & 30 & 15 & 15 \\
\hline Base hour headway & 100 & 30-60 & (nıght) \\
\hline Total daily 1 way trips & 32 & 72 & 72 \\
\hline Avg 1 way running time & -48 & 48 & 48 \\
\hline Avg operating speed & 16.9 & 16.9 & 169 \\
\hline Daily operating miles & 43741 & 98141 & 98141 \\
\hline Daily operating hours & 33.33 & 7472 & 7472 \\
\hline Total daily layover time & 7.42 & 1665 & 16.65 \\
\hline \(\chi^{2}\) of op hrs in 1ayover & 223 & 222 & 22.2 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, am peak & 4 & 8 & 8 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, pm peak & 4 & 8 & 8 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, base & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{BECOMAFMPSD LAYOMER POIITS}

South - South on Los Cerritos Shopping Center Drive in front of Ohrbachs.
North - West on Hadley Street around the corner from Greenteaf Avenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline To Line Number & Lecation. \\
\hline C-1 & Florence \& Santa Ana Freeway \\
\hline C-2 & Studebaker \& Artesia \\
\hline C-4 & Los Cerritos Center or Greenleaf \& Phitadelphia or Hadley \& Pickering \\
\hline C-6 & Pickering \& Whittier \\
\hline C-8 & Washington \& Norwalk or Pickering \& Mar Vista \\
\hline C-10 & Florence \& Orr \& Day or Studebaker \\
\hline C-12 & Studebaker \& Imperial \\
\hline C-13 & Norwalk \& Los Nietos or along Greenleaf \\
\hline C-14 & Studebaker \& Rosecrans \\
\hline C-15 & Studebaker \& Firestone \\
\hline C-16 & Studebaker \& Alondra \\
\hline & (and Long Beach and Norwalk (ines) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Time Point & M1les & Running Time & Speed \\
\hline Greenleaf at Hadley & & & \\
\hline Whittier at Washinaton & 1,27 & 4 & 20 \\
\hline Broadway at Washington & 1.58 & 4 & 22 \\
\hline Florence at
Santa_Ana_Freatiay & 4.00 & 11. & 22. \\
\hline Studebaker
at Firestone. & 1.52 & 6 & 16 \\
\hline Studebaker at Rosecrans & 1.39 & 5. & 16 \\
\hline Studebaker at Alondra. & 1.00 & 3 & 18 \\
\hline Studebaker at Artesia & 100 & 3 & 18 \\
\hline Los Cerritos Center & I.8? & 9 & 12 \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Northbound from Ohrbachs in Los Cerritos
\(s\) on Shopping Center Drive \(N\) on Gridley Road
\(W\) on 183rd Street
N on Studebaker Road
E on Florence Avenue
\(N\) on Orr \& Day Road
\(N\) on Pioneer Bivd
E on Los Nietos Road
N on Norwalk Blva
E on Washington Blva
N on Pickering Avenu
E on Wardman Street
\(E\) on Wardman Street
\(W\) on Hadley Street.

Southbound
W on Hadley Street \(S\) on Pickering Avente
W on Washington Blvd
\(S\) on Norwalk Blvd
\(W\) on Los Nietos Road
\(W\) on Los Nietos Road
5 on Proneer Blyd
on Orr \& Day Road
S on Orr \& Day Road
W. on Florence Avenue
W. on Florence Averuse
\(\$\) on Studebaker Road

E on 183rd Street
\(S\) on Grydley Road
\(W\) on 1st Shopping center entrance.
\(\$\) on Shopping Center Drive to Ohrbachs


\section*{LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS}
FBOM
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
E] Segundo \\
TO & La Habra Fashion Square \\
\hline VIR & Imperial Highway \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{ABras servico}

El Segundo, Los Angeles, Inglewood, Lymwood, South Gate, Downey, Norwalk, Santa Fe Springs la Mrada, La Mabra, Rancho Los Amigos Hospital, outh Western Jr College, La Habra Fashion Square Downey County Hospital, North American Rockwe

SERUCE SRENUENCY AMO REOUREMEITS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Base Level & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level A
\end{tabular} & Devel Leve1 B \\
\hline Avg 1 way route miles & 257 & 257 & 257 \\
\hline Miles w/in study area & 10.6 & 106 & 10,6 \\
\hline \% of miles in study area & 413 & 41.3 & 41.3 \\
\hline Hours of operation & 5a-70 & 5a-11p & \(54=110\) \\
\hline Peak hour headway & 30 & 15 & 15 \\
\hline Base hour headway & 1.00 & -30-.60 & (night) \\
\hline Total dally 1 way trips & 32 & 72 & 72 \\
\hline Avg 1 way running time & 118 & 1.18 & 1:18 \\
\hline Avg. operating speed & 192 & 192 & 192 \\
\hline Dafly operating miles & 820.80 & 18488 & 1848.8 \\
\hline Daily operating hours & 4747 & 106.79 & 10679 \\
\hline Total daily layover time & 4.67 & 1050 & 1050 \\
\hline \% of op hrs in layover & 8.6 & 9.8 & 98 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, am peak & 6 & 11 & 11 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, pm peak & 4 & 9 & 9 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, base & 3 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{RECOMMEHESD LATOUEP POIITS}

East - R. on Shopping Center Drive along side of Bullocks

West - \(S\) on fain Street at Oak Avenue or at Mariposa Avenue

\section*{transfer points}

To Line
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline C-1 & Imperia] \& Pioneer or Norwalk \\
\hline C-3 & Imperial \& 0ld River School or Garfleld \\
\hline C-4 & Imperial \& Carmenita \\
\hline C-5 & Imperial \& Paramount \\
\hline C-7 & Imperial \& Downey \\
\hline C-8 & Imperial \& La Mirada \\
\hline C-9 & Imperial \& Lakewood \\
\hline C-10 & Imperial \& Bellflower \\
\hline C-1] & Imperial \& Studebaker \\
\hline C-33 & Imperial \& Norwalk \\
\hline C-15 & Imperial \& Firestone \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{millige secheins}

\(S\) on Main Street
\(E\) on Grand Avenue
N on Sepulveda Blvd
E on Imperial Highway
N on first entrance into La Habra Fashion Square to side of Bullocks

Westbound from sode of Bullocks in La Habra Fashion Square circle main drive clockwise

W on Imperial Highway
on Main Street


\section*{SUMMARY} LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS
porsime



\section*{LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
FROM & Manhattan Beach \\
\hline 10 & Orange County Line \\
\hline VIA & Rosecrans Avenue \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{ABEAS SERYED} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Manhattan Beach, Hawthorne, Lawndale, Gardena, Compton, Paramount, Bellfiower, Norwalk, Santa Fe Springs, ia Mirada, La Mirada Shopping Center, Norwalk Square, Kaiser Foundation Hospital, two high schools} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Base \\
Level
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel. \\
Level A
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Deve1. \\
Level B
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Avg 1 way route miles & 263 & 26,3 & 263 \\
\hline Miles w/in study area & 110 & 11.0 & 110 \\
\hline \% of miles in study area & 418 & 41.8 & 41.8 \\
\hline Hours of operation & \(6 \mathrm{a}-10 \mathrm{p}\) & 6a-10p & 6a-10p \\
\hline Peak hour headway & 30 & \(\cdot 15\) & -15 \\
\hline Base hour headway & 100 & \(30-60\) & (nıght) \\
\hline Total daily 1 way trips & 38 & 70 & 70 \\
\hline Avg 1 wav running time & 1.24 & 124 & 124 \\
\hline Avg operating speed & 159 & 159 & 15,9 \\
\hline Daily operating miles & 1007.00 & 18486 & 1848.6 \\
\hline Daily operating hours & 6369 & 132.66 & 13266 \\
\hline Total dally layover tine & 927 & 1650 & 1650 \\
\hline \({ }_{2}\) of op. hrs. in layover & 146 & 124 & 124 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, am peak & 5 & 11 & 11 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, pripeak & 6 & 12 & 12 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, base & 4 & 8 & 8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{RECOMENOFP LATOVER POATIS}

West - East on 15th Street at Police Station
East - on vacant shopping center parking lot between drives to Rosecrans Avenue on northeast corner of Rosecrans \& Beach.
TRAMSFER POIMTS
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline & \\
Number & \multicolumn{1}{l|}{ Location } \\
C-1 & Rosecrans \& Santa Ana or Carmenita \\
C-3 & Rosecrans \& Garfield \\
C-5 & Rosecrans \& Paramount \\
C-7 & Rosecrans \& Downey \\
C-8 & Rosecrans \& La Mirada \\
C-9 & Rosecrans \& Lakewood \\
C-10 & Rosecrans \& Bellflower \\
C-11 & Rosecrans \& Studebaker \\
C-15 & Rosecrans \& Norwalk \\
C-16 & Rosecrans \& La Mirada \\
C-4 & Rosecrans \& Carmenita \\
& (also Long Beach) \\
C-13 & Rosecrans \& Ploneer \\
& \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{HILEAEE SERMEMTS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Time Point & Miles & Rumnin Time & Speed \\
\hline Highland and Manhattan Beach & & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rosecrans } \\
& \text { at Senslueda }
\end{aligned}
\] & 2.51 & 6 & 25 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rosecrans } \\
& \text { at Hawthorne }
\end{aligned}
\] & 2,44 & 9 & 16 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rosecrans } \\
& \text { at-Figueroa }
\end{aligned}
\] & 4.50 & 13 & 19 \\
\hline Rosecrans
at long Beach & 4.35 & 15 & 17 \\
\hline Rosecrans
at Parsmount. & 285 & 9 & 19 \\
\hline Rosecrans
at Lakerood & . 97 & 2 & 23 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rosecrans } \\
& \text { at Studebaker }
\end{aligned}
\] & 248 & 7 & 23 \\
\hline Rosecrans at
Santa Ana Frepuay & 221 & 5 & 23 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rosecrans } \\
& \text { at Mirada }
\end{aligned}
\] & 300 & 8 & 23 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { kosecrans } \\
& \text { at Beach }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1.52 & 4 & 23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} parking lot
\(S\) on parking lot drive
W. on Rosecrans Avenue
\(S\) on Highland Avenue
\(E\) on 15th Street to Police Station

Eastbound
E on 15th Street
\(\$\) on Valley orive
\(W\) on Marhattan Beach Blvd
N on Highland Avenue
\(E\) on Rosecrans Avenue
on drive into vacant
shopping center parking lo


\section*{SUMMARY \\ LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS}

ROUTE NO C-15

\section*{RONTHE}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
FROM & Playa Del Rey \\
\hline TO & Hawalian Gardens \\
\hline ViA & Firestone \& Norwalk Boulevards \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

AREAS scryte

> \$laya Del Rey, Los Angeles, Inglewood, South Gate, Downey, Horwalk, Cerritos, Artesia, Lakewood, Hawaifan Gardens,
> Stonewood Shopping Center, three high
schools, Ford Plant
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \#ase Level & Devel Level A & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level B
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Avg 1 way route miles & 290 & 290 & 29.0 \\
\hline M1les w/in study area & 108 & 108 & 108 \\
\hline 7 of miles in study area & 151 & 22 g & 22.9 \\
\hline Hours of operation & 5a-1a & 5a-1a & 5a-1a \\
\hline Peak hour headway & 15-30 & 15-30 & 15-:30 \\
\hline Base hour headway & 15-1 00 & :15-30 & 15-30 \\
\hline Total dally 11 way trips & 126(36) & 126(60) & 126(60) \\
\hline Avg 1 way ruaning time & 1:02-1.45 & 02-1 45 & 02-1 45 \\
\hline Avg operating speed & 15.4 & 16.0 & 16,0 \\
\hline Daily operating miles & 2566.10 & 2826.1 & 2826.1 \\
\hline Daily operating hours & 201.90 & 211.75 & 211.75 \\
\hline Total daily layover time & 34.85 & 35.12 & 35.12 \\
\hline \(Z\) of op hrs. in layover & 17.3 & 16.6 & 16.6 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, am peak & 15 & 18 & 18 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, prip peak & 15 & 18 & 18 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, base & 14 & 18 & 18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{}

East - East on Tilbury Street at Hawaifan Gandens City Hall.
Hest - Horth on Pershing Drive in advance of Manchester Avenue.

\section*{Transfer poinis}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline To Line Number & Location \\
\hline C-2 & Norwalk \& Artesiz \\
\hline C-3 & Firestone 01d River School Road \\
\hline C-5 & Firestone s Paramount \\
\hline C-7 & Firestone \& Downey \\
\hline C-9 & Firestone \& Lakewood \\
\hline C-10 & Firestone \& Lakewood \\
\hline C-11 & Firestone \% Studebaker \\
\hline C-12 & Firestore \& Imperial \\
\hline C-13 & Firestone \(\&\) San Antonio or Norwalk \& Carson \\
\hline C-14 & Narwalk \& Rosecrans \\
\hline C-16 & Morwalk \& Alondra \\
\hline C-4 & Norwalk \& South \\
\hline & (also OCTD, Long Beach and Norwalk Lines) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

MLEMER SCGMEATS


\section*{Eastoound}
N. on Pershing Drive

E on Manchester Avenue on Lincoln 81vd on B3rd Street
on Emerson Avenue
on 88th Street
N on Sepulveda Blyd
\(E\) on Manchester Avenue
E on Firestone Blyd
S on San Antonio Drive
E. on Foster Road

S on Norwalk Blvd
W on Carson Street
N on Horst Avenue
on Tilbury Street to
Hawailan Gardesn City Hal
* Additional trips will operate from Westchester \& Lyn ood via the present. No 5 ine route to these areas

Westbound from Hawaitan
Gardens City Hall
E on Titbury Street
N. on Norwalk Blvd.
\(N\) on San Antonio Drive \(N\) on Firestone Blud \(W\) on Manchester Avenue on Sepulveda Btv on Emerson Averut N. on Emerson Aven \(S\) on Lincoln Blvd. \(W\) on Manchester Avenue on Juscany Avenue on Manttoba Street on Pershing Drive to layover


\section*{SUMMARY}

\section*{LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS}
\(\qquad\)

\section*{RREAS SERMID}

Paramount, Bellflower, Cerritos, Norwalk, Santa Fe Springs, La Mrada, La Mrrada Shopping Center, Los Cerritos College, Alondra Community Hospital and three high schools
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
Base \\
Level
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel. \\
Level A
\end{tabular} & Devel Level B \\
\hline Avg 1 way route miles & 11.0 & 110 & 110 \\
\hline Miles w/in study area & 11.0 & 110 & 11.0 \\
\hline I of miles in study ares & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
\hline Hours of operstion & \(6 a-70\) & 6, -70 & 68.70 \\
\hline Peak hour headway & \(1 \infty\) & 30 & :30 \\
\hline Base hour headway & 100 & 30 & 30 \\
\hline Total daily I way tripa & 25 & 52 & 52 \\
\hline Avg 1 vay running time & 34 & :34 & \(\cdot 34\) \\
\hline Avg operatiug speed & 187 & 187 & 187 \\
\hline Daily operating miles & 2860 & 572,0 & 572.0 \\
\hline Dally operating hours & -24.78 & 48.94 & 48,94 \\
\hline Total daily layover time & +950 & 1835 & 1835 \\
\hline I of op. hrs. in layover & -38.3 & 37,5 & 37.5 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, an pealk & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline Vehicleo needed, pa peak & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline Vehiclas needed, base & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{}

West - Morth on Atlantic Place in advance of Alondra.
East - North on La Mirada Shopping Center drive along side of Thrifty Store.

mLeme secmints

Eastbound
N on Atlantic Place
E An Alondra Blvd
M on Escalona Road
E On Excelsior Drive into
La Mirada Shopping Center
on Shopping Center Drive
to Thrifty Store
\(N\) on Shopping Center Drive
\(\$\) on Rosecrans Avenue
on La Mirada Blvd
on Excelsior Drive
5. on Escalona Road
W. on Alondra Blvd
\({ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}}\) on Aunsaker Avenue advance of Alondra Blyd


\section*{SUMMARY}

ROUTE NO 55 (REVISED)

\section*{LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
From & Lons Beach (Balboa) \\
TO & Downtow Los Angeles \\
VIA & Lakewood Bivd \& Santa Ana Freeway \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{AbFSS SEREP}

> Newport Beach, Balboa, Sunset Beach, Seal Beach, Long Beach, Lakewood, Paramount, Bell, lower, Downey, Los Angeles, Lakewood Center, Stonewood Shopping Center, Los Angeles Central Business District
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Base Level & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level A
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level 8
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Avg 1 way route miles & 4347 & 4347 & 4347 \\
\hline Miles w/in study area & 11.22 & 1122 & 1122 \\
\hline \(z\) of wiles in study area & 258 & 258 & 258 \\
\hline Hours of operation & 5a-10p & 5a-10p & 59, 100 \\
\hline Peak hour headway & 1.00 & 1.00 & 100 \\
\hline Base hour headway & 200 & 200 & 200 \\
\hline Total daily 1 way trips & 25 & 26 & 26 \\
\hline Avg 1 way running time & 1 45* & 1.45* & 1 45* \\
\hline Avg operatiag speed & 24.8* & 24.8* & 24.8* \\
\hline Dally operating miles & 95543 & 955.43 & 955.43 \\
\hline Dally operating hoerrs & 601.07 & 60.107 & 60.07 \\
\hline Total dally layover time & 5.02 & 502 & 502 \\
\hline \% of op hrs in layover & 8.4 & 84 & 84 \\
\hline Vehicles aseded, all peatic & 4 & 4 & 4. \\
\hline Vehicles needed, pra peak & 6 & 6 & 6 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, base & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


South - Palm
North - RTD Station
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline To Line Shember & Location \\
\hline C-1 & Lakewood \& Santa Ana Freeway \\
\hline C-2 & Lakewood A Artesia \\
\hline C-5 & Lakewood \& Del Amo \\
\hline C-6 & Whittier \& Boyle \\
\hline C-10 & Lakewood \& Florence \\
\hline C-12 & Lakewood \& Imperial \\
\hline C-14 & Lakewood \& Rosecrans \\
\hline C-15 & Lakewood 8 Firestone \\
\hline C-16 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Lakewood \& Alondra \\
(Plus several Long Beach Lines)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

MLEACE SECMENTS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Tine Point & Miles & Ti & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Palm Parking Lot}} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline Ocean at Main & 721 & 18 & 24 \\
\hline Pracffic Coast Hwy at Broadway & 5.82 & 9 & 39 \\
\hline Main titelectric & 286 & 6 & 29 \\
\hline Seventh at Ximeno & 429 & 15 & 17 \\
\hline Lakewood at Carson & 405 & 11 & 22 \\
\hline Lakewood at Compton & 436 & 11 & 24 \\
\hline Lakewood at Firestone & 298 & 10 & 18 \\
\hline Lakemourat
Santa Ana Freeway & 170 & 5 & 18 \\
\hline \(\qquad\) at Paramount & 133 & 3 & 31. \\
\hline RTD Station & 887 & 17 & 31 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{noutiluc}

Southbound. from RTD Station
N on Maple Avenue
on 6th Street
on whitier liva
on Boyle Avens
on Santa Ana Freeway
on Lakewood Blvd
on Los Alamitos Traffic Circle
on Pacif1c Coast Highway
on Ximeno Avenue
on second Street
on Electrif Avenue
on Main Street
on Pacific Coast Highway
on Ocean Avenue
on Pacific Coast Highway
on Balboa Blvd and into layover at parking lot
Northbound from Newpart Beach Parking Lot
\(E\) on Main Street
\(N\) on Balboa Blyd
N. on Pacific Coast Highway
\(N\) on Ocean Avenue
\(N\) on Pacific Coast Highway
\(W\) on Main Street
on Electric Avenue
on Marina Drive
\(\alpha\) on Second Street.
N. on Ximeno Avenue

W on Pacific Coast Highway \& N on Los Alamitos Traffic Circte
N. \& H W on Santa Ana Freeway
\({ }_{N}^{N .}\) on on Santa Ana freeway
N on Soto street.
\(W\) on Whittier Blvo
\(W\) on 6th Street
\(S\) on Central Avenue
\(W\) on 7th Street
\(N\) on the


\section*{LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
FiOM & Huntington Park \\
\hline IO & Bell Gardens \\
\hline SIA & Slauson Avenue \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

* varies slightly with time of day of trip
reconmenerd latyener polimis

East - West on Loveland Street at Garfield Avenue
West - South on Rugby Avenue between Slauson and Belgrave

\section*{TRAMSFER POMTSS}

\section*{Number}

Location


\section*{MLEAGE SEGMENTS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Time Point & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Mites Running} & Speed \\
\hline Slauson at Pacific & & & \\
\hline Stauson & & & \\
\hline at Maywood & 1.29 & 5 & 15 \\
\hline Staison & & & \\
\hline at Helotripe & 1.44 & 5 & 17 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Stauson \\
at Eastern
\end{tabular} & 1.01 & 5 & 12 \\
\hline Eastern & & & \\
\hline at Garfield & 2.19 & 4 & 33 \\
\hline Gaminer at Gage & 1.50 & 5 & 18 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Loverand } \\
& \text { at Garfield }
\end{aligned}
\] & 0.80 & 3 & 14 \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Eastbound}
\(S\) on Rugby Avenue
on Belgrave Avenue
on Pacific bivd.
on Slauson Avenue
\& \(N\) on Garfield Avenue
on Foster Bridge Blyd
on Foster Bridge Bly
S. on Suva Street.
on Loveland Street to layover
Wes tbound
W. on Loveland Street
\(S\) \& W on Garfield Avenue
N on Eastern Avenue
\(W\) on S1auson Avenue
\(S\) on Rugby Avenue to
layover



The changes proposed for the transit systems serving the Mid-Cities area affect three groups: the operators of the transit services, the current patrons of bus services, and the community, which includes both the potential users of transit and those who would be served by transit services. The standards to be used in evaluating the effect of the proposed changes on each of these groups are straightforward and will be defined below.

There are usually several alternatives by which the performance of a transit system can be measured and compared to the standards. The choice of a measure is usually dictated by the availability of data, which in turn is constrained by time and study cost considerations.

In a study of this type, where the thrust is to find better ways of serving an area within available transit resources or with practical increases in such resources, the evaluation of proposed transit system changes must demonstrate that:
1. As a minimum, the proposed changes do not appreciably impair the mobility of those who are current transit users. Hopefully, the system changes increase the level of service that is currently offered to them.
2. The changes offer mobility advantages to the community that are not now available.
3. The changes are not disproportionately more costly to RTD than simjlar service that it offers in other parts of its service area. Hopefully, increases in productivity and efficiency will accompany the service changes.

The evaluation should progress in the order shown by the three stipulations. If, for example, the proposed system is so changed that large segments of the current transit patronage either lose access to it or their travel time is significantiy increased, the changes will not be acceptable no matter what else it does for the community or the operator. In this illustration, the community benefits would have to be overwhelming to compensate for the negative impact on ridership. Conversely, if the service available to current patronage is unaffected, then benefits to the community would become meaningful.

\section*{EFFECT ON CURRENT TRANSIT PATRONAGE}

The principal standards for evaluating the proposed transit services are accessibility, and trip time. Accessibility is measured in terms of:
1. Distance to the bus stop.
2. Time between buses (one hour was considered as a policy limit for the Mid-Cities area).
3. The increase in the number of destinations reachable by transit within 60 minutes. This time limit, though arbitrary, is based on the travel times that people living in suburban areas of similar socioeconomic composition tolerate.

Acceptable trip times depend umon trip purpose and level of expectation for neither of which sufficient dati exists. For purposes of this evaluation, it was assumed that trip times that increased less than 15 per cent did not adversely affect current patronage; conversely, reductions in trip time of less than 25 per cent were insignificant. Experlence with interviews of transit passengers and automobile users, and the elasticity of transit patronaqe to variability in bus arrival times and trip times, shows that both limits are conservative.

Load factor is important to the passengers because it is a measure of crowding and seat avallability. Both with the proposed new transit system and with the existing routes, the load factor is now, and would remain well below 1.0 thereby assuring a seat for every passenger.

Access of current transit users to the proposed transit lines is shown by Figure 4-2, which shows the proposed transit system operating within the Mid-Cities area. Only about 125 of those passengers that currently use transit for work oriented trips, specifically on segments of RTD Lines 38 and 117, would not have access to public transit. This number is approximately 1.5 per cent of the total daily transit commuters in the study area, according to the 1970 census.

Current passenqers would find dramatic reductions in headways between the proposed and current systems. Table 5-1 shows that even at Base Level service the proposed system operates at headways of 60 minutes or less. Of the RTD lines that would serve the area, 65 per cent have peak hour headways of 30 minutes or less. Comparing this with the headways of the current system, shown in Table 2-3, only 25 per cent have peak hour headways of 30 minutes or less. Improvements in base hour headways are even more impressive. None of the proposed RTD lines have base headvays of more than 60 minutes. By contrast, in the present RTD system, more than 31 per cent of the lines have base hour headways above 60 minutes. Only two lines of the current RTD system have base level headways under 30 minutes, compared to five lines of the proposed RTD system.

Comparinq Figures 5-1 and 2-10, shows that the number of cities that a passenger in a given area can reach without transfering has at least doubled for more than 80 per cent of the Mid-Cities passengers.

Trip time for the current and proposed systems was measured by the time required to travel between the City Halls of each of the 12 cities of the Mid-Cities study area. Although the destinations are in themselves meaningless since few people travel between City Halls, they are as useful as any other origins and destinations to make relative comparisons of overall travel mobility between the proposed and current transit systems.

Trip time includes the time it takes to wait for the bus, travel time on the first and any subseauent buses, and transfer time that might be involved based on the headway of the second and any subsequent buses. Walking times to and from the transit line were not considered.

Table 5-2 shows the average transit travel time between any two cities in the study area, using the public transoortation system now available. Table 5-3 shows the same information using the transit system recommended in
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Table 5-1
HEADWAY AND SERVICE HOURS OF PROPOSED SYSTEM
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
PROPOSED ROUTES \\
M.C.A. - BASE LEVEL
\end{tabular}}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{SERVICE HOURS} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{HEADWAYS IN MINUTES} \\
\hline & & & & Pea & Base & Pea & vening \\
\hline & 1 & Santa Ana Freeway & 5A-2A & 15 & 30 & 15 & 60 \\
\hline & 2 & Artesia Boulevard & 5A-7P & 60 & 60 & 60 & - \\
\hline & 3 & Slauson-Garfield & 5A- 1A & 30 & 60 & 30 & 60 \\
\hline & 4 & Cerritos-Whittwood-Beverly & 6A-7P & 60 & 60 & 60 & - \\
\hline & 5 & Passons-Paramount & 6A-10P & 30 & 60 & 30 & 60 \\
\hline & 6 & Whittier Boulevard & 5A-2A & 10 & 20 & 10 & 40 \\
\hline & 7 & Gage-Downey & 5A- 1A & 60 & 60 & 60 & 60 \\
\hline C & 8 & 0iympic-Washington & 6A-8P & 60 & 60 & 60 & - \\
\hline & 9 & Lakewood-Rosemead & 6A- 1A & 15 & 60 & 15 & 60 \\
\hline C 1 & 10 & Whittwood-Bellflower & 6A-7P & 60 & 60 & 60 & \(\sim\) \\
\hline C 1 & 11 & Studebaker & 6A-7P & 30 & 60 & 30 & - \\
\hline C 1 & 12 & Imperial Highway & 5A-7P & 30 & 60 & 30 & - \\
\hline C 1 & 13 & Pioneer Boulevard & 6A-6P & 30 & 60 & 30 & - \\
\hline C 1 & 14 & Rosecrans Avenue & 6A-10P & 30 & 60 & 30 & 60 \\
\hline C 1 & 15 & Firestone-Norwalk & 5A- 1A & 30 & 60 & 30 & 60 \\
\hline C 1 & 16 & Alondra Boulevard & 6A-7P & 60 & 60 & 60 & - \\
\hline & D 3 & 34 (Revised) & '5A- IA & 40 & 60 & 22 & 140 \\
\hline & D 5 & 55 (Revised) & 5A-11P & 75 & 130 & 30 & 65 \\
\hline & D 7 & 77 (Revised) & 5A- 1A & 15 & 30 & 15 & 60 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE 5－2
TRAVEL TIME－PRESENT SYSTEM \({ }^{\text {／／}}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline &  &  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 菏 } \\
& \text { 窘 }
\end{aligned}
\] &  &  &  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 彩 } \\
& \stackrel{4}{\mathbf{u}}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { O} \\
& \text { 仓్己 } \\
& \text { 岂 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \％
总
芯 &  &  \\
\hline Pico rivera & & 45 & 85 & 105 & 151 & 92 & 132 & 185 & 161 & 202 & 176 & 188 & 1522 \\
\hline WHITTIER & 45 & & 82 & 93 & 139 & 119 & 87 & 143 & 158 & 269 & 173 & 185 & 1493 \\
\hline SANTA FE SPRINGS & 85 & 82 & & 71 & 117 & 37 & 114 & 87 & 76 & 161 & 91 & 103 & 1024 \\
\hline DOWNEY & 105 & 93 & 71 & & 76 & 41 & 118 & 80 & 80 & 59 & 95 & 107 & 925 \\
\hline Paramount & 151 & 139 & 117 & 76 & & 86 & 133 & 36 & 86 & 80 & 101 & 113 & 1118 \\
\hline norwalk & 92 & 119 & 37 & 41 & 86 & & 77 & 50 & 39 & 111 & 54 & 66 & 772 \\
\hline la mirada & 132 & 87 & 114 & 118 & 137 & 77 & & 97 & 52 & 158 & 97 & 109 & 1174 \\
\hline BELLFLOWER & 185 & 143 & 87 & 80 & 36 & 50 & 97 & & 80 & 74 & 95 & 107 & 1034 \\
\hline ARTESIA & 161 & 158 & 76 & 80 & 86 & 39 & 52 & 80 & & 85 & 45 & 57 & 919 \\
\hline LAKEwOOD & 202 & 269 & 161 & 59 & 80 & 111 & 158 & 74 & 85 & & 100 & 112 & 1411 \\
\hline CERRITOS & 176 & 173 & 91 & 95 & 101 & 54 & 97 & 95 & 45 & 100 & & 42 & 1069 \\
\hline hAWAIIAN GARDENS & 188 & 185 & 107 & 107 & 113 & 66 & 109 & 107 & 57 & 112 & 42 & & 1189 \\
\hline TOTAL & & & & & & & & & & & & & 13，600 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1／Source：RTD schedules dated
1 Times refer to base hour service．
\(\dagger\) Points are from City Halls of each city．
All times listed are in minutes of bus running time plus average waiting time and transfer time，if applicable．

TABLE 5－3
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{13}{|c|}{TRAVEL TIME－PROPOSED SYSTEM \({ }^{1 /}\)} & \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 㐍 } \\
& \text { 2 } \\
& \text { 人⿱丷丅心} \\
& \text { B} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 出 } \\
& \stackrel{y}{5} \\
& \stackrel{y}{3}
\end{aligned}
\] &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 宸 } \\
& \text { }
\end{aligned}
\] &  &  &  &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 䧺 } \\
& \stackrel{y y y}{*}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 을 } \\
& \text { 蓇 } \\
& \text { 号 }
\end{aligned}
\] &  &  &  &  \\
\hline PICO RIVERA & & 45 & 77 & 77 & 58 & 70 & 67 & 99 & 104 & 72 & 96 & 104 & 869 & 43 \\
\hline WHItTIER & 45 & & 47 & 75 & 103 & 34 & 52 & 100 & 58 & 113 & 64 & 74 & 765 & 49 \\
\hline SANTA FE SPRINGS & 77 & 47 & & 73 & 86 & 53 & 89 & 58 & 77 & 100 & 83 & 93 & 836 & 18 \\
\hline DOWNEY & 77 & 75 & 73 & & 75 & 46 & 140 & 74 & 81 & 88 & 87 & 60 & 876 & 5 \\
\hline Paramount & 58 & 103 & 86 & 75 & & 81 & 123 & 69 & 74 & 41 & 80 & 90 & 880 & 21 \\
\hline NORWALK & 70 & 34 & 53 & 46 & 81 & & 73 & 78 & 39 & 90 & 45 & 48 & 657 & 15 \\
\hline LA Mirada & 67 & 52 & 89 & 140 & 123 & 73 & & 102 & 107 & 138 & 113 & 123 & 1127 & 4 \\
\hline BELLFLOWER & 99 & 100 & 58 & 74 & 69 & 78 & 102 & & 48 & 18 & 68 & 40 & 754 & 27 \\
\hline ARTESIA & 104 & 58 & 77 & 81 & 74 & 39 & 107 & 48 & & 57 & 36 & 46 & 727 & 21 \\
\hline LAKEWOOD & 72 & 113 & 100 & 88 & 41 & 90 & 138 & 18 & 57 & & 56 & 51 & 824 & 42 \\
\hline CERRITOS & 96 & 64 & 83 & 87 & 80 & 45 & 113 & 68 & 35 & 56 & & 40 & 768 & 28 \\
\hline HAWAIIAN GARDENS & 104 & 74 & 93 & 60 & 90 & 48 & 123 & 40 & 46 & 51 & 40 & & 769 & 35 \\
\hline total & & & & & & & & & & & & & \(\overline{9852}\) & 28 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
on 1／Trip times refer to base hour service．
on Points are from City Halls of each city．
All times listed are in minutes of bus running time plus average waiting time and transfer time，if applicable．
}
this study, operatina at the Base Livel Service. On the average, the reduction in travel time between city halls with the proposed system is approximately 28 per cent. An improvement in travel time of this magnitude is significant, expecially considering that no appreciable increase in operating equipment or expenditure would be incurred to attain it.

In 12 of the 66 origin-destination pairs, the trip time on the proposed system operating at the Base Level of service is higher than that of the current system. This is due primarily to the natural redistribution of service areas from one line routing of PTD service to another caused the complete overhaul of the system. In most cases, the number of dally passengers effected by the poorer service is small (less than 2 per cent); in the few instances where it does matter, Developmental Level A should be used. Thus, proposed Lines \(C-2, C-4, C-9, C-11\), and \(C-16\) should have headways of greater frequency than that which is recommended under the Base Level.

An important benefit to users of transit in the area would be the streamlining of the interconnections between PTD and LBPTC lines and between PTD and OCTD lines. Figure 4-2, shows the existing discontinuities between the existing services and Fiqure 4-3 shows how these can be corrected The effect of these improvements in these interconnections would:
- improve service to Cerritos College from both the north and south. Access would require erther no transfer or, at most, one transfer between RTD and LBPTC lines.
- enable access to major shopping centers within the Mid-Cities area for Long Beach residents, and similar access to Lonq Beach activity centers with no more than one transfer.
- allow interfacing of the new PTD routes with OCTD service in Hawartan Gardens and along Beach Boulevard. This would facilitate access for Mid-Cities residents to areas within Orange County.
- Facilitate rapid and convenient service to the Los Anaeles CBD or to Orange County via the proposed \(\mathbb{C}-1\) route. The improved efficiency of this operation would be made possible by the unique operation of Route \(\mathrm{C}-1\) and the connecting lines which would tailor their schedules around the estimated transfer connection times to and from the \(\mathrm{C}-1\) express service This coordination of transfer point arrival times would minimze wart time and would provide maximum efficiency and convenience for trips destined to the Los Angeles CBD.

The proposed transit system would also improve the interconnections between RTD lines serving the Mid-Cities area and those that serve the rest of the regton. Specifically
- The reroutina of the Whittier Boulevard service as described in Route C-6 would provide a swifter and more efficient service for many more people than does the present Poute 72 . With mainline service being extended eastward as far as lihittwood Shopping Center, a great many more people could avall themselves of the services and attractions found in the Whittier Boulevard Corridor or the Los Angeles CBD without having to divert through the Downtown 'hittier area.
- Routes C-2, C-12, and C-14 would link the Mid-C,ities with the South Ray area, providing, for the firsttime, effective, direct east-west transit service to these communities.
- Routes \(C-3, C-7, C-8\), and \(C-15\) would, in effect be extensions of existing RTD routes serving south-central Los Angeles thereby directly linking the Mid-Cities transit network with dozens of other transit lines serving the Greater Los Angeles area beyond the boundaries of the study area itself.

\section*{EFFECT OF TRANSIT ON THE COMMUNITY}

It is reasonable to assume that there are individuals living in the MidCities area who would use public transportation if such were available to them and if it had performance attributes that were reasonable for them. Positively identifying the location of such individuals and their desires is well beyond the resources of this study. Instead, proxy measures can be used that indirectly measure the exposure of people to transit. Population coverage, measured as bus miles per 1000 population, is one such measure. A standard for acceptable coverage of populations in suburban areas is 50 or more bus miles per 1000 population.

On the average, the population coverage of the proposed system would increase by 58 per cent. A comparison of Figures 3-1 and 5-2 shows where these increases would occur. Although the increase would be a very significant one for the community, it would nevertheless fall below the 50 miles per 1000 population.

The commercial interests and local governments are directly affected by the number of people that patronize the major shopping centers in the MidCities area. The more transportation options that can be used to gatn access to a shopping center, the higher is the probability of increased patronaqe of these shopping centers. กne way of measuring this effect is by the number of lines that converge on a shopping center and the frequency. There is no known standard for this measure; but, with each of the six largest shopping centers in the area being served by no fewer than three transit lines and operating at least every 60 minutes, accessibility to these major activity centers would be sianificantly improved over the existing service which now often serves these centers with only one or two lines operating on infreauent headways.

A third measure of access is the number of places that can be reached without a single transfer. This measure is the same as that already discussed for the users. Fioures 2-10 and 5-1 show the increases in accessibility that would be available to the individual cities of the Mid-Cities area.

Trip time is important to those of the community that have access to transit services and are potential users of it. The effect of the improvements promised by the proposed system would be the same as that discussed in the preceding section.

\section*{EFFECT ON THE TRANSIT OPEPATORS}

The effects of the proposed transit system chanqes are best measured by changes in productivity and efficiency. One measure of productivity is the number of passengers that the proposed system would carry per bus mile. The
inverse of productivity, i.e. bus miles per passenger, is more visible because the numerator is the variable that is most directly manipulated by the redesign of the current system and is also the one that car be most accurately predicted. An estimate of passengers beyond those that are now riding the RTD system and that would be carried by the new system is highly speculative.

If a conservative assumption is made that the number of passengers served by the new system remains unchanged, the number of bus miles would increase by 58 per cent in the study area. However, the number of total bus miles, which would include those incurred outside the M1d-Cities area would increase only 10 per cent, thus reducing productivity of the proposed lines by only that amount. Assuming that the patronage would eventually increase by the 69 per cent calculated in Appendix A, overall operation productivity would not suffer greatly. It is safe to assume that after perhaps an initial drop immediately after implementation, RTD would expect to compensate for the additional bus miles with sufficient additional passengers to operate at least at current levels of productivity. It can also be anticipated that after the period mmediately following the implementation of the proposed system, PTD would expect continued qradual increases in productivity.

The amount of layover time is one measure of efficiency of routing and scheduling. Table 4-4 in the preceding chapter compares the amount of layover time of current RTD routes with that of the proposed transit system. The proposed system reduces the ratio of layover time to operating time by an average of 4.8 per cent.

For the Base Level Service, the proposed RTD transit system serving the Mid-Cities would require only a nominal incease in vehicles.

\section*{CONCLUSIONS}

The proposed RTD routes will be of benefit to most of the present patrons of RTD. These people would be able to reach more major activity centers than is possible on the existina routes. In most cases, they would be able to make the trip in less time than is now possible, even if the Basp Level of service is selected. Although the proposed system would leave a very small number of PTD customers without direct service, the rerouting is expected to bring transit services to many new users.

The proposed RTD routes would increase accessibility to shopning and other major activity centers. Shoppinq centers should attract more customers and the increased commercial activity should increase tax revenues. Most importantly, connections between the RTD and Lonq Beach Public Transportation services would be streamlined so that Mid-C, ties residents would be able to use transit to Long Beach and those from Long Beach and Lakewood will be able to travel more directly to Cerritos College and such major shoppina attractions as Lakewood Center and Los Cerritos Shoppinq Center.

At the Base Level Service, these improvements would impose upon the operator onlyan 8 per cent increase in vehicles and 30 per cent increase in operating hours. Because of this, the improvements proposed under Base Level Service could be implemented without delay and could be in operation within 90 days after adoption.

In addition to the recommended system at the Base level, it is felt that it is also within the capacity of the present RTח facilities to implement selected portions of Developmental Levels \(A\) and \(B\) that are considered to be essential in the operation of an efficient transit system in this area. Among the additional service improvements that could be implemented immediately are:
- Selected service routed through Fullerton on Route C-l.
- Additional short service trips on Route C-1 operating from Norwalk Square to the Santa Ana Freeway to the Los Anceles CBD via telegraph Road.
- Extension of service to Fullerton on Route C-6 via the route of the present Route 72 to Fullerton from the Orange County Line.

When the operating capacity of RTD increases to the point of allowing partial additional expansion of service at Developmental Levels \(A\) and \(B\), the following service improvements should be quven first consideration:
1. Increase service on Routes \(\mathrm{C}-11\) and \(\mathrm{C}-16\) as these are the man routes serving Cerritos College. Route \(C-11\) should operate every 15 minutes during peak hours and every 30 minutes during base hours with service on Route C-16 being increased to operate every 30 mi nutes all day.
2. Implement Routes B-3 - Rio Hondo College, and B-4 - South Gate to Whittier. These two auxiliary routes included under Developmental Level B would provide more complete mobility in the northern section of the study area by including the eastern portions of the Telegraph Road and Slauson Avenue Corridors in the system network.
3. Increase service on Poutes C-2, C-4 and C-9. These routes are important structural elements in the operation of the proposed system which, because of the limited resources available under the Base Level Service operation, were unable to be allotted the intensity of service that their importance may actually demand. Poute C-2 should operate every 20 minutes in the peak hours and every 30 mm utes durina the base hours while Route C-4 should maintain a 30 minute headway throughout the day. Route C-9 should operate every 15 minutes during peak hours and every 30 minutes during the base hours.
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\section*{RECOMMENDATIONS BY CENTERS FOR STUDY}

CENTS recommends that the service that RTD presently provides in the Mid-Cities area be upgraded to that proposed in this report for Base Level Service for all proposed new lines except for Lines \(\mathrm{C}-1, \mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{C}-6\), \(\mathrm{C}-9, \mathrm{C}-11\), and \(\mathrm{C}-16\) which should be operated at Developmental Level A as well as continuing to operate Routes 55 and 77 over somewhat revised routes. Although this service level falls short of the standards of service that should prevail in this area, it should offer a significant enough improvement in access, trip time and destination flexibility to stimulate appreciable increase in patronage.

It can be anticipated that the improvements in patronage will come about gradually as people in the area become informed about the new service, and that they will not be uniform in all lines. CENTS recommends, therefore, that upgrading of the service of Developmental Levels \(A\) and \(B\) be selective and be considered only for those proposed lines where patronage levels warrant it or where service is not incompatible with the travel needs of those that ride it. Since patronage and service responsiveness will not be known until the proposed system is in operation, changes beyond the initial system should not be considered until at least 6 months and, preferably, 12 months from the date of introducing the new system.

Requirements for transit services and the transit services that have been designed to meet these requirements deverge as a function of development and change in the area. Changes in demography, emplacement of other transportation facilities, changes in the amount and distribution of commercial, cultural and other public places are all capable of changing the requirements that the transit system should satisfy. The Mid-Cities area can be expected to continue to grow and change. If the proposed transit system is to remain responsive, it too must change. CENTS strongly recommends that RTD and the other transit operators of the area evaluate their transit services periodically and make those service adjustments that become evident. Such evaluations should include route appraisal as well as level of service review.

CENTS recommends that RTD take the initiative to improve the interconnections between its lines and those of the Long Beach Public Transportation Company and the implementation of the proposed interface between those two systems. The exchange in service territory that accompanles this step is, without argument, in the interests of residents in both Long Beach and the Mid-Cities. The proposed exchange in route miles does not impinge on the subsidy revenues of elther operator nor does it precipitate significant additional subsidies.

Similarly, SCRTD should seek to devest itself of routes that are well below the average productivity of its system and that are also served by other transit operators. Both situations occur on a few RTO lines that operate in competition with LBPTC lines or those operated by Orange County.

Recommendations of the Surface Planning Department
Upon completion of the preliminary system recommendations developed by Centers for Study, Surface Planning Department and Community Relations Department representatives commenced with the Community Review Process on the proposals for the Mid-Cities area. The prel iminary recommendations were presented to various city councils and technical staff representatives of the various Mid-Cities communities for their review, comments, and further study of the plans as it impacted each individual community and areas immediately adjacent to the communities. This particular aspect of the Community Review Process had been an ongoing activity between the cities, SCRTD, and Centers for Study since the initiation of the project in August, 1974.

Another key aspect of the Community Review Process was that of the public input as related to the preliminary proposals. Presentations were made by District representatives at community meetings which were conducted throughout the Mid-Cities area whereby feedback, comments, criticisms, and suggestions could be solicited from residents of the area and citizens at large.

Upon completion of the Community Review Process, Centers for Study and the Surface Planning Department project staffs examined and evaluated every suggestion, idea, and comment that was retrieved from the individual cities and area citizens; and as a result of these inputs and their subsequent evaluation, the Surface Planning Department has included many of these inputs as a part of the final recommendations that are proposed for implementation.

The Surface Planning Department recommends that the service that SCRTD presently provides in the Mid-Cities are be upgraded to that which has been proposed by Centers for Study except for route modifications on Lines C-9, \(\mathrm{C}-15\), and \(\mathrm{C}-16\). Base level service is recommended for the following lines.
\begin{tabular}{lrl} 
& \multicolumn{1}{l}{} & Peak \\
& \multicolumn{1}{l}{} \\
& Base \\
C-3 (Slauson-Garfield) & \(: 15-: 30\) & \(: 15-1: 00\) \\
C-5 (Passons-Paramount) & \(: 30\) & \(1: 00\) \\
C-7 (Gage-Downey) & \(: 20-1: 00\) & \(: 20-1: 00\) \\
C-8 (OTympic-Washington) & \(1: 00\) & \(1: 00\) \\
C-10 (Whittwood-Bellflower) & \(1: 00\) & \(1: 00\) \\
C-12 (Imperial Highway) & \(: 30\) & \(1: 00\) \\
C-13 (Pioneer Boulevard) & \(: 30\) & \(1: 00\) \\
C-14 (Roserans Avenue) & \(: 30\) & \(1: 00\) \\
C-15 (Firestone-Norwalk) & \(: 15-: 30\) & \(: 15-1: 00\)
\end{tabular}

In addition to base level service on the above-mentioned lines, development level A service is recommended for the following lines.

Peak
Base
C-1 (Santa Ana Freeway)
\(: 15\)
C-2 (Artesia Boulevard) :20
: 15
C-4 (Cerritos-Whittwood-Beverly) :30 :30
C-6 (Whittier Boulevard) :05-:10 :30-1:00
C-9 (Lakewood-Rosemead) :15 :30

C-11 (Studebaker Road) :15 :30-1:00
C-16 (Alondra Boulevard) \(\quad: 30 \quad: 30\)
It is also recommended that the existing Lines 55 and 77 continue to operate in the Mid-Cities area; however, over somewhat revised route of lines as indicated in Chapter 4.

Although the system proposed is regional or inter-city in design and function, it does not preclude significant improvements of intra-city travel. And the new relationship of passenger, or potential passenger, to travel time via public transportation will result in a perspective of viewing public transportation as a viable alternative to automobile travel by area residents. For instance, the number of cities that a passenger in a given city could reach would, at least, double for more than 80 per cent of the Mid-Cities passengers. Other major accomplishments and improvements of the proposed system area:
1. The provision of through and direct routing within the Mid-Cities area as compared to the existing system;
2. Significant improvements in the coordination and interface with other transit systems which operate within the Mid-Cities area (i.e., Long Beach Public Transportation Company, Orange County Transit District, Montebello Municipal Bus Lines, Norwalk Municipal Bus System, Santa Fe Springs Tram, La Mirada Dial-a-Ride);
3. The establishment of line terminal sites at major regional activity centers and generators that do not presently exist;
4. With an increase of only 50 vehicles more than the presently operating in the area, which includes the required spare units, the proposed system will afford Mid-Cities residents and communities the type of rational service which does not presently exist, such as:
a. An average decrease in travel times both within the Mid-Cities area and beyond, of over 30 per cent (this masks the more important travel time reductions of over 50 per cent for those destinations where current travel lines approach or exceed two hours);
b. Southeast Los Angeles County will have direct and through service and linkages with not only downtown Los Angeles, but the San Gabriel Valley and the El Monte Busway station, South-Central Los Angeles, and the South Bay area; and,
c. The servicing of regional governmental, educational, institutional, commercial, and employment activity generators of Southeast Los Angeles County that are not presently served or are presently served in a disjointed and out-moded manner.

\section*{Route of Line Modifications to Proposed Centers for Study Transit System}

As a direct result of the Mid-Cities Community Review Process, and the evaluation and re-examination of both local governmental inputs, and suggestions by area residents, the Surface Planning Department recommends that the following route modifications be incorporated into the basic plan. These route modifications will strengthen the overall system and will also aid in accomplishing project goals and objectives for significant improvements in transit service.

Route C-9 (Lakewood-Rosemead)
Route C-9, as proposed by Centers for Study, would operate from the Lakewood Shopping Center to Beverly Boulevard in the City of Pico Rivera, via the Lakewood-Rosemead corridor. In addıtion, selected trips would be extended throughout the day to El Monte Station via Rio Hondo College.

The Surface Planning Department recommends that the C-9 route not terminate at Beverly Boulevard, but rather, continue on Rosemead Boulevard to the Flair Park office/industrial area in the City of El Monte and then to El Monte Station. By establishing this route of line, the following objectives can be accomplished:
1. A transit link between the Mid-Cities area and the San Gabriel Valley area - including El Monte Station;
2. The provision of transit service to the rapidly growing Flair Park office/industrial center from:
a. the Mid-Cities/Southeast Los Angeles County area and,
b. the San Gabriel Valley bus lines which feed into the El Monte Station.
3. The establishment of crosstown service in the Rosemead-Lakewood corridor when correlated with recommendations for transit improvements proposed in the San Gabriel Valley Sub-regional Transit Improvement Study.

As a part of the San Gabriel Valley Subregional Transit Improvement Study, a route designated as Line 461 has been proposed to provide direct service to R10 Hondo College from the northern portion of the Mid-Cities area and into El Monte Station. From Line 461's northern terminal, service is proposed to commence at El Monte Station, then via Ramona Avenue, Valley Boulevard, Peck Road, Workman Mill Road, Beverly Boulevard, Painter Avenue, Whittier Boulevard to Whittwood Plaza. This route is a connector line to a number of Mid-Cities bus routes and will provide direct service to both Rio Hondo and Whittier Colleges; and, has been extended to Whittwood PTaza to take advantage of the proposed Mid-Cities recommendations at this facility.

The C-15 route will provide vital north-south and east-west mobility for the Mid-Cities area via the Norwalk Boulevard and Firestone Boulevard corridors, respectively. The line will provide direct, through-routing within the Mid-Cities area and beyond, and will make transfer linkages with twelve (12) other proposed routes within the Mid-Cities area. However, within the City of Cerritos, there is a need for public transportation to serve community facilities along the Bloomfield Avenue corridor just east of Norwalk Boulevard. These facilities are a juvenile diversion center, city library, Cerritos High School, and a proposed Los Angeles County Regional Park. These facilities would be frequented by basically a transit-dependent population who otherwise could not travel to these facilities via public transportation.

Re-routing the trunk of the \(\mathrm{C}-15\) Line off of Norwalk Boulevard onto Bloomfield Avenue would be one alternative; however, eliminating transit service to many activity generators along Norwalk Boulevard would not aid in achieving the goal of providing direct, through routing for the area. Therefore, the Surface Planning Department recommends that the trunk of Line \(\mathrm{C}-15\) remain on Norwalk Boulevard as proposed by Centers for Study, and that short line service be provided on Bloomfield Avenue to serve the community, educational, and regional facilities along this corridor. The route of line for the \(\mathrm{C}-15\) short line service would be from downtown Hawaiian Gardens on Norwalk Boulevard to Del Amo Boulevard, Bloomfield Avenue, Rosecrans Avenue, San Antonio Drive, Norwalk Boulevard to Imperial Highway and the Paddison Square Center in the City of Norwalk.

\section*{C-16 (Alondra Boulevard)}

The C-16 Line, as proposed by Centers for Study, will operate on Alondra Boulevard from the La Mirada Shopping Center in the City of La Mirada to Atlantic Place in the City of Paramount. This line will provide vital east-west mobility through the cities of Paramount, Bellflower, Norwalk, and Santa Fe Springs.

C-16 will also serve a shuttle type of function to Cerritos College by affording transfer opportunities from eleven (11) other proposed Mid-Cites lines to Alondra Boulevard. This will mean that any person, anywhere in the Mid-Cities area, will be able to travel to Cerritos College with a maximum of only one (1) transfer.

Conceptually, Alondra Boulevard serves another purpose which accomplishes another objective of the proposed service improvements. That is, a line of demarcation whereby District service will interface with service being provided by the Long Beach Public Transportation Company. Five (5) Long Beach Public Transportation Company lines are recommended to extend existing service to Alondra Boulevard to interface with proposed District service. These transfer/interface points are at Woodruff Avenue, Cherry Avenue, Orange Avenue, Downey Avenue, and Atlantic Place.

The Surface Planning Department recommends that the \(\mathrm{C}-16\) extend westward to Long Beach Boulevard in the City of Compton in order to provide an additional transfer opportunity with the existing SCRTD Line 66 which carry passengers north to the Huntington Park area or south to the downtown Long Beach area.

\section*{System Implementation, Monitoring, and Evaluation}

One of the most integral aspects of stabilizing a newly implemented transit system will be that of the monitorization and evaluation process which will delineate the system's impact on the areas served, patronage growth, operational considerations that can only be determined upon implementation, and the system's overall role in the District's regional transit scheme. Only when the proposed system has become fully operational can the actual measurement of service improvement or success can be quantified and evaluated; and, only when the system has been operational will undetermined considerations and deficiencies surface for quantification. It is this post-advanced planning stage which will make the system as formidable as it will ultimately be because it is the maintenance of system data and its analysis, coupled with projections and subsequent recommendations that will refine the new transit network into a truly proficient surface operation.

It is the intention of the Surface Planning Department to closely monitor the initial system's operational and service characteristics and trends with other District departments for a period of six months upon implementation. Six months is the minimum amount of time to which service levels, public education and familiarity with a new system, and new travel behavior and trends can be satisfactorily measured with a fairly reliable degeree of accurate projection and analysis of the system's impact and acceptance. At the end of the six months montoring period, an evaluation will be conducted based upon the monitoring process which had been administered.

The evaluation will recommend any required system modifications that would be appropriate and necessary; and, it is anticıpated that system improvements considered beyond the initial evaluation stage will be a combination of (1) improvements generic to the rectification of initial system imperfections, (2) implementation of alternative routes over initially implemented routes that do not maintain a satisfactory rate of service progression, and (3) experimentation with suggestions and inputs obtained from local governments and citızens--as a result of the Community Review Process--that may not have been recommended for implementation in the initial phase of the system's operation.



\section*{ROUTE MO C-9 via Flair Park}

\section*{LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS}

\section*{Kin_ Et Honte Station \\ Vil. Lakwood_end Boseread Boulevards}

\section*{ARESS SERMED}
E1 Monte, Pico Rivera, Downey, Paramount
Bellfiower, Lakewood, Flafr Park, Stonewood
Shopping Center, Ford Piant, Lakewood Shopping
Genter, North Enerican Rockwell, Pico Rivera
Hospital

\section*{}


\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
North - West on Pama around the comer from Layman or at El Honte Station. \\
South - South on Hazelbrook Road at May Go
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{SUMMARY}

LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS
\(\qquad\)

HRES SHWIT

> Horwalk, Cerritos, Artesia, Lakewood Hawaitan Gardens, two hifh schols, Paddison Shopping Center, Kaiser Hospital, Norwalk Compunfty Hospital, Morwalk Civic Center and Howafian Gardens Civic Center

\section*{}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Base Level & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level A
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel. \\
Level B
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Avg. 1 vay route miles & 9. & 9. & 9 \\
\hline Milea w/in study aras & 9. & 9. & 9. \\
\hline \(X\) of milen in atudy area & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
\hline Hours of operation & 6a-10p & \(6 \mathrm{a}-100\) & 6x-10p. \\
\hline Peak hour beadway & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Base hour meadvay & 1:00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
\hline Fotel daily 1 way trips & 32 & 32 & 32 \\
\hline Avg 1 way ruming time & :26 & 26 & . 26 \\
\hline Avg opertiling apeed & 20. & 29. & 20. \\
\hline Dally operating ailes & 288 & 288 & 288 \\
\hline Daily operating bours & 13,87 & 1387 & 13.87 \\
\hline Total daily layover time & 2.13 & 2.13 & 2.13 \\
\hline X of op. hrs. in layover & 15.4 & 15,4 & 15.4 \\
\hline Vehtelea needed, an peak & 1. & 1 & 1 \\
\hline Vehicies needed, propenk & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, base & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Horth - East of Studebaker Road on Firestone Boulevard

South - East on Tilbury Street at Hawaifan Gardens City Hall.

ROUTE NO C-15
VIA BLOOMFIELD AVE.

\section*{TRUSFER FOMIS}

Number: Iocation.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline C-1 & Inperial \& Pioneer or Nowalk \\
\hline C-2 & Artesia \& Bloomfield \\
\hline C-4 & South St a Bloonfield \\
\hline C-11 & Studebaker \& Firestone \\
\hline C-12 & Imperial \(\frac{4}{}\) Firestone or Pioneer or Norwalk or Bloomfield \\
\hline C-13 & Imperial Horwalk or Hawaifan Gardens City Hall \\
\hline c-14 & Rosecrans \& Blocmfield \\
\hline c-15 & DelAmo \& Norwalk or Firestone \(\mathbf{8}\) Studebaker or Imperial \\
\hline C-16 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Alondra \& Bloomfield \\
(Also OCTO, Long Beach and Monalk Lines)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Time Point & Miles & Running
Time & Speed \\
\hline Frrestome
at Studebaker & & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Muperiat } \\
& \text { at Bloomfield }
\end{aligned}
\] & 2.05 & 6 & 20 \\
\hline bloomfield
at Rosecrans & 1.10 & 4 & 20 \\
\hline bloo reid
at Alondra & 1.20 & 3 & 20 \\
\hline Blocmiefa
at Artesia & 1.00 & 3 & 20 \\
\hline brwintw
at Del Am & 1.85 & 5 & 20 \\
\hline  & 1.5 & 5 & 20 \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Rovilime}

\section*{Southbound}

E on Firestone Boulevard
E Imperial Highway
on Bloonfifild Avenue
\& E on Firestone Bivd
on Rosecrans Avenue
5 on Bloomfield Avenue
on Del Ano Boulevard
on Norwalk Boalevar
N. on Carson Stree
\(E\) on Tilbury Street
Hawaftan Gardens city Hal

Horthbound* from Hawalian Hall
\(E\) on Tilbury Street
N. on Morwalk Boulevard
E. on Del Amo Boulevard
E. on Bloomfield Avenue
on Rosecrans Avenue on Bloomfield Avenue on Itperial Hightay
N. on Studebaker Road
E. on Firestone Bivd. to Layover.


\section*{SUMMARY}

\section*{LINE OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Fiem & La Mirada \\
\hline Te & Paramount \\
Yith. & Alondra Boulevard \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Ahtis stmal}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Base Level & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel \\
Level A
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Devel. \\
Level B
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Avg. 1 way route miles & 1225 & 12.25 & 12.25 \\
\hline Hiles w/in study area & 1225 & 1225 & 1225 \\
\hline I of allea in study area & 100 & 100 & 100 \\
\hline Hours of operation &  & 68.70 & 6a-7n \\
\hline Peak hour headway & \(1 \cdot 00\) & 30 & 30 \\
\hline Dase hour headway & 1.00 & 30 & 31 \\
\hline Total daily 1 way trips & 26 & 52 & 52 \\
\hline Avg i way running time & :38 & 38 & ; 38 \\
\hline Avg operating apeed & 18.7 & 18.7 & 18.7 \\
\hline Daily operating allea & 379.0. & 5288.0 & 539.8 \\
\hline Daily operating hours & 25.85 & 51.07 & 51.17 \\
\hline Total dally layover time & 8.43 & 1622 & 1622 \\
\hline \(z\) of op, hre. in layover & 32.6 & 31.76 & 31.76 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, an peak & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, po peak & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline Vehicles needed, base & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

HEt....

West - East on Alondra Blyd. in advance of Long Beach Boulevard.

East - North on La Mirada Shopping Center drive along side of Tirifty Store

ROUTE MO C-I6

\section*{houtlime}


\section*{}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Time Point & M11es & Running & Speed \\
\hline Alondra at Blyd. & & & \\
\hline At Paramount
At & 286 & 8 & 23 \\
\hline Alondra at Lakemood & 1.00 & 3 & 23 \\
\hline  & 1.03 & 3 & 23 \\
\hline Atondra & & & \\
\hline at Studebaker & 1.48 & 4 & 23 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Alondra \\
at Pioneer
\end{tabular} & 100 & 3 & 23 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Alondra \\
at Norwaty
\end{tabular} & 58 & 1 & 23 \\
\hline Ahondra at
Santa Ana Freeway & 2.12 & 5 & 23 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { La mirada } \\
& \text { Shoping Center }
\end{aligned}
\] & 2.18 & 6 & 23 \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


POTENTIAL PATRONAGE ESTIMATES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Present Transit Users (PTU) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Potential \\
Transit \\
Ratio (PR)
\end{tabular} & \% Net change from Socio-economic and Accessibility potential & \begin{tabular}{l}
Overall \\
Potential \\
Users (OPU)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\% \\
Change \\
In users
\end{tabular} & \% Change in Passengers Per mile \\
\hline ARTESIA & 53 & 259 & +10 & 285 & +437.0 & +49.1 \\
\hline BELLFLOWER & 467 & 584 & - 2 & 574 & + 22.9 & - 2.1 \\
\hline CERRITOS & 20 & 433 & 0 & 433 & +2160.0 & ----- \\
\hline DOWNEY & 563 & 1111 & \(+2\) & 1132 & +101.1 & + 3.7 \\
\hline HAWAIIAN GARDENS & 72 & 115 & \(+4\) & 119 & \(+65.0\) & + 5.6 \\
\hline LAKEWOOD & 1308 & 1871 & -14 & 1630 & + 24.6 & -18.4 \\
\hline LA MIRADA & 72 & 275 & - 5 & 261 & +263.5 & -19.4 \\
\hline L.A. COUNTY & 475 & 488 & +13 & 551 & + 20.0 & +14.7 \\
\hline NORWALK & 635 & 1946 & +13 & 2187 & +241.0 & +19.0 \\
\hline PARAMOUNT & 366 & 610 & - 5 & 580 & + 58.5 & - 8.2 \\
\hline PICO RIVERA & 1181 & 928 & +21 & 1118 & - 5.5 & +16.1 \\
\hline SANTA FE SPRINGS & 166 & 322 & - 9 & 292 & + 76.0 & -18.1 \\
\hline SOUTH WHITTIER & 123 & 298 & \(+13\) & 335 & +172.4 & +30.1 \\
\hline WHITTIER & 992 & 1307 & +17 & 1519 & + 53.1 & +21.4 \\
\hline TOTAL & 6493 & 10,547 & \(+4.5\) & 11,016 & + 69.7 & + 3.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


MID-CITIES STUDY AREA
PERCENT CHANGE IN ACCESSIBILITY TO OTHER CITIES
PROPOSED SYSTEM


City Halls Accessible by Public Transit within 60 Minutes Total Travel Time from Artesia's City Hall


\footnotetext{
Present Routes and Service manmmanman' Proposed System (Base Level)
}

\section*{City Halls Accessible by Public Transit within 60 Minutes}

Total Travel Time from Bellflower's City Hall

\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Present Routes and Service \\
manmannan: & Proposed System (Base Level)
\end{tabular}

City Halls Accessible by Public Transit within 60 Minutes
Total Travel Time from Cerritos' City Hall


Present Routes and Service

City Halls Accessible by Public Transit within 60 Minutes
Total Travel Time from Hawaiian Gardens' City Hall


\footnotetext{
Present Routes and Service
manmanaman Proposed System (Base Level)
}

City Halls Accessible by Public Transit within 60 Minutes Total Travel Time from Downey's City Hall


City Halls Accessible by Public Transit within 60 Minutes Total Travel Time from La Mirada's City Hall


Present Routes and Service
anomamanamanir Proposed System (Base Leve])

City Halls Accessible by Public Transit within 60 Minutes
Tntal Travel Time from Lakewood's City Hall


Present Routes and Service
manmuraninana Proposed System (Base Level)


City Halls Accessible by Public Transit within 60 Minutes Total Travel Time from Paramount's City Hall


\section*{Present Routes and Service \\ manaminimit Proposed System (Base Leve1)}

City Halls Accessible by Public Transit within 60 Minutes
Total Travel Time from Pico Rivera＇s City Hall


Present Routes and Service
monnmanamani Proposed System（Base Level）

City Halls Accessible by Public Transit within 60 Minutes
Total Travel Time from Santa Fe Springs' City Hall


Present Routes and Service
manammamanima Proposed System (Base Level)

City Halls Accessible by Public Transit within 60 Minutes
Total Travel Time from Whittier's City Hall
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\section*{I I}

\section*{COMMUNITY REVIEW PROCESS \\ Mid-Cities Area}

\section*{Technical Staff Meetings}

August
15, 1974
16
21
21
22
23
23
26
26
28
29
29
September 5, 1974
6
9
9
10
11
12
12
13
13
January 30, 1975
31
February 4, 1975
6
6
7
11
11
11
13
20
25
City Council Meetings
March 11, 1975
18
April 29

Cerritos
Lakewood
Paramount
Hawaiian Gardens
Long Beach Public Transportation Company
Santa Fe Springs
La Mirada
Downey
Norwalk
Whittier
Bellflower
Artesia
Lakewood
Santa Fe Springs
Hawaiian Gardens
Cerritos
Downey
Norwalk
Paramount
Whittier
Bellflower
La Mirada
Cerritos
Downey
Lakewood
Santa Fe Springs
Paramount
Downey
Artesia
Bellflower
Hawaiian Gardens
La Mirada
Whittier
Pico Rivera

Lakewood
Paramount
Whittier

\section*{Community Meetings (two sessions each)}

February 20, 1975
26
March 6
26
April 3
Other Meetings
February 24, 1975
March 12
20

April 8
10
17
21
21
25
29
May 8

Lakewood
Santa Fe Springs
Cerritos* Whittier

Norwalk

Downey Chamber of Commerce
Norwalk Chamber of Commerce Cerritos Regional Transportation Committee

Cerritos Sierra Club
Santa Fe Springs Chamber of Commerce
Parnell Park Senior Citizens
Bellflower Coordinating Council
South Whittier Action Council
Gunn Park Senior Citizens
St. Bruno's Senior Citizens
Bell Gardens-Commerce Rotary Club
(In conjunction with the Cities of Artesia and La Mirada)

\section*{LINE 34}


LJNE 38



\section*{LINE 54}


LINE 58
(A11 Branches)


LINE 58
(A1I Branches)
Gont.


\section*{LINE 72}




\section*{LINE 111}




\section*{LINE 116}


LINE 117
WHITTWOOD BRANCH


LINE 117
SOUTH WHitTIER BRANCH



JLNE 117
LA MIRADA BRANGH




LINE 134


Route of Line





\section*{LINE C-1}

Cont.


LTNE C-1
TELEGRAPH ROAD BRANGH


\section*{LINE C-1}

VIA FULLERTON BRANCH


LINE C-2.




LINE C-4
Cont.


\section*{LINE C-5}


\section*{LINE C-6}




\section*{LINE C-9}



\section*{LINE C-11}


LINE C-12




Route of Line


LINE C-16




\section*{Cents \\ Transil \\ Operations \\ Planning}```


[^0]:    I/ Source: 1970 U.S. Census

[^1]:    *Included to indicate changes although not operating within the study area.

[^2]:    - L.B.P.T.C. service to continue to operate unchanged

