K.L.K.

JUL 101984
general planning consultant:
TECHNICAL MEMDRANDLM 5.1.2 SPECIFICATION OF THE BUS OPERATING COST MODEL

Prepared for:
Southern California Rapid Transit District

Prepared by:
Barton-Aschman Associates, Inc.
in association with
Schimpeler-Corradino Associates
Cordoba Corporation
Myra L. Frank \& Associates
Robert J. Harmon \& Associates
Manuel Padrón
The Planning Group, Inc.

## Introduction

This report describes the bus operating cost model developed for use in the cash flow analysis for long-term planning at the Southern California Rapid Transit District (SCRTD). It discusses the structure of the model and the sources of data used as input to its specification.

The bus model is designed to compute annual operating expenses for bus operations associated with alternatives evaluated in both base year and inflated dollars. The model generates these estimates from projections of annual bus operating statistics based upon the quantity of service derived from the demand forecasts for each alternative evaluated.

The bus operating costs are sensitive to level of service measures such as annual vehicle-miles, annual service-hours, peak vehicle requirements, and the quantity of service utilized (i.e., passengers). The major assumption underlying this model is that the SCRTD will be the operator of bus service provided.

## Overview of the Cost Model Structure

A fixed/variable cost allocation model was selected for use. The basic concept underlying this approach relates the cost of service to the resource quantities supplied and used in the service provision. In addition, this model approach provides sensitivity to service level changes by classifying costs which may or may not vary with changes in the level of service.

The model is structured to provide detail on incremental costs that would be associated with the use of articulated buses. Existing costs reflect buses currently in the SCRTD fleet and include both standard 40-foot urban coaches and articulted buses. Provision is made to estimate changes in costs associated with the use of articulated buses if plans are formulated to change the current ratio of standard to articulated buses. The experience of SCRTD indicates articulated buses generally cost more to operate in terms of mechanic labor, fuel, and maintenance parts and supplies. The additional capacity provided by articulated buses may, however, provide savings in operating labor if fewer büses are required as a result of their use.

In addition, the model is sensitive to variation in inflation rates over time. The experience of SCRTD and other transit properties indicates that prices of diesel fuel and parts and supplies associated with the maintenance and repair of vehicles will generally inflate at a rate greater or less than the overall Consumer Price Index (CPI), a generally accepted "baseline" rate of inflation.

## Input Data Base

The bus operating cost model is structured to reflect the SCRTD organization. It is based on SCRTD departments and specific costs have been used to calibrate the model from actual and projected account expenditures for Fiscal Year (FY) 1984. Both the Annual Budget for FY 1984 and the Revenue and Expense Statement for March, 1984 were used as reference documents for expenditures. In addition, input was received from the appropriate departments on distribution of labor resources. Table 1 provides a summary of the SCRTD chart of accounts and appropriate cost allocation.

Table 1
BUS COST ALLOCATION

| Department and Expense Item | Estimated FY 84 Cost | Resource Allocated to |  |  |  | Fixed | Variable | If Variable How? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ilours | Miles | Peak Buses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Passen- } \\ & \text { gers } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

Board of Directors

| Wages | 0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fringes | 3 |
| Services | 76 |
| Materials and Supplies | 3 |
| Utilities | 9 |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 61 |



General Manager

| Wages | 382 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fringes | 3 |
| Services | 110 |
| Materials and Supplies | 10 |
| Utilities | 9 |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 97 |

## Office of District Secretary

| Wages | 215 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fringes | 2 |
| Services | 60 |
| Materials and Supplies | 9 |
| Utilities | 0 |
| Miscellaneous Expenises | 38 |

## Legal

| Wages | 304 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fringes | 2 |
| Services | 242 |
| Materials and Supplies | 26 |
| Utilities | 8 |
| iscellaneous Expenses | 10 |

Assistant General Manager
Eor Operations

| Wages | 233 | X | X |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Fringes | 2 | X | X |
| Services | 1 | X | X |
| Materials and Supplies | 5 | X | X |
| Utilities | 2 | X | X |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 17 | X | X |

Transportation Operating
Divisions

| Wages | 4,991 |  | X | X | By 159 | Peak Vehicles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fringes | 1,229 |  | X | X | By 159 | Peak Vehicles |
| Operator Wages | 126,322 | X |  | $\dot{\chi}$ | By 777 | Revenue Hours |
| Operator Fringes | 31, 534 | X |  | $\dot{\chi}$ | By 777 | Revenue Hours |
| Services | - 3 |  | x | $\dot{\mathrm{X}}$ | By 159 | Peak Vehicles |
| Materials and Supplies | 92 |  | x | X | By 159 | Peak Vehicles |
| Utilities | 28 |  | x | X | By 159 | Peak Vehicles |
| Misceilaneous Expenses | 21 |  | X | X | By 159 | Peak Vehicles |

Stops and Zones

| Wages | 654 | X | X |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Fringes | 3 | X | X |
| Services | I | X | X |
| Materials and Supplies | 127 | X | X |

Table 1
BUS COST ALLOCATION (continued)

Department and
Estimated
Fy 84 Cost

Expense I.tem FY 84 Cost
(\$000)
Transportation Services

| Wages | 1,303 |  | X | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wages | 2,470 | X |  | X |
| Wages | 529 | X |  |  |
| Fringes | 135 | X |  |  |
| Wages | 873 |  | X | X |
| Wages | 436 |  | X |  |
| Fringes | 111 |  | X |  |
| Fringes | 21 |  | X | X |
| Services | 13 |  | X | X |
| Materials and Supplies | 24 |  | $\dot{\chi}$ | X |
| Utilities | 25 |  | $\dot{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |
| Utilities | 51 |  | X | X |
| Miscellaneous Expenses |  |  | X | X |

X
X

X
$x$
X
X
x
X
Transportation Instruction

| Wages | 2,553 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fringes | 580 |
| Wages | 209 |
| Wages | 564 |
| Fringes | 144 |
| Fringes | 15 |
| Services | 1 |
| Materials and Supplies | 26 |
| Utilities | 1 |
| Misceilianeous Expenses | .3 |

Transportation General

| Wages | 737 | - | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fringes | 40 | $\dot{\mathrm{x}}$ | X |
| Services | 5 | X | X |
| Matterials and Supplies | 701 | X | X |
| Utilities | 150 | X | X |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 27 | X | X |

Maintenance Operating Divisions

| Servicing Deep Clean Wages 1,441 |  | X | x | By 32 Peak Vehicles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Servicing Deep Clean Fringes 372 |  | X | X | By 32 Peak Vehicles |
| Servicing Wages 8,163 |  | X | $X$ | By 5.6 Peak Vehicles |
| Servicing Fringes 2,110 |  | X | X | By 5.6 Peak Vehicles |
| Servicing Supervisor Wages 373 |  | X | $\underline{X}$ | By 188 Peak Vehicles |
| Servicing Supervisor Fringes 96 |  | X | X | By 188 Peak Vehicles |
| Wheelchair Maintenance Wages 1,930 |  | X | X | By 34.4 Peak Vehicles |
| Wheelchair Maintenance Fringes 429 |  | X | X | By 34.4 Peak Vehicles |
| Non-Revenue Wages 868 | X |  | X | By 264,889 Ifours |
| Non-Revenue Fringes 193 | X |  | $\chi$ | By 264,889 Hours |
| Farebox Maintenance Wages 322 |  | X | X | By 206 Peak Vehicles |
| Farebox Maintenance Fringes 72 |  | X | X | By 206 Peak Vehicles |
| Campaigns and Reserve Wages 1,094 |  | X | X | By 60.7 Peak Vehicles |
| Campaigns and Reserve Friiges 281 |  | X | X | By 60.7 Peak Vehicles |
| Inspection Wages $\quad 2,444$ | X |  | X | By 1, $251,005 \mathrm{Miles}$ |
| Inspection Fringes 544 | $\chi$ |  | X | By 1, $251,605 \mathrm{Miles}$ |
| Running Repair Wages 19,845 | $x$ |  | X | By 154,169 Miles |
| Running Repair Fringes 4,416 | X |  | X | By 154,169 Miles |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Supervision and Adminis- } \\ & \text { tration Wages }\end{aligned} \quad 4,092$ |  | X | X | By 172 Peak Vehicles |
| Supervision and Administration Fringes 1,008 |  | X | X | By 172 Peak Vehicles |

Table 1
BUS COST ALLOCATION (continued)


Maintenance Operating
Divisions (continued)

| Servicing Wages Fixed | 333 | . | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Servicing Fringes Fixed | 86 |  | X |
| Training and Other Fringe |  |  |  |
| Benefits | 378 |  | X |
| Services for Divisions | 248 |  | X |
| Lubricants Revenue Equipment | 1,119 | $\chi$ |  |
| Tires and Thibes Revenue Equipment | 4,020 | X |  |
| Bus Parts Revenue Equipment | 21,620 | X |  |
| Tools and Expendable Equipment | 764 |  | X |
| Other Materials and Süpplies | 1,637 |  | X |
| Utilities | 146 |  | X |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 40 |  |  |

$\dot{x}$
$\dot{X}$

## Central Maintenance

| Rumning Repair Wages | 933 | X |  |  | X | By 3,280,069 Miles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Running Repair Fringes | 208 | X |  |  | x | By 3,280,069 Miles |
| Engine Rebuild Wages | 1,254 | X |  |  | X | By $2,439,026$ Miles |
| Engine Rebuild Fringes | 279 | $\dot{\chi}$ |  |  | X | By 2,439,026 Miles |
| Transmission Shop Wages | 933 | $\dot{\chi}$ |  |  | X | By 3,280,069 Miles |
| Transmission Shop Fringes | 208 | X |  |  | $\dot{\mathrm{X}}$ | By $3,280,069$ Miles |
| Electrical Shop Wages | 1,029 | X |  |  | X | By 2,972,563 Miles |
| Electrical Shop Fringes | 229 | X |  |  | X | By 2,972,563 Miles |
| Small Units Shop Wages | 868 | X |  |  | X | By 3,523,037 Miles |
| Small Units Shop Fringes | 193. | $\dot{\chi}$ |  |  | X | By 3,523,037 Miles |
| Engine Teardown Wages | 322 | $\dot{\chi}$ |  |  | X | By 9,512,200 Miles |
| Engine Teardown Fringes | 72 | $\dot{\text { x }}$ |  |  | $\dot{\text { x }}$ | By 9,512,200 Miles |
| Radiator Shop Wages | 257 | X |  |  | X | By 11,890,250 Miles |
| Radiator Shop Fringes | 57 | X |  |  | $\dot{\mathrm{x}}$ | By 11,890,250 Miles |
| Machine Shop Wages | 418 | X |  |  | X | By 7,317,077 Miles |
| Machine Shop Fringes | 93 | $\chi$ |  |  | X | By 7,317,077 Miles |
| Sheet Metal Shop Wages | 386 | $\dot{\chi}$ |  |  | X | By 7,926,833 Miles |
| Sheet Metal Shop Fringes | 86 | X |  |  | $\dot{\text { X }}$ | By 7,926,833 Miles |
| Welding Shop Wages | 450 | X |  |  | X | By 6,794,429 Miles |
| Welding Shop Fringes | 100 | X |  |  | $\dot{\text { x }}$ | By 6,794,429 Miles |
| Upholstery Shop Wages | 482 | X |  |  | X | By 6,341,467 Miles |
| Uphoistery Shop Fringes | 107 | X |  |  | X | By 6,341,467 Miles |
| Paint and Trim Shop Wages | 1,319 | X |  |  | X | By 2,320,049 Miles |
| Paint and Trim Shop Fringes | 295 | X |  |  | X | By $2,320,049$ Miles |
| Body and Frame Shop Wages | 2;091 | X |  |  | X | By 1,463,415 Miles |
| Body and Frame Shop Fringes | 465 | X |  |  | X | By 1,463,415 Miles |
| Misce11aneous RepairShop Wages | 322 | X |  |  | X | By 31,707,333 Miles |
| Miscellaneous Repair Shop Fringes | S 72 | X |  |  | X | By 31,707,333 Miles |
| Service Wages | 378 |  | $x$ |  | X | By 121 Peak Vehicles |
| Service Fringes | 98 |  | X |  | X | By 121 Peak Vehicles |
| Central Shop Supervision Wages | 843 |  | X |  | X | By 138 Peak Vehicles |
| Central Shop Supervision Fringes | S 207 |  | X |  | X | By 138 Peak Vehicles |
| Central Shop Administration Wages | 369 |  | X | $X$ |  |  |
| Training and Other Fringes | 113 |  | x | X |  |  |
| Services | 338 |  | X | X |  |  |
| Utilities | 3 |  | X | X |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 14 |  | $\hat{\mathrm{X}}$ | X |  |  |

Table 1
BUS COST ALLOCATION (continued)

|  | Estimated |  | Resour | Nlloc |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and Expense Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY } 84 \cos t \\ & (\$ 000) \end{aligned}$ | Hlours | Miles | Peak Buses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Passen- } \\ & \text { gers } \end{aligned}$ | Fixed | Variable | If Variable How? |

Facilities Maintenance Department

| Electrical Maintenance Wages | 740 | X |  | X | By 147 Peak Vehicles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electrical Maintenance Fringes | 165 | X |  | X | By 147 Peak Vehicles |
| Property Maintenance Wages | 1,801 | X |  | X | By 147 Peak Vehicles |
| Property Maintenance Fringes | 411 | X |  | X | By 147 Peak Vehicles |
| Administration and Supervision Wages | 500 | X | X |  |  |
| Training and Fringe Benefits | 49 | X | X |  |  |
| Services | 61 | X | X |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies | 2,016 | X |  | X | By 147 Peak Vehicles |
| Utilities | 1 | X | X |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 16 | X | X |  |  |

## Maintenance General Department

| Instruction Wages | 444 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Instruction Fringes | 109 |
| Wages | 1,647 |
| Fringes | 36 |
| Services | 315 |
| Materials and Supplies | 44 |
| Utilities | 130 |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 71 |

## Equipment Engineering Department

| Wages |  |  | 765 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fringes. ........... | 5 |  |  |
| Services . | 152 |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies |  | 41 |  |
| Utilities |  | 14 |  |
| Miscelianeous Expenses |  | 3 |  |


| X |  |  | X | By 188 Peak Vehicles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X |  | X | By 188 Peak Vehicies |  |
| X |  | X |  |  |
| X | X |  |  |  |
| X | X |  |  |  |
| X | X |  |  |  |
| X | X |  |  |  |
| X |  | X |  |  |

Telecommunications Department


Safety Department

| Wages | 226 | X | X |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Fringes | 4 | X | X |
| Services | 92 | X | X |
| Materials and Supplies | 67 | X | X |
| Utilities | 3 | X |  |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 33 | X |  |

( $\$ 000$ ) llours Miles Buses gers Fixed Variable If Variable How?

## Transit Police Department

| Police Wages | 976 |  |  | X |  | X | By 13,688,235 Passengers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Police Fringes | 268 |  |  | X |  | X | By 13,688,235 Passengers |
| Police Wages | 488 | X |  |  |  | X | By 420,706 Hours |
| Police Wages | 134 | X |  |  |  | X | By 420,706 Hours |
| Administrative Wages | 2,198 |  | x |  | X |  |  |
| Fringes | 90 |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Services | 349 |  | $\chi$ |  | X |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies | 59 |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Utilities | 32 |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 36 |  | X |  | X |  |  |

Scheduling Department

| Schedule Maker Wağes | 288 | X |  |  | X | By 596,000 Hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schedule Maker Fringes | 73 | X |  |  | X | By 596,000 Hours |
| Checker Wages | 1,224 |  | X |  | X | By 40.5 Peak Vehicles |
| Checker Fringes | 309 |  | X |  | X | By 40.5 Peak Vehicles |
| Wages | 1,685 |  | X | X |  |  |
| Fringes | 4 |  | X | X |  |  |
| Services | 7 |  | X | X |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies | 33 |  | X | X |  |  |
| Utilities | 17 |  | X | X |  |  |
| Miscelianeous Expenses | 80 |  | X | X |  |  |

Assistant General Manager
for Planning and commul:
nications


Marketing and Commanications

| Ticket Clerk Wages | 529 | X |  | X | By 21,154,545 Passenger: |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ticket Clerk Fringes | 116 | X |  | X | By 21,154,545 Passenger: |
| Wages | 1,490 | X | X |  |  |
| Fringes | 20 | X | X |  |  |
| Services | 404 | X | X |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies | 800 | 41 | X | X |  |
| Utilities | 166 | X | X |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Estimated |  | Resou | All |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and Expense Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 84 \mathrm{Cost} \\ (\$ 000) \end{gathered}$ | Hours | Miles | Peak Buses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Passen- } \\ & \text { gers } \end{aligned}$ | Fixed | Variable | If Variable How? |

Customer Relations

| Telephone Clerks Wages | 2,159 |  | X |  | X | By 4,951,064 | Passengers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Telephone Clerks Fringes | 483 |  | X |  | X | By $4,951,064$ | Passengers |
| Wages | 904 |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| Fringes | 4 |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| Services | 42 |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies | 20 |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| Utilities | 158 |  | X | $\dot{\text { X }}$ |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 29 |  | X | $\dot{\text { x }}$ |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Assistant General Manager }}{\text { for Goverrment and Community }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| for Goverrment and Community |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Affairs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages | 95 | X |  | X |  |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies | 1 | X |  | X |  |  |  |
| Utilities | 1 | X |  | X |  |  |  |
| Miscelianeous Expenses | 5 | X |  | X |  |  |  |

Government Affairs

| Wages |  | 229 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Fringes |  | 3 |
| Services |  | 75 |
| Materials and Supplies |  | 2 |
| Utilities |  | 17 |
| Miscellaneous Expenses |  | 36 |
| Conminity Relations | $\cdots$. |  |

Comminity Relations

| Wages | 328 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fringes | 4 |
| Services | 33 |
| Materials and Supplies | 30 |
| Utilities | 7 |
| Miscelilaneous Expenses | 48 |

Assistant General Manager
for Equal Opporturity
Wages
Materials and Supplies
Utilities

## 95

Materials and Supplies Utilities
Miscellaneous Expenses
Human Relations

| Wages | 249 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fringes | 2 |
| Services | 3 |
| Materials and Supplies | 4 |
| Utilities | 6 |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 11 |

Employee Education, Training,
and bevelopment

| Wages | 958 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fringes | 294 |
| Services | 66 |
| Materials and Supplies | 6 |
| Utilities | 9 |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 26 |

Depätment and
Expense Item
Controller-Treasurer-Auditor

| Wages | 280 | X | X |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Fringes | 3 | X | X |
| Services | 400 | 7 | X |
| Materials and Supplies | 14 | X | X |
| Utilities | 36 | X | X |
| Miscellaneous Expenses |  |  |  |

Accounting and Fiscal


Assistant General Manager
for Transit System
Development

| Wages | 105 | X | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Materials and Supplies | 1. | X | $\dot{\chi}$ |
| Utilities | 1 | X | X |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 5 | X | X |
| Bus Facilities Engineering |  |  |  |
| Wages | 711 | X | ${ }_{\chi}$ |
| Fringes | 4 | X | X |
| Services | 129 | X | X |
| Materials and Supplies | 25 | X | X |
| Ütilities | 11 | X | X |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 20 | $\chi$ | X |
| Assistant General Manager for Management |  |  |  |
| Wages | 95 | $\chi$ | X |
| Materials and Supplies | 1 | X | X |
| Utilities | 1 | $\chi$ | X |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 5 | X | X |

Table 1
BUS COST ALLOCATION (continued)
Department and
Expense I'tem
Contracts and Purchasing

| Stores Wages | 941 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Stores Fringes | 206 |
| Wages | 2,969 |
| Fringes | 39 |
| Services | 30 |
| Materials and Supplies | 79 |
| Utilities | 30 |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 61 |

Personnel Department
Wages
Fringes
Services
Materials and Supplies
Utilities

| 1,429 | X | X |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | $\dot{\mathrm{X}}$ | X |
| 588 | X | X |
| 123 | X | X |
| 27 | X | X |
| 55 | X | X |

General Services


| Wages | 750 | $\dot{\mathrm{X}}$ |  | X |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Fringes | 5 | X | X |  |
| Services | 253 | X |  | X |
| Timetables | 490 |  | X |  |
| Materials and Supplies | 211 | X |  | X |
| Üilities | 4 | X | X |  |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 2 |  | X |  |

Management and Budget

| Wages | 522 |  | $X$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Fringes | 4 |  | X |
| Services | 2 |  | $\dot{\mathrm{X}}$ |
| Materials and Supplies | 20 | X | $\dot{\mathrm{X}}$ |
| Utilities | 8 | X | $\dot{\mathrm{X}}$ |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 7 |  | X |
| X | X |  |  |

## Labor Relations

| Wages | 224 | X | X |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Fringes | 2 | X | X |
| Services | 45 | X | X |
| Materials and Supplies | $\dot{7}$ | X | X |
| Utilities | 2 | $\dot{\mathrm{X}}$ | X |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | .7 | $\dot{\mathrm{X}}$ | X |

Table 1
BUS COST ALLOCATION (continued)

| Department and Expense Item | Estimated FY 84 Cost (\$000) | Resource Allocated to |  |  |  | Fixed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Hours | Miles | Peak Buses | $\begin{gathered} \text { Passen- } \\ \text { gers } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Enployee Activities | . |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages | 115 |  |  | $x$ |  | X |
| Services | 72 |  |  | X |  | X |
| Materials and Supplies | 33 |  |  | X |  | X |
| Utilities | 7 |  |  | X |  | X |
| Misceilianeous Expenses | 153 |  |  | X |  | X |

Nondepartmental Expenses

| Wages | 1,043 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fringes | 13,463 |  |  | X |  | x |  |  |
| Workmen's Compensation | 8,460 | X |  |  |  |  | X | By 777 Hours |
| Workmen's Compensation | 3,254 |  | X |  |  |  | X | By 59,451 Miles |
| Workmen's Compensation | 1,301 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Services | 1,575 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Fuel | 25,858 |  | X |  |  |  | X | Directly by Miles |
| Materials and Supplies | 763 |  |  | X |  | $x$ |  |  |
| Utilities | 2,252 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Premilms for Physical Damage | 86 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Premiums for PL\&PD Insurance | 27 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Premiums for Corporate Insurance | 20 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Provisions for Uninsured PL PL | 22,615 |  |  |  | X |  | X | By 101,174 Passengers at $\$ 4,916$ Each |
| Provisions for Uninsured PD. | 1,521 |  | X |  |  |  | X | By 39,634 Miles at $\$ 634$ each |
| Expenses for PL | 2,098 |  |  |  | X |  | X | By 101, 174 Passengers at $\$ 456$ per Step |
| Expenses for PD | 1,094 |  | X |  |  |  | X | By 39,634 Miles at \$456 per Step |
| Fuel and Lube Taxes NonRevenue Equipment | 120 | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Fuel and Lube Taxes Revenue Equipment | 1,874 |  | X |  |  |  | X | Directly by Miles |
| Leases and Rentals | 5,851 |  |  | X |  |  | X |  |

## Model Outline

As indicated above, the model is composed of cost components associated with the SCRTD departments. Costs are related to specific operating characteristics and quantities (i.e., hours, miles, vehicles, and passengers). These relationships are discussed and even though expenses are assumed fixed, they are assigned to a specific operating characteristic or quantity in order to evaluate individüal routes. The model definitions and relationships are as follows:

## Board of Directors

Wages: These are wages associated with the Board of Directors Department employees. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are training and other fringe benefits allocated to the department employees in the budget. Variable fringe benefits of employees are generally allocated to the non-departmental budget unless otherwise indicated. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual routecost allocation.

Services: These are costs of services for this department using the chart of accounts for the SCRTD budget. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: These are costs associated with the materials and supplies expenditures of this department as allocated using the chart of accounts for the SCRTD. They are assümed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are telephone and other utility costs allocated to the department using the SCRTD chart of accounts. These are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are travel and other allocated expenses for the department using the SCRTD chart of accounts. These are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## General Manager Department

Wages: These are wages associated with the General Manger Department employees. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are training and other fringe benefits for employees of the department. They are assumed fixed büt are related to peak vehicles for individüal route-cost allocation.

Services: These are department expenditures for services used by the department. They are assümed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual routecost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: These are costs of materials and supplies for the department. Thèy are assümed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are telephone and other utility costs allocated to the department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Office of the District Secretary Department

Wages: These are wages associated with the Office of the District Secretary Department employees. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are training and other fringe benefits for employees of the department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: These are department expenses for services allocated to the department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: These are costs of all materials and supplies utilized by the department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are expenditures for telephone and other utilities allocated to the department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the depar̈tēnt. These are assumed fixed büt are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Legal Department

Wages: These are wages associated with the Legal Department employees. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are training and other fringe benefits for employees of the department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: These are the department expenditures for services. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: These are the costs of all materials and supplies utilized by the department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are telephone and other utility costs allocated to the department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are travel and other miscellaneous costs allocated to the department. These are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Assistant General Manager for Operations

Wages: These are wages associated with all employees of the Office of the Assistant General Manager for Operations. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are training and other fringe benefits for employees of the this office. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual routecost allocation.

Services: These are costs for all services allocated to this office. These are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: These are the costs for all materials and supplies used by the department. These are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are costs for telephone and other utilities allocated to the department. These are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the department. These are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Transportation Operating Divisions

Wages: These are wages associated with the division managers, assistant division managers, dispatchers, and clerical help for each division. Based on 13 operating divisions, these are variable in steps of plus or minus 159 peak vehicles (a representation for one transportation operating division at SCRTD) and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are fringe benefits for those employees associated with each transportation operating division. They include fringe benefits that were allocated to the non-departmental budget in the SCRTD budget. These fringes are variable in steps of plus or minus 159 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Operator Wages: An analysis was accomplished of operator pay-hours and it was determined that over the last year operator pay-hours are 1.5794 times revenue hours of service. Using this as a base and with an estimated 7,152,000 revenue hours for FY 84, a budget was determined for operator pay. These wages are variable in steps of plus or minus 777 revenue hours and are associated with revenue hours for individual route-cost allocation. The 777 revenue hours is the equivalent of one-half of a full-time operator equivalency based on analysis that revealed the average revenue hours per full-time operator is 1,554 .

Operator Fringes: These are fringe benefits for bus operators. These were calculated and were previously shown in the non-departmental budget allocation. They are variable in steps of plus or minus 777 revenue hours and are related to revenue-hours for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: These are the costs of services for the Transportation Operating Divisions as allocated with the SCRTD chart of accounts. They are variable in steps of plus or minus 159 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: These are the costs of materials and supplies associated with the Transportation Operating Divisions. These are variable in steps of plus or minus 159 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are telephone and other utility costs allocated to the Transportation Operating Divisions. These are variable in steps of plus or minus 159 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are travel and other miscellaneous expenses associated with the Transportation Operating Divsions. They are variable in steps of plus or minus 159 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual routecost allocation.

## Stops and Zones Department

Wages: These are wages associated with the Stops and Zones Department employees. These are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are fringe benefits for this department's employees. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services allocated to this department. These are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies for the department. It includes the costs of bus stop signs. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Transportation Services Department

Wages: These are wages of all administrative personnel, managers, supervisors, and assistants in the Transportation Services Department. It also includes wages for traffic loaders and service directors. These wages are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Wages: These are street supervisor wages which are considered fixed. An analysis of the street supervision needs of the SCRTD revealed that there are 85 current positions in the schedule. And an analysis revealed that approximtely 70 of these positions would be considered fixed if coverage of the service area were to remain fairly constant. These wages are assumed fixed but are related to revenuehours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Wages: These are wages for the 15 street supervisors that are considered variable. These wages would vary in steps of plus or minus 476,800 revenue-hours of service and are related to revenue-hours for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are fringe benefits calculated for the 15 street supervisors that are variable by revenue-hours of service. These will vary in steps of plus or minus 476,800 revenue-hours of service and are related to revenue-hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Wages: These are wages associated with the radio dispatchers for the SCRTD service area. An analysis was accomplished of the needs for radio dispatchers given cutbacks in service and these wages are associated with those dispatchers which are assumed to be fixed and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Wages: These are wages for the radio dispatchers which are considered variable. An analysis revealed that 13 employees could be considered variable depending upon level of service. These wages vary in steps of plus or minus 159 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are fringe benefits associated with the 13 radio dispatchers. They vary in steps of plus or minus 159 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are training and other fringe benefits associated with the department. They are considered fixed and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: These are services related to the Transportation Services Department. They are considered fixed and are allocated to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: These are materials and supplies expenditures for the department. They are considered fixed büt are allocated to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are telephone expenses associated with the variable radio dispatch functions. They are variable in steps of plus or minus 159 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are telephone and other utility costs associated with this department. They are considered fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual roüte-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are travel and other miscellaneous expenses for the department. They are considered fixed büt are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Transportation Instruction Department

Wages: These are wages associated with senior instrüctors, trainers, and clerktypists associated with the 12 operating divisions. These wages will vary in steps of plus or minus 172 peak vehicles (a representation of each of the operating divisions) and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are fringe benefits associated with those employees attached to the 12 operating divisions. They will vary in steps of plus or minus 172 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Wages: These are wages associated with the administration of the Transportation Instruction Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Wages: These are the wages of the 16 instructors associated with training incoming bus operators. They will vary in steps of plus or minus 447,000 hours of service and are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are fringe benefits associated with the instructors of incoming bus operators and were previously included in the non-departmental allocation. They will vary in steps of plus or minus 447,000 hours of service and are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are training and other fringe benefits associated with the Transportation Instruction Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: These are the costs of services allocated to the Transportation Instruction Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: These are the costs of materials and supplies for the Transportation Instruction Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are telephone and other utility expenditures allocated to the department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are travel and other miscellaneous expenses associated with the department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Transportation General

Wages: These are wages of the employees of the administrative arm of the Transportation Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Transportation General administrative arm employees. They are assumed fixed büt are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services provided for the Transportation General. They are assumed fixed but are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: These are the costs of materials and supplies for the administration of the Transportation Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: This is the telephone and other utility costs allocated to the Transportätion Depaartment administrative arm. They are assumed fixed but are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are the costs of travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the administration of the Transportation Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

## Maintenance Operating Divisions

Servicing Deep-cleaning Wages: These are wages associated with the deepcleaning effort of the bus servicing. It was estimated that 15 percent of the 432 employees assigned to the operating divisions, or 65 employees, were involved in this effort. These wages will vary in steps of plus or minus 32 peak vehicles and are assigned to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Servicing Deep-cleaning Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 65 employees assigned to the deep-cleaning effort at the Operating Divisions. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 32 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Servicing Wages: These are the wages associated with the 367 employees in the Maintenance Operating Divisions assigned to vehicle servicing. These wages will vary in steps of plus or minus 5.6 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Servicing Fringes: These are fringe benefits calculated for the 367 employees assigned this effort at the Maintenance Operating Divisions. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 5.6 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Servicing Supervisor Wages: These are the wages associated with the supervision of the bus servicing effort at the Maintenance Operating Division. Based on 11
employees involved in this effort, these costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 188 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Servicing Supervisor Fringes: These are fringe benefits calculated for the 11 supervisors involved in the bus servicing effort. They will vary in steps of plus or minus 188 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Wheelchair Maintenance Wages: These are the wages of the approximately 60 employees assigned to this effort at the Maintenance Operating Divisions. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 34.4 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Wheelchair Maintenance Fringes: These are the calculated fringe benefits for the 60 employees involved in the wheelchair maintenance effort at the Maintenance Operating Divisions. They will vary in steps of plus or minus 34.4 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Non-revenue Wages: These are the wages of the 27 mechanics in maintenance of the non-revenue vehicles. They will vary in steps of plus or minus 264,889 hours of service and are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Non-revenue Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 27 employees assigned to the maintenance of the non-revenue vehicles. They will vary in steps of plus or minus 264,889 hours of service and are related to hours of service for individual roüte-cost allocation.

Fare Box Maintenance Wages: These are wages associated with the 10 mechañics iñoolved in the farebox maintenance effort. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 206 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individüal route-cost allocation.

Fare Box Maintenance Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 10 employees involved in the fare box maintenance effort. They will vary in steps of plus or minus 206 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Campaigns and Reserve Wages: These are the wages associated with the 34 mechanics assigned to campaigns and the reserve fleet of SCRTD. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 60.7 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Campaigns and Reserve Fringes: These are the calculated fringe benefits of the 34 employees involvè in campaigns and reserve fleet maintenance for the SCRTD. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 60.7 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Inspection Wages: These are the wages of the 76 mechanics involved in inspection of buses at the Maintenance Operating Divisions. These wages will vary in steps of plus or minus $1,251,605$ miles of service and are related to miles of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Inspection Fringes: These are the calculated fringe benefits of the 76 employees assigned to the inspection effort in the Maintenance Operating Divisions. They will vary in steps of plus or minus $1,251,605$ miles of service and are related to miles of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Running Repair Wages: These are the wages associated with the 617 mechanics involved in the ruñing repair effort at the Maintenance Operating Divisions. They will vary in steps of plus or minus 154,169 miles of service and are related to miles of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Running Repair Fringes: These are the calculated fringe benefits for the 617 employees assigned to the running repair effort at the Maintenance Operating Divisions. They will vary in steps of plus or minus 154,169 miles of service and are related to miles of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Supervision and Administration Wages: These are wages associated with the administration and supervision of the Maintenance Operating Divisions. Based on 12 Maintenance Operating Divisions, these costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 172 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Supervision and Administration Fringes: These are the calculated fringe benefits for those supervisory and administrative employees of the Maintenance Operating Divisions. Based on 12 operating divisions, they will vary in steps of plus or minüs 172 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Servicing Wages Fixed: These are the wages of the additional servicing employees assigned to campaign and reserve fleet maintenance divisions. These wages are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Servicing Fringes: These are the calculated fringe benefits for those service employees assigned to the campaign and reserve fleet Maintenance Operating Divisions. These are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Training and Other Fringe Benefits: These are the training and other allocated fringe benefits of the Maintenance Operating Divisions. Based on 12 Operating Divisions, they will vary in steps of plus or minus 172 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services for Divisions: These are the costs of services for the Maintenance Operating Divisions. Based on 12 Maintenance Operating Divisions, they will vary in steps of plus or minus 172 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Lubricants Revenue Equipment: This is the cost of lubricants for the Maintenance Operating Divisions. These costs will vary directly by the miles of service and are related to miles of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Tires and Tubes Revenue Equipment: This is the cost of tires and tubes for the revenue equipment. These costs will vary directly by the miles of service provided and are related to miles of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Bus Parts Revenue Equipment: This is the cost of bus parts for the Maintenance Operating Divisions repair effort. These costs will vary directly by the miles of service provided and are related to miles of service for individual routecost allocation.

Tools and Expendable Equipment: This is the cost of tools and other expendable equipment associated with the Maintenance Operating Divisions. Based on 12 Operating Divisions, these costs will vary in steps of plus or minüs 172 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Other Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of other materials and supplies utilized by the Maintenance Operating Divisions. Based on 12 Operating Divisions, these costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 172 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utilities allocated to the Maintenance Operating Divisions. Based on 12 Maintenance Operating Divisions, they will vary in steps of plus or minus 172 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses associated with the Maintenance Operating Divisions. Based on 12 Maintenänce Operating Divisions, they will vary in steps of plus or minus 172 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Central Maintenance

Running Repair Wages: These are wages associated with the 29 employees involved in the rüning repair effort in the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $\mathbf{3 , 2 8 0 , 0 6 9}$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Running Repair Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 29 mechanics involved in the runing repair effort at the Central Maintenance Facility. They will vary in steps of plus or minus $3,280,069$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Engine Rebuild Wages: These are the wages for the 39 mechanics involved in the engine rebuild effort of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plüs or minus $2,439,026$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Engine Rebuild Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 39 mechanics involved in the engine rebuild effort of the Central Maintenance Facility: These costs will vary in steps of plus of minus $2,439,026$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Transmission Shop Wages: These are the wages for the 29 mechanics involved in the transmission shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $\mathbf{3 , 2 8 0 , 0 6 9}$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Transmission Shop Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 29 mechanics involved in the transmission shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $\mathbf{3 , 2 8 0 , 0 6 9}$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Electrical Shop Wages: These are the wages for the 32 mechanics in the electrical shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $2,972,563$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Electrical Shop Fringes: These are the fringe benefits for the 32 employees of the electrical shop in the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $2,972,563$ miles and are related to miles for individual routecost allocation.

Small Unit Shop Wages: These are the wages of the 27 mechanics involved in the small unit shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs wil vary in steps of plus or minus $3,523,037$ miles and are related to miles for individual routecost allocations.

Small Unit Shop Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 27 mechanics involved in the small unit shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $3,523,0.37$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Engine Teardown Wages: These are wages associated with the 10 mechanics involved in the engine teardown effort of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $\mathbf{9 , 5 1 2 , 2 0 0}$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Engine Teardown Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 10 mechanics involved in the engine teardown effort of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $9,512,200$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Radiator Shop Wages: These are the wages associated with the 8 mechanics involved in the rädiator shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $11,890,250$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Radiator Shop Fringes: These are the fringe benefits associated with the 8 employees involved in the radiator shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $11,890,250$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Machine Shop Wages: These are the wages associated with the 13 mechanics involved in the machine shop effort of the Central Maintenance Facility. These will vary in steps of plus or minus $7,317,077$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Machine Shop Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 13 mechanics involved in the machine shop effort of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $7,317,077$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Sheet Metal Shop Wages: These are the wages associated with the 12 mechanics involved in the sheet metal shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. They will vary in steps of plus or minus $7,926,833$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Sheet Metal Shop Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 12 mechanics involved in the sheet metal shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. They will vary in steps of plus or minus $7,926,833$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Welding Shop Wages: These are the wages associated with the 14 mechanics involved in the welding shop effort of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $6,794,429$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Welding Shop Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 14 mechanies involved in the welding shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $6,794,429$ miles for individual routecost allocation.

Upholstery Shop Wages: These are the wages associated with the 15 mechanics involved in the upholstery shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 6,$341 ; 467$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Upholstery Shop Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 15 mechanics involved in the upholstery shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $6,341,467$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Paint and Trim Shop Wages: These are the wages associated with the 41 mechanics involved in the paint and trim shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $2,320,049$ and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Paint and Trim Shop Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 41 mechanics involved in the paint and trim shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $2,320,049$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Body and Frame Shop Wages: These are the wages assocated with the 65 mechanics involved in the body and frame shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $1,463,415$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Body and Frame Shop Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 65 mechanics involved in the body and frame shop effort of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $1,463,415$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Repair Shop Wages: These are the wages associated with the injector shop, the glass repair shop, and the screen process of the central
maintenance facility. There are a total of 10 employees involved in this effort and approximately one-third are involved with each of these shops. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $31,707,333$ miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous. Repair Shop Fringes: These are the fringe benefits associated with the 10 employees involved in the injector shop, the glass repair shop, and the screen process shop of the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $31,707,333$ miles and are related to miles for individual routecost allocation.

Service Wages: These are the wages associated with the 17 service workers assigned to the Central Maintenance Facility. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 121 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Service Fringes: These are the fringe benefits associated with the 17 service workers assigned to the Central Maintenance Facility. These will vary in steps of plus or minus 121 peak vehicles and are assigned to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Central Shop's Supervision Wages: These are the wages associated with the supervision in the Central Maintenance Facility. Based on 15 supervisors, these will vary: in steps of plus or minus 138 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Central Shop's Supervision Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the central shop supervision. Based on 15 employees involved in this effort, these will vary in steps of plus or minus 138 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Central Shop's Administration Wages: These are the wages associated with the administration in the Central Maintenance Facility. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Traning and Other Fringes: These are the costs of training and other fringe benefits ässociated with the Central Maintenance Facility. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services associated with the Central Maintenance Facility. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are telephone and other utility costs allocated to the central maintenarce facility: They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are travel and other miscellaneous expenses associated with the Central Maintenance Facility. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Facility Maintenance. Department

Electrical Maintenance Wages: These are the wages associated with the 23 mechanics assigned to electrical maintenance within the Facility Maintenance Department. Based on maintenance for 14 facilities, these will vary in steps of plus or minus 147 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Electrical Maintenance Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 23 mechanics assigned to electrical maintenance effort of the Facility Maintenance Department. Based on the maintenance of 14 facilities these will vary in steps of plus or minus 147 peak vehicles and are are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Property Maintenance Wages: These are the wages associated with the 13 service workers and 47 mechanics assigned to this effort. Based on the maintenance of 14 facilities, these will vary in steps of plus or minus 147 peak vehicles and are are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Property Maintenance Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 13 service workers añ 47 mechanjes assigned to the property maintenance effort. Based on the maintenance of 14 facilities these will vary in steps of plus or minus 147 peak vehicles and are are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Administration and Supervision Wages: These are the wages associated with the administration and supervision of the Facility Maintenance Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Training and Fringe Benefits: This is the cost of training and other allocated fringe benefits for the Facility Maintenance Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Facility Maintenance Department. They are assumed fixed büt are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies used by the maintenance effort of the Facility Maintenance Department. Based on maintenance of 14 facilities these costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 147 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utilities allocated to the Facility Maintenance Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expeñses related to the Facility Maintenance Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Maintenance General Department

Instruction Wages: These are the wages associated with the 11 instructors in the maintenance department. They will vary in steps of plus or minus 188 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Instruction Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 11 instructors associated with the maintenance department. They will vay in steps of plus or minüs 188 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Wages: These are the wages associated with the Maintenance General Deparment. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual routecost allocation.

Fringes: These are costs of training and other fringe benefits utilized by the Maintenance General Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services ütilized by the Maintenance General Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies for the Maintenance General Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utilities allocated to the Maintenance General Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses for the Maintenance General Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Equipment Engineering Department

Wages: These are the wages the employees of the Equipment Engineering Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Equipment Engineering Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Equipment Engineering Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies for the Equipment Engineering Depärtment. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utilities allocated to the Equipment Engineering Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses for the Equipmeñt Engineering Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Telecommunications Department

Electronic Maintenance Wages: These are the wages for the 37 electronic maintenance employees assigned to the Telecommunications Department. They will vary in steps of plus or minus 56 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Electronic Maintenance Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 37 employees involved in this effort. They will vary in steps of plus or minus 56 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Administrative Wages: These are the wages associated with the adminstration of the Tëlecommunic̣ations Department. They are assümed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are costs of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Telecommünications Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services associated with the Telecommunications Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies associated with the maintenance of the radios on the revenue equipment. These costs will vary directly by the number of peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies associated with the Telecommunications Department efforts. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utilities allocated to the Telecommunications Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expensē associated with the Telecommunications Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Safety Department

Wages: These are the wages for employees of the Safety Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are costs of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Safety Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Safety Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies associated with the Safety Department. These costs are assümed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utilities allocated to the Safety Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses of the Safety Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Transit Police Department

Police Wages: These are wages for the 34 uniformed officers assigned to passenger security efforts for SCRTD. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $13,688,235$ passengers and are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Police Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 34 officers assigned to passenger security efforts for the SCRTD. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $13,688,235$ passengers.

Police Wages: These are the wages associated with the 17 officers assigned to transportation service inspections. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 420,706 hours and are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Police Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 17 police officers ässigned to transportation service inspections for the SCRTD. These costs will vary in steps of plüs or minus 420,706 hours and are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Administrative Wages: These are the wages associated with the administration and other duties of the Transit Police Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Transit Police Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services provided for the Transit Police Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies for the Transit Police Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utilities allocated to the Transit Police Department. They are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual roüte-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous. Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expeñises of the Trañsit Police Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Scheduling Department

Schedule-maker Wages: These are the wages of those schedule-makers considered variable with large amounts of service hour changes. These costs are variable in steps of plus or minus 596,000 hours and are related to hours of service for individual roüte-cost allocation.

Schedule-maker Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 12 schedule-makers considered variable based on large amounts of service changes. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 596,000 hours and are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Checker Wages: These are the wages associated with the 51 checkers assigned to the Scheduling Department. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 45.5 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Checker Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 51 checkers assigned to the Scheduling Department. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 40.5 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Wages: These are the wages for the administration and other employees of the Scheduling Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are the costs of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Scheduling Department. These costs are considered fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services provided for the Scheduling Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual routecost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the Scheduling Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are the costs of telephone and other utilities expenses allocated to the Scheduling Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are the costs of travel and other miscellaneous expenses of the Scheduling Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Assistant General Manager for Planning and Communications

Wages: These are the wages associated with the Assistant G.M. for Planning and Communications. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are the costs of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Assistant G.M. for Planning and Communications. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services allocated to the Assistant G.M. for Planning and Communications. These costs are assumed fixed by are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: These are the costs of materials and supplies for the Assistant G.M. for Planining and Communications. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are the costs of telephone and other utility services allocated to the Assistant G.M. for Planning and Communications. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are the costs of travel and other miscellaneous expenses for the Assistant G.M. for Planning and Communications. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Planning Department

Wages: These are the costs of wages associated with employees of the Planining Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are the costs of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Planning Department. These costs are assümed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services provided for the Planning Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual routecost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the Planning Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are the costs of telephone and other utilities allocated to the Planning Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicies for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are the costs of travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the Planning Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Marketing and Communications Department

Ticket Clerk Wages: These are the wages associated with the 22 ticket clerks involved in sales of mäterials to SCRTD passengers. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $21,154,545$ passengers and are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Ticket Clerk Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 22 ticket clerks assigñed to sell materials to SCRTD passengers. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $21,154,545$ passengers and are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Wages: These are the wages for administrative and other employees assigned to the Marketing and Communications Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are the costs of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Marketing and Communications Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services allocated to the Marketing and Communications Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the marketing and Communications Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utilities allocated to the Marketing and Commünications Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are the costs of travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the Marketing and Communications Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

## Customer Relations Department

Telephone Clerk's Wages: These are the costs of wages for the 94 telephone clerks assigned to the Customer Relations Department. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $4,951,064$ passengers and are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Telephone Clerk's Fringes: These are the costs of fringe benefits for the 94 telephone clerks ässigned to the Customer Relations Department. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $4,951,064$ passengers and are related to passengers for indïvidual route-cost allocation.

Wages: This is the cost of wages for administrative and other personnel assigned to the Customer Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: This is the cost of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Customer Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services allocated to the Customer Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to passengers for individual roụte-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: These are the costs for materials and supplies utilized by the Customer Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utility expenses for the Customer Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are the costs of travel and other miscellaneous expenises allocated to the Customer Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

## Assistant General Manager for Governmental and Community Affairs

Wages: This is the cost of wages for employees of the Assistant G.M. for Government and Community Affairs. These costs are assümed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies for the Assistant G.M. for Government and Community Affairs. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are the costs of telephone and other utilities allocated to the Assistant G.M. for Government and Community Affairs. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are the costs of travel and other miscellaneous expenses for to the Assistant G.M. for Government and Community Affairs. These
costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Government Affairs Unit

Wages: This is the cost of wages for employees of the Government Affairs Unit. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: This is the cost of training and other fringe benefit costs allocated to the Government Affairs Unit. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Government Affairs Unit. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual routecost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies for the Government Affairs Unit. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: These are the costs of telephone and other utilities expenses allocated to the Government Affairs Unit. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: These are the costs of travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the Government Affairs Unit. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Community Relations Department

Wages: This is the cost of wages for employees of the Community Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are costs of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Com minity Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Services: These are the costs of services utilized by the Community Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the Commünity Relātioñs Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utility expenses allocated to the Commünity Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed; but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the Community Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Assistant General Manager for Equal Opportunity

Wages: This is the cost of wages for employees of the Assistant GM for Equal Opportunity. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies used by the Assistant GM for Equal Opportunity. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utility expenses allocated to the Assistant GM for Equal Opportunity. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the Assistant GM for Equal Opportunity. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles för individual route-cost allocations.

## Human Relations Department

Wages: This is the cost of wages for employees of the Human Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Fringes: This is the cost of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Human Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Human Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the Humān Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utility expenses allocated to the Human Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the Human Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## Employee Education, Training, and Development Department

Wages: This is the cost of wages for employees of the Employee Educaton, Training and Development Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Fringes: This is the cost of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Employee Educaton, Training and Development Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Employee Educaton, Training and Development Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the Employee Educätion, Training and Development Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utility expenses allocated to the Employee Education, Training and Development Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenises allocated to the Employee Education, Training and Development Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## Controller-Treasurer-Auditor Department

Wages: This is the cost of wages for employees of the Controller-TreasurerAuditor Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Fringes: This is the cost of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Controller-Treasurer-Auditor Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Controller-TreasurerAuditor Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Materials and Süpplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the Controller-Treasurer-Auditor Department: These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utility expenses allocated to the Controller-Treasurer-Auditor Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for indîividual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the Controller-Treasurer-Auditor Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## Accounting and Fiscal Departiment

Payroll, Clerk, Wages: These are the costs associated with the 16 payroll clerks assigñed to the department. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 447,000 hours and are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Payroll, Clerk, Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 16 payroll clerks assigned to the accounting and fiscal Department. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 447,000 hours and are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Cash Clerk Wages: These are the costs associated with the 28 cash clerk employees of the department. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $16,621,429$ passengers and are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Cash Clerk Fringes: These are the fringe benefit\$ calculated for the 28 cash clerks assigned to the department. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus $16,621,429$ passengers and are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Wages: These are the wages associated with the other employees of the Accounting and Fiscal Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: This is the cost of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Accounting and Fiscal Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Accounting and Fiscal Department. These costs are considered fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the Accounting änd Fiscal Department. These costs are considered fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utility expenses allocated to the Accounting and Fiscal Department. These costs are considered fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses for the department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## Data Processing Department

Wages: This is the cost of wages for employees of the Data Processing Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Fringes: This is the cost of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Data Processing Department. These costs are considered fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Data Processing Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the Data Processing Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles för individual route-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utility expenses allocated to the Data Processing Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses för thë Data Procēssing Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## Office of Insurance

Wages: These are wages for the Office of Insurance employees. These costs are assumed fixed, büt are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Fringes: This is the cost of training and other fringe benefits for the department employees. These costs are considered fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Office of Insurance. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the Office of Insurance. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utility expenses associated with the Office of Insurance. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for índividual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expeñes for the Office of Insurance. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## Assistant General Manager for Transit System Development

Wages: These are wages for the employees of the Assitant G.M. for Transit System Development Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies for the Assistañt G.M. for Trānsit System Development. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utility expenses allocated to the Assistant G.M. for Transit System Development. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses for the Assitant G.M. for Transit System Development. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## Bus Facilities Engineering

Wages: These are wages for the employees of the Bus Facilities Engineering Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Fringes: This is the cost of training and other fringe benefits allocated to Bus Facilities Engineering. These costs are considered fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Bus Facilities Engineering. These cösts are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual routecost allocations.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by Bus Facilities Engineering. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual roüte-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utility expenditures allocated to the Bus Facility Engineering. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses utilized by Büs Facility Engineering. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individüal route-cost allocations.

## Assistant General Manager for Management

Wages: This is the cost of wages for the employees of the Assitant G.M. for Management. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and süpplies for the Assistnat G.M. for Management: These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utility expenses allocated to the Assistant G.M. for Management. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses for the Assitant G.M. for Management. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## Contracts and Purchasing Department

Stores Wages: These are the wages associated with 13 storekeepers and 26 stockclerks ässigned to 13 different operating facilities and considered variable.

These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 159 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for indiviudal route-cost allocation.

Stores Fringes: These are the fringe benefits calculated for the 13 storekeepers and 26 stockclerks assigned to the various operating facilities. These steps will vary in steps of plus or minus 159 peak vehicles and are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Wages: These are the wages of administrative and other employees assigned to the Contracts and Purchasing Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: This is the cost of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Contracts and Purchasing Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Contracts and Purchasing Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the Contract and Purchiasing Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utility expenses allocated to the Contract and Purchasing Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the Contracts and Purchasing Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## Personnel Department

Wages: This is the cost of wages for those employees assigned to the Personnel Department. These costs are assümed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: This is the cost of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Personnel Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Personnel Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual routecost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and süpplies utilized by the Personnel Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utility expenses allocated to the Persoñel Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individuual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses for the Personinel Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## General Services Department

Wages: These are the wages associated with employees of the General Services Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: These are costs of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the General Services Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services provided for the General Services Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the General Services Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Útilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utilities allocated to the General Services Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the General Services Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## Print Shop

Wages: This is the cost of wages for those employees assigned to the Print Shop. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for indiviidual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: This is the cost of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Print Shop. These costs are assumed fixed büt are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Print Shop. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Timetables: This is the cost of timetables printed by the Print Shop. These costs will vary directly by the number of passengers served and are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the Print Shop. Thëse costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utilities allocated to the Print Shop. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the Print Shop. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## Office of Management and Budget

Wages: This is the cost of wages for those employees of the Office of Management and Budget. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: This is the cost of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Office of Management and Büdget. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Office of Management and Budget. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the Office of Management and Budget. These costs are assümed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utilities allocated to the Office of Management and Budget. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expeñses utilized by the Office of Management and Budget. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## Labor Relations Department

Wages: This is the cost of wages for employees of the Labor Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: This is the cost of training and other fringe benefits allocated to the Labor Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Labor Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the Labor Relations Departinent. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utilities allocated to the Labor Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expensēs allocated to the Labor Relations Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## Employee Activities Department

Wages: This is the cost of wages for those employees of the Employee Activities Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services utilized by the Employee Activities Department. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies utilized by the Employee Activities Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utilities allocated to the Employee Activities Department. "These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Miscellaneous Expenses: This is the cost of travel and other miscellaneous expenses allocated to the Employee Activities Department. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocations.

## Non-Departmental Expenses

Wages: This is the cost of wages not assigned to any other departments within the organization of SCRTD. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fringes: Most fringes within the budget process at SCRTD are assigned to the non-departmental expenses. As this model was developed, fringe benefits for employees that are considered variable were reallocated out of the non-departmental expense category and assigned to departments that were appropriate. These reallocated dollars were removed from the non-departmental expense fringe category and the balance are the fringe benefits associated with all non-variable SCRTD employees. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Workman's Compensation: These are workman's compensation claims estimated to relate to the bus operators. These costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 777 hours based on an approximate 4,600 bus operators and are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Workman's Compensation: These are workmen's compensation claims associated with the maintenance department at the SCTRD. Based on approximately 1,600
maintenance employees, these costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 59,451 miles and are related to miles of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Workman's Compensation: These are workmen's compensation claims not associated with bus operators or the maintenance department operations. These costs are considered fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Services: This is the cost of services assigned to the Non-departmental Expenses. These costs are assumed fixed but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fuel: This is the cost of fuel for revenue vehicles operated at SCRTD. These costs will vary directly by the miles of service provided and are related to miles of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Materials and Supplies: This is the cost of materials and supplies assigned to the Non-departmental Expenses category. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Utilities: This is the cost of telephone and other utilities assinged to the Nondepartmental Expense category. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Premiums for Physical Damage: This is the cost for premiums for physical damage insurance for SCRTD property. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Premiums for PL and PD Insurance: This is the cost of premiums for PL and PD Insurance. These costs̄ äre ässumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Premiums for Corporate Insurance: These are premiums for the Corporate Insurance för SCRTD. These costs are assümed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

Provisions for Uninsured PL: This is the provision for the Uninsured portion of the liability insurance for the SCRTD. Based on approximately 4,600 claims annually, these costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 101,174 passengers and are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Provisions for Uninsured PD: These are costs associated with the uninsured portion of the property damage insurance for SCRTD. Based of approximately 2,400 claims these costs will vary in steps of plus or minus 39,634 miles and are related to miles of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Expenses for PL: These are the expenses for processing the liability claims for the SCRTD. Based on approximately 4,600 claims in this category per year these will vary in steps of plus or minus 101,174 passengers and are related to passengers for individual route-cost allocation.

Expenses for PD: These are the expenses for processing the property damages claims for the SCRTD. These costs, based on approximately 2,400 claims in this category for a year, will vary in steps of plus or minus 39,634 miles and are related to miles for individual route-cost allocation.

Fuel and Lube Taxes, Non-Revenue Equipment: This is the Fuel and Lube Taxes for the fuel purchases for non-revenue equipment for the SCRTD. These costs are assumed fixed and are related to hours of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Fuel and Lube Taxes, Revenue Equipment: These are the Fuel and Lube Taxies from the purchase of fuel for the revenue equipment operations for the SCRTD. These costs will vary directly by the miles of service and are related to the miles of service for individual route-cost allocation.

Leases and Rentals: This is the cost of Leases and Rentals for SCRTD equipment and facilities. These costs are assumed fixed, but are related to peak vehicles for individual route-cost allocation.

## Operating Statistics

The following projected operating statistics were supplied by SCRTD for the FY 84 operations. These statistics will be used to calibrate the model in the FY 84 base year.

| Annual Revenue Vehicle Miles: | $95,122,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Annual Revenue Hours of Service: | $7,152,000$ |
| Úninked Passenger Trips: | $\mathbf{4 6 5 , 4 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Peak Vehicles: | 2,063 |
|  |  |
| Inflation |  |

All the costs identified in the operations cost model are projected FY 84 expenditures. These costs will be used to project future costs. Operating costs will be presented in both future-year dollars and in 1984 dollars.

Table 2 prsents the projected baseline inflation rates applied in calibrators to the bus operating cost model developed in Minneapolis, Minnesota and Table 3 presents the projected baseline inflation rates applied in calibrators to the bus operating cost model developed in St. Louis, Missôuri. These rates are the United States Cities Average All-Item value for the Consumer Price Index (CPI-U). Tables 2 and 3 also present incremental inflation rates that were developed for components of operating cost which it is believed will differ from the CPI. As can be seen, incremental rates can be assumed for wages, fringes, bus parts, diesel fuel, and utility costs. It is recommended that a baseline rate be established for SCRTD projections and incremental rates, if appropriate, be established for wages, fringes, bus parts, and diesel fuel.

The baseline CPI and incremental inflation rates were applied to compute compounded inflation factors for specific cost components. The general form of the equation for computing the factors was:

Table 2
INFLATION RATES AND FACTORS'(FY 83 IS BASE YEAR)

(1) Source: Minnesota State Department of Finance, January, 1984.
(2) Source: Argonne National Laboratory, Center for Transportation Research, Energy and Environmental:Systems.Division, April, 1982.
(3) MTC Estimte

Table 3
INFLATION RATES AND FACTORS (FY 83 IS BASE YEAR)

| Inflation Category | Inflation Rate or Factor | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | $1986$ | 1987: | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline ${ }^{(1)}$ | Rate | $0.00 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $5.10 \%$ | 5.60\% | 6.108 | 6.208 | 6.508 | 6.708 | 6.80\% | 6.608 | 6.508 | $6.50 \%$ | 6.408 |
| (CPI-U) | Factor (Inflated \$) | 1:0000 | 1:0430 | 1.0962 | 1.1576 | 1.2283 | 1.3043 | 1.3891 | 1.4822 | 1.5830 | 1.6875 | 1.7971 | 1.9140 | 2.0365 |
|  | Factor (Constant \$) | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Wages ${ }^{(2)}$ | Incremental Rate | 0.00\% | -4.208 | -3.108 | $0.00 \%$ | 0.00\% | 0:008 | 0.00\% | $0.00 \%$ | 0.00\% | $0.00 \%$ | 0,00\% | 0.00\% | 0.008 |
|  | Factor (Inflated \$). | 1.0000 | 1.001 | 1.0210 | 1.0782 | 1.1440 | 1. 2149 | 1.2939 | 1. 3805 | 1.4744 | 1.5717 | 1,6739 | 1.7827 | 1.8968 |
|  | Factor (Constant \$) | 1.0000 | . 9597 | . 9314 | . 9314 | . 9314 | . 9314 | . 9314 | . 9314 | . 9314 | . 9314 | . 9314 | . 9314 | . 9314 |
| Fringes ${ }^{(2)}$ | Incremental Rate | 0.008 | $5.70 \%$ | 4.90\% | $4.40 \%$ | $3.90 \%$ | 3.80\% | $3.50 \%$ | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0,00\% | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.008 |
|  | Factor (Inflated \$) | 1.0000 | 1.1000 | 1.2100 | 1.3310 | $1 \cdot 4641$ | 1.6105 | 1.7716 | 1.8903 | 2.0188 | 2.1520 | 2.2919 | 2.4409 | 2.5971 |
|  | Factor (Constant \$) | 1.0000 | 1.0546 | 1.1038 | 1.1498 | 1. 1921 | 1.2347 | 1.2753 | 1.2753 | 1.2753 | 1.2753 | 1.2753 | 1.2753 | 1.2753 |
| Diesel Fuel ${ }^{(3)}$ | Incremental Rate | $0.00 \%$ | 3.63\% | 3.51\% | 4.03\% | 3.888 | 3.738 | $3.60 \%$ | 3:478 | $4.03 \%$ | 3.87\% | 3.738 | 3.598 | $3.47 \%$ |
|  | Factor (Inflated \$) | 1.0000 | 1.0793 | 1.1722 | 1.2851 | 1.4134 | 1.5537 | 1.7106 | 1.8846 | 2.0887 | 2.3074 | 2.5435 | 2.8001 | 3.0765 |
|  | Factor (Constant \$) | 1.0000 | 1.0348 | 1.0694 | 1.1102 | 1.1508 | 1.1912 | 1.2315 | 1.2715 | 1.3195 | 1. 3674 | 1.4153 | 1.4630 | 1.5107 |
| St, LouisElectricity | Incremental Rate | 0.008 | $3.70 \%$ | 19.9\% | 0.00\% | 0.008 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | 0.008 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
|  | Factor (Inflated \$) | 1.0000 | 1.0800 | 1.3500 | 1.4256 | 1. 51.26 | 1.6063 | 1.7108 | 1. 8254 | 1.9495 | 2.0782 | 2.2132 | 2.3571 | 2.5080 |
|  | Factor (Constant \$) | 1.0000 | 1.0355 | 1.2315 | 1.2315 | 1.2315 | 1.2315 | 1.2315 | 1.2315 | 1.2315 | 1.2315 | 1.2315 | 1.2315 | 1.2315 |
| Other Direct Costs | Incremental Rate | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | $0.00 \%$ | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | $0.00 \%$ | 0.00\% | 0.008 | $0.00 \%$ | 0.00\% | 0.005 |
|  | Factor (Inf-lated \$) | 1.0000 | 1.0430 | 1.0962 | 1. 1576 | 1.2283 | 1.3043 | 1. 3891 | 1.4822 | 1.5830 | 1.6875 | 1.7971 | 1.9140 | 2.0365 |
|  | Factor (Constant \$) | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1,0000 |

${ }^{[1]}$ Source: Data Resources, Inc., March, 1983.
${ }^{(2)}$ Bi-State Estimate.
${ }^{(3)}$ Source: Argonne Nat ional Laboratory, Center for Transportation Research, Energy and Environmental Systems Division, April, 1982.
${ }^{(4)}$ Source: Union Electric Co., St. Louis, one-half their current and potential rate requests.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CTIF}_{\text {in }}= & \left(1.0+C \mathrm{CI}_{1}+\mathrm{INCR}_{1 \mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{X}\left(1.0+\mathrm{CPI}_{2}+\mathrm{INCR}_{2 n}\right) \mathrm{X} \\
& \ldots \mathrm{X}\left(1.0+C \mathrm{II}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{INCR}_{\mathrm{in}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Where:
$\mathrm{CTIF}_{\text {in }}=\underset{\text { compounded total inflation factor for cost }}{\text { component } \mathrm{n}, \text { in year } \mathrm{i}}$
$\mathrm{CPI}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad=$ inflation rate of consumer price index expressed as a fraction (e.g., $3 \%=0.03$ ), in year i
$\mathrm{INCR}_{\text {in }} \quad=$ incremental ("real") inflation rate for cost component $n$, expressed as a fraction, in year i

The inflation factors computed in this manner were used to estimate costs in inflated dollars. The so-called "uninflated" costs reflected the incremental inflation only, but did not directly include the baseline CPI values. The inflation factors were computed as follows:

$$
\mathrm{CIIF}_{\text {in }}=\frac{1.0+\mathrm{CPI}_{1}+\mathrm{INCR}_{1 n}}{1.0+\mathrm{CPI}_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{X}}{1.0+\mathrm{CPI}_{2}+\mathrm{INCR}_{2 n} \mathrm{X} \ldots \mathrm{X}} \frac{1.0+\mathrm{CPI}_{2}}{}
$$

$$
1.0+\mathrm{CPI}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{INCR}_{\mathrm{in}}
$$

$$
1.0+\mathrm{CPI}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

where:

CIIF $_{\text {in }}=\begin{gathered}\text { compounded incremental inflation factor for cost } \\ \text { component } n \text {, in year } \mathrm{i}\end{gathered}$
Thüs:

$$
\mathrm{CTIF}_{\mathrm{in}}=\left(\mathrm{CIIF}_{\mathrm{in}}\right) \pi_{\mathrm{i}}\left(1,0+\mathrm{CPI}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
$$

Note that CIFF in cannot be computed simply as:
$\mathrm{CIIF}_{\text {in }} \quad \neq\left(1.0+\mathrm{INCR}_{\mathrm{in}}\right) \times\left(1.0+\mathrm{INCR}_{2 n}\right) \mathrm{X} \ldots \mathrm{X}\left(1.0+\mathrm{INCR}_{\mathrm{in}}\right)$

## Articulated Bus Unit Costs

Current cost experience for SCRTD, as reflected in the cost allocation model, is for buses already in the SCRTD fleet. This fleet includes standard 35 -foot and 40 -foot urban coaches but also includes a small percentage of double-decked buses and articulated buses.

If plans exist to change the current ratio of standard büses to articulated buses, the model should be sensitive to these changes and the cost differentials that may exist between standard urban coaches and articulated buses.

No data was readily available on articulated bus costs of SCRTD at the time of the visits to gather materials for development of this bus cost model. If data now exists to differentiate between standard buses and articulated SCRTD buses, this should be utilized. If not, Table 4 presents a comparison between Bi-State factors and articulated bus cost data. These ratios could serve to differentiate between SCRTD standard buses and articulated buses until SCRTD data is collected and analyzed.

Table 4
COMPARISON OF VEHICLE MAINTENANCE UNIT COST FACTORS FOR BI-STATE CURRENT FLEET AND. ARTICULATED BUSES

| Cost Area | Bi-State Current Factor | Articulated Bus Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Serövice Personnel | 1 Worker per 7.36 buses | 1 Worker per 5 articulated buses. |
| Station Repairmen | 1 Worker per 202,338 miles | 1 Worker per 152,076 <br> Articulated Miles. |
| Main Shop Repairmen | 1 Worker per 4.41 buses | 1 Worker per 3.15 Articulated Buses/ |
| Tires and Tubes | 2.16 ¢ per Mịle | 4.32 per Mile |
| Diesel Fuel | 23.71 ¢ per Mile | 27.50 ¢ per Mile |
| Maintenance Parts and Supplies | 11.50 ¢ per Mile | 17.30. ¢ per Mile |
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## FINANCIAL OPERATING PLAN

## I. INTRODUCTION

This document describes the Financial Operating Plan (F.O.P.) of the SCRTD for the years 1985 through 2000. This F.O.P. covers operating and capital costs and revenues of RTD's bus system, as well as Metro Rail MOS-1 segment and the light rail line from L.B. to L.A. The F.O.P. model was developed using a computerized spread sheet program - Lotus 1-2-3. This program is capable of evaluating different operating and funding scenarios, as well as various assumptions about general background economics conditions. The purpose of the model is to provide the decision makers in SCRTD with a tool capable of estimating future operating and capital deficits or surpluses resulting from various operating and capital investment assumptions and policies. A brief description of all the items included in the model will be discussed in the next chapter; here we first describe the general framework of the F.O.P. model and its basic structure.

The F.O.P. model covers all the operations and capital investments of SCRTD from FY 1985 through FY 2000. The model is completely general and allows the inclusion of any transit projects scheduled for construction in L.A. County and/or operation by SCRTD. However, in its present version only the following three transit subsystems were included:

1. Metro Rail MOS-1 line from union station to Wilshire/Alvarado as defined in the Environmental Assessment Report and scheduled to start operations in the year 1990.
2. The light rail line from L.B. to downtown L.A. as described in the L.B.-L.A. Environmental Impact Report as LA-1/MC-1/LB-2 baseline alternative, scheduled to start operations in the year 1990.
3. The entire SCRTD bus system adjusted in the year 1990 to operate in coordination with the mentioned above rail lines; that is, adjusted to provide desired feeder and background support for the two (2) rail services.

A11 money amounts in the F.O.P model are presented in their current (inflated) dollars, unless otherwise noted; all calculations are in inflated dollars. The basic structure of the model consists of the following steps:
A. Operating costs and revenues

1. All operating costs are stated in current (inflated) dollars, and are the results of the appropriate UTPS runs.
2. Passenger revenues for all elements of the transit system are added to other revenue sources to produce the total revenues of the system.,
3. The operating deficit is estimated by subtracting the operating revenues from operating costs.
4. All local, state and federal grants uniquely dedicated for operating subsidies are calculated and summed.
5. The unique operating grants are compared with the operating deficit; if grants are sufficient to cover deficit, no further action is taken. If grants do not suffice, the lef.t over deficit is covered by the $40 \%$ pool within Prop A which can be used for operating or capital funds at the discretion of LACTC.
6. A test is made to check whether the $40 \%$ pool within Prop. A is enough to cover the operating deficit. If the funds suffice the balance remaining in the $40 \%$ Prop. A pool after covering the operating deficit is transferred for capital investment. If those monies are not sufficient an error message is printed.

## B. Capital costs and funding

1. All capital costs (on a commitment basis) are calculated and summed up.
2. All local, state and federal fundings (grants), including the balance (after operating requirements) left in the $40 \%$ pool within Proposition A are evaluated and summed.
3. The capital grants are subtracted from capital costs to indicate surplus or deficits of capital funds. The deficit is assumed to be covered by UMTA sec 3 grant; any surplus is the amount of funds available for other transit capital projects.
II. DEFINITION OF LINE ITEMS IN F.O.P. MODEL

A major part of the effort of developing the F.O.P. model was devoted to identifying the dollar amounts available to SCRTD from various local, state and federal grants and funding sources: Those funds, as well as other basic necessary information for the model are presented in Table I. The information in the table pertains to FY 1983 through FY 2000. Each row in the table is identified by its left most column. A brief description of revenue sources and those factors which define revenue levels is given below:

## A. Basic background information

Background information includes two main groups of the items: (a) arrival C.P.I. changes; and, (b) annual population and income in L.A. County. The C.P.I. values are based on LACTC predictions of C.P.I. to be used for long term forecasts. The basic population and income figures were produced by SCAG for long range transportation planning. The per capita income and population predictions are used to evaluate sales tax revenues which are the basis for Proposition $A$ and TDA monies. Based on past experience the taxable sales in L.A. County are in the range of about $50 \%$ to $53 \%$ of total income of residents in L.A. County. Two scenarios were developed to reflect sales tax trend: high level of funds driven by sales tax receipts which assume 0.53 of total income, and low level of sales tax receipts which assumes spending of 0.50 of total income.

Additional assumptions relate to SCRTD's share of most federal, state and local taxes dedicated for transit in L.A. County. Based on a formula established by the California Legislature which account for population and transit service (measured by transit revenues) SCRTD's share is $86 \%$ of each grant.

## B. Operating Costs and revenues

Operating costs and revenues are the results of specific UTPS computer runs calibrated to reflect SCRTD cost and fare striuctures. The UTPS simulations were performed for the years in which significant changes in the transit system occur. The revenues reflect SCRTD's policy which assumes that the base transit fares return to pre-Proposition A level (in nominal \$) in FY 1986 and will rise to double the pre-Proposition A level (in terms of constant dollars) in FY 1989. From FY 1990 on, the fare will stay the same in constant dollars through FY 2000. Both fares and costs are adjusted for inflation according to the projected C.P.I. values. Rail operating costs for Metro Rail MOS-1 and Long Beach-Los Angeles were taken from their respective Environmental Impact reports. Revenues of both rail system are included in total revenues produced by the UTPS simulations.

## C. Construction and Capital Costs

Construction costs of the Metro Rail and Long Beach-Los Angeles light rail lines are stated in terms of committed funds for construction. The funds for Metro Rail are based on the proposed construction schedule as stated in Metro Rail EIR. The funds for L.B.-L.A. are based on preliminary construction estimates of the LACTC. Other capital expenditures include the following items:

1. Bus acquisitions and replacements which are based on detailed schedules through FY 1997 and estimates of needs for the rest of the period.
2. Capital costs for buildings and structures, land acquisition and office equipment and furnishings are based on detailed schedules developed by SCRTD through FY 1989, and predictions of needs FY 1990 through FY 2000.

## D. Non- operating revenues consist of two i.tems:

1. Aüxiliary Transportation revenües which are mainly income streams anticipated by the SCRTD from advertising.
2. Non Transportation revenues which are income streams gained by the SCRTD as interest on various accounts.

## E. Loca] Grants and Funds

1. Proposition $A$ is collected as $0.5 \%$ of taxable sales in Los Angeles County. This fund can be used for both capital and operating assistance according to the following formula which provides that in $F Y$ 1983, FY 1984 and FY 1985, 25\% of the money is given to cities in L.A. County; $86 \%$ of the remaining $75 \%$ of the fund is dedicated to SCRTD to cover the operating deficit resulting from fare reductions. The amount left in SCRTD's share after covering the operating deficit can be used for capital investment. From FY 1986 on, Proposition A money is divided by another formula as follows: $25 \%$ is dedicated to cities in L.A. County, and $35 \%$ is assigned to capital investment in rail projects in L.A. County. The remaining $40 \%$ can be used for both capital and operating expenses at the discretion of LACTC. . The assumptions made in the F.O.P. model is that $86 \%$ of the discretionary $40 \%$ Proposition A money will be used by SCRTD first to cover the leftover operating
deficit after accounting for all available operating grants: The money remaining after accounting for the operating deficit will be used capital investment.
2. TDA is a state fund distributed to counties based on the local share of sales tax collected at each county. The TDA for Los Angeles County is by definition $0.25 \%$ of taxable sales. Out of the total amount returned to L.A. County, $6 \%$ is assigned to various non R.T.D. non transit projects. $86 \%$ of the remaining $94 \%$ of these funds are committed by formula to SCRTD. Out of this, $15 \%$ is assigned to capital investments and 85\% for operating subsidy. TDA, being based on taxable sales, is also influenced by the model's assumptions on taxable sales in Los Angeles County.
3. Local operating contractual payments are funds transmitted to SCRTD by Riverside, Orange and San Bernardino Counties for transit services provided by SCRTD. Those payments are expected to continue on the same level (adjusted for C.P.I) through the year 2000.
4. Benefit Assessment funds are the amount of monies to be received from bonds issued against income anticipated from Benefit Assessment District revenues associated with the five (5) Metro Rail MOS-1 stations.
5. City of Los Angeles funds are the present commitments of the city to the construction of Metro Rail MOS-1 and are derived by the city from 25\% local return pool within Proposition A.

## F. State Funds and Grants

1. STA-State Transit Assistance funds are allocated to counties by formula based on their population and transit revenues. STA monies are $60 \%$ of the TP\&D (Transportation planning and development account) which are generated by a formula which is dependent on state gasoline tax revenues and money generated by state sales tax revenues. SCRTD receives 86\% of STA money allocated to Los Angeles County and uses it at its discretion to cover operating deficits or for capital investment. The STA figures used are the predictions of SCÄG.
2. Article XIX funds are general funds allocated by the state for highways and fixed guideways construction. The funds stated in the F.O.P. model are the stated commitments of the state for the construction of Metro Rail MOS-1.
G. Federal funds and Grants.
3. Section 9 Federal funds are formula dollars assigned for both operating subsidy and capital investment. This fund is defined through FY 1986. At present there is no clear definition of what amount of section 9federal support will be available starting FY 1987 and continuing through FY 2000. To account for this uncertainty, two scenarios were developed with respect to funds available from FY 1987 through FY 2000. Both scenarios are identical for FY 1985 and 1986. For the first two years the stated amount available in section 9 monies are SCAG estimates. By law $\$ 47.5 \mathrm{M}$ in inflated dollars can be used for operating subsidy and the rest of the money has to be used for capital investment. Scenario 1 which represents the pessimistic assumption
from SCRTD's point of view assumes that the future section 9 fund will stay the same as in FY 1986 in terms of inflated dollar, i.e. will decline in terms of constant dollars. The operating subsidy is also kept fixed at a level of $\$ 47.5 \mathrm{M}$ inflated dollars. Scenario 2 is the more optimistic; it assumes that from FY 1987 through FY 2000 the total section 9 monies will stay fixed as in FY 1986 in terms of constant dollars thus increasing in inflated dollars from year to year. The operating subsidy will also stay fixed in terms of constant 1986 dollars, while the amount available for capital investment will be the difference between the total amount available in section 9 fund and the assigned operating sübsidy.
4. UMTA section 3 funds are discretionary funds available for capital investment in transit projects. In the F.O.P. model it is assumed that the deficit in capital investment for the two rail projects (after accounting for all dedicated local, state, and federal grants) will be covered by UMTA section 3 grants.
5. Other federal assistance consists mainly of federal funds committed for technical studies and is assumed to stay at it's present level in constant dollars, thus increasing only due to inflation.

## III. MODEL STRUCTURE AND OUTPUT

The outpit of the F.O.P. model is presented in table II and is self explanatory. As stated above it was programmed using LOTUS 1-2-3 and thus is very flexible when it is necessary to evaluate different financial assumptions and various scenarios regarding future development. It is rather easy to evaluate the
financial operating plan assuming different C.P.I. values, population growth etc., and thus produce an almost infinite number of reports. The figures included in the model represent SCRTD's best estimates of the relevant information. Eight (8) possible alternatives were programmed into the F.O.P. Mode.l; they represent two scenarios for each of the following variables.
A. Available UMTA section 9 fund - Two scenarios were assumed regarding the size and distribution of this fund in the future the details of these assumptions were stated above.
B. Available Proposition $A$ and TDA funds due to two alternative assumptions on percentage of spent income, are presented.
C. Size of SCRTD transit service - The following two possible policies are assumed:

1. The level of service of SCRTD will stay systemwide at its current level.
2. The level of service will be adjusted so that the total operating costs of the system including rail service will not exceed $\$ 500 \mathrm{M}$ in 1983 dollars.

Operating costs and revenues for both SCRTD bus and rail systems are the result of the appropriate UTPS simulations.

TABLE ！
GENERAL BACKGROUNO INFORMATION AND FUNDING SOURCES

| CPI | 0． 20 | 4.38 | $5.38{ }^{\circ}$ | 5：98 | 7．38 | 6.58 | 6.16 | 5.48 | 5.58 | 5.50 | 5．50 | 5． 50 | $5: 58$ | 5.50 | 5.50 | 5．5\％ | 5.58 | 5.58 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 188． 1 成 | ：14．30 | 103.83 | 116．31． | 124．68 | $13 \dot{1} .73$ | 142．83 | 148．49 | 156.66 | 16¢．${ }^{\text {cis }}$ | 174.37 | 183.95 | 194．68 | 204.75 | 216.81 | $2 ¢ 7.83$ | 242，43 | 6E3．65 |
|  | 7，500 | 7，637 | 7，639 | 7，745 | 7，738 | 7，659 | 7，839 | 7，340 | 7， 375 | $88_{i}$ Ma | 8， 285 | 8，158 | 8，178 | 3，213 | 8， 251 | 8， 283 | 8， 385 | 8,362 |
| Crasing incoretivi）： | 4.6445 | 4，689 | 4，755 | 4,887 | 4， 674 | 4，346 | 5，817 | 5．885 | 5，153 | S．$\dot{\text { cic }}$ | 5， 280 | 536 | $5{ }_{5}^{5} 434$ | 5，507 | 5，582 | 5,657 | 5.734 | 5，812 |
|  | 13，685 | 13，734 | 13，327 | 14，088 | 14，276 | 14；487 | 14，695 | 14.894 | 15，433 | 15，275 | 15，468 | 15， 715 | 15，316 | 16，132 | 16，353 | 16，563 | 16：735 | 17，123 |
|  | 54，658 | 55． 598 | 56，779 | 57，795 | 59， 212 | $60 ; 872$ | 61，519 | 62.740 | 63，955 | 65， 176 | 66，281 | 67，656 | 66， 919 | 74， 212 | 71，438 | 72，732 | 74，112 | 75，445 |
|  | 54， 658 | 57， 381 | 62,359 | 67：200 | 73，564 | 88，834 | 86；672 | 93， 165 | 189，！93 | 187，721 | 115，573 | ：24．459 | 133，754 | 143，753 | 154，443 | 165，987 | 178， 185 | 171，368 |
|  | 273 | 298 | 312 | 336 | 368 | 488 | 433 | 466 | ． 5121 | 539 | ． 578 | 6\％i | 663 | 713 | 772 | ． 629 | 日31 | 957 |
| $=83-$ a 353（6s， hl | 95.7 | 181.5 | 183.1 | 117.6 | 128： 7 | 148．： | 151．7 | 163．1 | 175.3 | IB8．5 | 2 C 2.3 | 217.8 | 234.1 | 251.6 | 274.3 | 290：3 | 3：1．0 | 334.3 |
|  | 94．0 | 93.7 | 107.3. | 115.6 | 1EE．5 | 137.7 | \＄49．1 | 168.2 | 172.3 | 165． 3 | 138：8 | 2.4 .1 | 238.1 | 247.3 | 265.6 | c65． 3 | 386.5 | 359.1 |
|  | 135.6 | 145：${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 155.9 | 168．： | 183.9 | 230.1 | 216.7 | C32．3 | 250.5 | 263.3 | C88； 9 | 311.1 | 334，4 | 359.4 | 306， 1 | 414.7 | 445.5 | 478.4 |
| 7DP FOE RTOUSS．${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 110．5 | 117.2 | 126.8 | $\pm 35.9$ | 148．7 | 151.7 | 175.2 | ：68． 3 | 20\％．5 | 217.7 | 233.6 | 25.5 | 278．3 | 2\％e． 5 | 312.1 | 335.3 | 3 \％． 1 | 386.8 |
| TOR ASx（is，n） | 93.9 | 99.6 | 187.1 | 115.5 | 126.4 | 137.5 | 148.3 | 362.8 | 172．1 | 185．3 | 198.5 | 213.8 | 229．8 | 247．8 | 285.3 | cas． 0 | 386.1 | 3＇8． 7 |
|  | 16.6 | 17.6 | 18，9 | 20.4 | 2 ci 3 | 24.3 | C6， 3 | 28.2 | 3 A .4 | 32.7 | 35， 0 | 37.7 | 48.5 | 43.6 | 46.8 | 58.3 | 54．0 | 58.8 |
| Sin（0．t．m | 26.6 | 27.1 | 27.7 | 27.5 | 26， 3 | 28.3 | 30.3 | 27.7 | 28.1 | 26.4 | 26.1 | 24.3 | 2 S 7 | 3.2 | 19.4 | 17.2 | 15.2 | 13.6 |
| S：A FDit Riocis．x | 23.7 | cs． 2 | 27．1 | 28.5 | 29.2 | 33.5 | 38.1 | 36.6 | 39.2 | 38.8 | 40.5 | 37.8 | 39.3 | 38.7 | 37.4 | 34.9 | 33.6 | 38.6 |
|  | 104.1 | 122.1 | 138.8 | 135.3 | 135．3 | 135.3 | 135.3 | 155．3． | \＄35．3 | 1.35 .3 | 135． 3 | 135.3 | 135.3 | 135.3 | \＄35．3 | 135：3 | 135.3 | ！35． 3 |
| UPTA SEL 9 Sl $18 \%$ ¢，KI | 188.4 | 113.8 | 115.0 | $11 c^{\prime} .3$ | 184.7 | 98.3 | 92.7 | 81.3 | B3．4 | 79.0 | 74.9 | 71.0 | 67.3 | 63.8 | 68.4 | 57.3 | －54．3 | 51.5 |
|  | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47：5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 |
| SEC 9：0 Stils． $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ | 56.6 | 74.6 | A3． 3 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87：8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 |
|  | 4014．4 | 113．0 | 115.8 | 112.3 | 112.3 | 112.3 | 112.3 | 112.3 | 112．3 | 112.3 | 112.3 | 112.3 | 112.3 | 112.3 | 112.3 | 112.3 | 112.3 | 112.3 |
|  | IE4．0 | 122.1 | 132.8 | 135.3 | 145.1 | 154．5 | 163.3 | 17 12．8 | 182．3 | 123.3 | 20．9 | 24.8 | 2＜5．8 | 238.2 | 251.3 | 265.1 | 279.7 | 235.1 |
|  | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 50：7 | SA， 2 | 57.5 | 68.6 | 64.1 | 67.5 | 71.2 | 75.1 | 79.3 | 83.6 | 88.2 | 33.1 | 98.2 | 183.6 |
|  | 56.5 | 74.6 | 83.3 | 87.8 | 94， | 180.3 | 186.4 | 112.1 | 148.3 | 124.8 | 131.7 | 138.9 | 146.5 | 154.6 | 163.1 | 172.1 | 181，5 | 191：5 |
| L－¢ See．\＆Ch． |  |  | N：58 | 0.53 | P． 56 | 8.60 | 8.68 | 8． 63 | 0.67 | N． 70 | 0.74 | 0.78 | 0.83 | 1． 87 | （193 | 0.37 | 1．33 | 1．8B |
|  |  |  | e． 15 | P． 16 | ＊． 17 | 0.18 | 8.19 | 4.24 | － 2.21 | 1．2 | e． 23 | 0． 34 | 0．25 | N． 6 | 8．27 | 8． 88 | 8.83 | $8 . j 8$ |
|  |  |  | 5.5 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 7．1 | 7.6 | d．${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 8.4 | 8.3 | 3：4 | 3.3 | 10， 4 | 1： 1 | 11.6 | 1く， 2. |


|  | .1. TPERATIMG COSIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY-85 | FY-86 | FY-87 | FY-88 | FY-69. | FY-98 | FY-91 | fY-92 | FY-93 | FY-94 | FY-95 | FY-9 | FY-97 | FY-98. | FY-99 | FY-08 |
| METRD 09 COST $1838 ; \mathrm{n})$ | 0.8 | 8.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 |
| LELA ©P COST $1838, \mathrm{MI}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 12.7 |
| BUS CP.COSTS $(836, \mathrm{H})$ | 410:3 | '486.5 | 426.6 | 446. 6 | 466.7 | 481.0 | 409.7 | 418.5 | 427.3 | 436.1 | 444.9 | 453.7 | 462.4 | 471.2 | 472.8 | 472.8 |
| TOTAL © COST $\left(836,{ }^{\text {c }}\right.$ ) | 410.3 | 488.5 | 426.6 | 446.6 | 479.4 | 429.9 | 437.8 | 446.6 | 455.3 | 464.1 | 472.9 | 481.7 | 498.5 | 499.3 | 589.0 | 580.0 |
|  | 458.7 | 472.8 | 531.8 | 593.1 | 673.3 | 637.8 | 665.6 | 730. | 794. 8 | 853.6 | 917.6 | 986.3 | i, 059.5 | 6,137.8 | i, $202 . i$ | 1;268. 2 |
|  | - |  |  |  | IL. DPERATING REVEMAES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PaSS. Rever, $1836, \mathrm{H})$ | 111.4 | 161.4 | 192.9 | 224.5 | 256.1 | 248.3 | 253.2 | 258.2 | 263.2 | 268:2 | 273.2 | 278.1 | 283.1 | 288.1 | 293.1 | 298.1 |
| PRSS REVEN, (1s, M 4 | 122.3 | 187.7 | 240:6 | 298.2 | 356.9 | 368.7 | 3\%.7 | 426.8 | 458:9 | 493.3 | 530.1 | 569.5 | 611.6 | 656.6 | 784.7 | 756.1 |
| AUY. PEVEMUES $16, \mathrm{HI}$ | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.2 |
| NON-TRANS QEV. (It, mi | 16.4 | 16.0 | 17.8 | 17.9 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 19.0 | 28.0 | 20.8 | 29.0 | -21.0 | 21.8 | 21.8 |
| TOTAL REVEMES $i l t, m$ | 149.9 | 23.5 | 260.5 | 318.3 | 382.9 | 388:9 | 419.1 | 450.4 | 482.7 | 517.3 | 555.3 | 594.9 | 637:2 | 683.4 | 731.7 | 783.3 |
|  | (309. 81 | 1266. 31 | (271.4) | (274.8) | (292.5) | (246:2) | 1266.71 | 1297.71 | 1311.21 | (336.4) | (362.4) | (391.4) | (422:3) | (454.4) | (470.51 | (485.01 |
| frarebox mailo | 4.27 | 0. 40. | 0.45 | 8.50 | 8.53 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.50 | 6.58 | 8.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 8.58 | 0.59 | 0.60 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1il. OPERATING GRANTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOCAL 09 Cort. (IS, M) | 5.5 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 9.4 | 9.9 | 10.4 | 11.0 | 11.6 | 12.2 |
| STA 50x CP $18, \mathrm{M}$ ]. | 13.6 | 14:2. | 14.6 | 16.7 | 19.8 | 18.3 | 19:6 | 19.4 | 20:2 | 19.9 | 19.6 | 19.4 | 18.7 | 17.5 | 16.3 | 15.3 |
| TOR $85 \times(18, \mathrm{H})$ | 187. ${ }^{\text {! }}$ | 115.5 | 125.4 | 137.5 | 148.9 | 160.0 | 172.1 | 185.0 | 198.5 | 213.8 | 229.8 | 247.0 | 265:3 | 285.0 | 306.1 | 328.7 |
| SEC 9 CP(18,M) | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47:5 | 47.5 | $47: 5$ | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47:5 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 |
| DTHER FED. ASS (IS, M) TDIAL 00 EPONTS - | 0.7 | 8.7 | 6:7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
|  | 174.3 | 183.6 | 19.3 | 288.9 | 223.0 | 233.8 | 247:7 | 269.9 | 275.7 | 291.1 | 307:3 | 324.8 | 343.1 | 352.2 | 382.8 | 485.2 |
| 03 GRANT FROK PROP:A LEFT FLR CD GRANTS - | 135.4 | 82.7 | 76.1 | 65.9 | 69.5 | 12.3 | 19.0 | 26. 8 | 35.6 | 45.4 | 55.1 | $66.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 79.2 | 92.2 | 87.7 | 79.8 |
| PRMP.A 401 DIS(IS; $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{M}}$ EOUCH:PROP.A? | $\begin{gathered} \text { (28. } 21 \\ \text { NA } \end{gathered}$ | $32.9$ MA. | $\begin{array}{r} 50.4 \\ \mathrm{Na} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71.8 \\ \mathrm{Na} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79.6 \\ \text { NA } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 147.9 \\ \text { NA } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 153.3 \\ \mathrm{Na} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 158.5 \\ \mathrm{NA} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 163.2 \\ \text { NA } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 168.7 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | 175.0 <br> Na | $\begin{array}{r} 180.7 \\ \mathrm{NA} \end{array}$ | 186:5 M | 193. ${ }^{\prime}$ NA | 218.8 NA | $249.3$ |
| LEFT FOR COPITAL IN PROP.A-48NPRDP;R35X | 65.7 | 159.5 | 179.2 | 211 | 231.3 | 311.8 | 328.5 | 447.9 | 365.5 | 3065 | 499 | 3 | 56 | 493 | 30 | 54,? |
|  |  |  |  | B. CAPITAL COSTS ARD FINOING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | IV. CAPITAL COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| METRD-RAIL-CP (Is, n ) | 389.0 | 469.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L日-LA RAIL colltin) | 25.1 | $125: 0$ | 290.6 | 125.8 | 25.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| QUS AOUS. TPEPL. (18, MI | 3.8 | 32.0 | 34.3 | 49.8 | 0.0 | 45:6 | 13.9 | 58.3 | 79.2 | 83.2 | \%.5 | 61.2 | 91.5 | 65.1 | 89.3 | 93.8 |
| BUILDINGS IIS, mi | 24.8 | 18.0 | 19.8 | 28.8 | 18.0 | 21.3 | 22.5 | 23.7 | 25.0 | 26.4 | 27.8 | 29.4 | 31.0 | 32.7 | 34.5 | 36.4 |
| OFFICE ECLI (1s, n ) | 18.3 | 14.0 | 13.0 | 12.5 | 11.0 | 11.6 | 12.2 | 12.9 | .13,6 | 14.4. | 15.2 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 17:8 | 18.8 | 19.8 |
| LeND(IS, K$)$ | 17.8 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.3 : | 5.6 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 9.0 |
|  | 476.3 | 668.0 | 276.3 | 219.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 59.0 \\ & \text { v. CPD] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84.8 \\ \text { TAL } 6 R A N \end{gathered}$ | 54.2 | 92.8 | 124.0 | 138.5 | 146.4 | 113.8 | 147.8 | 143.7 | 151.1 | 159.0 |
| LEFT IN. PROP.AIIS, Mi | 65.7 | 158.5 | 179.2 | 211.8 | 231.3 | 311.8 | 328.6 | 347.0 | 365.5 | 386.5 | 489.0 | 432.3 | 456.7 | 483.4 | 539.6 | 584.2 |
| TDA CP [5x $1 \mathrm{IS}, \mathrm{M}$ ] | 18.9 | 29.4 | 22.3 | 24.3 | 26:3 | 28.2 | 30.4 | 32.7 | 35.0 | 37.7 | 40.5 | 43.6 | 46.8 | 58.3 | 54.8 | 58.8 |
|  | 13.57 | 14:23 | 14.59 | 16.73 | 19.84 | 18.38 | 19.68 | 19.42 | 20.23 | 19.89 | 19.63 | 19.36 | 18.68 | 17.47 | 16.28 | 15.32 |
| SEC 9 DP( $18, m$ | 83.3 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87.8 | 87: 8 | 87.8 | 87.8 |
| BENEFIT RSSIIS, m ] | 60.3 | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CITY Of L.A. | 7.0 | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| article uld ( $18, \mathrm{n}$ ) | 53.0 | 72.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## 1. INTRODUCTION


#### Abstract

LODESTAR (the LOs Angeles Development and Evaluation System for Transit Alternatives and Resources) has been developed by the General Planning Consultant for the Southern California Rapid Transit District as a planning and management tool. The program utilizes a series of spreadsheets developed using Microsoft MULTIPLAN and can be run on the Apple II or the IBM PC. Instructions in this manual are written for the IBM PC.


LODESTAR currently contains the most recent information on projected SCRTD costs and revenues. Capital and operating costs are included for all heavy rail, light rail, and busway systems under consideration for construction or operation by the SCRTD. Eight heavy rail sections, seven light rail lines, and one busway are currently in the program, representing a possible construction scenario through the year 2010. The program incorporates all the revenue sources available to the District from federal, state, and local sources. The program produces a cash flow analysis on a year-by-year basis by comparing projected annual costs and revenues. This analysis highlights annual deficits and surpluses and year-to-date (cumulative) deficits and sürpluses.

The program allows the user to modify basic assumptions such as project definition, project implementation schedule, economic variables (consumer price index, etc.) and various revenue projections
and assess the impacts of these modifications on cash flow. Numerous possible scenarios can be run in a short period, providing the user with the necessary information to make informed decisions regarding the District's complex, multi-year transit development and operations program.

This manual provides an oüerview of the components of LODESTAR. Each of the operating modules are briefly explained in terms of key functions and interrelationships. Supporting models outside of LODESTAR which produce inputs to the sẏstem are identified. The mechanics of running LODESTAR on the IBM PC are explained. The instructions assume a reasonable familiarity with MULTPLAN and the IBM PC. A MÚLTYPLAN manual should be consulted if problems arise. Finally, a number of potential management uses of LODESTAR are explained. This information includes some key variables, where they are found in the program and examples of scenarios that might be investigated using this program.

This manual is not meant to be the full technical backup for LODESTAR. Rather, it is a complementary document to the detailed Technical Memorandum that will be a product of the General Planning Consultant's Fiscal Year 1986 work program.

## 2. LODESTAR: OVERVIEW OF COMPONENTS

### 2.1 STRUCTURE

LODESTAR is a cash-flow model. It consists of a set of ten integrated spreadsheets or modules. Each module is capable of receiving input data, acting upon them, and producing output which may serve as input to one or more subsequent modules.

A cash-flow model of this type requires a substantial amount of data to produce meaningful results and many intermediate calculations are required. The subdivision of LODESTAR into ten modules is an effort to provide an efficient way to update data and to modify assumptions within the context of a single module, while retaining the integrated nature of the full model. A module may be operated upon without disturbing any other module or running the entire program.

Each module is capable of accepting data from external sources or from other modules. Communication among the several modules is accomplished through the mechanics of MULTIPLAN. LODESTAR runs in an interactive mode and user prompts are an important part of the operating system. Section 3 of this report provides instructions for running LODESTAR.

Currently, seven of the ten modules are fully operational:

- Economic/Demographic Module -- Module 2
- Farebox Revenue Module -- Module 3
- Conventional Funding Module -- Module 5
- Operational Cost Module -- Module 7
- Capital Cost Module -- Module 9
- Cash Flow Management Module -- Module 10

The three non-functioning modules are the Control Systems (Module 4), Innovative Financing (Module 6), and Privatization (Module 8) Modules. Any information from these three modules which is needed to run LODESTAR must be input manually.

Figure 1 is a flowchart illustrating the current operation of LODESTAR. As additional components are completed, the flowchart will be adjusted. The data and schedules shown as input to the Management Policy Module are referred to collectively as Supporting Models. All input data and schedules currently are entered manually in Module lthe Management Policy Module, although certain assumptions about capital and operating funds can be changed in Module 10 - the CashFlow Management Module.

### 2.2. SUPPORTING MODELS

Supporting models consist of data sets and schedules which must be input to the Management Policy Module - the first module to be run. The cash-flow model works on an annual basis, accumulating capital, operating costs, and funding on a year-by-year basis; but most of the
external inputs are provided either as a total amount and the period of time over which the model müst spread it, or as annual information for one or two specific years.

There are six data sets and schedules that the user must input, or change from the values provided in the master copy:

- list of capital projects for implementation
- implementation schedule for the capital projects
- capital costs and construction time schedule (entered as a construction duration and implementation year) for each capital project
- bus operating costs for two horizon years for each defined network
- rail operating costs for two horizon years for each defined network
- bus and rail farebox revenues for two horizon years for each defined network


A "defined network" consists of a set of implemented capital projects with the corresponding bus services to serve the projects and regional transit needs. When a new capital project is implemented, a new network comes into existence.

Regional trip tables exist for only a few selected years (currently, these are 1985, 1990, 2000, and 2010). Thus, if a defined network is expected to be implemented in, say, 1992 and be the regional network through 1996, UTPS simulations must be made for 1990 and 2000. This allows interpolation of the costs and revenues for years 1992 through 1996. In this case, 1990 and 2000 are referred to as the two horizon years for this defined network. The 1997 defined network may continue to exist until 2001, in which case the two horizon years for that network will also be 1990 and 2000. For such a network, interpolation would be made in LODESTAR for 1997 through 2000, with extrapolation to 2001.

Every defined network must have two horizon years, unless it will exist for one year only and that year is one of the trip-table years (i.e. 1985, 1990, 2000; or 2010): Interpolation and extrapolation are made on a straight-line basis. Also, extrapolation can be made by LODESTAR for only one year, currently.

### 2.2.1 List of Capital Projects for Possible Implementation

The list of capital projects consists of each major capital project that the user wishes to include as buildable within the t-ime-frame
ünder consideration (e.g.. 20 years, 30 years). A capital project may be a transit line or a line segment, provided that the project can be built in operable segments.

### 2.2.2 Implementation Schedule of Capital Projects

The implementation schedule for each of the transit capital projects or project segments identified in the long-range plan of 2.2 .1 above is also input to the model. As each new project or segment comes into operation, a new transit network must be formulated and travel assignments projected for two horizon years through use of the Urban Transportation Planning System (UTPS). The implementation schedule consists of the year that each identified transit project or segment will be completed and put into operation.

### 2.2.3 Capital Costs and Construction Period

For each of the capital projects defined in section 2.2.1, the user must provide the duration of construction, a year in which construction is to end (i.e. the implementation year), and an estimated total capital cost. Currently, estimates of the capital costs are provided by LACTC and SCRTD staff and are loaded manually into Module 1. The construction schedule is open to user manipulation to see the effects of compressing or extending construction schedules. Application of the Transit Automated Control System (TRACS) provides information on rail segment construction schedules and other data which are input to the Control Systems Module. This module is
designed to generate rail capital cost data, but is not operational at this time.

### 2.2.4 Bus Operating Costs

Currently, bus operating costs are provided by a District mainframe computer program that is run together with the UTPS simulations. Bus operating costs can be obtained for two horizon years for each defined network, and these costs and years are input to the Management Policy Module.

### 2.2.2.5 Rail Operating Costs

Rail operating costs must be provided as an external input, currently. Rail operating costs may be obtained as estimates from SCRTD staff, or may be estimated from data in the Final Environmental Impact Statement if one exists. For other projects, the user must provide estimates of the costs. A rail operating cost model is under development for the Cash-Flow Model, but is not yet operational.

Data are required on rail operating costs for two horizon years for each defined network. Currently, rail operating costs are assumed to be the same in real dollars for each horizon year of a specific network. Unlike bus operating costs, significant changes are not anticipated in the year-to-year operation of a defined rail network that would change real costs of operation.

### 2.2.6 Bus and Rail Farebox Revenue

Bus and rail farebox revenues are obtained directly from UTPS simulations and related models. These operating revenues are the principal inputs for the Farebox Revenue Module. Revenues are to be obtained for two horizon years from the UTPS simulations. The farebox Revenue Module will interpolate or extrapolate to obtain annual farebox revenue projections for each year that a defined network will be in operation.

### 2.3 MANAGEMENT POLICY MODULE

The Management Policy Module is the primary management framework through which broad policy scenarios are defined. It consists of:
a) the definition of transit corridors;
b) implementation priorities for the corridors; and
c) implementation dates for the corridors and bus support networks.

Corridors, and their implementation schedules and dates are the heart of LODESTAR and need to be defined before proceeding with other analyses. The Management Policy Module will provide information regarding operational transit systems, project descriptions; and project priorities.

Virtually all inputs to the Management Policy Module are external to

LODESTAR and are summarized in Section 2.2 -- Supporting Models. Outputs of this module are used by the Farebox Revenue Module, Operating Cost Module, and Capital Cost Module, and will be used by the Control Sÿstem Module when it becomes operational. Capital cost data will be derived from the Control System Module rather than from the Management Policy Module,once the Control System Module becomes operational.

Figure 2 is a schematic of the Management policy Module.

### 2.4 ECONOMIC/DEMOGRAPHIC MODULE

The Economic/Demographic Module generates the population and economic projections needed in several other modules. Inputs to the Economic/Demographic Module are external to LODESTAR.

Demographic data consist of Los Angeles County, California, and United States population projections through Year 2000. These data are used to calculate various ratios to determine the share of various fund categories for Los Angeles County.

## Module 1



Flgure 2: Management \& Pollcy Module Input/Output Dependencies

The economic portion of the module incorporates a wide range of information needed to calculate various ratios and to determine the components of conventional funding for operations and capital expenditures. Examples of information required are: consumer price index projections; California highway construction index projections; Los Angeles and California per capita income projections; Los Angeles and California ratios of taxable sales transactions to personal Income; gasoline price projections; gasoline and diesel fuel adjustments due to light duty vehicles; and projections of Los Angeles and California farebox revenues.

Once this module is run and the outputs saved, it is not necessary to run it again in the normal operation of LODESTAR. The exceptions, of course, include updates to these basic data derived from current observations or better projections, or user-generated changes to these data to investigate alternative futures.

The bulk of the output is directed to the Conventional Funding Module. Consumer price and construction cost index projections are used in the Farebox Revenue Module, the Capital Cost Module, and the Operating Cost Module, and will be used in the Innovative Financing Module when it becomes operational.

Figure 3 is a schematic diagram of the Economic/Demographic Module.

## Module 2



Flgure 3: Econom/c/Demographic Module Input/Output Dependencles

### 2.5 FAREBOX REVENUE MODULE

The resüls of UTPS simulations include revenue projections for the bus, heavy rail, and light rail components for each of two horizon years. Two simulations are performed in UTPS for each defined network that results from an assumed implementation schedule.

The Farebox Revenue Module projects the annual farebox revenues for each defined network for each year that the network is expected to constitute the regional transit system. The years for which annual projections are required are determined from the established implementation schedule in the Management Policy Module. The projections are made by straight-line interpolation or extrapolation, as appropriate, of the two horizon years from the UTPS simulations. These projections are then factored by the annual consumer price index to provide revenue projections for the Conventional Funding Module.

Figure 4 is a schematic diagram of the Farebox Revenue Module.

## Module 3



Figure 4: Fare Box Revenues Module Input/Output Dependencles

The Conventional Funding Module calculates the annual value of all currently-known sources of funds for operating and building transit systems in Los Angeles County for the period for which the Cash-Flow Model is being rụn. These include both user-generated revenues resulting from operating the transit system and revenues from various taxes and other funds, designated for use in building or operating transit systems, (i.e., from federal, state, county, and municipal legislation and appropriations.

The quantity and allocation of funds are determined, in some instances, by direct application of formulae included in the legislation. In other instances, the quantity of funds is fixed; but the allocation of funds to several agencies is discretionary and is based on governmental policy and decisions.

Inputs to the Conventional Funding Module include outputs of the Economic/Demographic Module and revenue projections from the Farebox Revenue Module.

Outputs include yearly projections of:
a) available operating revenues and subsidies, and b) sources of capital funds.

These data are used by the Cash Flow Management Module. In addition,
the proposition A funds available for debt service will be assessed by the Innovative Financing Module when it becomes operational.

Figure 5 is a schematic diagram of the Conventional Funding Module.

### 2.7 OPERATIONAL COST MODULE

The results of ÜTPS simulations, related mainframe supporting models, and the micro-computer-based rail operating cost model include operating cost projections for the bus, heavy rail, and light rail components for each of two horizon years. These costs are currently input to the Management Policy Module. [Future developments are planned to place bus and rail operating-cost models in this module, internalizing the projection of these costs.]

This module performs a similar task to the Farebox Revenue Module by projecting annual operating costs for each year in which a defined network represents the regional transit system. Projections are made by straight-line interpolation or extrapolation, as appropriate, from the horizon years. Application of the consumer price index provides annual operating cost projections for input to the Cash Flow Management Module.

Figure 6 is a schematic diagram of the Operations Cost Module.

## Module 5



Figure 5: Conventlonal FundIng Module Input/Output Dependencles

## Module 7



Flgure 6: OperatIng Cost Module Input/Output Dependencles

### 2.8 CAPITAL COST MODULE

The Capital Cost Module generates a schedule of capital expenditures for bus systems, heavy rail, light rail, and any required buildings, land, and equipment. The major input to this module consists of the total project cost and construction duration of each of the transit capital projects or segments. Other inputs include the implementation schedule and the highway construction price index.

Annual estimates of capital expenditures are calculated for each project by multiplying the total cost by the annual percent completion schedule assumed for a given project duration. Application of the construction price index provides the capital cost projections for the Cash Flow Management Module.

Figure 7 is a schematic diagram of the Capital Cost Module.

### 2.9. CASH FLOW MANAGEMENT MODULE

The Cash Flow Management Module is the final step in LODESTAR. The module considers all projections of costs and revenues and all sources of grants and subsidies and calculates annual surplus or deficit cash flow for each of the years in the planning period.

## Module 9



Flgure 7: Cap/tal Cost Module Input/Output Dependencles

The user of LODESTAR has the capability of altering management strategies, observing the impact of such changes on the cash flow, and aiding the developmert of optimal management strategies for the financial planning of the District's obligations.

Figure 8 is a schematic diagram of the Cash Flow Management Module.


Flgure 8: Cashflow Management Module Input/Output Dependencles

## 3. RU̇NNING LODESTAR

3.1 GETTING STARTED

To run LODESTAR, the user needs:

- This manual
- The MULTIPLAN Boot and System Disks
- The LODESTAR Master Disk (copy)
- Some blank disks
- An IBM-PC with two disk drives
- A user!s manual for MULTIPLAN (IBM-PC Version)

Running LODESTAR is essentially the same as running MULTIPLAN. The user's manual for MULTIPLAN on the IBM PC should be consulted for detailed operating instructions.

The LODESTAR package consists of two sets of three write-protected disks: the MULTIPLAN Boot disk; the MULTIPLAN System disk; and the LODESTAR disk. DO NOT REMOVE THE WRITE-PROTECT TABS, OR YOU MAY ERASE MULTIPLAN OR THE CASH-FLOW MODEL. One set of disks should be filed as backups. A supply of blank formatted disks should be available for saving modified versions of the LODESTAR modules if desired. To create formatted blank disks, see the "Getting Started" section of the MULTIPLAN User's Manual.

The first step in running LODESTAR is to load the MULTIPLAN Boot disk.

If the user wishes to modify any of the modules, a working copy of the LODESTAR disk should be made before proceeding further. To do this, press the "ESC" key to access the MULTIPLAN utility functions. Select the copy disk function and follow instructions. Remove the MULTIPLAN Boot disk from drive $A$ and insert the LODESTAR disk in that drive. Insert a blank disk in drive $B$. This second disk can be either an unformatted or a formatted disk.

When the LODESTAR disk has been copied on the disk in drive $B$, $a$ message will appear requesting that the MULTIPLAN Systems disk be loaded. Remove the LODESTAR disk from drive A and insert the Systems disk in that drive. Press 'RETURN' to load the system. Be sure to leave the newly copied LODESTAR disk in drive B. An empty MULTIPLAN worksheet should be displayed at this point.

The default drive for reading and saving files now must be changed to drive B. However, the system disk should be left in drive $A$ in the event that the user needs to access the 'Help' file at any time. The procedure to change the default drive follows:
a) Press 'T' for transfer
b) Press 'O' for options
c) Press 'Tab' to access setup
d) Press ' $B$ ' for drive $B$
e) Press 'RETURN' to the menu.

### 3.2 LOADING THE CASH-FLOW MODEL

LODESTAR is made up of a series of spreadsheets that are linked to each other in sequence. The sequence of spreadsheets is, therefore, very important. The spreadsheets are linked so that data entered in, say, the Management Policy Module can be used in other modules to recalculate the data in those modules. For recalculation to occur, it is necessary to load the module in which the recalculation is to take place. For example, farebox revenue is entered into Module l (Management Policy) for two horizon years. Module 3 (Farebox Revenue) calculates the annual amounts of farebox revenue from the two horizon years provided to Module 1. Therefore, if a change is made in the farebox revenue inputs for any defined network in Module l, Module 3 must be reloaded for the annual revenue to be recalculated.

To load the Cash-Flow Model, the next step is to load the data from the Management Policy Module to the MULTIPLAN worksheet. The procedure follows:
a) Press 'T' for transfer;
b) Press 'L' for load;
c) Enter the filename 'MODULEl.INPUT' (note: the quote marks are not to be typed; the name must be typed exactly as shown with no spaces and with care to distinguish between 'I' and 'I');
d) Press 'RETURN.'

The Management Policy module should now be displayed on the MULTIPLAN worksheet. The cell pointer is highlighted and may be moved to any cell by using the appropriate direction keys. Only a portion of the data is visible. The remainder may be observed by scrolling the worksheet, using either the direction keys or the 'GO TO' command.

If any changes in data are desired, they can be made by moving the cursor to the appropriate cell and following the procedure:
a) Type in the new data
b) Press 'RETURN'

The mechanics of MÜLTIPLAN result in the recalculation of all data elements in the worksheet to reflect the change just made. If only one data element in the module is to be changed, this presents no problem. However, if the user were to make six data changes, the worksheet would be recalculated six times, once after each change in data -- a time-consuming proposition.

A time-saving method is to suppress the recalculation mode. The procedure follows:
a) Press 'O' for options.
b) Press the space bar once to move the choice to 'NO' for the recalc option.
C) Press 'RETURN'.

After all changes to the module have been made, the revised worksheet must be saved to permit subsequent modules to access the revisions. The procedure follows:
a) Press 'T' for transfer.
b) Press 'S' for save.
c) Press 'RETURN' because the file name will not be changed.
d) Press ' $Y$ ' because the old file must be overwritten with the revised file.

This saves the recalculated Module 1 on the working copy of the CashFlow Module. If Drive $B$ still contains the Master copy (writeprotected) MULTIPLAN will tell the user that the revised spreadsheet cannot be saved on the write-protected disk. Because this save will replace the original version of Module l, it should only be saved on a working copy disk created by the user for that purpose.

Note that the recalculation suppression need not be changed at this time. When the ' $S$ ' is pressed to save the file, all recalculations resulting from changed data will be made. This make take some time -do not panic -- the machine will return control to the user after recalculating and saving the file. The user will know that recalculation is occurring by the reducing number displayed at the bottom left of the screen, which shows the number of cells remaining to be recalculated. When recalculation is finished, the $B$ disk drive light will come on, showing that the module is being saved.

As each subsequent module is loaded, all linked input modules are read by MULTIPLAN. The data requested by the module being loaded are entered automatically from other saved modules and required calculations are made. LODESTAR does not allow the user to change those data that are input from other modules. For those data items not read from other modules, the user may choose to change input values. To do so, the procedure is the same as described above.

The file names for the modules are:

- MODULEI.INPUT
- MODULE2.INPUT
- MODUULE3.INPUT
- MODULE5.INPUT
- MODULET.INPUT
- MODULE9.INPUT
- MODULEIO.INPUT

Management Policy
Economic/Demographic
Farebox Revenue
Conventional Funding
Operational Costs
Capital Cost
Cash Flow Management

The modules MUST be accessed in numerical sequence. Initially, after entering changes in Module 1 , the user should load and then save each of the other modules in strict numerical sequence, even if no changes are made to the direct inputs of the subsequent modules. The sequential loading and saving of each module allows LODESTAR to recalculate all appropriate values for input to Module 10 , the CashFlow Manager.

After loading and saving each of the 7 modules, Module 10 , the Cash-

Flow Manager, will be displayed with recalculated values for all entries the user has changed in earlier modules. Module 10 represents the cash-flow scenario for the implementation schedule, costs, revenues, and regional demographics that have been input. Use of this information is described in more detail in Chapter 4.

### 3.3 PRINTING A MODULE

Any module that has been loaded, while it remains in the workspace, may be printed as follows:
a) Press 'P' for Print
b) Press 'p' for Printer

It is necessary to use a 120 -column printer. If changes have been made to the module, the file should not be printed until after the file has been saved, unless the user does not wish to save the changes and will not access any subsequent modules.

### 3.4 MAKING SELECTIVE CHANGES IN INPUTS

After proceeding through the steps in section 3.2 and 3.3 , the user may wish to return to one of the earlier modules and make one or two selected changes in input values. For example, the implementation date of one transit capital project or project segment may be changed. In such a case, it is not necessary to reload and save every one of the modules.

Table 1 shows the input values that the user can change and which modules must be reloaded and saved, if that change alone is made. The Table does not specify other calculations that would have to be made outside the Cash-Flow Model, such as rerunning UTPS simulations; however, the user should be aware that many of these changes in input values may require other recalculations to be made outside the CashFlow Model.

TABLE 1
MODULES THAT MUST BE RELOADED FOR SPECIFIC USER CHANGES

| USER-SPECIFIED INPUT | MODULE TO | NUMBERS AND SEQUENCE RELOAD AND SAVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Defined Network. (Implemented <br> Capital Projects plus Supporting <br> Bus Service) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Inclusion of a New Capital <br> Project, or Exclusion of a <br> Listed Capital Project |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Change in Implementation Year for a Capital Project | 1, 3, | 5, 7, 9, 10 |
| Change in Capital Cost of a Project 1, 9, 10 |  |  |
| Change in Construction Period without changing Implementation Year |  |  |
| Change in Bus Operating Cost for a Horizon Year | 1, 7, | 10 |
| Change in Rail Operating Cost for a Horizon Year | 1, 7, | 10 |
| Change in Farebox Revenue for a Horizon Year | 1, 3, |  |
| Change in a Regional Economic/ Demographic Forecast | 1, 2, | 5. 10 |
| Changes in Assumed Discretionary Funds | 10 |  |

## 4. MANAGEMENT USES OF LODESTAR

Some probable uses of LODESTAR are presented in this section. In general, changes will be made in the information in the Management Policy module, which specifies project implementation schedule, costs, and farebox revenues. Changes also may be made in key data items in other modules.

### 4.1 MANAGEMENT POLICY INPUTS

Policy inputs regarding project implementation are made in the Management policy module. To make changes, this module should be loaded ('TRANSFER', 'LOAD', 'MODULEI.INPUT').

As shown in Figure 9, the management module includes lists of heavy rail, light rail and busway projects. Within the three groups the individual projects are listed in chronological order of implementation. If changes are made in this chronology, new UTPS travel simulations will be required to generate appropriate cost and farebox data, and these data input to this Module.

Policy variables that may be modified include scheduled implementation date (the year the project system will be fully operational) and the duration of the implementation program (DURR). The range of implementation dates is limited by the dates for which travel simulations are available (see SIMULATION DATES in the module). Selected implementation dates must fall between the years for which
simulations are available, i.e. no earlier than one year before the first simulation date or no later than one year after the date of the later simulation. For example, simulations are available for mOS-2 for the years 1990 and 2000. Therefore, an implementation date between 1989 and 2001 inclusive may be selected. There is no such limitation on the lengths of the duration for implementation of the projects. These or any other variables in any module may be changed by moving the cursor to the cell containing the data to be changed, typing in the new data and pressing the return key. If the change is to be retained for future use, the user should save the file ('TRANSFER', 'SAVE') on the blank disk.

Changes in implementation dates and durations allow the manager to review the cash flow implications of speeding up or delaying implementation of individual projects or of shortening or lengthening the construction program.

The operating and capital costs components of this Management policy module also may be modified as new information becomes available from project engineers or travel forecasters. Changing these data allows the manager to assess the implications of changes in costs on the cash-flow position of the District. Farebox revenue projections also may be changed to reflect changes in fare policy or ridership projections.

the fallouithg trensportatien improverents haye aeen ldentified for inclusion in the lang raige plah

| hear mall |  |  | LIGift rall |  |  | bushay |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DESt | E51 2051 | DUR1 | DESC | E5t COST | DUR ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DESC |  | E5T COST | OURR |
| M05-1 | 871 | d | LB-LA | 427.2 | 3 | Harbor |  | 200 | 3 |
| MOS-2 | 336.5 | 5 | cemtury | 133 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| MOS-3 | 336.5 | 5 | Sf valley | 311 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| MOS-4 | 462.35 | 5 | coastal | 170 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Mos-5 | 462.35 | 5 | hurilingian | 300 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| EASt la metro | 0 | 5 | Pasadena | 296 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| NORLALK METRO: | 0 | 5 | TORRANCE: | 160 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| SANTA MINICA - | 0 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

the follaing inprongents have aed incorporateo imio the joemifieo netuorks

| SCHEDIRED IMPI BTETIATION | NETMORK DESIGATIOVOESCAIPIIE | stmuatice dates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SIMS ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | SIN-2 |
| 84 fiscal year | NLI $=$ ALL BUS S5T日 | 85 | 90 |
| 91 fiscal year | NL2 $=$ MOS 1 LB-LA | 90 | 100 |
| 92 fiscal year | H33: NU2 NOS2 | 90 | 100 |
| 94 fiscal tear | NM3 = NU3A MOS3 CENT | 90 | 108 |
| 99 FIScal rear | nu4t Mu3 most | 96 | 100 |
| 102 FISCAL YEAR | NU4 = NU3 MOSS SF Calley | 100 | 110 |
| 185 fiscal year | NMS - NAM HARGOR COSTAL | 101 | 111 |
| 110 fiscal year | HHS - MLS EAST LA HUNTINGIEN | 100 | 110 |


| dPERATING COSTS |  |  |  |  |  | REVENUE PROLECTIOSS <br> Qus <br> METRD <br> LRT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1S1M-1 | STM-2 | 1STH-1 | Sth-2 | (STM-1 | SIN-2 1 | 1SIN-1 | SIM-2 | 1SIM-1 | SIH-2 | 1Sth-1 | SIK-2 1 |
| 434.37 | 483.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 224.85 | 252.71 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 415.48 | 506.38 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 13.20 | 13.20 | 253.75 | 304.01 | 0.65 | 11.59 | 14.28 | 17.45 |
| 394.44 | 480.84 | 24.53 | 24:53 | 13.20 | 13.20 | 250.65 | 300.30 | 11.16 | 22.35 | 18.42 | 22.51 |
| 373.48 | 455.29 | 33.05 | 33.05 | 20.00 | 20.80 | 236.75 | 296.58 | 13.67 | 34.11 | 22.56 | 27.57 |
| 357.81 | 436.18 | 41.65 | 41.65 | 20.80 | 20.00 | 236.67 | 296.48 | 16.87 | 42.09 | 27.69 | 34.2 |
| 342.13 | 417.06 | 50.25 | 50.25 | 29.00 | 29.00 | 236.59 | 296.38 | 20.06 | 58.06 | 31.82 | 45.00 |


| NETMORK 1.0. | ® | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 9 | 11 | 92 | 93 | 94 | \$ | 96 | 97 | 98 | $\text { F if } 5$ | $L_{100}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { YEAR } \\ 101 \end{array}$ | 102 | 103 | 104 | 105 | 106 | 107 | $\cdots 108$ | 189 | 110 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metuark 1 | X | 1 | 1 | - | + | X | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * |  | . . |  |  |
| ITETIORK 2 |  |  |  |  | + | X | - | - | * | - | - | - | - | * | - | $x$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| netoork 3a |  |  |  |  | - | x | - | , | - | + | - | - | + | + | - | X | + |  |  |  |  | . | $\cdots$ | ... |  | . |
| NeTMORK 3 |  |  |  |  | - | x | - | - | * | * | - | - | 1 | - | + | $\mathbf{x}$ | - |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| NETUARK 40 |  |  |  |  | 1 | X | - | - | - | * | * | + | + | * | + | X | , |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| METMOR 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | X | 1 | 1 | + | - | - | - | - | - | + | X |
| METUORK g |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | x | - | - | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | x |

The graphic in the bottom half of the Management policy module is a visual representation of project chronology and implementation dates and will show if acceptable parameters have been exceeded. Dashed lines should fall within the guidelines discussed above for scheduled implementation. The ' X 's in the graphic indicate horizon years, for which trip tables exist and UTPS simulations may be made.

### 4.2 ECONOMIC/DEMOGRAPHIC PROJECTIONS

Economic and demographic projections are contained in the second module (figure 10). The left-most entry on each row indicates the source of the data in that row. This module includes base data that are used by other modules for calculating available revenues and inflated costs and revenues. Generally, these data should not be modified, unless new regional forecasts have been adopted, or other major informational changes have occurred that affect the module.

A manager may, however, wish to review the implications of changes to certain basic assumptions in this Module. For example, the user may want to review the effects on future year costs and revenues of the consumer price index ( $C P I-U$ ) or the highway construction index growing at a faster or slower rate than assumed. The numbers currently in the module may be changed by moving the cursor to the number, typing in the new figure and pressing the return key. Again, this file should be saved on a new disk, not on the LODESTAR disk. Gasoline prices and the farebox revenues may be changed in this module; but, in reality, any minor modifications would have relatively little impact on
revenues available to the District.

### 4.3 OTHER CAPITAL COSTS

The Capital Costs module spreads rail and busway capital costs (input from the Management Policy module) over the duration specified in that module. Costs are spread using a construction cost distribution curve (CONTRACT DISTRIBUTION DATA), which is based on CALTRANS data. The user may want to modify the curve if better information is available. For example, a project with a three year duration is assumed to incur obligations of $35.1 \%, 43.9 \%$, and $21 \%$ in each of the three years, respectively. The user could change these numbers to reflect new data on expenditures (rather than obligations) or on the yearly percentages.

Capital costs for bus acquisition, buildings, office equipment, and land are input manually to the module. The information may come from the current five-year plan and estimates for the future made by SCRTD staff. The user may want to modify these inputs based on updated information or to test the implications of different scenarios for purchases in these categories.

HOOLAE : ECDKOUTCDOHOCRAPKIC PROJETTIONS


### 4.4 CASH FLOW MANAGER

The Cash Flow Manager (Figure ll) provides a summary of all District revenues and costs. It compares costs and revenues on an annual basis and produces an annual and cumulative cash balance position.

This module and the Management Policy module are the two most likely areas for user modifications in LODESTAR. This module is the best place to test alternative revenue scenarios. Revenues are listed in the top two sections of the module. The year-by-year listings show the assumed annual revenues.

Assumptions are incorporated in the model for funds from discretionary sources. These amounts may vary substantially, so this module enables different scenarios to be evaluated quickly (a few seconds to a few minutes). Federal funding also is highly variable, and the impacts of alternative futures may be evaluated easily by manually changing the revenue inputs in the module. For example, the model currently assumes that UMTA Section 9 assistance will end in 1988 and that UMTA Section 3 funding will be distributed in the future on a population formula basis to urbanized areas greater than 1 million people. Other assumptions may be made and tested by entering alternative dollar values in appropriate locations. Other discretionary revenues that could be varied include the three categories of proposition $A$ funds.


Other assumptions that have been made in the current version of LODESTAR are listed in the text under "ASSUMPTION." The text for these "ASSUMPTIONS" should be changed by the user when one of the revenue inputs is changed. The printout thus will contain a current list of key revenue assümptions.

### 4.5 SUMMARY

A few of the possible management uses of LODESTAR have been highlighted in this document. It is expected that, as the user gains familiarity with the system additional uses will be found, enhancing the value of LODESTAR as a planning and management tool.
modulel: mamagenent palicy


| HEAFY RARL DESC. | Fig ESI COST | constra ${ }^{2}$ her. gura | Light rall DESC | E5I COSI | PrRR | BUSUAY DESC | E5I COSI | OLRR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MOS-1 | 811 | 6 | L8-LA | 427. 2 | \$ | HARSOR | 200 | J |
| nos-2 | 336.5 | 5 | CEmTURY | 133 | 1 |  |  |  |
| MOS-3 | 336:5 | 5 | 5F Walley | 311 | 3 |  |  |  |
| H0S-4 | 462.35 | 5 | coastal | 170 | 3 |  |  |  |
| M05-5 | 462.35 | 5 | funtimgiom | 300 | 3 |  | . |  |
| gast la metro | 0 | 5 | PASADEIA - | 296 | 4 |  |  |  |
| norwalk hetro - | 0 | 5 | torraice - | 160 | 3 |  |  |  |
| gamta mousca : | 0 | 6 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |

## LODEETAR O912BS



| SCHEDELEA IMPLEMENTATIOM. | WETHRXX DESSGMATIOW/DESCAIPTIOM |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1984 FISCRL TEAR | MII $=$ ALL ENS SYSTEM |
| 1991 FIBCAL YEAR | WY2 - MOSI LO-LA |
| 1992'FISCAL YEar | Mujar Min mosz |
| 1993' FIGCAL YEAR | WU3 - MU3A MOSJ CENT |
| 1997 FISCAL YEAR | MUAA M MI3 ROSA |
| 2002 FISCML TEAR | RUA' - Mr3 Mass br valce |
| 2005 FISCAL YEAR | MIS = MMA harbor cosial |
| 2010 FISCAL YEAR | WUS - HVS EAST LA Muntimerom |


|  |  |  | CPERATIMS COSTS |  |  |  |  |  | REVEMIE: PROLECYIOMS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gimulation oftes |  |  | 8us |  | MEIRD |  | 101 |  | Qus |  | METRO |  | LRI |  |
| 5 c -1 | 5try |  | tilan-1 | 51 ll -2 | [SIM-1 | \$115-2 | : 5 [m-1 | sin-2 1 | 861K-t | Stin-2 | ISIM-1 | Sln-2 | :sin-1 | SIM-2 : |
| 1985 | 1990 |  | 434.57 | 483.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 224.95 | 252:11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1990 | 2000 | - | . 415.10 | 506.38 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 13.20 | 13.20 | 255 . 75 | 504.01 | 8:65 | 10.59 | 14.28 | 17.45 |
| 1990 | 2000 |  | 394.44 | 480.84 | 24.53 | 24:53 | 13.20 | 13.20 | $250.65^{\circ}$ | 300.30 | 13.16 | 22.35 | 18.42 | 22.51 |
| 1999 | 2000 |  | 373.48 | 455.29 | 33.05 | 33.05 | 20:80 | 20.80 | 236.75 | 296.59 | [3. 67 | 31.11 | 22.56 | 21.57 |
| 1990 | 2000 |  | 357.81 | 436.18 | 41.65 | 41.65 | 20:80 | 20:80 | 236.67 | 296.49 | 16:07 | 12.09 | 29.69 | 36.29 |
| 2000 | 2010 |  | H2.13: | 417.0\% | 50.25 | 50.25 | 29:00 | 29.00 | - 236.39 | 246.38 | 20:04 | 50,06 | 36.82 | 45.00 |
| 2000 | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



METu跃 1 I $\quad$ I
METMORK 2 ,

METMORX 3 , 1 ,
network 42 $\square$

METMORK 4

METMORK 3

METNORX 6


EHJUPUT FROM MOOML 24E

| $\cdots \mathrm{Cosal}$ | U．8． $\mathrm{CPI}-\mathrm{U}$ | 1989 100 | 100.00 | 104．86． | 110.56 | 117.45 | 124；77 | 132：28 | 139.14 | 147.21 | 155.16 | 163.69 | 172.70 | 182.20 | 192.22 | 202.79 | 213.94 | 225.71 | 238.12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －－－CAAL | U．S．CPI－U GROUTH RATE | － |  | 4．86 | 5.44 | 6：23 | 6：23 | 6.02 | 5.18 | 3.80 | 5.40 | 5.50 | ：5．50 | 5.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 5.50 | 5.50 | 5.50 |

EETMPUT FRON UTPS REVINGE MODELEE

| 1984 納＝ALL B | 315 SY5TEs | 1984 \％nil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1991 \mathrm{NL2}=$ Mos1 | LA－L ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1984 3ntl |
| $1892 \mathrm{Mu} 3 \mathrm{~A}=$ ． NM 2 | N052 | 1984 \％${ }^{\text {dil }}$ |
| 1993 NU3 $=$ NMSA | Mas3 CEMT | 19 Cl \％ HLL |
| 1997 KUHA KN3 | MDSA | 1984 shil |
| 2002 Eind $=$ NM3 | noss 9\％Valley | 19 Cl satl |
| 2005 N45＝M M 4 | harbor costal |  |
| 2010 M16－${ }^{\text {a }}$ N15 | east la humilugiom | 1994 疑L |


| 1984 KETHORK | （8US） |  | 219：28 | ＇224．85：${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 230：42 | 235.97 | 241.57 | 247.14 | ＇252．71 | 258.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 MEIKARK | （8us） | 1984 \％ntl |  |  |  |  |  | 248.72 | 253.75 | \％ 258.77 | 263．80 | 268.83 | 273．85 | 278．88 | 283.90 | 288.93 | 293.96 | 298.98 | 304.01 |
| 1992 METYOAK | （845） | 1984 mill |  |  |  |  |  | 245.68 | 250.65 | 255.61 | 260．58 | 265.54 | 270.51 | 215.47 | 280.44 | 285.40 | 290.37 | 295.13 | 300.29 |
| 1993 ue fronk | （8us） | 1984 3tL |  |  |  |  |  | 230.76 | 236.75 | 242.73 | 248.71 | － 254.70 | 260.69 | 266.66 | 272.65 | 278.63 | 284.61 | 290.60 | 296.58 |
| 1997 METwDRK | （8U5） | 1984 mull |  |  |  |  |  | 230.69 | 236.67 | 242.65 | 249：63 | 254.61 | 260：59 | 266.57 | 272：55 | 278.34 | 284.52 | 290．50 | 296．49 |
| 2002 METMORK | （BuS） | 1984 ／ AlL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 230.61 | ． 236.59 |
| 2005 KETWORX | （BUS） |  |  |  |  | ＇ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2010 METMOR | （805） | 1984 mill |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| fare bot | revenues（busi | 1984 㭥 IL |  | 224.85 | 230.42 | 235.99 | 241.57 | 247.14 | 252.71 | 258：77 | 260：58 | 254.70 | 260.68 | 266.66 | 272.65 | 278.54 | 284．52 | 290.50 | 296.48 |
| 1984 KETMORK | （leanr ralld | 1984 觻ll | 0.00 | 80.00 | \％ 0.00 | $\therefore 0.00$ | \％0．00 | ． 0.00 | $\because 0.00$ | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ig9i nefuopk | （heauy rall | 1984．sfitl |  |  |  |  |  | 8.46 | 9.65 | 18．84 | 9：04 | 9：23 | 9，43 | 9.62 | 9.85 | 10.01 | 10.20 | 10.40 | 10.59 |
| 1992＇MEMORK | （heavy rail） | 1984 chath |  |  |  |  |  | 10.04 | 11.16 | 12.28 | －13．40 | 14.52 | 15.64 | 16.76 | 17．87 | 18．99 | 20.11 | 21.23 | 22.35 |
| 1993：METMORK | （heayy rail） | 1984 shill |  |  |  |  |  | 11．63 | 13.67 | 15：71 | 17.76 | 19.80 | 21：85 | 23.89 | 25.93 | 27.98 | 30.02 | 32.07 | 34.11 |
| $1997{ }^{\text {c Met mork }}$ | （teavy call | IP84 mnll |  |  |  |  |  | 14.34 | 16．07 | $19: 39$ | 21：91 | 24.43 | 26.95 | 29.48 | 32.00 | 34.52 | 37.04 | 39.56 | 42.09 |
| 2002 WETHORK | （teavy rall） | 1984 \％ 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.06 | 20.06 |
| 2005 ME THORK | ITEANY RAIL！ | tsal matl | ， |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2010 ＇XEFTMORK | （HEAYY RAILI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | $0: 00$ |
| FARE BOX | revenues liealy ralli |  | ． | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.14 | 13.40 | 19.80 | 21.85 | 23.89 | 25.93 | 4.52 | 37.04 | 39；56 | 42：09 |
| 1984 METMORK | （LIGAT．RAll | 1984 组1L | 0.00 | 9.0 .00 | $\square: 0.00$ | $\therefore 0.00$ | 7． 0.00 | 0.00 | ．0：00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991＇METMORK | （tIEHT RatL） | 1984 组L |  |  |  |  |  | 13.96 | 14：28 | 14：60 | 14.91 | 15.23 | 15.55 | 15.87 | 16.19 | 16.50 | 16.82 | 17：13 | 17：45 |
| 1992 METUORK | （LIEAT Rall） | 1988 5nll |  |  |  |  |  | 18.01 | 18.42 | 18.83 | 19.24 | 19.65 | 20.06 | 20.47 | 20.87 | 21.28 | 21.69 | 22.10 | 22：51 |
| IP93 KETHORK | （LIGH5 8all） | 1984 \％nll |  |  |  |  |  | 22.06 | 22.56 | 23.06 | 23.56 | 24.06 | 24.56 | ． 29.07 | 25.57 | 26.07 | 26.57 | 27.07 | 27.57 |
| 1997 METMORK | （LIERI RALL） | 1984 维LL |  |  |  |  |  | 29.03 | 29.69 | 30.35 | 31.01 | 31.67 | 32.33 | 32.99 | 33.45 | 34.31 | 34.97 | 35.63 | 36.29 |
| 2002 METMORK | （LEHT Raill | 1984 ¢nll |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36.00 | 36：82 |
| － 2005 netucak | ILIEHT Rafll | 1984 組LL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2010 ketwork | ［LIEHT RÁsLI | 1981 \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0：00 |
| FARE．BOR | Revenues ©light rall | 1981 \％nil |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.60 | 19.24 | 24.06 | 24．5\％ | 25.07 | 25.57 | 34． 31 | 34.97 | 35.63 | 36.29 |
| Fare hoi retemues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| fare got | gevenues（eus） |  |  | 112.13 | 195：86 | 249：17 | 301：40 | 326．92 | 351.62 | 380.94 | 404.31 | 416.92 | 450.19 | 485.85 | 524.07 | 564.94 | 608.70 | 655.68 | 705.99 |
| FARE BOI | revenues thealy mall | 1 Snil |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.02 | 20.79 | 32.41 | 37.73 | 43.53 | 49.85 | 70.00 | 79.25 | 89.30 | 100.21 |
| FARE BOI | REVENUSS（LIGHT RAIL | 1 備t |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.19 | 29.85 | 38.39. | 42.42. | 45.67 | 49.14 | 69.51 | 14.81 | 80.41 | 86.40 |
| fare bia | REvenues（all modes） | 1 緼边 |  | 112.13 | 195．86 | 249.47 | 301.40 | 326.92 | 351.62 | 415.45 | 454.95 | 488.73 | 530.34 | 575.04 | 623.06 | 104.41 | 762.76 | 885.39 | 892.60 |

MODUL ．LONYEMTIOMAL FUNOING PROSECTIOXS


| －－－MOD | 2 L a．CO IIEL TRAMS ISAFE ESII | 8816， |  | 60 | 65 | 72 | 78 | 84 | 90 | 99 | 106 | 113 | 121 | 130 | 139 | 149 | 161 | 173 | 186 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －MOD | 2 Ca．lalable tramsactions | \＄BIL， |  | 202 | 221 | 243 | 266 | 289 | 313 | 341 | 369 | 399 | 431 | 45 | 501 | 543 | 589 | 638 | 691 |
| －${ }^{2} 0002$ | 2：SERTOLLAACO SUBSIDY SHARE |  |  | 0.66 | 0：66 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0：88 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0：86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| $\cdots-\mathrm{MOD}$ ？ | －Ca．Gasolike sales | MIL GALS | 10781 | 10873 | 10767 | 10709 | 10627 | 10636 | 10627 | 10625. | 10644 | 10639 | 10655 | 10674 | 10717 | 10745 | 10761 | 1076 | 10764 |
| －） 100 | 2CA．OLESEL SALES． | HIL 6ALS |  | 1418 | 1486 | 1559 | 1632 | 1661 | 1691 | 1720 | 1748 | 1775 | 1802 | 1827 | 1851 | 1875 ： | 1898. | 1922 | 1945 |
| －－－M00 2 | 2：U．S．EASOLINE SALES | MIL EAS |  | 98632 | 97165 | 95826 | 9448 | 93985 | 93355 | 92796 | 92479 | 91997 | 91642 | 91410 | 91390 | $11269^{\circ}$ | 91060 | 90775 | 90425 |
| －－MOD， | 2U．S．DIESEL SALES | HIL 6ALS |  | 16898 | 17577 | 18317 | 19053 | 19279 | 19508 | 19731 | 19938 | 20151 | 20354 | 20548 | 20728 | 20910 | 21093 | 21276 | 21460 |
| －－mod： | 2ica，gasulime price | \＄， 1 |  | 1.14 | 1.15 | 1.25 | 1.33 | 1，43 | 1.54 | 1.66 | 1.77 | 1．89 | 2.03 | 2.17 | 2.36 | 2.57 | 2.79. | 3.04 | 3，31 |
| －－MOD 2 |  |  |  | 0.7105 | 0.7074 | 0.7044 | 0.7015 | 0.6987 | 0.6961 | 0.6935. | 0.6911 | 0.6899 | 0.6867 | 0.6846. | 0.6826 | 0.6808 | 0.6790 | 0.6713 | 0.6751 |
| $\cdots-\mathrm{MOD}^{2}$ | 2：5CRTD OPA REV／CA UPP REV COEFF |  |  | 0． 1694 | 0.2415 | 0．2842 | 0.3258 | 0． 3663 | 0.3502 | $0.3522^{\circ}$ | 0.3515 | 0.3569 | 0.3597 | 0.3603 | 0.3639 | 0.3665 | 0.3690 | 0.3714 | 0．3742 |
| －） HOO 2 |  |  |  | 0：3057 | 0.3025 | ．0． 2995 | 0.2966 | ．0．2938 | 0.2912 | 0.2886 | 0.2863 | 0.2840 | 0．2818 | 0.2797 | 0.2778. | 0.2759 | 0.2741 | 0.272 | ． 0.2708 |
| －mod 2 | 2：La CO PGP／DTHR PROPS CO POp COEF |  |  | 0.4302 | 0.4276 | 0.4252 | 0.4228 | 0.4205 | 0.4183 | 0.4162 | 0.4142 | 0.4123 | 0.104 | 0.4086 | 0.4069 | 0.6053 | 0.4031 | 0.4022 | 0.4008 |
| $-\mathrm{MOD}_{2}$ | TP40 Saleg tal cap grouth raie fare bol－revenues lall hodes） | 1 smll |  | 172．4 | 7.14 195.9 | 7.88 249.5 | 3.84 | 7.58 32.9 | 351．68 | 7．26．5 | 6.78 455.0 | 488：8 ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{66082}$ | 575．0 | 6.70 623.1 | 70.65 | 6,62 $762: 8$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.59 \\ 85.4 \end{array}$ | 86．56 |
|  | CA．sasoline Sales tat | sall： |  | 625 | 672 | 644 | 68 | 733 | 789 | 848. | 910 | 974 | 1043 | 119 | 1212 | 1323. | 1442 | 1570 | 1708 |
|  | CA．TOA Funos | snll |  | 505 | 551 | 607 | 665 | 721 | 783 | 852 | 922 | 999. | 107 | 1162 | 1253 | 1358 | 1472 | 1594 | 1726 |
|  | fuel Tal gpllouer | sill，${ }^{\prime}$ |  | 121 | 70 | 38 | 21 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | IPdi Sales．lai Cap | stalt | 162 | 174 | 18 | 201 | 217 | 233 | 249 | 267 | 285 | 304 | 325 | 341 | 370 | 395 | 421 | 449 | 478 |
|  | IPSo SACES TAI REYEXIE | 綗tit |  | 121 | 70 | 38 | 21 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | $\%$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | GIIF IED TRAMSP FIND | snit， |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | d | d | 0 | 0 | 0 | ， | ， | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UTF TO TPio | shilit |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UTF TO STATE：HiPM ACCT | sall， |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ipto ImCORE | sall； |  | 121 | 70 | 38 | 21 | 12 \％ | 5 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | IPso 10 ca trausp equest | 3nt！ |  | 48 | 28 | 15 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Tpad 10 evidenay fuid | gil！ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | ） | 1 | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | SHA Fule taise | sth？ |  | 367 | 566 | 56 | 565 | 567 | 568 | 569 | 571 | 512 | 574 | 576 | 579 | 562 | 584 | 585 | 586 |
|  | AAIICE IP FundS PROP 5 CuT | shll， |  | 403 | 400 | 398. | 396 | 396 | 375 | 395. | 395 | 394 | 394 | 395 | 396 | 396 | 396 | 396 | 396 |
|  | PRUP A FULISS（ $81, \mathrm{n}$ ） | snll ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 282.8 | 306.4 | $342.2{ }^{\text {．}}$ | 371.0 | 399.8 | 429.4. | 468.0 | 501.8 | 536：9 | 576.4 | 616.7 | 860.1 | 70917. | 763.3 | 821.0 | 882． 8 |
|  | 258 LOCAL RETURM | sillit |  | 70.7 | 76.6 | ． 85.6 | 92.8 | 99.7 | 107.1 | 117.0 | 125：4 | 134：7 | 144：1 | 154：2． | 165：0 | 177.4 | 190.8 | 205：2． | 220，7 |
|  | 401 LaCIC digcret | snil， |  | 212.1 | 116.4 | 130.0 | 14.0 | 151.5 | 162.8 | $177.9^{\circ}$ | 190.7 | 204：8 | 219：0 | 234，3 | 250.9 | $269: 1$ | 290.1 | 312.0 | 335.5 |
|  | 351 既IL Prograh | sall， |  | 0.0 | 107.2 | 119.8 | 129.9 | 139.6 | 149.9 | 163.8 | 175.6 | 188；6 | 201.7 | 215.8 | 231,0 | 248.4 | 267.2 | 297.3 | 309.0 |
|  | ITA ARITCLE 4 FUADSS：LA CUTY | Shtic |  | 138.9 | 150.6 | 168.1 | 182.3 | 195.9 | 210.5 | 230.0 | 246.5 | 264：8 | 283.2 | 303.0 | 324：3 | 348.7 | 375.0 | 403：3． | 433.8 |
|  | 951 日Y Regiokal fgraula | sintil |  | 132.0 | 143.0 | 159.7 | 173.2 | ti8． 1 | 189.9 | 218.5 | 234.2 | 251.5 | 269：0 | 207：8 | 308.1 | 331.3 | 356.3 | 383.2 | 412.1 |
|  | 51 LACTC DISCRET FULD | shlip |  | 6.9 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 9.1 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 11.5 | 12：3 | 13：2 | 14：2 | 15.1 | 16：2 | 17.4 | 18.8 | 20.2 | 21.7 |
|  | STa fundos | Snilit |  | 72.3 | 42.3 | 22.6 | 12.8 | 7.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | $0: 0$ | $0: 0$ | 0：0 | 0.0 | 0：0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 708 ALCOCAILDN ，BY POP | shll？ |  | 14.5 | 8.4 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0: 0$ | $0: 0$ | 0.0 | $0: 0$ | 0.0 |
|  | 303 allac by reverues | shllit． |  | 4.3 | 3.6 | 2：2 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0: 0$ | $0: 0$ | $0: 0$ | 0.0 | $0: 0$ | 0.0 | $0: 0$ | 0.0 |
|  | LACIC：RALL SET ASIDE | snlli． |  | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0: 0$ | $0: 0$ | $0: 0$ | $0: 0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | guinainime sta alloc | shl？ |  | 12.8 | 12.0 | 6.7 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0: 0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | GUIDEMAY FIJXO | shil， |  | 100.7 | 100.1 | 99.6 | 99.1 | 99.0 | 98， 8 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 9月，6 | 9月，6 | 98：6． | 98.9 | 99：0 | 79.1 | 99.1. | 99.0 |
|  | S02 Alloc ey pap ta lacte oisc | canll |  | 21.6 | 21.4 | 21.2 | 21.0 | 20.8 | 20.7 | 20.5 | 20.4 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 19：9 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 19.8 |
|  | 501 ALlOC TO CIC DISCRET FUND | snll |  | 50.3 | 50.0 | 49.8 | 49.6 | 49.5 | 49.4 | 49.3 | 49.4 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.4 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 19.5 | 49.5 |
|  | secilor phie forman gran | sill． |  | 2450.0 | 2082.5 | 2082.5 | 2082.5 | 2082.5 | 2082.5 | 2082.5 | 2082.5 | 2082.5 | 2092.5 | 2087.5 | 2082.5 | 2092.5 | 2082.5 | 2092：5 | 2082.5 |
|  | SECTION 9 IOPERATLMS CAP） | Stllif |  | 55.1 | 55.0 | 53.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55．0． | 55.0 | 55：0\％ | $\cdot 55.0$ | 55：0． | 53.0. | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 |
|  | SECILOM qill chiy share | sinl |  | 319.2 | 101.4 | 101.1 | 101.4 | 101.4 | 101.4 | 101.4 | 101.4 | 101.4 | 101.4 | 101.4 | 101.4 | 101.4 | 101.4 | 101.4. | 101.4 |
|  | MEIRORALL SEI ASIDE | Snll： |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0: 0$ | 0：0 | $0: 0$ | 0：0． | $0: 0$ | $0: 0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | SECT＇（OPERGITONS | 觻碞！ |  | 55.1 | 35.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55：0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | $55: 0$ | 55.0 | 55：0 | 55.0 |
|  | SECt 3 fukdi il CEmy fug tal） | 期IL！ |  | 44， 1120.0 | 1120．4 | 1141.4 | 1135．4 | 1156．4 | 46.4 1129.6 | 466．4 | 1124．2 | 1129：4 | 1126.4 | 1119．4 | 1129．4 | 1129．4 | 1126．4 | $\begin{array}{r} 14.4 .4 \\ 1120: 5 \end{array}$ | 116．4 |
|  | ＊ 4 ditputen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RID OPERATIMG SUBSTDIEG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －－－10 | TOA 95\％．REGKL FORIULA（85i） | 3nid |  | 173．3 | 104．6 | 116.8 | 115.2 | 123.1 | 136.2 | 159：3 | 171.2 | 183.9 | 179.7 | 210：4 | 204.9 | 212：2 | 260.1 | 280：1 | 301．2： |
| －－． 10 | STA REMALHIMG ALlacation | smili |  | 11.0 | 10.3 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 0．8． | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0: 0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| －－－10 | SECTLOM PIOPERATMS | sallil |  | 41.4 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 41.3 | 41.3 | 47．3： | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 ． |
| －－－10 | FGRE EDI REVEMLES． | SAIL， |  | 112.4 | 195.9 | 249.5 | 301.4 | 326.9 | 351.6. | 415：5 | 455.0 | 489.7 | 530.3 | 575：0 | 623.1 | 704：4 | 762.8 | 885.1 | 892.6 |
| －－－10 | aum rev／local opr coxiributioks | \％nll， |  | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.2 |
| －－－10 | mom transit revenues | shll，${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 16.0 | 16.0 | 17：0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |
|  | Capltal fukding（COMV．flnos） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －－＞ 10 |  | 5nfl！ |  | 17.0 | 18.5 | 20.6 | 22.3 | 24.0 | 25.6 | 28.2 | 30.2 | 32.4 | 34.7 | 37.1 | 39.7 | 42.7 | 46.0 | 49：4 | $53: 2$ |
| （－．． 10 | STA MEIRDRALL SET ASIDE | snll， |  | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| －－－10 | SECI 9 MEPRORAIL．SET ASIDE， | shil， |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ． 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 000 | 0.0 | 0：0 | \％ 9.0 |
| －－－10 | SECT P：REMALRLME FOR CAPIIAL | shll， |  | 39.0 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 39.9 | 39.9 | $39: 9$ | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | $39: 4$ | 39.9 | 39：9 | $39: 9$ |
| （－m 10 | BEAEF I 1 ASSESSMEMT DISTAICI | shll， |  | 60.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 20.3 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| －－－10 | PROPA 357，COEYERIED．FOR EONDS） | snlt， |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0 \cdot 0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| －－10 | PRIPA 251 LOCAL REILRA 10 Lia， | sndit |  | 21.6 | 29.9 | 33.1 | 3.2 | 38.9 | 41.8 | 45.6 | 48.9 | 52.5 | 56.2 | 60.1 | 64.4 | 69.2 | 74.1 | 80：0 | 8 8， 1. |
| －－10 | gouy 508 POP FORMELA LaCIC OISCR | 3shll， |  | 21.6 | 21.4 | 21.2 | 21.0 | 20.8 | 20.7 | 20.5 | 20.4 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 19.9 | 19.9 |
| －－110 | 601Y 501 CTC OISCR | snll： |  | 50.3 | 50.0 | 49.8 | 49.6 | 49.5 | 49.4 | 49.3 | 49.4 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.4 | 19.5 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 49：5 |
| －－－10 | SECT 3 TD La lula mpopiln anlyl | snll， |  | 132.8 | 132.8 | 135．3． | 134.6 | 134.3 | 133.8 | 133.4 | 133.3 | 133.0 | 132.8 | 132.7 | 132.9 | 133.0 | 133.0 | 132.8 | 132.6 |
| －－－10 | SECI 3 To＇la isec：pilb Formutal | 3nll，${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 46.9 | 46.9 | 47．0＇ | 47.5 | 47：4 | 47.2 ． | 47.1 | 47.1 | 46.9 | 46.9 | 46.9 | 46.9 | 47.0 | 46.9 | 46.9 | 46：8 |





| 44t IKPUI FROM MTDOtLEStet PROP A RAIL SET ASIDE FUND |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 107:2 | 119.8 | 129.9 | 139.6 | 149:9 | 163.8 | 175.6 | 888.6 | 201.7 | 215.8 | 231.0 | 240.4 | 267.2 | 297.3 | 309.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ufil. coef. (uthilatiom of funds). |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1:0 | 1:0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| - available far debi service |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 35.4 | 80.2 | 129.9 | 139.6 | 149.9 | 163.8 | 175.6 | 188.6 | 201.7 | 215.0 | 231.0 | 240:4 | 247.2 | 297.3 | 309.0 |
| DIFER | 80\%1 | fiscal | duratton | Invesi | DIvideld | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | F7 |
| PRILC | anowar | year | (10) | Ratidij | rate id) | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 - | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1893 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998. | 1999 | 2000 |
| 1 | 0.0 | 1985 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 294.9 | 1986 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 |  | 35.4 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 3.5 | 33.5 | 3.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| i | 389.6 | 1987 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 |  |  | 46.7 | 4.2 | 4:2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 44.2 | 4.2 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| 1 | 43.3 | 1988 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 |  |  |  | 52.1 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.3 |
| 1 | 104.2 | 1989 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 12.5 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.8 |
| 1 | 11.9 | 1990 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 11.0 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10:4 | 10.4 |
| 1 | 120.6 | 1991 | 30 | 6.0 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14:5 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 43.7 | 13.7 | $13: 7$ | 13.7 |
| 1 | 104.8 | 1992 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,6 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 | $11: 9$ | 81.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 |
| 1 | 113.9 | 1993 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.7 | 12.9 | 12.9 | 12:9 | 12.9 | 12:9 | 12.9 | 12.9 |
| 1 | 185.5 | 1994 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.9 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 |
| 1 | 123:6 | 1895 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14:8 | 14:0 | 14.0 | 14:0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |
| 1 | 133:3 | 1996 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16:0 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 15.1 |
| 1 | 151.4 | 1997 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |  | IB. 2 | 17.2 | 17.2 | . 17.2 |
| 1 | $164: 4$ | 1998 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ; |  |  |  |  | 19.7 | 18.7 | 18:7 |
| 1 | 176.8 | 1999 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.2 | 20.1 |
| 1 | 189.9 | 2000 | 30 | 6.0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $22: 8$ |
| rexathoms fuids |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 71.9 | 39.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0:0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0:0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CASH FROM PROP a BOMOS 10 zidiscounl |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | 265.4 | 350.6 | 370.9 | -93.8 | 82.7 | 108.5 | 94.3 | 102.5 | 104:0 | 111.3 | 120.0 | 136.7 | 148.0 | 159.1 | 170:9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 | \$7.3 | 370.1 | 370.9 | 93.8 | 82.7 | $108: 5$ | 14.3 | 102.5 | 104.0 | 111.3 | 120.0 | 136.7 | 148.0 | 159.1 | 170.9 |

MODLE 1 DPERAILKG COST PROXECTIONS


CAPITAL COSTS

|  | contract distibutiom data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 59,3 | 35.1 | 22.9 | 16.1 | 12. | 9.3 | 7.5 | 6.2 | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40.7 | 43.9 | 36.4 | 29 | 23.1 | 18.9 | 15.4 | 12.8 | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $2!$ | 27.5 | 26.7 | 24.2 | 21.1 | 18.5 | 16.1 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13.2 | 18,9 | 19.7 | 19.1 | 17.9 | 16.4 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | *Winput froan mekt moduleth |  |  | 9.3 | 14.2 | 15.1 | 15:2 | 15.1 | 14.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | Mal - All bus Srsien |  |  |  | 6.8 | 11.1 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| t991 | MM2 - MOS L Lb-la |  |  |  |  | 5.4 | 8.9 | 10 | 10.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.3 | 7.4 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | MLS $=$ musa nos3 cait |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.6 | 6.3 | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | MHAL HUS: MOSt |  |  |  | . |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | WS - wat harbie cosial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | WUS - WIS CASt La Hatimgiom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rightarrow \mathrm{CaL}$ | Ca. hay construction indel | 1984-100 | 100 | 106 | 112.36 | 120:23 | 128.64 | 137:65 | 147.28 | 158:77 | 170:52 | 183.31 | 197.05 | 211.83 | 227.72 | 244.60 | 263.16 | 282:90 | 304.11 |
| --rcal | Ca. hel grovit raite | 1 |  | 6.00 | 6.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7.80 | 7:40 | -7.50 | 7.50 | 7.50 | 7.50 | 7.50 | 7.50 | 7.50 | 7.50 |
|  |  |  |  | 1.06 | 1.12- | 1.20 | 1.29 | 1.38 | 1.4 | 1.59 | 1.71 | 1.83 | 1.97 | 2.12 | 2.28 | 2.45 | 2.63 | 2.83 | 3.04 |
|  | Prorect - Teschipitom | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BEGIK } \\ & \text { QPERATJOM } \end{aligned}$ | PROJECT OURATIOM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fi8s } \\ & \text { 1885 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TYB } \\ 1986 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { F87 } \\ \text { [987 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY88 } \\ & \text { t } 1988 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY89 } \\ 1989 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5790 \\ 1990 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fY9t } \\ & 199 t \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fY92 } \\ & 1992 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY93 } \\ \text { [993 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FYP4 } \\ \text { t994. } \end{gathered}$ | FYPs 1995 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY96 } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY97 } \\ & 1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY98 } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FYY } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | 5700 |
| $884, n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2000 |
| 871 | nos-1 MUILSIRE/avaramol! ITRACS $^{\text {a }}$ | 1991 | 6 | 188:3 | 475.9 | 243.9 | 73.5 | 65.1 | 18.4 | 6.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 336.5 | MSS-2 (UILSHLRE/CRENSHAMi | . 1992 | 5 | - | - | . 754.2 | 97.6 | 89.8 | 63.6 | 31.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 336.5 | nos-3 (FAJEFAI/BEVEPL.Y: MISI) | 1993 | 5 | - | - | - | : 54.2 | 97.6 | 89.8 | 63.6 | 31,3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 462.35 | MOS-4 CEDL Y YOGO/CASUEMSAI | 1997 | 5 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | : 7.4 .4 | 134.1 | 123.4 | 87.4 | 43:0 | - | - | - | - |
| 462.35 | MOS-5 taENALHIMS LOAI | 2002 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14:4 | 134.1 | 123.4 | 87:4 |
| 0 | EAST LA METRS. | 2010 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - . | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0 | NORMALK HETRO | 120 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0 | salia monica metro | 120 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | - | - | - |
| 427.2 | L8-LACRT | 1991 | 5 | - | $\therefore 68.8$ | 123.9 | 114.1 | 80.7 | 39.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 133 | CEMJuRY LRT | 1993 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 430.5. | 48.4 | 36.6 | 17.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 311 | bF valley lit | 2002 | 3 | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | -. | - | - | - | 108.2 | 136.5 |
| 170 | COASTAL LRI | 2005 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| 300 | huntimgion 'lit | 2010 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 296 | Pasademá lit | 120 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 160 | torrauce int | 120 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 200 | hargor busway | 2005 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | gus acout aig mege |  |  | 2.9 | 29.0 | 29.3 | 39.4 | 0.0 | 33.0 | 9.5 | 32.5 | 48.5 | 48;3 | 53.1 | 31.9 | 45:2 | 39:9 | 40.1 | 39.5 |
|  | 8utlotmes |  |  | 22.9 | 16.3 | 16.2 | 22.5 | 13.6 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 15.3 | $15: 3$ | 15.3 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 15.5 | 13.3 |
|  | OFFICE ERUIP |  |  | 17.5 | 12.7 | 11.1 | 10.0 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8:4 | $8: 3$ | 8.4 | 8.3 |
|  | Laks |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0:0 | $0: 0$ | $0: 0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | CAPIJRL COSIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | gus acoutireplibusway consir | 13 ntl |  | 3.0 | 32.6 | 35.2 | 50:6 | 0.0 | 48.6 | 15.0 | 55.4 | -89.9 | 95.2 | 112.5 | 72.7 | 110.7 | 104.9 | 113:5 | 120.1 |
|  | netrorall | 1 Bhil |  | 104.2 | 534.7 | 356.3 | 299:B | 3476 | 253.1 | 161.1 | 180.3 | 243.8 | . 243.3 | 185.1 | 97.9 | 162. 2 | 352.8 | 349.2 | 265.7 |
|  | LIGHit rall transit | 1 \% HIL |  | 0.0 | 77.3 | 148.9 | 146.7 | 153.1 | 129:0 | 58.1 | 29.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 309.8 | 415.2 |
|  | BULLDIMSS/EEUIP/LAKD | 1 \%nil |  | 42.8 | 32.6 | 32.8 | 41.9 | 30.3 | 34:9 | 37.5 | 40.3 | 43.3 | 46.7 | 50.1 | 53.9 | 58.0 | 62.3 | 67.8 | 72:0 |


|  | FISCAL YEAA |  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1981 | 1988 | 1989 | 990 | 1971 | 1992 | ［993 | 1994 | 1995 | JP9 | 1997 | 1998 |  | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MID DPERRTIKS SUPSIDIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －－－） 5 | PROPA 401 D15C |  |  | 173.3 | 95.1 | 106.2 | 115.2 | 123.8 | 133.0 | 145：3 | 155.8 | 167：3 | 179.0 | 191.5 | 204.9 | 220.3 | 237.0 | 234.9 | 274.1 |
| －－－） 5 |  | anlic， |  | 96.5 11.0 | 109.6 10.3 | 116.8 31.8 | 126.6 3.4 | 136.1 | 146.2 0.6 | 159.7 | 171.2 0.0 | 183.9 | 196.7 | 270.4 0.0 | 223．2 | 242.2 0.0 | 260.1 0.0 | 280.1 | 301．2． |
| －－） 5 | SECITOH 9，OPERATLNG | \％${ }^{\text {ancti }}$ |  | 47.4 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 47.3 | 17.3 | 47.3 |
| －－－） 5 | fare bor devenues． | HITI |  | 112.4 | 195.9 | 249.5 | 301.4 | 326.9 | 351.6 | 415.5 | 455.0 | 490.6 | 530.3 | 575．0． | 623.1 | 674：6 | 730.0 | 825.4 | 892.6 |
| $\cdots{ }^{--7} 5$ | Aux mev／local opr cortributions | 風， |  | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.0 | $5: 2$ | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5：10 | 6.0 | 6.2 |
| $\cdots 5$ | mOM IRAKSII REVEMESES CAPIIAS Ferotkg comy．flotasi | AIL， |  | 16.0 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20：0 | 21：0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| $\rightarrow 5$ | TOA 951 REGIOMAL FORTLLA（15t） | thl！ |  | 17.0 | 18.5 | 20.6 | 22.3 | 24：0 | 25.8 | 28.2 | 30.2 | 32.4 | 34.7 | 37.1 | 39.7 | 42.7 | 46.0 | 49.4 | 53.2 |
| －） 5 | SIA METGORALL SET ASIDE | AnL， |  | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0: 0$ |
| －－－＞ 5. | SECI q：AETRORALL SEI ASIDE | shli！ |  | $20: 0$ | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0：0 | 0.0 | $0: 0$ | 0：0 | $0: 0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\cdots 5$ ； | SECT piremalntugifor capital | sht， |  | 38.0 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39：9 | 39.9 | 38.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 |
| －－－） 5 | BENEFIT ASEESSHEMT DISTRICT | 8RI， |  | 60.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 20.3 | 20.0 | 20.0 | $0: 0$ | 10.0 | 0.0. | 10：0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | $0 ; 0$ |
| －－－）， 5 |  | Al， |  | 27．6 | 29.9 | 3.4 | 36.2 | 38.0 | 0.0 41.8 | 45.6 | 48.9 | 52．5 | 50.0 | 60．0 | 8.6 | \＄9．0 | 74.4 | 80．0 | 8.0 |
| －－－） 5 |  | 朝1， |  | 21.6 | 21.4 | 21.2 | 21.0 | 20.8. | 20.7 | 20.5 | 20.4 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 199 | 19：0 |
| $\rightarrow 5$ | G9WY 501 CIC IISCR |  |  | 50.3 | 50.0 | 49．8． | 49.6 | 49.5 | 49.4 | 49：3 | 49.4 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 49.4 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 49.5 |
| $\cdots 5$ | SECI 3 IR La（ULA wpap）ta day |  |  | 132.8 | 132.8 | 135.3 | 134.6 | 134．3： | 158.8 | 133.4 | 13.3 | 130 | 132.8 | 132.7 | 132.9 | 133.0 | 13.0 | 132：8 | 132.6 |
| $\rightarrow 5$ | sect 3 to la（EEC quie formeal CAPLTAL FUNOLKG（IMKOU：F IMALCE） |  |  | 46.9 | 46.9 | 47.8 | 47．5 | 47.4 | 41.2 | 47.1 | 47.1 | 44.8 | 46.9 | 41.9 | 46.9 | 47.0 | ． 46.9 | 46.9 | 46.8 |
| －－－） 6 | PROPA，RAIL PROGRAM | thla |  | 0.0 | 337.3 | 390：1． | 390.9 | 93.8 | 82.1 | 108.5 | 94.3 | 102.5 | 104.0 | 111.3 | 120.0 | 136.7 | 148.0 | 159．1 | 170.9 |
| $\cdots 7$ | dperatimg costs lblst | 1 觻L |  | 455：5 | 411.1 | 533.3 | 578.7 | 626.6 | 672.7 | $624: 9$ | 639.1 | 651.5 | 701.5 | 753.0 | 812.2 | 036.8 | 699.6 | 98.8 | 1039．6 |
| $\rightarrow 17$ | OPERAIIMG COSTS IHEAVY RAJL！ | ［ |  | $0: 0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0: 0$ | 0：0． | 0.0 | $23: 6$ | 38.1 | 54.1 | 57.1 | 60.2 | 63.5 | 84.5 | 89.1 | 94：0 | 99.2 |
| $\cdots 1$ | dpesatimg cosis clish ratl capith cosig |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 20.5 | 34.0 | 3.1 | 37.9 | 40.0 | 42.2 | 44.5 | 46.9 | 49.5 |
| $\rightarrow$－ 9 | BUS AEDUL／REPL／EUSMAY COWSTR | ［ \％ntl |  | 3.0 | 32.6 | 35.2 | 30：6 | 0：0 | 48.6 | 15：0 | 55.4 | 88.9 | 95.2 | 112.5 | 72.7 | 110.7 | 104.9 | $1 i 3.5$ | 120.1 |
| －－－） 9 | netrorail | 1 \％nt |  | 104：2 | 534.7 | 359.3 | 289.8 | 341.6 | 253.1 | 161.1 | 180.3 | 245.8 | 243.3 | 185.1 | 97.9 | 182.2 | 352.8 | 34.2 | 265.7 |
| $\cdots 9$ | LIGHT RAIL TRAMSIT | ！維 |  | 0 | 71.3 | 148.9 | 146.7 | 153.1 | 129.8 | 58.1 | 29.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 309.8 | 415.2 |
| $\rightarrow 9$ | ButLutwas／edulphano | I \％nll |  | 42：8 | 32.6 | 32：9 | 41.9 | 30.3 | 34.9 | 37.5 | 40.3 | 43.3 | 46.7 | 50.1 | 53.9 | 59，0 | 62.3 | 67.8 | 72.0 |

 FED GENERAL FULE $15 I$ REDUCTIOM FIRSI YEAR THEN：STEADY


| A．dperatimg costs and reverues 1．operating costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| METRO OPERAIImG：COSTS |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.6 | 38.1 | 54.1 | 57.1 | 60.2 | 63.5 | 84.5 | 89.1 | 94.0 | 99.2 |
| LIght rail dperatimg costs |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 20.5 | 34.0 | 35.9 | 37.9 | 40.0 | 42.2 | 44.5 | 45.9 | 49.5 |
| gus operailng cosis | － | 455.5 | 491.1 | 533.3 | 579.7 | 676.6 | 672.7 | 624.9 | 638.6 | 651.5 | 701.5 | 755.0 | 812.2 | 836.8 | ． 899.6 | 966.1 | 1039.6 |
| total dperailme costs |  | 45.5 | 491.1 | 533.3 | 578.7 | ． 626.6 | 672.7 | 667.9 | 697.4 | 139.7 | 794.5 | 853.1 | 915．8 | 963.5 | 1033．2 | 1107.8 | 1187.3 |
| FARE B01：REVERUES |  | 112.4 | 195.9 | 249.5 | It．${ }^{\text {aperal }}$ | （M6：－ 326.9 | 351.6 | 415.5 | 455.0 | 490.6 | 530.3 | 575，0． | 623.1 | 47.6 | 730.0 | 025.4 | 882.6 |
| AUS REVILOCAL OfR Cownibutions |  | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5：6 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 6.2 |
| W0n tralsil rmyenues |  | 16.0 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| total revemues |  | 131.0 | 214.7 | 269.4 | 321.5 | 349.9 | 373.8 | 437.9 | 478.6 | 514.4 | 554.3 | 600．2 | 649.5 | 700.2 | 756：8 | 852.4 | 919.8 |
| OPERATIWG balance |  | －324：1 | －276．5 | －263．9 | －251．2 | －271．7 | －298． 9 | －230．0 | －218：8 | －225．3 | －240．2 | －252：9 | －267．3 | －263．3 | －276．5 | －255．4 | －267．5 |
| Farie boi ratid |  | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.51 | If. OfERA | $\text { IICHG } 6 \text { GRMTS }$ | 0.56 | 0.66 | 0.69 | 0.70 | 0.70 | ． 0.0 .70 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| local opeation confributiom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SIA．REMAIMLMG ALLOC |  | 11.0 | 10.3 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 219：0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 183.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $0 \cdot 0$ | 000 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SECILOM 9 OPER |  | 47.4 | 104.6 | 116.8 | 126.6 | 174.3 | 146.2 | 159.7 | 171.2 | 183.8 47.3 | 196.7 | 210．4 | 225.2 47.3 | 242：2 | 260.4 | 280.1 | 301．2． |
| PRRP A 401 DISCR |  | ［73．3 | 95.1 | 106.2 | 159.2 | 123.8 | 133.0 | 145．3 | 155.8 | 167.3 | 179.0 | 191.5 | 204.9 | 220.3 | 237.0 | 754.9 | 274：1 |
| TOTAL DPERATIMG GRANTS |  | 328.2 | 257.3 | 276.1 | 292.5 | 309.2 | 327.3 | 352.3 | 374.3 | 388：5 | 422.9 | $449: 2$ | 477.5 | 509.8 | 544.7 | 582.3 | 622.6 |
| OPER \％remathimg for cap gramis |  | 3.7 | －19．2． | $\begin{gathered} 12.2 \\ \text { CAPITAL } \end{gathered}$ | 35.3 <br> COSTS ANO iv．CAPITA | $\begin{aligned} & 31.5 \\ & \text { Fundicis } \\ & \text { cosIS } \end{aligned}$ | 28.3 | 122.3 | 155：5 | 173：2 | 182．8 | 196.3 | 210.2 | 246.6 | 268.3 | 326：9 | 355．1 |
| merio rall cp |  | 104．2： | 534.7 | 358.3 | 299．8 | 347.6 | 253.1 | 161．1： | 180：3 | 245：8 | 243.3 | 185.1 | 97.9 | 182.2 | 352.8 | 349.2 | $265: 7$ |
| Leght rail ce |  | 0：0： | 77.3 | 148.9 | 146：7 | 153.1 | 129.8 | 58.1 | 29.9 | $0 \cdot 0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30818 | 15：2 |
| BUS ACRUISITIOX／REPLACEMENT |  | 3.0. | 32.6 | 35：2 | 50.6 | $0 \cdot 0$ | 48：6 | 15.0 | 55.4 | 86： 9 | 95.2 | 112.5 | 72.7 | 140.7 | 104.9 | 113：5 | 120.1 |
| ．bullaikgs／off ice egut phent／land |  | 42.8 | 32.6 | 37． 8 | 41．9 | 30， 3 | 34：9 | 37.5 | 40.3 | 43.3 | 46.7 | 50.1 | 53.9 | 59.0 | 62.3 | 61．8， | 72：0 |
| total capital costs |  | 150.1 | \＄77．1 | 575.3 |  | GRRITS | 466：4 | 271.7 | 30 C .0 | 378.0 | 385.1 | 347.7 | 224.5 | 350.9 | 520.1 | 939．3 | 873．0 |
| OPER ：RENAIMIXG FOR CAP 6GamlS |  | 3.1 | 0.0 | 12：2 | 35.3 | 31.5 | 28.3 | 122.3 | 155.5 | 173.2 | 182.8 | 196.3 | 210.2 | 246.6 | 289.3 | 326.9 | 355.1 |
| PROP A Rall Pragray |  | 0.0 | 337.3 | 390.1 | 390.9 | 93.8 | 82.7 | 108.5 | 94.3 | 102.5 | 104.0 | 111.3 | 120.0 | 136.7 | 148.0 | 159.1 | 170.9 |
| STA hetrarall SEt ASIDE |  | 6.0 | $0: 0$ | 0：0 | $0 \cdot 0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 17.0 | 18.5 25.0 | 29.6 | 22.3 | 24：0． | 25：8 | 28：2 | 30.2 | 32.4 | 34.7 | 37.1 | 39.7 | 42.7 | 46.0 | $49.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 53.2 |
| 301 CIIY OF L．A．PROPA |  | 60.8 | 9.0 | 25：0 | 10．9 | 11.7 | 12.5 | 13.7 | 14.7 | 19．8 | 10.9 | 18.0 | 19.3 | 20.8 | 22.3 | 21.0 | 0.0 |
| gulicuar fung ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 63.2 | 72.0 | 56.3 | 57.5 | 57：0 | 20.7 | 20.5 | 20.4 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 19.9 | 19.8 |
| SECIIOM 9 CAPITAL |  | 59.0 | 42.7 | 42.1 | 42.7 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 39.9 |
| SECTIOM 3 OISCR MREPUTRED |  | 52.1 | 767：4 | 179.7 | 14.9 | 313.8 | 126.5 | ：80． 5 | 90.1 | 122.9 | 121.6 | 92.6 | 49.0 | 91.1 | 176.4 | 174.6 | 132.9 |
| total caplicl grakts | 0.0 | 289.3 | 771.7 | 736.0 | 124.7 | 471．6 | 356.4 | 413.6 | 455.2 | 507.0 | 530.0 | 515.3 | 498.2 | 597.8 | 120.9 | 803.9 | 797.6 |
| balaxce cumulative balamee | 0.0 | 118.2 18.2 | 94.6 212.8 | 160.7 37.5 | 195.7 969.2 | －509．1 | －110．0 | 141.9 94.9 | 149.2 691.1 | 128.8 820.0 | 144.9 84.9 | 161.6 115.3 | 273.7 1406.2 | 246.8 1653.0 | 200.8 1633.0 | $\begin{gathered} -35.4 \\ \text { 1018.4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -75.4 \\ i 742.9 \end{array}$ |
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### 1.1 BACKGROUND

Technical Memorandum 5.1.2 (June 1984) described the original specification of an SCRTD bus operating cost model. The purpose of this additional report is to document an update of the June, 1984 calibration of the model to FY 1986. This Technical Memorandum provides the detailed allocation of costs by category, as discüssed below, and also describes those items that represent significant departures from the original FY 1984 calibration.

This bus operating cost model is designed to allow the District to compute the operating expenses for bus operation associated with either increases or decreases in service. The model generates these estimates from projections of annual bus operating statistics based upon the quantity of service for the whole SCRTD system (i.e. a summation of the data for each specific route).

### 1.2 OVERVIEW OF THE MODEL STRUCTURE

Historically, most bus operating cost models have used level-of-service variables as the basis of the model and have selected those variables primarily from vehicle hours, vehicle miles, passenger boardings, number of pullouts, peak vehicle requirements, and revenue. Statistical analyses of bus operating costs have generally shown high correlations of cost with most of these variables, and conceptual arguments can be made for why various elements of the operating costs should be expected to relate to one or more of these variables. This cost model is based on selecting a set of level-of-service variables that meet several criteria:

1) the variables can be output from or derived from standard urban transportation simulation procedures for long-range forecasting
2) the variables are sufficient to forecast costs on all line items of the budget
3) the variables provide responsiveness to different types of service that may be offered, and to changes in service profile

Criterion 1 leads to a rejection of a variable such as pullouts, which is not readily derived from long-range forecasting techniques. Criterion 2 involves some application of judgment. It seems clear that vehicle hours and vehicle miles alone are unlikely to be adequate; for example, costs such as for bus cleaning are explained better by a fleet size measure than by miles and hours; while fare c.lerks, timetables, and transit police are explained best by numbers of passengers. Criterion 3 also suggests use of additional variables that would provide some differentiation between services offered throughout the day and peak-period-only services.

Cost-allocation models of operating costs have invariably taken the relatively simple approach to modeling by assuming that any budget item
or expenditure line item can be set to vary with one level-of-service variable. While it could be argued that a number of line items are probably a function of more than one variable, decisions on how to split the effects on two or more variables and establishing a modeling mechanism that would ensure consistency in the use of such split allocations would lead to a very complex model. To date, it has not been established that an increase in model accuracy and realism would be achieved that would justify the added complexity.

Based on these criteria, it was the judgment of the developers of this model that the following four level-of-service measures be used for the model:

1) annual vehicle miles
2) annual service hours
3) average weekday p.m. peak vehicles
4) annual passenger boardings

The model is a fixed/variable cost allocation model, as described in Technical Memorandum 5.1.2, dated June 1984. The basic concept of this approach is to allocate the cost of each element of service to one of the level-of-service measures. The different elements of service are defined as the individual reported line items of expenditure, or groupings of these. It is possible to allocate costs only to the finest level of detail in expenditure or budget reports for District operations.

To calibrate the model, it is necessary first to review the allocations and determine if any service costs should be allocated differently from the original model. Allocation of each cost to one service measure requires judgment. There is always the potential need to reconsider the allocation of certain cost items, and there is the possibility that new line items may be introduced in a subsequent year's budget. Thus, it is advisable to reevalüate the individual line-item cost allocation.

Second, the budget lines and the amounts of service for the calibration year need to be determined. From these, the coefficients are recalculated and the step sizes for stepwise variables are also recalculated. The coefficients are determined by computing, for each line item in the budget or expenditure report, a unit cost equal to the amount of the budget or expenditure line divided by the value of the variable to which the line item is allocated. These individual unjt costs are summed for each of the level-of-service variables and define the coefficients. Thus, if Body Shop Wages in Central Maintenance equal $\$ 1,053,000$ and these are allocated to Vehicle Miles, with a base value of $107,465,000$, the unit cost of Body Shop Wages would be $\$ 0.00980$. The unit costs of all other line itemis allocated to Vehicle Miles would then be summed to produce the coefficient of Vehicle Miles.

These two activities comprise the calibration of the model. Once the costs are determined and allocated, the coefficients (unit costs) are computed to produce a model of the form:

$$
\$ \text { COST }=a 1 \text { VMT }+a 2 \text { VHT }+a 3 \text { PKBS }+a 4 \text { PASS }
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { VMT } & =\text { Annual Vehicle Miles of Travel } \\
\text { VHT } & =\text { Annual Vehicle Hours of Travel } \\
\text { PKBS } & =\text { Average p.m. weekday Peak Bus Requirement } \\
\text { PÅSS } & =\text { Annual Passenger Boardings }
\end{aligned}
$$

For FY 1984, the cost allocation model was:
$\$$ COST $=1.063 * V M T+24.390 * V H T+55969 * P K B S+0.0744 * P A S S$
All unit costs are in dollars in this equation; and are based on FY 1984 dollars (i.e. dollars at about December 1984).

For FY 1986, the recalibrated model is:
$\$ C O S T=0.97 * V M T+25.82 * V H T+68088 * P K B S+0.1162 * P A S S$
In this case, unit costs are in calendar 1985 dollars.
2. INPUT OATA BASE

### 2.1 OPERATING COSTS

The bus operating costs in the recalibrated model are based on the current SCRTD organization, the SCRTD departments, and the projected account expenditüres for Fiscal Year 1986. The Annual Budget for FY 1986 was used as the basic resource document. In addition, the June 1985 Revenue and Expense Statement and input from the appropriate departments were used to subdivide some items of labor into more detailed components, and to provide estimates of the labor resources and costs for these.

### 2.2 OPERATING STATISTICS

The projected operating statistics were supplied by SCRTD for the FY 1986 operations. These statistics were used to calibrate the model for the FY 1986 base year. The specific statistics are:

| Annual Vehicle Miles: | $107,465,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Annual Vehicle Hours: | $7,585,000$ |
| Annual Unlinked Passenger Trips: | $424,400,000$ |
| Average Weekday P.M. Peak Vehicles : | 1,987 |

The vehicle miles and vehicle hours are total miles and hours in this calibration, as compared to revenue miles and revenue hours used in the original FY 1984 calibration and reported in Technical Memorandum 5.2.1. Conversion can be made between the two by using the ratio for FY 1984 of each of revenue miles and hours to total miles and hours, respectively. Based on Section 15 Reports for FY 1984, these ratios are:

Vehicle Miles (Revenue:Total) $=0.8763$
Vehicle Hours (Revenue:Total) $=0.9253$

### 2.3 STEP SIZES

Step sizes are defined for those expenditure categories where costs vary with the level-of-service variable by increments, rather than continuously. For example, wages and fringes for operators (drivers) are allocated to vehicle hours. However, each saving of a vehicle hour does not generate a saving of operator costs, given procedures of assigning operators to service and union and contract rules. In the model, it is assumed that a cost saving or an additional cost outlay is involved each time the change amounts to the equivalent of one half-time operator for the year. This change, in FY 1986, is estimated to occur when there is a saving of 853 vehicle hours. If a change in vehicle hours smaller than this amount takes place, no change in operator cost will be obtained. If a change larger than 853 hours annually is projected, a change in cost is assumed to occur. The change is determined by dividing the total projected change in vehicle hours by 853 , and truncating the result to an integer value. This integer value represents the number of half-time operators saved by the change in vehicle hours. The cost savings are estimated by multiplying this number by 853 and then multiplying the
product by the unit cost of operator wages and fringes. Two numerical examples should serve to illustrate the process.

With Operator Wages determined to have a FY 1986 unit cost of $\$ 18.40$ per vehicle hour, and Operator Frínges of $\$ 4.33$ :

1. Cost savings from a reduction of 500 vehicle hours annually:

This value falls below the step size of 853 hours and therefore is assumed to provide no savings in operator wages and fringes.
2. Cost savings from a reduction of 10,000 vehicle hours annually:

The value of 10,000 is divided by 853 , yielding the result of 11.72. This is truncated to an integer value of 11 , indicating that 11 half-time equivalent operators can be saved by this reduction. Fürther, 11 half-time operators work 9,383 vehicle hours annually, and this is the number of vehicle hours for which there will be a cost saving. Total cost savings from operator wages and fringes are obtained by multiplying $\$ 18.40$ and $\$ 4.33$ by 9,383, for a savings estimate of $\$ 1,726,472$ in wages and $\$ 40,628.39$ in fringes. Note that the further reduction of 617 ( $10,000-9,383$ ) hours produces no additional cost savings on operators.

Step sizes are based on the primary categories of 1) an employee, 2) an operating division, and 3) a bus facility. Table 1 sumnarizes the stepsize differences between FY 1984 and FY 1986 for these primary categories.

Because the nưmber of employees at the District varies from Department to Department and from category to category, the step size (in miles, hours, buses, or passengers) also varies from Department to Department and from category to category. It can be seen that the size of an operating division decreased from FY 1984 to FY 1986, with the average number of peak buses per operating division decreasing from 159 to 153. Similarly, the average size of a maintenance operating division decreased from 172 peak buses in FY 1984 to 153 in FY 1986.

Apart from these rather clear, recurrent step sizes, most of the remainder relate to employees in the Department or category, and show fluctuations between FY 1984 and $F Y$ 1986; in response to changes in the numbers of employees between FY 1984 actual employment and FY 1986 projected employment. In addition, there are changes in budgeting and organization that show up most strongly in Central Maintenance, where six line items from FY 1984 do not appear in the FY 1986 budget, while ten new line items appear in FY 1986. There is also a consistent change throughout the FY 1986 budgeting that Utilities are no longer separated from Miscellaneous Expenses, à they were in FY 1984.

TABLE 1
COMPARISON OF STEP SIZES BETWEEN FY84 AND FY86


TABLE 1 (continued)
COMPARISON OF STEP SIZES BETWEEN FY884 AND FY86


TABLE 1 (continued)
COMPARISON OF STEP SIZES BETWEEN FY84 AND FY86

| VARIABLE | STEP BASIS | FY84 | FY゙86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Buses | (continued) |  |  |
|  | Maintenance Generàl - Instruction | 188 | 142 |
|  | Telecommunications - Electronic Mai | tenance 56 | N/I |
|  | Scheduling - Checkers | 40.5 | $5 \quad 31.5$ |
|  | Contract Procurement - Stores | 159 | 153 |
| Passengers | Transit Police - Passenger Security | 13,688,235 1 | 12,482,353 |
|  | Marketing \& Comm. - Ticket Clerks | 21,154,545 | 19,290,909 |
|  | Customer Relations - Telephone Clerks | 4,951,064 | 4,715,555 |
|  | Accounting \& Físcal - Cash Clerks | 16,621,429 1 | 12,860,606 |
|  | Nondepartmental - Provisions for Uninsured PL <br> Nondepartmental - Expenses for PL | $\begin{array}{r} 101,174 \\ \$ 4,916 \\ 101,174 \\ \text { @ } \begin{array}{r} 1756 \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 163,231 \\ \text { @ } \$ 14,481 \\ 163,231 \\ \text { @ } \quad \$ 524 \end{array}$ |

## DIRECTLY-VARIABLE ITEMS

Directly-variable items are much simpler than stepwise variable items. These are expenditures that can be assumed to vary with every increment or decrement of the level-of-service variable to which they are allocated. For example, fuel is allocated to vehicie miles as a directly-variable item, with a unit cost of $\$ 0.2521$ in FY 1986. By allocating fuel as a directiy-variable item, it is assumed that each change of a vehicle mile will produce a cost change of $\$ 0.2521$. Thus, a decrease of 1,000 vehicle miles will save $\$ 252.10$, and an increase of 10,000 vehịcle miles will increase costs by $\$ 2,521$.

The model contains very few line items that are assumed to vary directly with one of the variables used in the model. Table 2 shows the line items that are assumed to vary directly for each of FY 1984 and FY 1986. The differences between FY 1984 and FY 1986 are minor: under Maintenance Operating Divisions, the Expendable Equipment for Revenue Vehicies is not split into component entries in FY 1986, but is in FY 1984; and Telecommunications equipment on revenue vehicles has moved from the Telecommunications Department to Facilities Maintenance between FY 1984 and FY 1986. Essentially, these changes have no net effect on the allocation of costs to direct variables.

TABLE 2
COMPARISON OF DIRECTLY VARIABLE ITEMS BETWEEN FY84 AND FY86


FIXED ITEMS
All remaining budget line items are considered to be fixed costs, and these are allocated, for fully-allocated costing at the line level, to one of the four variables used by the model. Unit costs are computed, as for the other expenditure items, for each line item that is defined as a fixed cost. If a change in service is examined, by definition there will be no changes to total fixed costs. Therefore, the unit cost of each fixed-cost item is recomputed, to yield the same total fixed cost for before the change in service.

Thus, for example, Wages for the General Manager's office are assigned as a fixed cost to peak buses, with a FY 1986 unit cost of $\$ 179.668$. If a service change is examined that will reduce peak buses from the FY 1986 value of 1987 (buses for the average p.m. weekday peak) to 1968, the unit cost for Wages for the General Manager's office will increase to $\$ 181.402$.

Rather than provide a detailed listing of all fixed-cost items for each year, Table 3 documents the differences between FY 1984 and FY 1986 in the make-up of line items and their allocation for the purposes of line costing. The general change, mentioned in Section 2.3 above, of combining Utilities into Miscellaneous Expenses or charging them under Nondepartmental Expenses in FY 1986 instead of keeping as a separate line item by department as in FY 1984, is not included in this table, because it happens consistently in all departments and generally represents no net change in the amounts allocated.

Several of the entries in Table 3 represent minor budget changes between FY 1984 and FY 1986. For example, inclus ion of a "Services" or a "Miscellaneous Expenses" line item in several departments in one year and not the other indicates merely a zero budget line on that item for the year where it is omitted.

Table 3 also shows evidence of some reorganization within the SCRTD, but without any change in the allocation variable for the fixed costs. For example, the Human Relations Department has changed to an Equal Employment Opportunity Department and a DBE/WBE Department. Telecommunications has been absorbed into Facilities Engineering, and new departments for Transit Systems Development, Risk Management, and Contract Compliance have been added.

There are also a few additional changes in detail in the allocations used that do not affect the underlying process. Several departments allocated to fixed costs have detailed itemized costs in the FY 1984 expenditures reports, using categories of Services, Materials and Supplies, and Miscellaneous Expenses. In the FY 1986 budget materials, treatment of these has been simplified to a category of "Non-Labor Expenses."

| LINE ITEM | ALLOCATION FY84 | VARIABLE FY86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stops \& Zones - Miscellaneous Expenses | - | Peak Buses |
| Transportation Instruction - Services | Peak Buses | - |
| Maintenance Operating - Servicing Fringes Fixed | Peak Büses | * |
| Central Maintenance - Training and Other Fringes | Peak Buses | * |
| Central Maintenance - Services | Peak Buses | * |
| Central Maintenance - Miscellaneous Expenses | Peak Buses | * |
| Telecommunications - Administration Wages | Peak Buses | \# |
| Telecommunications - Fringes | Peak Buses | \# |
| Telecommunications - Services | Peak Buses | \# |
| Telecommunications - Materials \& Supplies | Peak Buses | \# |
| Telecommunications - Utilities \& Misc. Exp. | Peak Buses | \# |
| A.G.M. for Planning \& Comm. - Services | Peak Buses | - |
| Human Relations - Wages | Peak Buses | - |
| Human Relations - Fringes | Peak Buses | - |
| Hüman Relations - Services | Peak Buses | - |
| Human Relations - Materials \& Supplies | Peak Buses | - |
| Human Relations - Utilities \& Misc. Exp. | Peak Buses | - |
| Equal Employment 0pp. - Wages | - | Peak Buses |
| Equal Employment Opp. - Fringes | - | Peak Buses |
| Equal Employment Opp. - Materials and Supplies | - | Peak Buses |
| Equal Employment Opp. - Utilities \& Misc. Exp. | - | Peak Buses |
| Contract Compliance - Wages | - | Peak Buses |
| Contract Compliance - Fringes | - | Peak Buses |
| Contract Compliance - Materials and Supplies | - | Peak Buses |
| Contract Compliance - Utilities \& Misc. Exp. | - | Peak Buses |
| OBE/WBE - Wages | - | Peak Buses |
| OBE/WBE - Fringes | - | Peak Buses |
| DBE/WBE - Materials and Supplies | - | Peak Buses |
| DBE/WBE - Utilities \& Misc. Exp. | - | Peak Buses |
| Not Separated from nondepartmental expe Department reassigned under Facilities | ses in FY 19 Maintenance $\mathbf{i}$ | FY 1986. |

TABLE 3 (continued)
DIFFERENCES IN ALLOCATION OF FIXXED-COST ITEMS BETWEEN FY84 AND FY86


* Not Separated from nondepartmental expenses in FY 1986.
\# Department reassigned under Facilities Maintenance in FY 1986.


## 3. FY 1986 CALIBRATION OF COST ALLOCATION

### 3.1 ALLOCATION OF COSTS

Table 4 shows the complete itemization of the FY 1986 budget items and their allocation to the four variables and three types of allocation relationship. This table may be compared directly with Table 1 in Techrical Memorandum 5.1.2 (Jüne, 1984) to confirm the various differences pointed out in the foregoing sections. The Table shows the allocation of a Projected FY 1986 budget of $\$ 484,174,000$, compared to the earlier allocation of an Estimated FY 1984 budget of $\$ 425,697,000$.

It is important to note that the FY 1986 Cost Allocation is based on a projected (not actual) budget and for a slightly reorganized structure for SCRTD. The FY 1984 cost allocation was based on actual expenditures for three of the four quarters in FY 1984, plus estimates of the rema ining expenditures in FY 1984. Similarly, the hours, miles, and passengers are annual totals for FY 1986 that are projected, while for FY 1984 thiey were actuals for three quarters and estimates for the last quarter. Peak buses are based on weekday p.m. peak actuals for the first 9 months of FY 1984 and are projected for FY 1986.

Applying the FY 1984 coefficients (adjusted from revenue hours and miles to total miles and hours), the projected FY 1986 cost in 1984 dollars would be $\$ 414,137,000$.

### 3.2 INFLATION EFFECTS

The change in CPI for the Los Angeles-Long Beach area between mid FY 1984 (December 1983) and the end of FY 1986 (which is the time for which the budget is assumed to be correct) in June 1986 is 11.285\%. Applying this change to the annual expenditure for FY 1984 would project FY 1986 costs of $\$ 460,873,000$. This percentage change provides a budget figure that requires an additional adjustment of $5.0558 \%$ to match the projections made for FY 1986. Applying the total of these two adjustments to the unit costs from the FY 1984 model produces the estimates shown in Table 5. As expected, the annual costs would then be projected as $\$ 484,152,000$, which is (within rounding error) the result that should be achieved.

Of much more interest is to observe that the inflation adjustment provides coefficients for miles and hours that are marginally higher than the calibrated values for FY 1986, while the other two coefficients are underestimated for FY 1986. No correction has been made in this process for changes to the miles, hours, buses, and passengers variabies that would require spreading fixed costs over a smaller base and would also adjust step sizes. The results of such adjustments are reported in a second Technical Memorandum, number 86.5.2 (November, 1985).

Table 4
BUS COSF ATXOCAKICN

|  | Estimated | Resource Allocated to |  |  |  | Fixed | Variable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and Exqense Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY. } 84 \mathrm{cost} \\ (\mathbf{8 0 0}) \end{gathered}$ | Houxs | Mules | $\begin{aligned} & \text { peak } \\ & \text { Buses } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Passen- } \\ \text { gers } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | If Vardable How |

Board of Dinectrors


Assistant General Managax
for Operations

| Wages | 317 |  | X | $x$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fringes | 2 |  | X | $x$ |  |  |
| Services | 0 |  | X | X |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies | 7 |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 7 |  | $\underline{X}$ | X |  |  |
| Traneportation Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Divisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages | 7.899 |  | $x$ |  | $x$ | By 153 Peak Vehicles |
| Fringes | 1,731 |  | X |  | $x$ | By 153 Peak Vehicles |
| Operator Wages | 139,552 | ${ }^{x}$ |  |  | $x$ | By 853 Revenue Hours |
| Operabor Fringes | 32,806 | X |  |  | X | By 853 Revenue Hours |
| Services | 1 |  | $x$ |  | $x$ | By 153 Peak Vehicles |
| Materials and Supplies | 97 |  | $\underline{x}$ |  | $\underset{\chi}{x}$ | By 153 Peak Vehicles |
| Miscéllañecis Eipenises | 14 |  | $\bar{x}$ |  | $\dot{x}$ | By 153 peak vehicles |

## Stops and Eones

| Hages | 738 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fringes | 3 |
| Sarvices | 6 |
| terials and Supplies | 103 |


| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |

## operations Ochtrol

and Services

| Wages | 1,864 |  | X | X |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wages | 2,523 | $\ddot{x}$ |  | X |  |  |
| Wages | 649 | X |  |  | X | By 421,400 Hours of Service |
| Eringes | 143 | X |  |  | X | By 421,400 Howrs of Service |
| Wages | 938 |  | X | X |  |  |
| Wैages | 469 |  | X |  | ${ }^{x}$ | By 153 Peak Vehicles |
| Fringes | 204 |  | X |  | X | By 153 Peak Vehdeles |
| Fringes | 25 |  | X | X |  |  |

Table 4
BuS COST AIIOCNITICN (continued).

| Department Equense Item | EstimatedFY 84 cost$(\$ 000)$ | Resocince Allocated to |  |  |  | Pixed | Vardable | If Variable How |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ecurcs | Miles | peak Buses | passer- gers |  |  |  |
| Operations Contiol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and Services (cont'd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Services | 1 |  |  | $\dot{x}$ |  | $x$ |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies | 2 |  |  | $x$ |  | $x$ |  |  |
| Misicellanecus Expenses | 3 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Transportation Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages | 748 |  |  | $\dot{x}$ |  | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
| Wages | 580 | X |  |  |  |  | X | By 474,000 Hours of Service |
| Fringes | 128 | X |  |  |  |  | X | By 474,000 Hours of Service |
| Friniges | 8 |  |  | X |  | $x$ |  |  |
| Materials and Suxplies | 34 |  |  | X |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 6 |  |  | X |  | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
| Transportation Geraxal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages | 575 | $x$ |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |
| Fringes | 11 | X |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |
| Services | 11 | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies | 509 | $x$ |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |
| Miscellaniocis Expenses | 180 | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Maintenance Operating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| plvisions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Servicing Deep Clean Wages | 1,538 |  |  | $\underline{x}$ |  |  | $\underline{x}$ | By 33 Peak Vehicles |
| Servicing Deep Clean Pringes | 375 |  |  | $x$ |  |  | X | By 33 Peak Vehicles |
| Servicing Wages | 8,789 |  |  | $x$ |  |  | $x$ | By 5.8 Peak Vehicles |
| Servicing Fringes | 2,155 |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ |  |  | X | By 5.8 Peak Vehicles |
| Servicing Supervisor Wages | 428 |  |  | X |  |  | $x$ | By 153 Peak Vehicles |
| Servicing Supervisor Fringes | 100 |  |  | $x$ |  |  | $x$ | By 153 Peak Vehicles |
| Wheelchair Maintenance Hages | 1,974 |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ |  |  | $x$ | By 33.1 Peak Vehicles |
| Wheelchair Maintenance Fringe | es 438 |  |  | X |  |  | $\underline{x}$ | By 33.1 Peak Vehicles |
| Non-Revenue Wages | 970 | $x$ |  |  |  |  | X | By 216,714 Hours |
| Nön-Revenue Fringes | 234 | X |  |  |  |  | $x$ | By 216,714 Hours |
| Farebox Maintenance Wages | 1,086 |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ | By 60.2 Peak Vehicles |
| Farebox Majntenance Fringes | 240 |  |  | X |  |  | $\underline{x}$ | By 60.2 Peak Vehicles |
| Inspection Wages | 1,645 |  | ${ }^{x}$ |  |  |  | $x$ | By 2,149,300 miles |
| Inspection Fringes | 364 |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ |  |  |  | $\underline{x}$ | By 2,149,300 Miles |
| Rumining Repair Wages | 19,773 |  | $\dot{x}$ |  |  |  | $\bar{x}$ | By 178;810 Miles |
| Running Repair Fringes 4,379 X X X $\quad$ X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision and Admanistration Wages | 6,587 |  |  | X |  |  | $\underline{x}$ | By 153 Peak Vehicles |
| Supervision and Acminis- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road Failurre Wages | 197 |  | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |  | X | By 17,910,833 Miles |
| Road Faillure Fringes | 44 |  | X |  |  |  | ${ }^{x}$ | By 17,910,833 M1les |
| Special Projects Wages | 592 |  |  | x |  |  | ${ }^{-}$ | By 110 Peak Vehicreas |
| Special Projects Fringes | 131 |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ |  |  | X | By 110 Peak Vehicles |
| Servicing Wages Fixed | 282 |  |  | $\underline{x}$ |  | X |  |  |
| Training and Other Fringe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefits | 637 |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ |  |  | ${ }^{x}$ | By 153 Peak Vehicles |
| Services for Divisions | 285 |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ |  |  | X | By 153 Peak Vehicles |
| Lubricants, Tires and Tubes, Bus Parts, and Tools and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expendable Equipment for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue Equipment | 25,421 |  | x |  |  |  | $x$ | Directly By Miles |
| Miscel laneous Expenses | 123 |  |  | x |  |  | X | By 153 Vehicles |
| Central Maintenanoe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rumning Repair Wages | 1,283 |  | $\underset{\text { x }}{\times}$ |  |  |  | $\underset{x}{x}$ | By 2,755,513 Mlles |
| Ruming Repair Fringes | 284 |  | $\underset{x}{x}$ |  |  |  | $x$ | By 2,755,513 Miles |
| Engine Teardom Wages | 263 |  | X |  |  |  | X | By $13,433,125$ Miles |
| Engine Teardiown Fringes | 58 |  | $x$ |  |  |  | X | By $13,433,125$ Miles |
| Cylinder Head Wages | 625 |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ |  |  |  | X | By 5,656,053 M1les |
| Cylinder Head Fringes | 138 |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ |  |  |  | $x$ | By 5,656,053 Miles |
| Engine Line Wages | 888 |  | X | - |  |  | X | By 3,980,185 miles |
| Engiñe Line Fringes | 197 |  | $x$ |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ | By 3,980, 185 M1les |
| Engine Parts Crib Wages | 263 |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ |  |  |  | $x$ | By 13,433,125 Miles |
| Engino Parts Crib Fringes | 58 |  | $x$ |  |  |  | X | By 13,433,125 Miles |

Table 4
EuS Cosir Artocimion (centinned)

|  | Estimated | Resiource Allocated to. |  |  |  | Fineed | Vaciable | If Variable Bow? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and Expense | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PY } 84 \text { Oost } \\ & (\$ 000) \end{aligned}$ | Hours | Mileg | Peak Buses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Passern } \\ & \text { gers } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

## Central Mainterinnce (cont'd)

| Electrical Units Wages | 1,119 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Elećtrical Units Fringes | 248 |
| Mechanical Units Wages | 1,217 |
| Mechanical Units Fringes | 270 |
| Transmission Wages | 987 |
| Transmission Fringes | 19 |
| Welding Shop Wages | 790 |
| Welding Shop Fringes | 175 |
| Machine Shop wages | 461 |
| Machine Shop Fringes | 102 |
| Body Shop Wages | 1,053 |
| Body Shop Fringes | 233 |
| Frame Shop Wages | 428 |
| Frame Shop Fringes | 95 |
| Sheet Metal Shop Wages | 461 |
| Sheet Metal Shop Fringes | 102 |
| Upholstery Shop Wages | 428 |
| Upholstery Shap Fringes | 95 |
| Systems Shop Wages | 362 |
| Systems Shop Fringes | 80 |
| Paint Shop Wages | 625 |
| Paint Shop Fringes | 138 |
| Sign Shop Wages | 165 |
| Sign Shop Fringes | 36 |
| Tool and Unit Room Wages | 13 |
| Tool and Unit Rocm Fringes | 29 |
| Service Wages | 436 |
| Service Fringes | 10 |
| Central Shop Supervision Wage | S 47 |
| Central Shop Supeivision Frin | ges 10 |
| Central Shop Admundstration |  |
| Wages |  |

## Maintenance. Ceneral Department

| Instruction Wages | 580 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Instruction Fringes | 122 |
| Wages | 1,715 |
| Non-Labor Expenses | 371 |

## Egraipment Engineering Department

| Wages | 618 |
| :---: | ---: |
| Non-Labor Expenses | 89 |

$\mathbf{x}$
$\mathbf{x}$
$\underset{\underset{x}{x}}{x}$
Facilities Mainternance Department

| Electrical Maintenance Wages 799 | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electrical Maintenance Fringes 174 | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |
| Property Maintenance Wages 1,546 | $\underline{x}$ |  |
| Property Maintenance Fringes 342 | $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ |  |
| Electronic Maintenance Wages 1,382 | $x$ |  |
| Electronic Matritenance Fringes 306 | X |  |
| Administration and Supervision wages 3,056 | $x$ | $x$ |
| Training and Fringe Benefits 74 | X | X |
| Services 58 | $x$ | X |
| Materials and Supplies - Radio 150 | X |  |
| Miterials and Supplies - <br> Facillties $1,000$ | X |  |
| Materials and Supplies 730 | x | X |
| Miscellaneous Expenises 61 | X | X |

Transit Police. Department

| Police Wages | 941 |  |  | X |  | $\underline{x}$ | By 12,482,353 Passengers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Police Fringes | 231 |  |  | X |  | $\mathbf{x}$ | By 12,482,353 Passengers |
| Police Wages | 471 | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |  | X | By 446,176 Hours |
| Police Fringes | 115 | X |  |  |  | X | By 446,176 Hours |
| Adatnistrative Wages | 2,740 |  | x |  | X |  |  |
| Fringes | 71 |  | X |  | x |  |  |
| Services | 228 |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies | 52 |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 36 |  | X |  | X |  |  |

Table 4
BUS COSF ALTOCRMCN (contimed)

| Departnent Expense Itém | Estimated <br> FI 84 Cost | Rescurce Allocated to |  |  |  |  |  | If Varlable How? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Hourics | Miles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pear } \\ & \text { Buses } \end{aligned}$ | Paseen gers |  |  |  |  |
|  | (\$00) | Hoxics | mates | buses |  | Euned | Vartable |  |  |

## Scheculling Department

Schedule Maker Wages
Schedule Maker Fringes
Checker Wages
Checker Fringes
Wages
Fringes
Services
Materials and Supplies
Miscollaneous Expenses
Assistant General Manager
For Planning and Callit
nications
nucations

| $\quad$Hages <br> Fringes <br> Materials and Suppiles <br> Miscellaneous Expenses |
| :--- |
| Plaming |
| Wages <br> Fringes <br> Services <br> Materials and Supplies <br> Miscellaneous Expenses <br> Marketing and Comminications |


| Ticket Clerk Wages | 556 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Ticket Clerk Fringes | 125 |
| Wages | 1,468 |
| Fringes | 16 |
| Services | 484 |
| Materfäls and Supplies | 887 |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 128 |


| $\underline{x}$ |  | X | By 19,290,909 Passengers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{x}$ |  | X | By 19;290,909 Passengers |
| $\underline{\chi}$ | X |  |  |
| X | X |  |  |
| $\underline{x}$ | X |  |  |
| $\underline{x}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  |
| $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ | X |  | . |
| $x$ |  | $x$ | By 4,715,555 Passengers |
| $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ |  | $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ | By 4,715,555 Passengers |
| X | X |  |  |
| X | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
| $\underline{x}$ | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
| X | x |  |  |
| X. | X |  |  |

Assilstant Gerieral vininger
for Covernment and
Commity:Xffairs


Table 4
bus Cosp Mriocirical (contimed)

|  | Estimated | Resourve Allocatied to. |  |  |  | Fined | Variable | If Variable How? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Expense Itom | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 84 \text { Cost } \\ (\$ 000) \end{gathered}$ | Hours | miles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { मeak } \\ & \text { Buiges } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Passen } \\ & \text { gery } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

Assistant General Manager
for Equal Ocportmity

| Wages | 83 |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Services | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| Mnterials and Supplies | 1 |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| Mnscellaneous Expenses | 11 |  | $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ |
| $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$ |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |

Equal Employment Operomity

| Wages | 140 | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Fringes | 2 | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| Materials and Supplies | 5 | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| Miscellaneais Expenses | 3 | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\ddot{x}$ |

Contract Compliance

| Wages | $\mathbf{7 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fringes | 1 |  | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| Materiais and Supplies | 2 |  | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| Misceilaneous Expenses | 3 |  |  | $\mathbf{X}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Enploye EAncotion, Training
and Develorment

| Whages | 1,166 |  | $\underline{x}$ |  | $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fringes | 342 |  | $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$ |  | X |
| Serivicos | 33 |  | X |  | X |
| Materials and Supplies | 5 |  | $x$ |  | $x$ |
| Miscellanecus Expenses | 11. |  | X |  | x |
| DRE/MEP |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages | 74 |  | X |  | x |
| Fringes | 1 |  | X |  | X |
| Meterials and Supplies | 2 |  | $\underline{x}$ |  | X |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 3 |  | X |  | $\underline{x}$ |
| Ontrol ler-Mreasurcer-Au |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages | 275 |  | X |  | X |
| Fringes | 6 |  | X |  | x |
| Services | 1 |  | $\underline{x}$ |  | X |
| Materials and Supplies | 3 |  | $\bar{x}$ |  | X |
| Hiscellaneous Expenises | 13 |  | X |  | X |
| Acommting and Fiscal |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payroll Clerk Wages | 305 | $x$ |  |  |  |
| Payroll Clerk Fringes | 68 | $x$ |  |  |  |
| Cash Clerk Wages | 873 |  |  | x |  |
| Cash Clerk Fringes | 192 |  |  | X |  |
| Wages | 1,767 |  | $\underline{x}$ |  | $\underline{x}$ |
| Fringes | 21 |  | $\dot{x}$ |  | $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ |
| Services | 277 |  | X |  | X |
| Materials and Supplies | 34 |  | X |  | x |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 11 |  | X |  | X |
| Data Processing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages | 3,515 |  | X |  | X |
| Fringes | 43 |  | x |  | $x$ |
| Services | 1,332 |  | $\underline{x}$ |  | $\underline{x}$ |
| Materials and Supplies | 251 |  | $x$ |  | X |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 148 |  | X |  | X |
| Assistant Gereral Manac |  |  |  |  |  |
| for Transit System |  |  |  |  |  |
| Develcrment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages | 26 |  | $\dot{x}$ |  | X |

By 632,083 Hours By 632,083 Hours By 12,860,606 Passengers By 12,860,606 Passengers

Table 4
BUS COST ALLOCNTION (contimeded)


## R1sk Maniagement

## Wages

Eringes
Materials and Supplies
Miscellanecus Expenses
79
1
1
2

## Contract Procurement a Matexial



Table 4
BLS COST ATYOCAMICN (contimied)

| Department and Experise | EstimatedFY 84 cost.$(\$ 000)$ | Rescurce Allocated to |  |  |  | Fixed | Variable | If Variable How? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Hours | Mlies. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pexk } \\ & \text { Busea } \end{aligned}$ | Passer gers. |  |  |  |
| Print Shop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages | 779 |  |  | x |  | x |  |  |
| Fringes | 6 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Services | 76 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Timetables | 476 |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{x}$ |  | x | Directily by Passengers |
| Materials and Supplies | 24 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Miscellanecus Expenses | 3 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Managament and Buaget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| W่ages | 566 |  |  | $\underline{x}$ |  | x |  |  |
| Fringes | 6 |  |  | $\underline{x}$ |  | x |  |  |
| Services | 3 |  |  | $\dot{x}$ |  | X |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies | 9 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Miscellancous Expenses | 5 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Ithor Relations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wंages | 261 |  |  | $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}$ |  | x |  |  |
| Fringes | 3 |  |  | x |  | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
| Services | 43 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies | 10 |  |  | $\underline{x}$ |  | X |  |  |
| Muscellänecus Expenses | 8 |  |  | $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ |  | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
| Emoloree: Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages | 124 |  |  | x |  | X |  |  |
| Fringes | 2 |  |  | $\underline{x}$ |  | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
| Services | 1 |  |  | $\boldsymbol{x}$ |  | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
| Materials and Supplies | 7 |  |  | X |  | $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 32 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Mapdepartmental Expenseas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages | 1,214 |  |  | $x$ |  | $\dot{\underline{x}}$ |  |  |
| Fringes | 15,500 |  |  | X |  | $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}$ |  |  |
| Workman's Coupensation | 14,950 | x |  |  |  |  | x | By 853 Hours |
| Workman's Coupensation | 5,750 |  | x |  |  |  | X | By 69,332 Miles |
| Workmian's Compensation | 2,300 |  |  | $x$ |  | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
| Services | 7,896 |  |  | X | . | $\bar{x}$ |  |  |
| Fuel | 27,090 |  | X |  |  |  | x | Directly by Miles |
| Materials and Supplies | 850 |  |  | $\underset{\text { x }}{ }$ |  | $\underline{x}$ |  |  |
| Utillties | 5,206 |  |  | x |  | $\boldsymbol{x}$ |  |  |
| Premiuns for Physical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Damage for | 22 |  |  | $x$ |  | $x$ |  |  |
| Prenduns for PL A PD . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance | 190 |  |  | X |  | X |  | . |
| Premiums for Corporate Insurance | 578 |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Provisions for Uninisured PL PL | 37,650 |  |  |  | X |  | X | By 163;231 Passengers at. $\$ 14,481$ Eact |
| Provisions for Uninsured |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PD | 2,531 |  | X |  |  |  | X | By 107,465 Miles at $\$ 2,531$ Each |
| Expenses for PL PL | 1;362 |  |  |  | x |  | $\mathbf{x}$ | By 163, 231 Passengers at $\$ 524$ per Step |
| Expenses for PD PD | 524 |  | X |  |  |  | X | By 107,465 Miles at $\$ 524$ per Step |
| Fivel and Lube Taxes Non- Reverue Equipuent Fuei and Lube Taxes | 60 | X |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Fuel and Lube Taxes Reverue Equipnent | 1,957 |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |  |  | x | Directly y ( Miles |
| Leases and Rentals | 7,106 | . |  | x |  | x |  |  |
| Tuans | \$484,174 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 5
COMPARISON OF COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN FY84 AND FY86, ADJUSTED FOR INFLATION

| COEFFICIENT | FY84 <br> CALIBRATION | ADJUSTED FOR <br> INFLATION | ADJUSTED FOR <br> REAL INCREASE | FY86 <br> CALIBRATION |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue Miles | 1.063 | 1.1830 | 1.243 | $*$ |
| Total Miles1 | 0.932 | 1.037 | 1.089 | 0.97 |
| Revenue Hours | 24.39 | 27.14 | 28.52 | $*$ |
| Total Hours2 | 22.57 | 25.12 | 26.39 | 25.82 |
| Peak Buses | 55,969 | 62,285 | 65,441 | 68.088 |
| Passengers | 0.0744 | 0.0828 | 0.0870 | 0.1162 |

* In FY 1986, no values are projected for revenue miles and revenue hours.

1. Coefficients are factored by the ratio of revenue miles to total miles in FY 1984 ( $93,031,164 / 106,163,110$ ).
2. Coefficients are factored by the ratio of revenue hours to total hours in FY 1984 (7,062,585/7,632,855).
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Technical Memorandum 86.5.1 (November 1985) described the specification of a SCRTD bus operating cost model, based on projected FY 1986 budget figures and expected service levels for FY 1986. An earlier Technical Memorandum 5.1.2 (June 1984) described a FY 1984 calibration of the same basic model. In this Technical Memorandum, a summary is provided of the unit costs produced by each of those two calibrations. These unit costs can be used to obtain estimates of the operating costs for alternative service-level scenarios and for individual bus lines of the SCRTD system, subject to certain constraints discussed below and within broader error bounds than would apply to a fully-programmed model embodying these calibrations.

This Technical Memorandum also provides guidance on how to use the unit costs in the calculation of operating costs. In particular, the procedure for applying the step-function unit costs is somewhat less straightforward than may at first be appreciated. A step-by-step procedure for making cost calculations i.s therefore provided in this document. It should also be noted that the existence in the model of step functions makes it desirable, for maximum accuracy, for service changes to be allocated to operating divisions, with changes in each of peak buses, miles, hours, and passengers being assigned to divisions.

## 2. SUMMARY OF UNIT COSTS

Table 1 provides a summary of the unit costs on average daily p.m. peak buses, annual revenue vehicle hours, annual revenue vehicle miles, and annual passenger boardings: The costs are provided in mid-FY 1984 dollars. All unit costs in this table are based on the organizational structure and productivity of the District during FY 1984. Thus, average operating division size in FY 1984 was 159 peak buses, while average maintenance division size was 172 peak buses. It should be noted particularly that the miles and hours variables in this table refer to revenue hours and miles and do not include deadhead and layover. These two measures are defined in the same way as Section 15 Reports on revenue hours and milles.

Table 2 provides the same information for the projected $F Y 1986$ budget, and is based on average daily p.m. peak buses, annual scheduled vehicie hours, annual scheduled vehicle miles, and annual passenger boardings. Unit costs in Table 2 are in end-of-FY 1986 dollars; based on an assumption of 4 percent inflation from July 1985 through June 1986. Among differences between Table 1 and Table 2 are that average operating division size has dropped to 153 peak buses from 159 in $F Y$ 1984, and that average mainteriance division size is now equal to operating division size at 153 peak buses. The definition of annüal scheduled vehicle hours and annual scheduled vehicle miles is consistent with the definitions used by SCRTD in preparing Section 15 Reports.

TABLE 1
UNIT COSTS FOR THE FY 1984 BUS OPERATING COST MODEL

| ALLOCATION |  | STEP | UNIT/STEP cost | MARGINAL | LEVEL | SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TO | BY | BASIS |  |  |  |  |
| Buses | Direct | 1.0 | \$79.0111 | Yes | System | Telecomm. Supplies |
| Buses | Fixed | 1.0 | \$33,392.6321 | No | System | Most HQ Departments |
| Buses | Step | 5.6 | \$27,886 | Yes | Div. | Maint. Oper.Div. Servicing |
| Buses | Step | 32 | \$28, 122 | Yes | Div. | Mt. Op.Div. Serv. Deep Cln |
| Buses | Step | 34.4 | \$39,335 | Yes | Div. | Mt.Op.Div. Wheelchair Srv |
| Buses | Step | 40.5 | \$30,095 | Yes | System | Scheduling Checkers |
| Buses | Step | 56 | \$39,4.95 | Yes | System | Telecomm. Elect. Maint. |
| Buses | Step | 60.7 | \$40,457 | Yes | Div. | Mt. Op.Div. Camp.\& Reser. |
| Buses | Step | 121 | \$27,919 | Yes | System | Central Maint. Service |
| Buses | Step | 138 | \$70,238 | Yes | System | Cent. Mnt. Cent. Shop Sup. |
| Buses | Step | 147 | \$365,754 | Yes | System | Fac. Maint. All Unfixed |
| Buses | Step | 159 | \$490,488 | Yes | Dịv. | Transp. Oper. Div. |
| Buses | Step | 159 | \$44,085 | Yes | System | Transp: Services All |
| Buses | Step | 159 | \$88, 402 | Yes | Div. | Cont.\& Purch. Storekeeper |
| Buses | Step | 172 | \$693,087 | Yes | Div. | Mt.0p.Div. Misc., Supp.,Ad |
| Buses | Step | 172 | \$261, 210 | Yes | Div. | Transp.Instr. Instructors |
| Buses | Step | 188 | \$50,394 | Yes | System | Maint. Gen. Instruction |
| Buses | Step | 188 | \$42,739 | Yes | Div. | Mt. Oper.Div. Serv. Super. |
| Buses | Step | 206 | \$39,343 | Yes | Div. | Mt. Op.Div. Farebox Maint. |
| Hours | Fixed | 1.0 | \$0.2321 | No | System | Transp: General -- All |
| Hours | Fixed | 1.0 | \$0.3454 | No | System | Transp. Services -- Wages |
| Hours | Fixed | 1.0 | \$0.0168 | No | System | Non-dep. Fuel/Lube, Nonrev |
| Hours | Step | 777 | \$17,150 | Yes | Div. | Transp. Op.Div. -- Oper. |
| Hours | Step | 777 | \$919 | Yes | Div. | Non-dep. Hkmn.Comp., Oper. |
| Hours | Step | 264,889 | \$39,296 | Yes | System | Mt.Op. Div. Non-rev.Maint. |
| Hours | Step | 420,706 | \$36,588 | Yes | Systeim | Police - Trans.Serv.Insp. |
| Hours | Step | 447,000 | \$44,250 | Yes | System | Trn. Instr. Oper. Training |
| Hours | Step | 447,000 | \$28,313 | Yes | System | Acc.\& Fiscal - Payroll Cl |
| Hour 5 | Step | 476,800 | \$44,267 | Yes | System | Transp. Serv. Strt Superv. |
| Hours | Step | 596,000 | \$30,083 | Yes | System | Scheduling Sched. Makers |
| Miles | Direct | 1.0 | \$0.2814 | Yes | System | Mnt. Op.Div. Parts,lube, . |
| Miles | Direct | 1.0 | \$0.2.915 | Yes | System | Non-Dep. Fuel \& Taxes |

TABLE 1 (continued)
UNIT COSTS FOR THE FY 1984 BUS OPERATING COST MODEL

| allocation |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { STEP } \\ \text { BASIS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lc} P & \text { UNITSSTEP } \\ S & \text { COST } \end{array}$ | MARG- <br> INAL | LEVEL | SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T0 | BY |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miles | Step | 39,364 | \$456 | Yes | System | Non-dep. Exp. Exp.for PD |
| Miles | Step | 39,364 | \$634 | Yes | System | Non-dep. Exp. Prov.for PD |
| Miles | Step | 59,451 | \$2,034 | Yes | System | Noñ-dep. Wkmns Comp.Maint |
| Miles | Step | 154,169 | \$39,321 | Yes | Div. | Mt.Op.Div. Running Repair |
| Miles | Step | 1,251,605 | \$39,316 | Yes | Div. | Maint.0pidiv. Inspectors |
| Miles | Step | 1,463,415 | \$39,323 | Yes | System | Cent.Maint. Body \& Frame |
| Miles | Step | 2,320,049 | \$39,317 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Paint \& Trim |
| Miles | Step | 2,439,026 | \$39,308 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Engine Rebld |
| Miles | Step | 2,972,563 | \$39,312 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Electrical |
| Miles | Step | 3,280,069 | \$39,344 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Running Repair |
| Miles | Step | 3,280,069 | \$39,344 | Yes | System | Cent.Maint. Transmission |
| Miles | Step | 3,523,0.37 | \$39,296 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Small Units |
| Miles | Step | 6,3.41,467 | \$39,266 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Upholstery |
| Miles | Step | 6,794,429 | \$39,286 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Welding |
| Miles | Step | 7,317,077 | \$39,308 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Machine Shop |
| Miles | Step | 7,926,833 | \$39,334 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Sht.Metal Sh |
| Miles | Step | 9,512,200 | \$39,400 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint.Engine Teardn |
| Miles | Step | 11,890;250 | \$39,250 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Radiator |
| Miles | Step | 31,707,333 | \$131,333 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Misc. Repair |
| Passenger | Dire | $t 1.0$ | \$0.0011 | Yes | System | Print Shop - Tigetables |
| Passenger | Fixed | 1.0 | \$0.0063 | No | System | Marketing \& Communication |
| Passenger | Fixed | 1.0 | \$0.0025 | No | System | Customer Rel. (Fixed) |
| Passenger | Step | 101,174 | \$456 | Yes | System | Expenses for PL |
| Passenger | Step | 101,174 | \$4,916 | Yes | System | Prov. for Uninsured PL |
| Passenger | Step | 4,951,064 | \$28,106 | Yes | System | Customer Rel. Tel. Clerks |
| Passenger | Step | 13,688,235 | \$36,588 | Yes | System | Transit Police Pass.Sec. |
| Passenger | Step | 16,621,429 | \$29,322 | Yes | System | Accounting Cash Clerks |
| Passenger | Step | 2.1,154,545 | \$29,318 | Yes | System | Mktg \& Comm. Ticket Clerk |

TABLE 2

UNIT COSTS FOR THE FY 1986 BUS OPERATING COST MODEL

| ALLOCATION |  |  | UNIT/STEP COST | MARGINAL | LEVEL | SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buses | Direct | 1.0 | \$75.4907 | Yes | System | Fac. Maint. Supplies-Radio |
| Buses | Fixed | 1.0 | \$43,640.6643 | No | System | Most HQ Departments |
| Buses | Step | 5.8 | \$31,945 | Yes | Div. | Maint.Oper.Div. Servicing |
| Buses | Step | 31.5 | \$42,645 | Yes | System | Scheduling Checkers |
| Buses | Step | 33 | \$31,771 | Yes | Div. | Mt. Dp.Div. Serv. Deep Cln |
| Buses | Step | 33.1 | \$40,179 | Yes | Div. | Mt.Op.Div. Wheelchair Srv |
| Buses | Step | 47 | \$39,927 | Yes | System | Fac. Maint. Elect. Maint. |
| Buses | Step | 60.2 | \$40,173 | Yes | Div. | Mt. Op.Div. Farebox Maint. |
| Buses | Step | 110 | \$40,025 | Yes | Div. | Mt.Op.Div. Spec. Project |
| Buses | Step | 117 | \$32,032 | Yes | System | Central Maint. Service |
| Buses | Step | 142 | \$275,925 | Yes | System | Fac.Maint.Elec., Prop., Sup |
| Buses | Step | 142 | \$50,168 | Yes | System | Maint. Gen. Instruction |
| Buses | Step | 153 | \$750,139 | Yes | Div. | Transp. Oper. Div. |
| Buses | Step | 153 | \$44,121 | Yes | System | Transp. Serv. Radio Disp. |
| Buses | Step | 153 | \$96,867 | Yes | Div. | Cont. \& Purch. Storekeeper |
| Buses | Step | 153 | \$729,656 | Yes | Dive. | Mt.Op.Div. Misc., Supp., Ad |
| Buses | Step | 166 | \$47,954 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Cent. Shop Sup |
| Hours | Fixed | 1.0 | \$0.1696 | No | System | Transp. General -- All |
| Hours | Fixed | 1.0 | \$0.3326 | No | System | Transp. Services -- Wages |
| Hours | Fixed | 1.0 | \$0.0079 | No | System | Non-dep. Fuel/Lube;Nonrev |
| Hours | Step | 853 | \$19,383 | Yes | Div. | Transp.0p.Div. -- Oper. |
| Hours | Step | 853 | \$1,681 | Yes | Div. | Non-dep. Wkmn.Comp., Oper. |
| Hours | Step | 216,714 | \$34,400 | Yes | System | Mt.0p.Div. Non-rev.Maint. |
| Hours | Step | 329,783 | \$42,044 | Yes | System | Scheduling Sched. Makers |
| Hours | Step | 421,400 | \$44, 002 | Yes | System | Transp.Serv. Strt Superv. |
| Hours | Step | 446,176 | \$34,471 | Yes | System |  |
| Hours | Step | 474,000 | \$44,244 | Yes | System | Trn.Instr. Oper. Training |
| Hours | Step | 632,083 | \$31,084 | Yes | System | Acc.\& Fiscal - Payroll Cl |
| Miles | Direct | 1.0 | \$0.2366 | Yes | System | Mnt.Op.Div. Parts,lube, . |
| Miles | Direct | 1.0 | \$0.2703 | Yes | System | Non-Dep: Füel \% Taxes |

TABLE 2 (continued)
UNIT COSTS FOR THE FY 1986 BUS OPERATING COST MODEL

| ALLOCATION |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { STEP } \\ \text { BASIS } \end{array}$ | ÜNIT/STEP cost | MARG- <br> INAL | LEVEL | SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T0 | BY |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miles | Step | 107,465 | \$524 | Yes | System | Non-dep. Exp. Exp.for PD |
| Miles | Step | 107,465 | \$2.531 | Yes | System | Non-dep. Exp: Prov.for PD |
| Miles | Step | 69,332 | \$3,710 | Yes | System | Non-dep. Wkons Comp. Maint |
| Miles | Step | 178,810 | \$40,186 | Yes | Div. | Mt. Op. Div. Running Repair |
| Miles | Step | 2,149,300 | \$40,180 | Yes | Div. | Maint. Op. Div. Inspectors |
| Miles | Step | 2,755,513 | \$40,179 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint.Rüning Repair |
| Miles | Step | 2,904,459 | \$40,189 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Mechanical |
| Miles | Step | 3,160,735 | \$40,206 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Electrical |
| Miles | Step | 3,358,281 | \$40,187 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Body Shop |
| Miles | Step | 3,582,167 | \$40, 200 | Yes | System | Cent.Maint. Transmission |
| Miles | Step | 3,960,185 | \$39,984 | Yes | System | Cent.Maint. Enging Line |
| Miles | Step | 4,477,708 | \$40,209 | Yes | System | Cent: Maint. Welding |
| Miles | Step | 5,656,053 | \$40,158 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Cylinder Hd. |
| Miles | Step | 5,656,053 | \$40,158 | Yes | System | Cent.Maint. Paint Shop |
| Miles | Step | 7,676,071 | \$40,215 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Machine Shop |
| Miles | Step | 7,676,071 | \$40,215 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Sht. Metal Sh |
| Miles | Step | 8,266,538 | \$40,231 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint- Frame Shop |
| Miles | Step | 8,266,538 | \$40,231 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Upholstery |
| Miles | Step | 9,769,545 | \$40,182 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Systems |
| Miles | Step | 13,433,125 | \$40, 125 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Engine Parts |
| Miles | Step | 13,433,125 | \$40,125 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Engine Teardn |
| Miles | Step | 17,910,833 | \$40,166 | Yes | Div. | Mnt. Oper. Dv. Road Failure |
| Miles | Step | 21,493,000 | \$40,033 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Sign Shop |
| Miles | Step | 26,866,250 | \$40,250 | Yes | System | Cent. Maint. Tool \& Unit |
| Passenger | Direc | $t 1.0$ | \$0.0011 | Yes | System | Print Shop - Timetables |
| Passenger | Fixed | 1.0 | \$0.0070 | No | System | Marketing \& Communication |
| Passenger | Fixed | 1.0 | \$0.0029 | No | System | Customer Rel. (Fixed) |
| Passenger | Step | 163,231 | \$524 | Yes | System | Experises for PL |
| Passenger | Step | 163,231 | \$14,481 | Yes | System | Prov. for Uninsured PL |
| Passenger | Step | 4,715,555 | \$29,67. | Yes | System | Customer Rel. Tel, Clerks |
| Passenger | Step | 12,482,353 | \$34,470 | Yes | System | Transit Police Pass.Sec. |
| Passenger | Step | 12,860,606 | \$32,273 | Yes | System | Accounting Cash Clerks |
| Passenger | Step | 19,290,909 | \$30,955 | Yes | System | Mktg \& Comin. Ticket Clerk |

## 3. USING THE UNIT COSTS TO COMPUTE ANNUAL OPERATING COSTS

### 3.1 CALCULATION PROCEDURE FOR LINE-BY-LINE COSTS

The following general procedure should be used to determine the costs of operation of a single line or group of lines within the District. The procedure is fairly complex because of the different ways in which costs must be accounted for. However, the attached worksheets are designed to simplify the procedure, as far as possible for manual application.

STEP 1. Initiate sheet 1 for each line for which service is to be costed.
a. If weekday service is to be costed, complete column A.
b. If Saturday service is to be costed, complete column C.
c. If Sünday service is to be costed, complete column E.
d. Calculate corresponding annual statistics for hoúrs, miles, passengers, and revenue for applicable columns (column B for weekday period, column D for Saturday, and column $F$ for Sunday)
e: Split miles, hours, passengers, revenue, and buses
incorsect betwean-tiolsionst the line operates out of more than one division, and complete a separate sheet 1 for each division component.
f. Add weekday, Saturday, and Sunday annual figures, if multiple periods will be costed, and enter data in Annüal Total column. NOTE: Annual Total for Peak Buses should be the same number as is entered in column $A$.

STEP 2. PEAK BUSES: (Weekday service only)
a. Transfer peak buses (PB) from sheet 1 to sheet 2 , entry G for each line or part line to be costed.
b. Calciulate column I and Column $K$ for each entry until step size exceeds value 6 . Note that the entries in column lare the integer result of the calculation only (without rounding), and that calculations continue only for steps where the value of $G$ exceeds the value in column H .
c. Calculate sheet totals.

STEP 3. VEHICLE HOURS:
a. Start a sheet 3 for each day for which service is to be costed on the line, i.e. for weekday, Saturday, and Sunday.
b. Transfer AWVH, ASVH, and AXVH from sheet 1 to entry $L$ on the correct sheet 3.
c. Calculate column $N$ and column $P$ for each entry until step size exceeds value $L$. As in Step 2, the entries in column $N$ are the truncated integers from the division, and coṇtinüe only until the step size in column $M$ exceeds the value of $L$.
d. Calculate sheet totals.

## STEP 4. VEḦICLE MILES:

a. As for Step 3, start a sheet 4 for each day for which service is to be costed on the line.
b. Transfer AWUM, ASÜM, and AXUM from sheet 1 to entry $Q$ on the correct sheet 4.
c. Calculate column $S$ and column $U$ for each entry until step size exceeds value $Q$. Colümn $S$ entries are again truncated integers, and continue until the value in column $R$ exceeds the value of $Q$.
d. Calculate sheet totals.

STEP 5. PASSENGER BOARDINGS:
a. As for Step 4, start a sheet 5 for each day for which service is to be costed on the line.
b. Transfer $A W P, A S P$, and $A X P$ from sheet 1 to entry $V$ on the correct sheet 5 .
c. Calculate column $X$ and column $Z$ for each entry until step size exceeds value $V$. Again, column $X$ entries are truncated integers from the division of $V$ by $W$, and continue only until the step size in column $W$ exceeds the value of $V$.
d. Calculate sheet totals.

STEP 6. TOTAL PERIOD COSTS:
a. Start a sheet 6 for each line and period.
b. Enter totals from sheets 2, 3, 4, and 5 as shown on sheet 6 (Rows AA, BB, CC, and DD).
c. Sum for total cost for period for line; enter in TOTAL COSTS Row (Row EE). This i.s the total cost for this line and period.
d. Enter total passenger revenue from Sheet 1 for the applicable period in the next row (Row FF).
e. Subtract passenger revenue (Row FF) from TOTAL COSTS (Row EE). This is the TOTAL NET COST for this line and period.

## ŞTEP 7. TOTAL LINE COSTS:

a. Repeat Steps 3, 4, and 5 for any service to be costed for more than one period (e.g., weekday and Saturday; weekday, Saturday, and Sunday; etc.) using the sum of the annual statistics for the appropriate periods, i.e., the Annual Totals column on Sheet 1 . (Note that peak buses is a weekday only figüre, and the same figure should be used for the annual total.)
b. Repeat Step 6 with the Sheets 1, 3, 4, and 5 prepared for service over all relevant periods, plus Sheet 2 from weekday service (if any). This is the total costs and total net costs for the line.
a. Start Sheet 1 for each division in which service changes are to be costed. Enter totals for all lines in the division on Sheet 1. (Use annual figures from individual lines, and leave daily columns blank.)
b. Start Sheets 2, 3, 4, and 5 for each division. Enter peak buses, total annüal hours, total annual miles, and total annual passengers for all lines in the division in entries $G, L, Q$, and $V$.
c. Calculate values in columns $\mathrm{I}, \dot{N}, \mathrm{~S}$, and X for all entries until the step size exceeds the entries in $G$, $L$, $Q$, or $V$, as appropriate. Compute values in columns $K, P, U$, and $Z$.
d. Calculate totals of columns $K, P, U$, and $Z$ and transfer to a Sheet 6 for the Division.
e. On Sheet 6, sum the four entries and enter in the TOTAL COSTS row. This is the total Division costs. Enter the total passenger revenue and subtract from TOTAL COSTS. This is the TOTAL NET COSTS for the Division.
NOTE: An internal check of computations should be made. The TOTAL COSTS and NET TOTAL COSTS figures obtained in this process should be at least as large as the sum of the individual lines calculated from Steps 1 through 7 (within the limits of rounding errors). They may be larger, but should never be smaller. If they are smaller, then checks should be made of the arithmetic used in compiling costs, because this result is indicative of an error:

STEP 9. TOTAL DISTRICT COSTS:
a. Repeat Step Ba and 8b, but for all divisions together.
b. On Sheets 2, 3, 4, and 5, calculate columns I, N, S , and X using entries in $G, L, Q$, and $V$ only for "Step $S^{\prime \prime}$ rows. Compute columns $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{U}$, and Z for the same rows.
c. On Sheets 2, 3, 4, and 5, enter thë sum over all divisions of the entries in columns $K, P$, $U$, and $Z$ for rows labeled "Step D." DO NOT calculate these entries from the totals of buses, hours, miles, and passengers.
d. Calculate totals of columns $K, P, U$, and $Z$ and transfer to a Sheet 6 for the District.
e. On Sheet 6 for the District, süm the four entries and enter in the TOTAL COSTS row. This is the total District costs. Enter the total passenger revenue and subtract from total costs. This is the total net costs for the District.
NOTE: An internal check of computations should be made. The TOTAL COSTS and NET TOTAL COSTS figures obtained in this process should be at least as large as the sum of the individual divisions calculated from Steps 1 through 8 (within the limits of rounding errors). They may be larger, but should never be smaller. If they
are smaller, then checks should be made of the arithmetic used in compiling costs, because this result is indicative of an error.

COMPUTATION SHEETS FOR BUS OPERATING COST CALCULATIONS -- MARGINAL COSTS

SHEET 1 OF 6
DIVISION NO. $\qquad$ LINE NO.
_------.-------


Line no.
peak buses
(enter nümber from Sheet 1)
(G) USE FOR WEEKDAY SERUICE ONLY DO NOT USE FOR SATURDAY OR SUNDAY

| TYPE | STEP SIZE (H) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (\mathrm{I}=\text { INT[G/H]) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { STEP } \\ & \operatorname{cosT} \\ 1 & (J .) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \cos T \\ (K=I * J) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | 1.0 | ! | $175.4907$ | ! | facilitíes Maint. ! Radio Süpolies |
| I Step D | 5.8 | ! | $31,9.45$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { :Maint. Oper. Div. } \\ & \text { Servicing } \end{aligned}$ |
| : Step S | 31.5 | i | $42,645$ | $\begin{aligned} & i \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | ```IScheduling \| Sched. Checkers``` |
| Step D | 33 | ; | $31,771$ | $i$ | Maint. Oper. Div. <br> C Service Deep Clean |
| Step D | $33.1$ | : | $40,179$ | $i$ | IMaint. Oper. Div. <br> : Wheelchair Lift Ser: |
| \| Step S | $47$ | ! | $39,927$ | i | IFacilities Maint. <br> : Electronics Maint. |
| Step D | $60.2$ | : | $40,173$ | $:$ | Maint. Oper. Div. <br> ; Farebox Maint. |
| : step D | $110$ | 1 | $40,025 \text {. }$ | $:$ | Maint. Oper. Div. <br> : Special Projects |
| : Step S | $117$ | 1 | $32,032$ | $i$ | Central Maintenance : : Service |
| Step s | $142$ | : | $4275,925$ | $:$ | facilities Maint. : Elec., Prop., Supp. |
| Step S | $142$ | ! | $50,168$ | : | 'Maintenance General I Instruction |
| Step D | $153$ | : | : 750, 139 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { :Transportation Oper.: } \\ & \text { : Division } \end{aligned}$ |
| Step S | $153$ | $:$ | $\text { : } 44,121$ | $:$ | ITransportation Serv. \| Radio Dispatchers : |
| \| Step D | $153$ | : | $1729,656$ | : | Maint. Oper. Div. : Misc., Supp., Admin! |
| Step D | $153$ | i | $: 96,867$ | i | Contracts \& Purch. <br> : Storekeepers |
| \| Step 5 | $166$ | $:$ | 1 47,954 | : | Central Maintenance : <br> : Cent. Shop. Superv. |
| - total |  | -------- | ----- | ! | $\qquad$ |

## DIVISION NO.

$\qquad$ LINE No.



DIVISION NO. $\qquad$
vehicle miles

LINE NO.
(Q) WEEKDAY [ ] SATURDAY [ ] SUNDAY [ ]

| $\dagger$ ! | NUMBER OF | STEP | ANNUAL | COMments/source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ; TYPE : STEP SIZE | ; STEPS : | - COST | - cost | ; |
| i i (R) | ( $5=$ INT(Q/RJ) | ( T ) | ; (U= 5*T ) | ! |
| 1 I | i i |  | I | :Maint.Oper.Divisions: ! Parts, lube, etc. |
| ; Direct 1.0 | 1 1 | 0.2366 | I |  |
| \| ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | i i | ! | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M Non-Departmental Exp: } \\ & \text { : Fuel and Taxes } \end{aligned}$ |
| \| Direct : 1.0 | 1 i | 0.2703 | 1 |  |
| 1 | ! | , | 1 | iNon-Departmental Exp: \| Wkan's Comp. Maint.: |
| \| Step 5 : 69,332 | , | 3,710 | 1 |  |
| ; i | , | - | ! | : Non-Departmental Exp: <br> i Exp. \& Prov for PD |
| : Step S : 107,465 | I | 3,055 | 1 |  |
| 1 | ! i |  | 1 | Maint: Oper. Div. <br> ; Running Repairs |
| \| Step D : 178,810 | 1 i | 40,186 | 1 |  |
| 1 | ! i |  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maint. Oper. Div. } \\ & \text { Inspectors } \end{aligned}$ |
| ( Step D 12,149,300 | ; | 40,180 | ; |  |
| 1 | , |  | 1 | Central Maintenance : <br> ; Running Repairs : |
| : Step 5 12,755,513 | 1 | 40,179 | 1 |  |
| ! | \| i |  | ! | Central Maintenance :: Mechanical |
| ( Step S 12,904,459 | 1 | 40,189 | 1 |  |
| 1 ! | 1 |  | ! | Central Maintenance : |
| : Step 5 13,160,735 | i i | 40,206 | ! |  |
| $i \quad 1$ | 1 |  | ! | Central Maintenance <br> : Body Shop |
| ( Step S 13,358,281 |  | 40,187 | 1 |  |
| $1 \quad 1$ | $1 \quad 1$ |  | 1 | Central Maintenance : <br> ; Transmission |
| ( Step S 13,582,167 | 1 i | 40,200 | ! |  |
| 1 i | \| |  | 1 | Central Maintenance: Enigine Line |
| ( Step 5 13,960,185 | - | 39,984 | ! |  |
| 1 | , |  | 1 | Central Maintenance :: Welding |
| - Step S : $4,477,708$ | 1 | 40,209 | 1 |  |
| $1 \quad 1$ | 1 |  | 1 | Central Maintenance : <br> : Cyl. Hd. \& Paint Sh: |
| ( Step 5 15,656,053 | 1 i | 80,316 | ! |  |
| - | ; |  | ! | Central Maintenance : <br> \| Mach.Shp \& Sht.Met.: |
| ( Step 5 17,676,071 | $i \quad i$ | 80,430 | 1 |  |
| 1 ! | $1 \quad 1$ |  | 1 | Central Maintenance : <br> ( Frame Shp \& Uphols. |
| ( Step S 18,266,538 | 1 | 80,462 | 1 |  |
| \| TOTAL | -------- | \| --------- | | ------- | 1 | ; ----------------- ; |

DIVISION NO.
LINE NO.

| VEHICLE | MILES (eant.) | (Q) | WEEKDAY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (enter n | unber from Sheet 1) | SATURDAY |  |
|  |  |  | SUNDAY |  |




LINE NO. $\qquad$
PASSENGER BOARDINGS

(v) WEEKDAY [ ]
Senter number from Sheet

1) SATURDAY []


DIVISION NO.
TOTAL LINE AND PERIOD COSTS

LINE NO.

| PERIOD: | WEEKDAY | $[$ | $]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | SATURDAY | $[$ | $j$ |
|  | SUNDAY | $[$ | $j$ |



### 3.2 EXAMPLES OF THE USE OF THE WORK SHEETS

The use of values from either Tables 1 or 2 in the worksheets is the same, apart from the values of unit costs and step sizes, and apart from the difference between revenue miles and hours and scheduled miles and hours. Therefore, the worksheets shown in the preceding section, based on $F Y 1986$ values and on total miles and hours are used as the basis for example calculations. The principals and procedures are identical for either table.

### 3.2.1 Example 1-- Cancellation of a Single Line

In the first example, consider the marginal costs associated with canceling a single line. The line to be cancelled is the line 203, with cancellation of weekday, Saturday, and Sunday service. Statistics for the line provide information for a typical weekday, Saturday, and Sunday, as follows:


These values are entered in columns $A, C$, and $E$ respectively of Sheet 1 . (All worksheets for this example are provided in Appendix A.) Because the line operates on Tuesday through Sunday only, the factors to compile annual data from these statistics are 205 weekdays, 52 Saturdays, and 56 Sundays. (Memorial Day and Labor Day are always Mondays on which Sunday service is offered -- hence 50 Mondays are deducted from the weekdays and 2 from Sundays.) The multipliers are therefore adjusted on columns $B, D$, and $F$ to reflect this. Annual statistics are calculated by multiplication of column $A$ figures by 205, column C figures by 52, and column E figures by 56.

First, consider weekday marginal cost savings (meaning that fixed costs are not included). Sheet 2 for peak buses is shown for the weekday service, and indicates that only the directly-varying cost component provides a contribution to the cost of operating this line. The value of this is shown in column $K$ as $\$ 75.49$, which is also the total for sheet 2 . Sheet 3 for vehicle hours is shown for weekday service, and shows total savings from vehicle hours of $\$ 42,128$, based on 2,132 annual vehicle hours. These savings come entirely from savings in operators and workman's compensation paid for operators. Sheet 4 shows the weekday computations for vehicle miles, and indicates that the 17,056 annual vehicle miles costs $\$ 8,645.69$, derived from parts, lube, fuel, taxes, etc. Sheet 5 for weekday service shows the costs attributable to the

6,765 annual passenger boardings, which total $\$ 7.44$. These total costs are assembled on sheet 6 for weekday service, and show a total annual cost (Row EE) of $\$ 50,856,62$, from which annual revenues of $\$ 2,460$ should be subtracted for an estimate of $\$ 48 ; 396.62$ for the ret annual cost of weekday service on line 203.

The same calculations can be made for each of Saturday and Sunday service and are shown on Sheets 3 through 6 for each of Saturday and Sunday. Sheet 6 for Saturday shows total annual costs of $\$ 2,196.11$, and annual net costs of $\$ 996.11$. Similarly, Sheet 6 for Sunday shows total annual costs of $\$ 2,365,16$ and net annual costs of $\$ 1,065,16$. Based on these three sheets, one might expect that total costs of all service on line 203 is the sum of the values on sheet 6 for the three periods, i.e. $\$ 48,396.62+\$ 996.11+\$ 1,065.16$, or $\$ 50,457.89$; However, the total line costs should be estimated from the totals of the vehicle hours, vehicle miles, and passenger boardings. This is shown on the next set of sheets 3 through 6, which show a net annual cost of $\$ 71,521.88$. This cost is higher than the sum of the three periods, because an additional step is triggered in vehicle hours when the total vehicle hours of all three periods are summed.

### 3.2.2 Example 2-- Cancellation of 3 Lines from 2 Divisions

In this example, consider the cancellation of the following three lines:

1) Line 203 from Division 3 - all service
2) Line 225/226 from Division 18 - Saturday service only
3) Line 208 from Division 3 - Sunday service only

The calculations of savings for line 203 are as shown in Example 1 , above. For lines 225/226 and 208, Appendix B provides the work sheets for Saturday service on lines $225 / 226$ and Sunday service on line 208. Table 3 shows a sumary of the statistics and costs for all three lines, costed individually.

Because lines 203 and 208 are both out of Division 3 , it is necessary to determine whether additional cost savings will arise if both lines are cancelled. To do this, Step 8, as outlined in Section 3.1 is used. The results of this are shown on the work sheets in Appendix C. In this case, the sum of the individual line savings (from Table 3 and the work sheets for lines 203 and 208) are $\$ 96,500$. Appendix $C$ shows that the savings on the two lines counted from the Division are the same as the sum of the two lines, indicating that no additional savings from scale effects of combining the lines is achievable.

Finally, Step 9 should be followed to determine the total net savings to be obtained from cancellation of all of these lines together. The work sheets for this are shown in Appendix $D$. The addition of savings from the three lines taken individually amounts to $\$ 230,920$. The work sheets in Appendix $D$ show that a small amount of additional savings are obtained District-wide, with the total savings for cancellation of the three lines being $\$ 233,970$. The additional savings are derived from the category of Non-Departmental Expenses, in the Provisions for and Expenses for PD insurance and claims.

In total, the potential net savings from canceling these three lines are approximately $\$ 234,000$, representing the sum of the individual line savings and the District-wide savings. There are rio additional divisionlevel savings that are obtained from these cancellations.

TABLE 3
SERVICE STATISTICS AND COSTS FOR LINES 225/226, 208 AND 203

| SOURCE | STATISTIC/COST |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 225/226 | 208 | 203 |
| Boardings/day | 638 | 146 | 33/57/57 |
| Vehicle Hours/day | 87.4 | 17.3 | 10.4 |
| Vehicle Miles/day | 1587.1 | 241.2 | 83.2 |
| P.M. Peak Buses | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| Daily Revenue | \$317 | . $\$ 47$ | \$12/\$23/\$23 |
| Annual Boardings | 33,176 | 7,592 | 12,921 |
| Annual Veh. Hrs. | 4,545 | 900 | 3,255 |
| Annual Veh. Mls. | 82,529 | 12,542 | 26,041 |
| P.M. Peak Buses | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| Annual Revenue | \$16,484 | \$2,444 | \$4,960 |
| Costs: |  |  |  |
| Passenger Boardings | \$36 | \$8 | \$14.21 |
| Vehicle Hoürs | \$105,320 | \$21,064 | \$63,192 |
| Vehicle Miles | \$45; 544 | \$6;357 | \$13,200.18 |
| Peak Buses | ? | 0 | \$75.49 |
| Total Cost Savings | \$150,900 | \$27,429 | \$76,481.88 |
| Net Cost Savings by Line. | \$134,416 | \$24,982 | \$71,521.88 |

## 4. LIMITATIONS ON THE MODEL APPLICATION

### 4.1 IMPACTS OF CHANGING SERVICE LEVELS AND ORGANIZATION

The primary limitation on the use of the model for estimating costs is that the step costs and unit costs are based on the projection of labor and District organization that were provided in mid-1985 for the FY 1986 calibration: As a result, the model does not currently account for the closing of Division 2 and the consequent reduction in the total number of operating divisions. Also, if significant changes are proposed in service levels, the model should be recalibrated to adjust step sizes for all stepwise variables, and also adjust the unit costs in Tables 1 and 2 for the distribution of "fixed" costs. This recalibration takes into account the changes in the base over which the various costs are spread. If service levels are increased, the bases of peak buses, vehicle hours, vehicle miles, and passenger boardings are all likely to increase. If service levels are decreased, each of these bases are also likely to decrease. In the former case, unit costs of fixed budget items will decrease, and step sizes of stepwise variables will increase, until a reorganization of the District takes place. In the latter case, unit costs of fixed budget items will increase, and step sizes of stepwise variables will decrease, again, until a reorganization takes place. when service changes are small, these concerns are unlikely to have a significant effect on the estimation of costs, although subsequent sensitivity tests will be undertaken to determine the extent of these effects. It is clear that major system changes, on the order of $\pm 10$ percent or more of current service will have significant effects on the accuracy of the estimated costs.

DIVISION NO. $\qquad$ 18.
fehicle miles
(enter nümber from Sheet 1
(a) 225/226
(a) WEEKDAY satuturday SUNDAY


| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (R) | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (S=\text { INT[Q/R] }) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STEP } \\ & \text { COST } \\ & \text { (T) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ (0=S * T) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | 1.0 | 82,529 | 0.2366 | \$19,526 | Maint.Oper.Divisions Parts, lube, etc. |
| Direct | 1.0 | 82,529 | 0.2703 | \$22,307 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Non-Departmental Exp } \\ \text { Fuel and Taxes } \end{array}\right\|$ |
| Step S | 69,332 | 1 | 3,710 | \$3,710 | jNon-Departmental Exp Wkmn's Comp. Maint. |
| Step S | 107,465 | - | 3,055 |  | Non-Departmental Exp Exp.\& Prov for PD |
| Step D | 178;810 |  | 40,186 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Running Repairs |
|  | 2,149,300 | - | 40,180 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Inspectors |
| Step S | 2,755,513 | - | 40,179 |  | Central Maintenance Running Repairs |
| Step S | 2,904,459 | - | 40,189 |  | Central Maintenance Mechanical |
| Step S | 3,160,735 | - | 40,206 |  | Central Maintenance Electrical |


| Step S | 3,358,281 | - | 40,187 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { Central Maintenance } \\ \text { Body Shop }\end{gathered}\right.$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step S | 3,582,167 | - | 40,200 | Central Maintenance Transmission |
| Step S | 3,960,185 | - | 39,984 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Central Maintenance } \\ & \text { Engine Line } \end{aligned}$ |
| Step S | 4,477,708 | - | 40,209 | Central Maintenance Welding |


| Step S | 5,656,053 | - | 80,316 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Central Maintenance } \\ \text { Cy1. Hd. \& Paint Sh }\end{array}\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step S | 7,676,071 | - | 80,430 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Central Maintenance } \\ & \text { Mach.Shp \& Sht.Met. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Step S | 8,266,538 |  | 80,462 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Central Maintenance } \\ & \text { Frame Shp \& Uphols. } \end{aligned}$ |

$\qquad$
DIVISION NO.
LINE NO. $225 / 226$.

## VEHICLE MILĖS (cont.) $\frac{82,529}{\text { (enter number from Sheet } 1 \text { ) }}$ <br>  <br> (Q) WEEKDAY SATURDAY SUNDAY

| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (R) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (S=\text { INT[Q/R]) } \end{gathered}$ | STEP <br> COST <br> ( $T$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \text { COST } \\ (U=S * T) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL Bt.Fwd. | -xamer |  | -- | \$45, 543 |  |
| Step S | 9,769,545 | - | 40,182 |  | Central Maintenance Systems |
| Step S | 13,433,125 |  | 80,250 |  | Central Maintenance Engine Pts \& Teardn |
| Step D | 17,910;833 | - | 40,166 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Road Failure |
| Step S | 21,493,000 | - | 40,033 |  | Central Maintenance Sign Shop |
| Step D | 26,866,250 |  | 40,250 |  | Central Maintenance Tool \& Unit |
| TOTAL | - | -2x-m-9-9 | ---¢゙--- | \$45,54.3 | - |

OIVISION NO. $\qquad$ 18

LINE NO. $\qquad$ 225/226

PASSENGER BOARDINGS $\qquad$ (v) WEEKDAY (enter number from sheet 1)



DIVISION NO. $\qquad$
TOTAL LINE AND PERIOD COSTS

LINE NO: $225 / 226$



APPENDIX C
MORK SHEETS FOR DIVISION 3

DIVISION NO. $\qquad$ 3

LINE NO. $(203+208)$


FY 1986 COST BASIS

DIVISION NO. 3
PEAK BUSES
(enter number from Sheet 1)

LINE NO. 203 \& 208
(G) USE FOR WEEKDAY SERVICE ONLY DO NOT USE FOR SATURDAY OR SUNDAY

| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (H) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{INT}[\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{H}]) \end{gathered}$ | STEP COST (J) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \text { COST } \\ (K=I * J) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | 1.0 | 1 | 75.4907 | \$75.49 | Facilities Maint. Radio Supplies |
| Step D | 5.8 | - | 31,945 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Servicing |
| Step S | 31.5 | - | 42,645 |  | Schedüling Sched. Checkers |
| Step D | 33 | - | 31,771 |  | Maint. Oper. Div̈. Service Deep Clean |
| Step 0 | 33.1 | - | 40,179 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Wheelchair Lift Ser |
| Step S | 47 | - | 39,927 |  | Facilities Maint. Electronics Maint. |
| Step D | 60.2 | - | 40,173 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Farebox Maint. |
| Step D | 110 |  | 40,025 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Special Projects |
| Step S | 117 |  | 32,032 |  | \|Central Maintenance Service |
| Step S | 142 | - | 275,925 |  | Facilities Maint. Elec., Prop., Supp. |
| Step S | 142 |  | 50,168 |  | Maintenance Generà Instruction |
| Step 0 | ' 153 | - | 750,139 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { Transportation Oper. } \\ \text { Division }\end{gathered}\right.$ |
| Step S | 153 | - | 44,121 |  | Transportation Serv. Radio Dispatchers |
| Step D | 153 | - | 729,656 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Misc., Supp., Admin |
| Step D | 153 | - | 96,867 |  | Contracts \& Purch. Storekeepers |
| Step S | 166 | - | 47,954 |  | Central Maintenance Cent. Shop Superv. |
| TOTAL | ------* | -*- - - | -------> | \$75.49 | ------------------ |

DIVISION NO. 3
VEHICLE HOURS
4.154
(enter number from Sheet 1)

LINE NO. 203 \& 208
(L) $\begin{aligned} & \text { WEEXDAY } \\ & \text { SATURDAY } \\ & \text { SUNDAY }\end{aligned}$

| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (M) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (N=\text { INT[LL/M] }) \end{gathered}$ | STEP COST <br> (0) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \text { COST } \\ \left(P=N^{*} 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step D | 853 | 4 | 19,383 | \$77, 532 | Transp.Oper.Div. Operators |
| Step 0 | 853 | 4 | 1,681 | \$6,724 | Non-Departmental Exp Wkmn's Comp.-Oper. |
| Step S | 216,714 | - | 34,400 |  | Maint.Oper.Divisions Non-revenue Maint. |


$\mid$ Step S $|329,783|$|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 42,044 |  |\(\left|\begin{array}{l}Scheduling Dept. <br>

Schedule Makers\end{array}\right|\)

| Step S | 421,400 | - | 44,002 | Transportation Serv. <br> Street Supervisors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step S | 446,176 |  | 34,471 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Transit Police } \\ \text { Transp. Serv. Insp. } \end{gathered}$ |



VEHICLE MILES
38, 583
(Q) WEEKDAY
(enter nümber from Sheet 1 )
SATURDAY SUNDAY

| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (R) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (S=\text { INT[Q/R] }) \end{gathered}\right.$ | STEP COST <br> (T) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \operatorname{COST} \\ \left(U=\begin{array}{c} * T \end{array}\right) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | 1.0 | 38,583 | 0.2366 | \$9,128 | Maint.Oper.Divisions Parts, lube, etc. |
| Direct | 1.0 | 38,583 | 0.2703 | \$10,428 | Non-Departmental Exp Fuel and Taxes |
| Step S | 69,332 | - | 3,710 |  | Non-Departmental Exp Wkmn's Comp. Maint. |
| Step S | 107,465 | - | 3,055 |  | Non-Departmental Exp Exp.\& Prov for PD |
| Step D | 178,810 | - | 40,186 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Running Repairs |
| Step D | 2,149,300 | - | 40,180 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Inspectors |
| Step S | 2,755,513 | - | 40,179 |  | Central Maintenance Rưning Repairs |
| Step S | 2,904,459 | - | 40,189 |  | \|Central Maintenance Mechanical |
| Step S | 3,160,735 | - | 40,206 |  | Central Maintenance Electrical |
| Step S | 3,358,281 | - | 40,187 |  | Central Maintenance Body Shop |
| Step S | $3,582,167$ | - | 40,200 |  | Central Maintenance Transmission |
| Step S | 3,960,185 |  | 39,984 |  | Central Maintenance Engine Line |
| Step S | 4,477,708 | - | 40,209 |  | Central Maintenance Welding |
| Step S | 5,656,053 | - | 80,316 |  | Central Maintenance Cyl. Hd. \& Paint Sh |
| Step S | 7,676,071 | - | 80,430 |  | Central Maintenance Mach. Shp \& Sht.Met. |
| Step S | 8,266,538 | - | 80,462 |  | Central Maintenance Frame Shp \& Üphols. |
| TOTAL | --n-m | \%:3 | -->,---6 | \$19,556 |  |

FY 1986 COST BASIS

DIVISION NO: $\quad 3$

LINE NO. $203 \& 208$.
PASSENGER BOARDINGS $\quad 13,067$
(V) WEEKDAY
I) $\operatorname{SATURDAY~}[-]$
SUNDAY
[-]

dIVISION NO. $\qquad$
total line and period costs

LINE NO. 203 हो 208


APPENDIX D

WORK SHEETS FOR DISTRICT-WIDE COSTS/SAVINGS

DIVISION NO. SCRTD LINE NO. 203,208,225/2.26


DIVISION NO. $\qquad$ SCRTD

LINE NO.
(G) USE FOR WEEKDAY SERVICE ONLY DO NOT USE FOR SATURDAY OR SUNDAY

| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (H) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{INT}[\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{H}]) \end{gathered}$ | STEP COST <br> (J) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \text { COST } \\ (K=I * J) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | 1.0 | 1 | 75.4907 | \$75.49 | Facilities Maint. Radio Supplies |
| Step D | 5.8 | - | 31,945 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Servicing |
| Step S | 31.5 | - | 42,645 |  | Scheduling Sched. Checkers |
| Step D | 33 |  | 31,771 |  | Maint. Oper. Oiv. Service Deep Clean |
| Step D | 33.1 |  | 40,179 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Wheelchair Lift Ser |
| Step S | 47 |  | 39,927 |  | Facilities Maint. Electronics Maint. |
| Step D | 60.2 |  | 40,173 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Farebox Maint. |
| Step D | 110 | - | 40,025 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Special Projects |
| Step S | 117 |  | 32,032 |  | Central Maintenance Service |
| Step S | 142 | - | 275,925 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Facilities Maint. } \\ & \text { Elec., Prop.,Supp. }\end{aligned}\right.$ |
| Step S | 142 |  | 50,168 |  | Maintenance General Instruction |
| Step D | 153 | - | 750,139 |  | Transportation Oper. Division |
| Step S | 153 | - | 44,121 |  | Transportation Serv. Radio Dispatchers |
| Step D | 153 | - | 729,656 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Misc., Supp., Admin |
| Step D | 153 | - | 96,867 |  | Contracts \& Purch. Storekeepers |
| Step 5 | 166 | - | 47,954 |  | Central Maintenance Cent. Shop Superv. |
| TOTAL | $\cdots$ | - | ---.---- | \$75.49 | - |

DIVISION NO. SCRTD
LINE NO. $\qquad$
VEHICLE HOURS (enter number from Sheet 1

| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (M) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (N=\text { INT[L/M] }) \end{gathered}$ | STEP COST <br> (0) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \text { COST } \\ \left(P=N^{*} 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Step D | 853 | $4+5=$ <br> 9 | 19,383 | \$174,447 | $\left.\right\|_{\text {Transp.Oper.Div. }} ^{\text {Operators }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Step D | 853 | $14+5=9$ | 1,681 | \$15,129 | Non=Departmental Exp Wkmn's Comp.-Oper. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step S | 216,714 | - | 34,400 |  | \|Maint.Oper.Divisions Non-revenue Maint. |



| Step $S$ | $446,176$. | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34,471 |  |  |$|$| Transit Police |
| :---: |
| Transp. Serv. Insp. |


| Step S | 474,000 |  | 44,244 |  | Transportation Inst. Operator Training |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step S | 632,083 | - | 31,084 |  | Account. \& Fiscal Payroll Clerks |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | \$189,576 | - |

$\qquad$ SCRTD

LINE NO. $\qquad$
VEHICLE MILES
121,112
(eñter number from Sheet 1 )
(Q) WEEKDAY SATURDAY SUNDAY


| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (R) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { N̈UMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (S=\text { INT[Q/R]) } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STEP } \\ & \text { COST } \end{aligned}$ $(T)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \text { COST } \\ \left(U=S^{*} T^{\prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | 1.0 | 121,112 | 0.2366 | \$28,655.10 | Maint.Oper Divisions <br> Parts, lube, etc. |
| Direct | 1.0 | 121,112 | 0.2703 | \$32,73657 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Non-Departmental Exp } \\ \text { Fuel and Taxes. } \end{array}\right\|$ |
| Step 9 | 69,332 | 1 | 3,710 | \$3,710 | Non-Departmental Exp Wkmn's Comp. Maint. |
| Step S | 107,465 | 1 | 3,055 | \$ 3,055 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Non-Departmental Exp } \\ \text { Exp.\& Prov for PD } \end{array}\right\|$ |
| Step D | 178,810 | - | 40,186 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Running Repairs |
| Step D | 2,149,300 |  | 40,180 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Inspectors |
| Step S | 2,755,513 |  | 40,179 |  | Central Maintenance Running Repairs |
| Step S | 2,904,459 | - | 40,189 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Central Maintenance } \\ \text { Mechanical }\end{array}\right\|$ |
| Step S | 3,160,735 | - | 40,206 |  | Central Maintenance Electrical |
| Step S | 3,358,281 | - | 40,187 |  | Central Maintenance Body Shop |
| Step S | 3,582,167 | - | 40,200 |  | Central Maintenance Transmission |
| Step S | 3,960,185 |  | 39,984 |  | Central Maintenance Engine Line |
| Step S | 4,477,708 | - | 40,209 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Central Maintenance } \\ \text { Welding }\end{array}\right\|$ |
| Step S | 5,656,053 |  | 80,316 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Central Maintenance } \\ \text { CyT. Hd. \& Paint Sh } \end{array}\right\|$ |
| Step S | 7,676,071 | - | 80,430 |  | Centra 1 Maintenance Mach. Shp \& Sht.Met. |
| Step S | 8,266,538 | - | 80,462 |  | Central Maintenance Frame Shp \& Uphols. |
| $\mid \text { TOTAL }$ | --9-9 | --․․․․ |  | \$68, 156.67 |  |

$\qquad$ SCRTD

LINE NO. $\qquad$
(Q)


| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (R) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ (S=\text { STEPS } \\ (S N T[Q / R]) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STEP } \\ & \text { COST } \\ & (T) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \text { COST } \\ (U=S * T) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL Bt. Fwd. | - | remern-r | --m-mat | \$68,156.67 | -4-6--->- |
| Step S | 9,769,545 | - | 40,182 |  | Central Maintenance Systems |
| Step S | 13,433,125 |  | 80,250 |  | Central Maintenance Engine Pts \& Teardn |
| Step D | 17,910,833 | - | 40,166 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Road Failure |
| Step S | 21,493,000 | - | 40,033 |  | Central Maintenance Sign Shop |
| Step D | 26,866,250 | - | 40,250 |  | Central Maintenance Tool \& Unit |
| TOTAL | ----mamm |  | ---8-- | \$68, 156.67 | ------ - - - - - |

$\qquad$ SCROD

LINE NO. $\qquad$
PASSENGER BOARDINGS $\qquad$ 46,243
(V) (enter number from Sheet 1)



DIVISION NO. SCRTD
TOTAL LINE AND PERIOD COSTS

LINE NO. 203,208,225/226


| TOTAL, Sheet 4, Column U-Miles (CC) | \$68; 156.67 |
| :---: | :---: |



APPENDIX A
WORK SHEETS FOR LINE 203


DIVISION NO. $\qquad$ LINE NO. $\qquad$ 203

PEAK BUSES
(enter number from sheet 1 )
(G) USE FOR WEEKDAY SERVICE ONLY DO. NOT USE FOR SATURDAY OR SUNDAY

| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (H) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (I=\text { INT }[G / H]) \end{gathered}$ | STEP CDST <br> (J) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \text { COST } \\ (K=I * J) \end{gathered}$ | CDMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | 1.0 | 1 | 75.4907 | \$75.49 | Facilities Maint. Radio Supplies |
| Step D | 5.8 | - | 31,945 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Servicing |
| Step S | 31.5 | - | 42,645 |  | Scheduling Sched. Checkers |
| Step D | 33 | - | 31,771 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Service Deep Clean |
| Step 0 | 33.1 | - | 40,179 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Wheelchair Lift Ser |
| Step S | 47 | - | 39,927 |  | Facilities Maint. Electronics Maint. |
| Step D | 60.2 | - | 40,173 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Farebox Maint. |
| Step D | 110 | - | 40,025 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Special Projects |
| Step S | 117 | - | 32,032 |  | Central Maintenance Service |


| Step S | 142 |  | 275,925 | Facilities Maint. <br> Elec., Prop., Supp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step S | . 142 | - | 50,168 | Maintenance Generäl Instruction |
| Step D | 153 | - | 750,139 | Transportation Oper. Division |
| Step S | 153 | - | 44,121 | Transportation Serv. Radio Dispatchers |

$\left|\begin{array}{c|c|}\text { Step } 0 & 153\end{array}\right|-\quad|729,656| \quad\left|\begin{array}{c}\text { Majnt. Oper. Div. } \\ \text { Misc., Supp., Admin }\end{array}\right|$

| Step D | 153 | - | 96,867 |  | Contracts \& Purch. Storekeepers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step S | 166 | - | 47,954 |  | Central Maintenance Cent. Shop Superv. |
| TOTAL |  |  | -------:- | \$75.49 | ----------------4* |


dIVISION No. $\qquad$ 3

VEHICLE MILES
17,056
(eñter nümber from Sheet 1)
(Q) WEEKDAY SAATUURDAY SUNDAY

| TYPE | STEP SI.ZE <br> (R) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (S=\text { INT[Q } / \mathrm{R}]) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STEP } \\ & \text { COST } \\ & \text { (T) } \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \operatorname{COST} \\ (U=S * T \end{array}\right)$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | 1.0 | 17,056 | 0.2366 | \$4,035.45 | Maint.Oper.Divisions Parts, lube, etc. |
| Direct | 1.0 | 17,056 | 0.2703 | \$4,610. 24 | Non-Departmental Exp Fuel and Taxes |
| Step 9 | 69,332 | - | 3,710 |  | Non-Departmental Exp Wkmn's Comp. Maint. |
| Step S | 107,465 | - | 3,055 |  | Non-Departmental Exp Exp.\& Prov for PD |
| Step D | 178,810 |  | 40,186 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Running Repairs |
| Step D | 2,149,300 | - | 40,180 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Inspectors |
| Step S | 2,755,513 |  | 40,179 |  | Central Maintenance Running Repairs |
| Step S | 2,904,459 | - | 40,189 |  | Central Maintenance Mechanical |
| Step S | 3,160,735 | - | 40,206 |  | Central Maintenance Electrical |
| Step S | 3,358,281 | - | 40,187 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { Central Maintenance } \\ \text { Body Shop }\end{gathered}\right.$ |
| Step S | 3,582,167 |  | 40,200 |  | Central Maintenance Transmission |


$\qquad$ LINE NO. $\qquad$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { VEHICLE MILES(cont.) } \left.\frac{17,056}{\text { (enter number from Sheet 1) }} \begin{array}{ll}
\text { (Q) WEEKDAY } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { SATURDAY } \\
\text { SUNDAY }
\end{array}
\end{array}\right][]
\end{array}
$$


$\qquad$ 3

LINE NO. 203
PASSENGER BOARDINGS $\qquad$ (v) (enter number from sheet 1)


| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (W) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (X=\text { INT }[V / W]) \end{gathered}$ | STEP <br> COST <br> (Y) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \operatorname{COST} \\ (Z=X * Y) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | 1.0 | 6.765 | 0.0011 | \$7.44 | $\qquad$ |
| Step S | 163,231 | - | 524 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Departmental Exp } \\ & \text { Expenses for PL } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| Step S | 163,231 |  | 14,481 |  | NonmDepartmental Exp Provisions for PL |
| Step S | 4,715,555 |  | 29,678 |  | Customer Relations Telephone Clerks |
| Step S | 12,482,353 |  | 34,470 |  | Transit Police Passenger Security |
| Step S | 12,860,606 | . | 32,273 |  | Accounting \& Fiscal Cash Clerks |
| Step S | 19,290,909 |  | 30,955 |  | Marketing \& Commun. Ticket Clerks |
| TOTAL | - | ب- | --- | \$7.44 | $\mid$ |

division no. $\qquad$
TOTAL LINE AND PERIOD COSTS

LINE NO. $\qquad$ 203

PERIOD: WEEKDAY SATURDAY SUNDAY


DIVISION NO. $\qquad$ 3

LINE NO. 203
VEHICLE HOURS $\qquad$ $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { (L) WEEKDAY } \\ \text { SATURDAY } \\ \text { SUNDAY }\end{array}\right]$

$\qquad$
DIVISION NO.
LINE ṄO. $\qquad$ VEHICLE MILES 4,326
(Q) WEEKDAY (enter number from Sheet 1 ) SATURDAY SUNDAY


| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (R) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (S=\text { INT[Q/R]) } \end{gathered}$ | STEP <br> COST <br> ( T ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \operatorname{COST} \\ (U=S * T) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | 1.0 | 4,326 | 0.2366 | \$1,023.5 | Maint.Oper Divisions parts, lube, etc. |
| Direct | 1.0 | 4,326 | 0.2703 | \$1,169.32 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non-Departmental Exp } \\ \text { Fuel and Taxes } \end{gathered}$ |
| Step $¢$ | 69,332 |  | 3,710 |  | Non-Departmental Exp Wkmn's Comp. Maint. |
| Step S | 107,465 | - | 3,055 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Non-Departmental Exp } \\ \text { Exp. \& Prov for PD } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| Step 0 | 178,810 | - | 40,186 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Running Repairs |
| Step 0 | 2,149,300 | - | 40,180 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Inspectors |
| Step S | $2,755,513$ | - | 40,179 |  | Central Maintenance Running Repairs |
| Step S | 2,904,459 | - | 40,189 |  | Central Maintenance Mechanical |
| Step S | 3,160,735 | - | 40,206 |  | Central Maintenance Electrical |


$\qquad$ 3

LINE NO. $\qquad$ 203

$$
\text { VEHICLE MILES (cont.) } \frac{4,326}{\text { (enter number from Sheet } 1 \text { ) }}
$$

(Q)



DIVISION NO. $\qquad$ 3.

LINE NO. $\qquad$ 203

PASSENGER BOARDINGS

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (v) WEEKDAY } & {[]} \\ \text { 1) } \\ & \text { SATURDAY } \\ & \text { SUNDAY }\end{array}$

$\qquad$ LINE NO. $\qquad$
TOTAL LINE AND PERIOD COSTS

| PERIOD: | WEEKDAY | [] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | SATURDAY |  |
|  | SUNDAY | [] |




LINE NO. $\qquad$
VEHICLE MILES
4,659
(enter number from Sheet 1)
(Q) WEEKDAY SATURDAY SUNDAY

| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (R) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (S=\text { INT[Q/R] }) \end{gathered}$ | STEP COST (T) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \operatorname{COST} \\ \left(U=S^{*} T\right) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | 1.0 | 4,659 | 0.2366 | \$1,102.32 | Maint.Oper.Divisions Parts, lube, etc. |
| Direct | 1.0 | 4,659 | 0.2703 | \$1,259.33 | Non-Departmental Exp Fuel and Taxes |
| Step S | 69,332 | - | 3,710 |  | Non-Departmental Exp Wkmn's Comp. Maint. |
| Step S | 107,465 | - | 3,055 |  | Non-Departmental Exp Exp.\& Prov for PD |
| Step D | 178,810 | - | 40,186 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Running Repairs |



| Step S | $2,904,459$ | - | 40,189 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$| Central Maintenance |
| :--- |
| Mechanical |

$\mid$ Step S $|3,160,735|$

| Step S | 3,358,281 | - | 40,187 | Central Maintenance Body Shop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step S | 3,582,167 | - | 40,200 | Central Maintenance Transmission |


| Step S | 3,960,185 | - | 39,984 | Engine Line |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step S | 4,477,708 | - | 40,209 | Central Maintenance Welding |


$\mid$ TOTAL | $\mid$ +
$\qquad$ LINE NO. 203


| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (R) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (S=\text { INT[Q/R]) } \end{gathered}$ | STEP <br> COST <br> (T) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \text { COST } \\ \left(U=S^{\star} T\right) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { Bt.FWid. } \end{aligned}$ |  | -4-5--0-0 | ---- | \$2,361.65 | --x--- |
| Step S | 9,769,545 | - | 40;182 |  | Central Maintenance Systems |
| Step S | 13,433,125 | - | 80,250 |  | Central Maintenance Engine Pts \& Teardn |
| Step D | 17,910,833 | - | 40,166 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Road Failure |
| Step S | 21,493,000 | - | 40,033 |  | Central Maintenance Sign Shop |
| Step D | 26,866,250 | - | 40,250 |  | Central Maintenance Tool \& Unit |
| TOTAL | m- | - | ---\%--- | \$2,361.65 |  |



DIVISION NO. $\qquad$ LINE NO. $\qquad$
TOTAL LINE AND PERIOD COSTS

PERIOD: WEEKDAY SATURDAY SUNDAY


FY 1986 COST BASIS
IVISION NO. $\qquad$ LINE NO. $\qquad$ VEHICLE HOURS 3.255
(L) WEEXDAY SATURDAY SUNDAY

| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (M) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (N=\text { INT }[L / M]) \end{gathered}$ | STEP COST <br> (0) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \text { COST } \\ \left(P=N^{*} 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step D | 853 | 3 | 19,383 | \$58,149 | Transp.Oper.Div. Operators |
| Step D | 853 | 3 | 1,681 | \$5,043 | Non-Departmental Exp W'kmn's Comp.-Oper. |
| Step S | 216,714 |  | 34,400 |  | Maint.Oper.Divisions Non-revenue Maint. |
| Step S | 329,783 | - | 42,044 |  | Scheduling Dept. Schedule Makers |
| Step S | 421,400 |  | 44,002 |  | Transportation Serö. Street Supervisors |
| Step S | 446,176 |  | 34,471 |  | Transit Police Transp. Serv. Insp. |
| Step S | 474,000 |  | 44,244 |  | Transportation Inst. Operator Training |
| Step S | 632,083 | - | 31,084 |  | Account. \& Fiscal Payroll Clerks |
| TOTAL | $\bigcirc$ | - | ---n---\% | \$63,192 | - |

DIVISION NO. $\qquad$ LINE NO. $\qquad$
EHICLE MILES
$\frac{26,041}{(\text { enter number from sheet }}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (Q) } & \text { WEEXDAY } & {[\sim} \\ & \text { SATURDAY } & {[J]} \\ & \text { SUNDAY } & \text { [山] }\end{array}$


DIVISION NO. $\qquad$ 3

LINE NO. $\qquad$ 203


DIVISION NO. $\qquad$ 3

LINE NO. $\qquad$ 203

PASSENGER BOARDINGS $\qquad$ (V) WEEKDAY


DIVISION NO. $\qquad$
TOTAL LINE AND PERIOD COSTS

LINE NO. $\qquad$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { PERIOD: } & \text { WEEXDAY } & {[2]} \\ & \text { SATURDAY } \\ & {[/]} \\ & \text { SUNDAY } & {[/]}\end{array}$


## APPENDIX B

WORK SHEETS FOR LINES 208 AND 225/226

DIVISION NO. $\qquad$ 3

LINE NO. $\qquad$ 208


DIVISION் NO. $\qquad$ LINE NO. $\qquad$
PEAK BUSES SUNDAY - NOT USED
(G) USE FOR WEEKDAY SERVICE ONLY DO NOT USE FOR SATURDAY OR SUNDAY

| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (H) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{INT}[\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{H}]) \end{gathered}$ | STEP COST <br> (J) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \text { COST } \\ (K=I * J) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | 1.0 |  | 75.4907 |  | Facilities Maint. Radio Suppiies |
| Step D | 5.8 |  | 31,945 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Servicing |
| Step S | 31.5 |  | 42,645 |  | Schedulling Sched. Checkers |
| Step D | 33 |  | 31,771 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Service Deep Clean |
| Step D | 33.1 |  | 40,179 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Wheelchair Lift Ser |
| Step S | 47 |  | 39,927 |  | Facilities Maint. Electronics Maint. |
| Step D | 60.2 |  | 40,173 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Farebox Maint. |
| Step D | 110 |  | 40,025 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Special Projects |
| Step S | 117 |  | 32,032 |  | Central Maintenance Service |
| Step S | 142 |  | 275,925 |  | Facilities Maint. Elec., Prop., Supp. |
| Step S | 142 |  | 50,168 |  | Maintenance General Instruction |
| Step 0 | 153 |  | 750,139 |  | Transportation Oper. Division |
| Step S | 153 |  | 44,121 |  | Transportation Serï. Radio Dispatchers |
| Step D | 153 |  | 729,656 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. <br> Misc., Supp., Admin |
| Step D | 153 |  | 96,867 |  | Contracts \& Purch. Storekeepers |
| Step S | 166 |  | 47,954 |  | Central Maintenance Cent. Shop Superv. |
| TOTAL | ------- | -n---*~- | ------- | 0 | ----\% |

LINE NO.
208
VEHICLE MILES 12,542
(enter number from Sheet 1 )
(Q) WEEKDAY

SATURDAY SUNDAY

| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (R) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (S=\text { INT[Q/R]) } \end{gathered}$ | STEP COST <br> (T) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \operatorname{COST} \\ \left(U=S^{*} T\right) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | 1.0 | 12,542 | 0.2366 | \$2,967 | Maint.Oper.Divisions Parts, lube, etc. |
| Direct | 1.0 | 12,542 | 0.2703 | \$3,390 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non-Departmental Exp } \\ \text { Fuel and Taxes } \end{gathered}$ |
| Step S | 69,332 | - | 3,710 |  | Non-Departmental Exp Wkmn's Comp. Maint. |
| Step S | 107,465 | - | 3,055 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Non-Departmental Exp } \\ \text { Exp.\& Prov for PD } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| Step D | 178,810 | - | 40,186 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Running Repairs |
| Step D | 2,149,300 | - | 40,180 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Inspectors |
| Step S | $2,755,513$ |  | 40,179 |  | Central Maintenance Running Repairs |
| Step S | 2,904,459 | - | 40,189 |  | Central Maintenance Mechanical |
| Step S | 3,160,735 | - | 40,206 |  | Central Maintenance Electrical |
| Step S | 3,358,281 | - | 40,187 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Central Maintenance } \\ & \text { Body Shop }\end{aligned}\right.$ |
| Step S | $3,582,167$ | - | 40,200 |  | Central Maintenance Transmission |
| Step S | 3,960,185 | - | 39,984 |  | Central Maintenance Engine Line |
|  | 4,477,708 | - | 40,209 |  | Central Maintenance Welding |
| Step S | 5,656,053 | - | 80,316 |  | Central Maintenance Cyl. Hd. \& Paint Sh |
| Step S | 7,676,071 | - | 80,430 |  | Central Maintenance Mach.Shp \& Sht.Met. |
| Step S | 8,266,538 | - | 80,462 |  | Central Maintenance <br> Frame Shp \& Uphols. |
| \| TOTAL | --90000 | -1 |  | \$6,357 |  |

DIVISION NO. $\qquad$ 3

EHICLE MILES (enter number from Sheet 1 )

LINE NO. $\qquad$ 208
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (Q) } & \text { WEEKDAY } & {[]} \\ & \text { SATURDAY } & {[]} \\ & \text { SUNDAY } & {[L]}\end{array}$


| 3 |  |  | INE No. 208 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { VEHICLE MILES(cont.) } \left.\left.\frac{12,542}{\text { (enter number from sheet } 1 \text { ) }} \begin{array}{l} \text { W) WEEKDAY } \\ \text { SATURDAY } \\ \text { SUNDAY } \end{array}\right]=\right] \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL Bt. Fwd. | $\cdots$ | --m-s | -----m | \$6,357 |  |
| Step S | 9,769,545 |  | 40,182 | -m,-----mom | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { Central Maintenance } \\ \text { Systems }\end{gathered}\right.$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Step D | \|26,866,250 | - | 40,250 | , | Central Maintenance Tool \& Unit |
| TOTAL | - | - | --ب-mer | \$6,357 | - |


dIVISION NO.
3
total line and period costs

LINE NO. $\qquad$ PERIOD:

WEEKDAY SATURDAY SUNDAY


$\qquad$ 18

LINE NO. 225/226


DIVISION NO. $\qquad$ LINE NO. $\qquad$ 225/226

PEAK BUSES $\frac{\text { NOT USED - SATURDAY }}{(\text { enter number from Sheet 1) }}$
(G) USE FOR WEEKDAY SERVICE ONLY DO NOT USE FOR SATURDAY OR SUNDAY

| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (H) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{INT}[\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{H}]) \end{gathered}$ | STEP COST <br> (J) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUÄL } \\ \text { COST } \\ (K=I * J) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | 1.0 |  | 75.4907 | 0 | Facilities Maint. Radio Supplies |
| Step D | 5.8 |  | 31,945 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Maint. Oper. Div. } \\ \text { Servicing } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| Step S | 31.5 |  | 42,645 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Scheduling } \\ & \text { Sched. Checkers } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| Step D | 33 |  | 31,771 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Service Deep Clean |
| Step D | 33.1 |  | 40,179 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Wheelchair Lift Ser |
| Step S | 47 |  | 39,927 |  | Facilities Maint. Electronics Maint. |
| Step D | 60.2 |  | 40,173 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Farebox Maint. |
| Step D | 110 |  | 40,025 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Special Projects |
| Step S | 117 |  | 32,032 |  | Central Maintenance Service |
| Step S | 142 |  | 275,925 |  | Facilities Maint. Elec., Prop., Supp. |
| Step S | 142 |  | 50,168 |  | Maintenance General Instruction |
| Step D | 153 |  | 750,139 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { Transportation Oper. } \\ \text { Division }\end{gathered}\right.$ |
| Step S | 153 |  | 44,121 |  | Transportation Serv. <br> Radio Dispatchers |
| Step D | 153 |  | 729,656 |  | Maint. Oper. Div. Misc., Supp., Admin |
| Step D | 153 |  | 96,867 |  | Contracts \& Purch. Storekeepers |
| Step S | 166 |  | 47,954 |  | Central Maintenance Cent. Shop Superv. |
| TOTAL | ------- |  | ------2 | $\bigcirc$ | -----------------4 |



VEHICLE HOURS 4,544
(enter number from Sheet 1 )

LINE NO. 225/226 | (L) $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { WEEKDAY } \\ \text { SATURDAY } \\ \text { SUNDAY }\end{array}\right]$ |
| :--- |

| TYPE | STEP SIZE <br> (M) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NUMBER OF } \\ \text { STEPS } \\ (N=\text { INT[L/M] }) \end{gathered}$ | STEP COST <br> (0) | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANNUAL } \\ \operatorname{COST} \\ \left(P=N^{\star} 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | COMMENTS/SOURCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step D | 853 | 5 | 19,383 | \$96, 91.5 | Transp.Oper.Div. Operators |
| Step D | 853 | 5 | 1,681 | \$8,405 | Non-Departmental Exp Wkmn's Comp.-Oper. |
| Step S | 216,714 | - | 34,400 |  | Ma int.Oper.Divisions Non-revenue Maint. |
| Step S | 329,783 | - | 42,044 |  | Scheduling Dept. Schedule Makers |
| Step S | 421,400 | - | 44,002 |  | Transportation Serv. Street Supervisors |
|  | 446,176 |  | 34,471 |  | Transit Police Transp. Serv. Insp. |
| Step S | 474,000 | - | 44,244 |  | Transportation Inst. Operator Training |
| Step S | 632,083 | - | 31,084 |  | \|Account. \& Fiscal Payroll Clerks |
| TOTAL | --mب-- | - | ---7-7 | \$105, 320 |  |

Prepared for:
Southern California Rapid Transit District

Prepared by:
Schimpeler Corradino Associates
in association with
Barton-Aschman Associates, Inc. Cordoba Corporation Myra L. Frank \& Associates

Manuel Padron The Planining Group, Inc.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

Technical Memorandum 5.1.2 (June 1984) described the original specification of an SCRTD bus operating cost model. The purpose of this additional report is to document an update of the June, 1984 calibration of the model to FY 1986. This Technical Memorandum provides the detailed allocation of costs by category, as discussed below, and also describes those items that represent significant departures from the original FY 1984 calibration.

This bus operating cost model is designed to allow the District to compute the operating expenses for bus operation associated with either increases or decreases in service. The model generates these estimates from projections of annual bus operating statistics based upon the quantity of service for the whole SCRTD system (i.e. a summation of the data for each specific route).

### 1.2 OVERVIEW OF THE MODEL STRUCTURE

Historically, most bus operating cost models have used level-of-service variables as the basis of the model and have selected those variables primarily from vehicle hours, vehicle miles, passenger boardings, number of pullouts, peak vehicle requirements, and revenue. Statistical analyses of bus operating costs have generally shown high correlations of cost with most of these variables, and conceptual arguments can be made for why various elements of the operating costs should be expected to relate to one or more of these variables. This cost model is based on selecting a set of level-of-service variables that meet several criteria:

1) the variables can be output from or derived from standard urban transportation simulation procedures for long-range forecasting
2) the variables are sufficient to forecast costs on all line items of the budget
3) the variables provide responsiveness to different types of service that may be offered, and to changes in service profile

Criterion 1 leads to a rejection of a variable such as pullouts, which is not readily derived from long-range forecasting techniques. Criterion 2 involves some application of judgment. It seems clear that vehicle hours and vehicle miles alone are unlikely to be adequate; for example, costs such as for bus cleaning are explained better by a fleet size measure than by miles and hours; while fare clerks, timetables, and transit police are explained best by numbers of passengers. Criterion 3 also suggests use of additional variables that would provide some differentiation between services offered throughout the day and peak-period-only services.

Cost-allocation models of operating costs have invariably taken the relatively simple approach to modeling by assuming that any büdget item
or expenditure line item can be set to vary with one level-of-service variable. While it could be argued that a number of line items are probably a function of more than one variable, decisions on how to split the effects on two or more variables and establishing a modeling mechanism that would ensure consistency in the use of such split. allocations would lead to a very complex model. To date, it has not been established that an increase in model accuracy and realism would be achieved that would justify the added complexity.

Based on these criteria, it was the judgment of the developers of this model that the following four level-of-service measures be used for the model:

1) annual vehicle miles
2) annual service hours
3) average weekday p.m. peak vehicles
4) annual passenger boardings

The model is a fixed/variable cost allocation model, as described in Technical Memorandum 5.1.2, dated June 1984. The basic concept of this approach is to allocate the cost of each element of service to one of the level-of-service measures. The different elements of service are defined as the individual reported line items of expenditure, or groupings of these. It is possible to allocate costs only to the finest level of detail in expenditure or budget reports for District operations.

To calibrate the model, it is necessary first to review the allocations and determine if any service costs should be allocated differently from the original model. Allocation of each cost to one service measure requires judgment. There is always the potential need to reconsider the allocation of certain cost items, and there is the possibility that new line items may be introduced in a subsequent year's budget. Thus, it is advisable to reevaluate the individual line-item cost allocation.

Second, the budget lines and the amounts of service for the calibration year need to be determined. From these, the coefficients are recalculated and the step sizes for stepwise variables are also recalculated. The coefficients are determined by computing, for each line item in the büdget or expenditure report, a unit cost equal to the amount of the budget or expenditure line divided by the value of the variable to which the line item is allocated. These individual unit costs are summed for each of the level-of-service variables and define the coefficients. Thus, if Body Shop Wages in Central Maintenance equal $\$ 1,053,000$ and these are allocated to Vehicle Miles, with a base value of $107,465,000$, the unit cost of Body Shop Wages would be $\$ 0.00980$. The unit costs of all other line items allocated to Vehicle Miles would then be summed to produce the coefficient of Vehicle Miles.

These two activities comprise the calibration of the model. Once the costs are determined and allocated, the coefficients (unit costs) are computed to produce a model of the form:

$$
\$ C O S T=a 1 \text { VMT }+a 2 \text { VHT }+a 3 \text { PKBS }+a 4 \text { PASS }
$$

## where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { VMT } & =\text { Annual Vehicle Miles of Travel } \\
\text { VHT } & =\text { Annual Vehicle Hours of Travel } \\
\text { PKBS } & =\text { Average p.m. weekday Peak Bus Requirement } \\
\text { PASS } & =\text { Annual Passenger Board ings }
\end{aligned}
$$

For FY 1984, the cost allocation model was:

$$
\$ \text { COST }=1.063 * V M T+24.390 * V H T+55969 * P K B S+0.0744 * P A S S
$$

All unit costs are in dollars in this equation, and are based on FY 1984 dollars (i.e. dollars at about December 1984).

For FY 1986, the recalibrated model is:
$\$$ COST $=0.97 * V M T+25.82 * V Z ̈ H T+68088 *$ PKBS $+0.1162 * P A S S$
In this case, unit costs are in calendar 1985 dollars.

## 2. INPUT DATA BASE

### 2.1 OPERATING COSTS

The bus operating costs in the recalibrated model are based on the current SCRTD organization, the SCRTD departments, and the projected account expenditures for Fiscal Year 1986. The Annual Budget for FY 1986 was used as the basic resource document. In addition, the June 1985 Revenue and Expense Statement and input from the appropriate departments were used to subdivide some items of labor into more detailed components, and to provide estimates of the labor resources and costs for these.

### 2.2 OPERATING STATISTICS

The projected operating statistics were supplied by SCRTD for the FY 1986 operations. These statistics were used to calibrate the model for the FY 1986 base year. The specific statistics are:

| Annual Vehicle Miles: | $107,465,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Annual Vehicle Hours: | $7,585,000$ |
| Annual Unlinked Passenger Trips: | $424,400,000$ |
| Average Weekday P.M. Peak Vehicles : | 1,987 |

The vehicle miles and vehicle hours are total miles and hours in this calibration, as compared to revenue miles and revenue hours used in the original FY 1984 calibration and reported in Technical Memorandum 5.2.1. Conversion can be made between the two by using the ratio for FY 1984 of each of revenue miles and hours to total miles and hours, respectively. Based on Section 15 Reports for FY 1984, these ratios are:

Vehicle Miles (Revenue:Total) $=0,8763$
Vehicle Hours (Revenue:Total) $=0.9253$

## 2:3 STEP SIZES

Step sizes are defined for those expenditure categories where costs vary with the level-of-service variable by increments, rather than continuously. For example, wages and fringes for operators (drivers) are allocated to vehicle hours. However, each saving of a vehicle hour does not generate a saving of operator costs, given procedüres of assigning operators to service and union and contract rules. In the model, it is assumed that a cost saving or an additional cost outlay is involved each time the change amounts to the equivalent of one half-time operator for the year. This change, in FY 1986, is estimated to occur when there is a saving of 853 vehicle hours. If a change in vehicle hours smaller than th is amount takes place, no change in operator cost will be obtained. If a change larger than 853 hours annually is projected, a change in cost is assumed to occur. The change is determined by dividing the total projected change in vehicle hours by 853 , and truncating the result to an integer value. This integer value represents the number of half-time operators saved by the change in vehicle hours. The cost savings are estimated by multiplying this number by 853 and then multiplying the
product by the unit cost of operator wages and fringes. Two numerical examples should serve to illustrate the process.

With Operator Wages determined to have a FY 1986 unit cost of $\$ 18.40$ per vehicle hour, and Operator Fringes of \$4.33:

1. Cost savings from a reduction of 500 vehicle hours annually:

This value falls below the step size of 853 hours and therefore. is assumed to provide no savings in operator wages and fringes.
2. Cost savings from a reduction of 10,000 vehicle hours annually:

The value of 10,000 is divided by 853 , yielding the result of 11.72. This is truncated to an integer value of 11 , indicating that 11 half-time equivalent operators can be saved by this reduction. Fürther, 11 half-time operators work 9; 383 vehicle hours annually, and this is the number of vehicle hours for which there will be a cost saving. Total cost savings from operator wages and fringes are obtained by multiplying $\$ 18.40$ and $\$ 4.33$ by 9,383, for a savings estimate of $\$ 1,726,472$ in wages and $\$ 40,628.39$ in fringes. Note that the further reduction of 617 ( $10,000-9,383$ ) hours produces no additional cost savings on operators.

Step sizes are based on the primiary categories of 1) an employee, 2) an operating division, and 3) a bus facility. Table l summarizes the stepsize differences between FY 1984 and FY 1986 for these primary categories.

Because the number of employees at the District varies from Department to Department and from category to category, the step size (in miles, hours, buses, or passengers) also varies from Department to Department and from category to category. It can be seen that the average size of an operating division decreased from FY 1984 to FY 1986, with the average number of peak buses per operating division decreasing from 159 to 153. Similarly, the average size of a maintenance operating division decreased from 172 peak buses in FY 1984 to 153 in FY 1986.

Apart from these rather clear, recurrent step sizes, most of the remainder relate to employees in the Department or category, and show fluctuations between FY 1984 and FY 1986, in response to changes in the numbers of employees between FY 1984 actual employment and FY 1986 projected employment. In addition, there are changes in budgeting and orgànization that show up most strongly in Central Maintenance, where six line items from FY 1984 do not appear in the FY 1986 budget, while ten new line items appear in FY 1986. There is also a consistent change throughout the FY 1986 budgeting that Utilities are no longer separated from Misce.llaneous Expenses, as they were in FY 1984.

COMPARISON OF STEP SIZES BETWEEN FY84 AND FY86


TABLE 1 (continued)
COMPARISON OF STEP SIZES BETWEEN FY84 AND FY86


TABLE 1 (continued)
CDMPARISON DF STEP SIZES BETWEEN FY84 AND FY86

| VARIABLE | STEP BASIS | FY84 | FY86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Buses, (continued) |  |  |  |
|  | Maintenance General - Instruction | 188 | 142 |
|  | Telecommunications - Electronic Main | tenance 56 | N/I. |
|  | Scheduling - Checkers | 40.5 | 5 . 31.5 |
|  | Contract Procurement :- Stores | 159 | 153 |
| Passengers | Transit Poiice - Passenger Security | 13,688,235 1 | 12,482,353 |
|  | Marketing \& Comm. - Ticket Clerks | 21,154,545 1 | 19,290,909 |
|  | Customer Relations - Telephone Clerks | 4,951,064 | 4,715,555 |
|  | Accounting \& Fiscal - Cash Clerks | 16,621,429 12 | 12,860,606 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nondepartmental - Provisions for } \\ & \text { Uninsured PL } \\ & \text { Nondepartmental - Expenses for PL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101,174 \\ \$ 4,916 \\ 101,174 \\ 0 \\ \$ 456 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 163,231 \\ \text { @ } \$ 14,481 \\ 163,231 \\ \text { © } \$ 524 \end{array}$ |

### 2.4 DIRECTLYFVARIABLE ITEMS

Directly-variable items are much simpler than stepwise variable items. These are expenditures that can be assumed to vary, with every increment or decrement of the level-of-service variable to which they are allocated. For example, fuel is allocated to vehicle miles as à directly-variable item, with a unit cost of $\$ 0.2521$ in FY 1986. By allocating fuel as a directly-variable item, it is assumed that each change of a vehicle mile will produce a cost change of $\$ 0.2521$. Thus, a decrease of 1,000 vehicle miles will save $\$ 252.10$, and an increase of 10,000 vehicle mịles will increase costs by $\$ 2,521$.

The model contains very few line items that are assumed to vary directly with one of the variables used in the model. Table 2 shows the line items that are assumed to vary directly for each of FY 1984 and FY 1986. The differences between FY 1984 and FY 1986 are minor: under Maintenance Operating Divisions, the Expendable Equipment for Revenue Vehicles is not split into component entries in FY 1986, but is in FY 1984; and Telecommunications equipment on revenue vehicles has moved from the Telecommunications Department to Facilities Maintenance between FŸ 1984 and FY 1986. Essentially, these changes have no net effect on the allocation of costs to direct variables.

TABLE 2
COMPARISON OF DIRECTLY VARIABLE ITEMS BETWEEN FY84 AND FY86

| VARIABLE | LINE ITEM | FY84 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | FY86

## FIXED ITEMS

All remaining budget line items are considered to be fixed costs, and these are allocated, for fully-allocated costing at the line level, to one of the four variables used by the model. Unit costs are computed, as for the other expenditure items, for each line item that is defined as a fixed cost. If a change in service is examined, by definition there will be no changes to total fixed costs. Therefore, the unit cost of each fixed-cost item is recomputed, to yield the same total fixed cost for before the change in service.

Thus, for example, Wages for the General Manager's office are assigned as a fixed cost to peak buses, with a FY 1986 unit cost of $\$ 179.668$. If a service change is examined that will reduce peak buses from the FY 1986 value of 1987 (buses for the average p.m. weekday peak) to 1968 , the unit cost for Wages for the General Manager's office will increase to \$181. 402.

Rather than provide a detailed listing of all fixed-cost items for each year, Table 3 documents the differences between FY 1984 and FY 1986 in the make-up of line items and their allocation for the purposes of line costing. The general change, mentioned in Section 2.3 above, of combining Utilities into Miscellaneous Expenses or charging them under Nondepartmental Expenses in FY 1986 instead of keeping as a separate line item by department as in FY 1984, is not included in this table, because it happens consistently in all departments and generally represents no net change in the amounts allocated.

Several of the entries in Table 3 represent minor büdget changes between FY 1984 and FY 1986. For example, inclusion of a "Services" or a "Miscellaneous Expenses" line item in several departments in one year and not the other indicates merely a zero budget line on that item for the year where it is omitted.

Table 3 also shows evidence of some reorganization within the SCRTD, but without any change in the allocation variable for the fixed costs. For example, the Human Relations Department has changed to an Equal Employment Opportunity Department and a DBE/WBE Department. Telecommunications has been absorbed into Facilities Maintenance, and new departments for Tiransit Systems Development, Risk Management, and Contract Compliance have been added.

There are also a few additional changes in detail in the allocations used that do not affect the underlying process. Several departments allocated to fixed costs have detailed itemized costs in the FY 1984 expenditures reports, using categories of Services, Materials and Süpplies, and Miscellaneous Expenses. In the FY 1986 budget materials, treatment of these has been simplified to a category of "Non-Labor Expenses."

TABLE 3
DIFFERENCES IN ALLOCȦTION OF FIXED-COSṪ ITEMS BËTWEEN FY゙84 AND FY86

| LINE ITEM | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALLOCATION } \\ \text { FY84 } \end{gathered}$ | VARIABLE FY86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stops \& Zones - Miscellaneous Expenses | - | Peak Buses |
| Transportation Instruction - Services | Peak Buses | $-$ |
| Maintenance Operating - Servicing Fringes Fixed | Peak Buses | * |
| Central Maintenance - Training and Other Fringes | Peak Buses | * |
| Central Maintenance - Services | Peak Buses |  |
| Central Maintenance - Mìscellaneous Expenses | Peak Buses | * |
| Telecommunications - Administration Wages | Peak Buses | \# |
| Telecommunications - Fringes | Peak Buses | \# |
| Telecommunications - Services | Peak Buses | \# |
| Telecommunications - Materials \& Supplies | Peak Buses | \# |
| Telecommunications - Utilities \& Misc. Exp. | Peak Buses | \# |
| A.G.M. for Planning \& Comm. - Services | Peak Buses | - |
| Human Relations - Wages | Peak Buses | - |
| Human Relations - Fringes | Peak Buses | - |
| -Human Relations - Services | Peak Buses | - |
| Hüman Relations - Materials \& Supplies | Peak Buses | - |
| Human Relations - Útilities \& Misc. Exp. | Peak Buses | - |
| Equal Employment Opp. - Wages | - | Peak Büses |
| Equal Employment Opp. - Fringes | - | Peak Büses |
| Equal Employment Opp. - Materials and Supplies | - | Peak Buses |
| Equal Employment Opp. - Utilities \& Misc. Exp. | - | Peak Buses |
| Contract Compliance - Wages | - | Peak Buses |
| Contract Compliance - Fringes | - | Peak Buses |
| Contract Compliance - Materials and Supplies | - | Peak Buses |
| Contract Compliance - Utilities \& Misc. Exp. | - | Peak Buses |
| DBE/WBE - Wages | $\square$ | Peak Buses |
| DBE/WBE - Fringes | - | Peak Buses |
| DBE/WBE - Materials and Süpplies | - | Peak Buses |
| DBE/WBE - Utilities \& Misc. Exp. | - | Peak Buses |

* Not Separated from nondepartmental expenses in FY 1986.
\# Department reassigned under Facilities Maintenance in FY 1986.

| LINE ITEM |  | ALLOCATION FY84 | VARIABLE FY86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGM for Transit System Dev. - Materials and Supplies Peak Buses AGM for Transit System Dev. - Utilities \& Misc. Exp. Peak Buses |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| AGM for Management -- | Services | - | Peak Buses |
| Employee Activities - | Fringes | * | Peak Buses |
| Transit System Dev. - | Wages | - | Peak Buses |
| Transit System Dev. - | Fringes | - | Peak Buses |
| Transit System Dev. - | Materials and Supplies | $\cdots$ | Peak Buses |
| Transit System Dev. - | Útilities \& Misc. Exp. | - | Peak Buses |
| Risk Management | Wages | - | Peak Buses |
| Risk Management - | Fringes | - | Peak Buses |
| Risk Management - | Materials and Supplies | - | Peak Buses |
| Risk Management - | Utilities \& Misc. Exp. | $-$ | Peak Buses |

* Not Separated from nondepartmental expenses in FY 1986.
\# Department reassigned under Facilities Maintenance in FY 1986.


## 3.. FY 1986 CALIBRATION OF COST ALLOCATION

### 3.1 ALLOCATION OF COSTS

Table 4 shows the complete itemization of the FY 1986 budget items and their allocation to the four variables and three types of allocation relationship. This table may be compared directly with Table 1 in Technical Memorandum 5.1.2 (June, 1984) to confirm the various differences pointed out in the foregoing sections. The Table shows the allocation of a Projected FY 1986 budget of $\$ 484,174,000$, compared to the earlier allocation of an Estimated FY 1984 budget of $\$ 425,697,000$.

It is important to note that the FY 1986 Cost Allocation is based on a projected (not actual) budget and for a slightly reorganized structure for SCRTD. The FY 1984 cost allocation was based on actual expenditures for three of the four quarters in FY 1984, plus estimates of the remaining expenditures in FY 1984. Similarly, the hours, miles, and passengers are annual totals for FY 1986 that are projected, while for FY 1984 they were actual expenditures for three quarters and estimates for the last quarter. Peak buses are based on weekday p.m. peak actuals for the first 9 months of FY 1984 and are projected for FY 1986.

Applying the FY 1984 coefficients (adjüsted from revenue hoürs and miles to total miles and hours), the projected FY 1986 cost in 1984 dollars would be $\$ 414,137,000$.

### 3.2 INFLATION EFFECTS

The change in CPI for the Los Angeles-Long Beach area between mid FY 1984 (December 1983) and the end of FY 1986 (which is the time for which the budget is assumed to be correct) in June 1986 is 11.285\%. Applying this change to the annüal expenditüre for FY 1984 would project FY 1986 costs of $\$ 460,873,000$. This percentage change provides a budget figure that requires an additional upward adjustment of $5.0558 \%$ to match the projections made for FY 1986. Applying the total of these two adjustments to the unit costs from the FY 1984 model produces the estimates shown in Table 5. As expected, the annual costs would then be projected as $\$ 484,152,000$, which is (within rounding error) the result that should be achieved.

Of much more interest is to observe that the inflation adjustment provides coefficients for miles and hours that are marginally higher than the calibrated values for FY 1986, while the other two coefficients are underestimated for FY 1986. No correction has been made in this process for changes to the miles, hoürs, buses, and passengers variables that would require spreading fixed costs over a smaller base and would also adjust step sizes. The results of such adjustments are reported in a second Technical Memorandum, number 86.5.2 (November, 1985).

| Department and Bxeñe Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { EY } 84 \cos ^{2} \\ & (\$ 000) \end{aligned}$ | Resource Allocated to. |  |  |  | Fixed | Variable | If Variable Hoir |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Hours | Miles | peak Buses | $\begin{gathered} \text { Passer } \\ \text { gers } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

## Boand of Dinectors

Wages
Fringes
Services
Materials and Supplies
Miscellanecus Expenses
0
0
73
5
67

General Manager

| Wages | 357 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fringes | 0 |
| Services | 211 |
| Materials and Suppiles | 1 |
| Miscellaneous Expenses | 41 |


| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ |

Office of District Secretiony

## Wages

Fringes
Services
Materials and Supplies
Miscellaneouis Expenses

$$
\begin{array}{r}
274 \\
0 \\
55 \\
9 \\
49
\end{array}
$$

$$
\mathbf{x}
$$

## Legol

| Wages | 392 |  | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fringes | 4 |  | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| Services | 330 | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |
| Materials and Supplies | $\mathbf{S}$ |  | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| Miscellaneous Eqpenses | 11 |  | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

## Assistant Gereral Manager

 Eor Operations

Transportition operating Divisions


## Stopa and zones



Table 4
Bus cosp arrociarlay (contimend).

| Department Bupense Item |  | Estimintied <br> FY 84 Cost (\$000) | Resouince Allocated to |  |  |  | Fined | Variable | If Varlable How? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | . |  | Havs | Miles | yeak Buges | Passen- gera |  |  |  |

## Operations Cantrol

and Services (cont'd)


Maintenance Operating
Divisions


Trabla 1
Exis Cobr hirocinian (contimied)

|  | Estimiated | Resource Allocated to |  |  |  | Fisced | Vartable | If Variable How? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and Expense | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EY } 64 \text { cost } \\ & (\$ 000) \end{aligned}$ | Hours | Miles | Peak Buses | $\begin{gathered} \text { Passer } \\ \text { gers } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |

Central Mafinternnce (ciont'd)

| Electrical Units Viages | 1,119 | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eiectrical Units Fringes | 246 | x |
| Hechanical Units Wages | 1,217 | x |
| Mechanical Units Fringes | 270 | $x$ |
| Transmission Wages | 987 | X |
| Transmiasion Fringes | 219 | x |
| Welding Shop Wages | 790 | X |
| Welding Shop Fringes | 175 | X |
| Machine Shop Wages | 461 | $x$ |
| Machine Shop Fringes | 102. | x |
| Body Shop Wages | 1,053 | $\underline{x}$ |
| Body Shop Fringes | 233 | $\dot{x}$ |
| Frame Shop Wages | 428 | $x$ |
| Frame Shop Fringes | 95 | X |
| Sheet Yetal Shop Wages | 461 | . $\quad \mathbf{x}$ |
| Shert Metal Shop Pringes | 102 | $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ |
| Upholstery Shop Wages | 428 | $\underset{x}{x}$ |
| Upholstery Shop Fringes | 95 | $\stackrel{\text { x }}{\times}$ |
| Systenis Shop Wages | 362 | X |
| Systems Shop Fringes | 60 | $x$ |
| Paint Shop Wages | 625 | X |
| Pain't Shop. Fringes | 138 | $\underline{x}$ |
| Sign Shop Wages | 165 | $\underline{x}$ |
| sign Shop Fílnges | 36 | $\boldsymbol{x}$ |
| Tool and Unit Rocm Wages | 132 | X |
| Tool and Unit Rocm Fringes | 29 | x |
| Servica Wages | 436 |  |
| Seivice Pringes | 108 |  |
| Central. Shop Supervision Wag | 8471 |  |
| Central Shop Superision Fringes 103 Central shop Adninistration |  |  |
|  |  |  |

. Wages . . 601
x
Maintenanca Ceneral Depaïtmeñt

| Instruction Wages | 580 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Instruction Fringes | 122 |
| Wages | 1,715 |
| Non-Labor Expenses | 371 |

Eguipment Enodneerina Department

| Nages | 618 |
| :---: | ---: |
| Non-Labor Expenses | 69. |

Facilities Maintersanco Departoment


Table 4
Bus Cosp afrocantion (onntinied)


Table 1
BUS COSF ATHOCREA (ocintinued)

|  | Estimated |  | urree A | located |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department Expense Item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EY } 84 \text { Cost } \\ & (\$ 000) \end{aligned}$ | Hours | Miles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak } \\ & \text { Buses } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prassent } \\ & \text { gers } \end{aligned}$ | Pixed | Varlable | If Vairlable How? |

## Agsilstant General Nanacer <br> for Equal OqPortunily



Cönträct Omoliance

| Wages | 71 |  | $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pringes | 1 |  | X |
| Materials and Supplies | 2 | : | $x$ |
| Miscella | 3 |  | X |

Emplopee Ephoation, Training
and Development:

| $\because$ Wages | 1,166 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fringes | 342 |
| Services | 33 |
| Materlals and Supplies | 5 |
| Miscelianeous Expenses | 11 |


| $\mathbf{x}$ |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{x}$ |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| $\mathbf{x}$ |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| $\mathbf{x}$ |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| $\mathbf{x}$ |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |

LER/ABE
Wages
Fringes
Minterials and Supplies
Misce! laneous Expenses
Ocatrollar-Treashuren-Aiditor
Wages
Fúnges
Services
Materials and Supplies
Miscellaneous Expenses
$\mathbf{X}$
$\mathbf{x}$
$\mathbf{x}$
$\mathbf{x}$
$\underset{\mathbf{x}}{ }$
$\ddot{\boldsymbol{x}}$
$x$
$\mathbf{x}$
$\mathbf{x}$

X
$x$
$X$
$X$
X
$\mathbf{x}$

Accounting and Plecal


By 632,083 Hours By 632,083 Hoúrs By $12,860,606$ Passengers By 12,860;606 Passengers

Wages
Eringes
Services
Materials and Supplies
Miscellaneous Expenses

## for Transit System Develgrnent

Wayes 26

Table 4
bus COST atyocartion (ountimped)

|  | Estimated | Rescruce Allocated to |  |  |  | placed | Variable | If Varlable flow? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Departinent and Expense Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { EY } 64 \text { cox } \\ \text { (\$000) } \end{gathered}$ | Houms | Miles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak } \\ & \text { Buaes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Passen- } \\ & \text { gers } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |



Bus. Facilities Engineering


Agistant Ceneral Maniger
tor. Management


Contract Procurement: \& Material


Trable 4
Bus CosT ariocamicn (contrived)

|  |  | Estimated | Rescurce Ailceated to |  |  |  | Fixaed | Variable | If Vartiole How? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department and Expense | :. | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 84 \text { cost } \\ (\$ 000) \end{gathered}$ | Hoxis | Miles | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak } \\ & \text { Buses } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { passen } \\ \text { gens } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |

## Pxint Shop


$x$
Directly by Passengers

Manigement and Bungett
Wages
Fringes
Services
Materials and Supplies
Miscellanecus Expenses
Labor Relations
Wages
Finges
Services
Materials and Suppiies
Miscellaneous Expenses
566
6
3
9
5

| $\dot{x}$ | $\dot{x}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\dot{x}$ | $\dot{x}$ |
| $\dot{x}$ | $\dot{x}$ |
| $\dot{x}$ | $\dot{x}$ |
| $\dot{x}$ | $\dot{x}$ |

$\mathbf{x}$
$\mathbf{x}$
$\bar{X}$
$\mathbf{x}$
$\mathbf{x}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{X} \\
& \mathbf{X} \\
& \mathbf{x} \\
& \mathbf{X} \\
& \mathbf{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Enplojee Retivities
Wages
Fringes
Services
naterials and Supplies
iscellaneois Expenses
12

Services
materials and Supplies

Hondepartmental mopenses

.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x} \\
& \mathbf{x} \\
& \mathbf{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{X} \\
& \mathbf{x} \\
& \mathbf{x} \\
& \mathbf{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

34 cost.

TABLE 5
COMPARISON OF COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN FY84 AND FŸ86, ADJUSTED FOR INFLATION

| COEFFICIENT | FY84 <br> CALIBRATION | ADJUSTED FOR <br> INFLATION | ADJUSTED FOR <br> REAL INCREASE | FY86 <br> CALIBRATION |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue Mi les | 1.063 | 1.1830 | 1.243 | $*$ |
| Total Miles1 | 0.932 | 1.037 | 1.089 | 0.97 |
| Revenue Hours | 24.39 | 27.14 | 28.52 | $*$ |
| Total Hours2 | 22.57 | 25.12 | 26.39 | 25.82 |
| Peak Buses | 55,969 | 62,285 | 65,441 | 68,088 |
| Passengers | 0.0744 | 0.0828 | 0.0870 | 0.1162 |

* In FY 1986, no values are projected for revenue miles and revenue hours.

1. Coefficients are factored by the ratio of revenue miles to total miles in FY 1984 ( $93,031,164 / 106,163,110$ ).
2. Coefficients are factored by the ratio of revenue hours to total hours in fंY 1984 ( $7,062,585 / 7,632,855$ ).
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### 1.1 BACKGROUND

Technical Memorandum 86.5.1 (November 1985) describes the bus operating cost-allocation model currently under development for the District by the GPC. Technical Memorandum 86.5.3 (December 1985) provides statistics on the model and provides details on the application of the model for shortrange service changes.

While the bus operating cost model is calibrated in a specific year of operation of the District, there is a procedure inherent to the model that allows it to be recalibrated internally to adjust for inflation and changes in service levels provided. Such recalibration requires the assumption that there are no signiflcant organizational changes made in the District, and that the number of operating divisions and maintenance operating divisions remain unchanged.

The bus operating cost model is designed to allow the District to compute the operating expenses for bus operation associated with elther increases or decreases in service. The model generates these estimates from projections of annual bus operating statistics based upon the quantity of service for the whole SCRTD system (1.e., a summation of the data for each specific route). The costs of individual lines, and service by periods within a line; can be estimated by using systemwide averages of productivity and costs of different elements of operation and maintenance activitles and staffing. As part of the procedure applled in these sensitivity tests, a spreadsheet has been built in Appleworks to compute the costs for any incremental change in service. Detalls of the use of these spreadsheets are provided within this document.

### 1.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE SENSITIVITY TESTS

The first sensitivity test was to perform an internal callbration of the FY 1984 coefficients to FY 1985 service levels and project FY 1985 expenditures. The alm of this test is to determine the ability of the model to respond to changes in District structure and service levels, and to provide guldance on the frequency with which full callbration to a new budget or financial statement will be needed. Having performed this update to FY 1985, a further update was undertaken to FY 1986, in order to compare the results with the FY 1986 full calibration. Because the period from FY 1984 to FY 1986 covers a number of organizational and service level changes, this was felt to be a quite stringent test of the robustness of the cost-allocation model.

The second sensitivity test was to determine the ability of the model to project costs for a package of service changes, and to determine whether or not it is necessary to undertake an internal recalibration of the model whenever such a package of changes is examined. The test was also intended to provide a comparison between the existing cost model used by the District and the results that can be expected from substituting the GPC Cost Model.

As part of the investigation of potential service cuts that the District might implement if UMTA operating assistance were cut under the FY 1986 federal budget, the Planning Department assembled a list of servlce cuts that were almed at saving a total of $\$ 10 \mathrm{milli}$ on per year in operating and maintenance costs. The estimation of the extent of the savings to be obtained was determined by using the current version of the Scatchard Model, reduced by a factor of 25 percent (to account for flxed overhead costs) and net of revenues.

Two sensitivity tests were designed to be executed with this procedure. First, it was desired to determine the results of a straightforward application of the GPC cost model. In this application, marginal costs would be estimated, and all step sizes would remain the same as in calibration. This estimation would provide a comparison of the GPC cost model with the Scatchard model and would also indicate what is involved in appilcation of the GPC model to small service changes. Second, it was desired to determine how much effect there would be on the estimated cost savings if the mode] is internally recallbrated before completing the cost estimation. This would provide an indication of the extent to which such recalibration may or may not be necessary for short-range application of the model to cost service changes.

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROCEDURES

### 2.1 INTERNAL RECALIBRATION OF THE COST MODEL

The procedure used to undertake the internal recalibration consists of several steps.
A. Determine the change in CPI from the original calibration year to the update year.
B. Determine the total budget from the original callbration year for each level-of-service varlable and for each type of varlation (fixed, direct, stepwise).
C. Apply a CPI adjustment to each budget value obtained in step B.
D. Divide each budget line by the update year's values of peak buses, vehicle miles, vehicle hours, and passenger boardings.

The result of step $D$ is to obtaln new coefflclents for each of the flxed, directly variable, and stepwise variable components of each level-ofservice allocation. In addition, by simply summing the escalated budget lines from Step $C$, the total systemwide budget for the year can be obtalned, as forecast by the model.

### 2.2 DIRECT MODEL APPLICATION WITHOUT RECALIBRATION

In this procedure, the GPC model is used in a falrly complex, sequential process. The service cuts constituted specification of a route number and the period of service to be cut, elther Sunday, Saturday, or weekday. In working through the list, a route for which Sunday service was cut early in the process might appear later with Saturday service to be cut, and later again with weekday service to be cut. Because the GPC cost model takes account of the true nature of District operations and permits cost savings only when whole staff positions can be saved, it is necessary to re-estimate savings with a sequential buildup of the amount of service on a line to be cut. In addition, further economies can be gained within an Operating Division when service on several routes is to be cut from a single Dperating Division, while yet other savings accrue on a systemwide basis, as service cuts are accumulated. The GPC cost model is not structured currently to allow such estimates to be made efficiently. As a result, the scale economies for Individual Operating Divisions and the entire system were estimated only at the completion of all cost estimates.

Three different spreadsheets were created to permit the cost estimates to be obtained. The first is designed for line use and requires entry of the line number, division number, any modification to the annualization factors coded into the spreadsheet, and entry of average figures for p.m. peak buses (weekday only), total vehicle hours, total vehiclemiles, passenger boardings, and revenue for any or all of an average weekday, an average Saturday, and an average Sunday. These lnputs are structured to be consistent with the Line Performance Trend Reports. The spreadsheet
then uses these Input data to compute the operating costs both gross and net of revenue. Costs are determined on an annual basis, and the spreadsheet also calculates annual values of each of the vehicle hours, vehicle miles, passenger boardings, and revenue.

The second spreadsheet contalns only those items identifled as "Step D." which are the stepwise costs incurred on a divisional basis. This spreadsheet operates in the same manner as the first one, except that totals of average dally values of peak buses, vehicle hours, vehlcle miles, passenger boardings, and revenue from all lines to be cut from or added to a single operating division are entered, instead of the values pertaining to a single route. The third spreadsheet contains all the Items not included in the Divisional spreadsheet, l.e., the Direct items and the "Step $S^{\prime}$ items. Inputs to this spreadsheet are the sum totals of all peak buses, vehicle hours, vehicle miles, passenger boardings, and revenue for all service to be cut or added, Districtwide. No deduction is made from these costs for revenue, this belng done at the Division level. Estlmates of total savings (or costs) are obtalned by adding together the results from all Division spreadsheets and the systemwide spreadsheets, and can be done for gross costs and for net costs (net of revenue).

### 2.3 MODEL APPLICATION WITH RECALIBRATION

The GPC model is based largely on stepwise variable elements and also contalns a provision to allow fully-allocated costs to be obtalned. The step sizes are determined by finding the average productivity of each line item in the budget. For example, there may be 4, 445 full-time equivalent (FTE) bus operators employed by the District, with the operation of $7,585,000$ total vehicle hours. This calculates out to an average of 1706 vehicle hours per FTE operator. Because the District can hire part-time operators, the smallest increment of a bus operator is one-half of the FTE productivity, or 853 vehicle hours. When service is cut, both the annual vehicle hours and the number of operators whll be cut, but with the result that the step size may change. This changed step size needs to be recalibrated into the model. In addition, if fully-allocated costs are beling used, the fixed costs are now being spread over a smaller base of buses, hours, miles, and passengers, so that the allocation to any line is larger. These changes in allocation represent an internal recalibration of the model which should be done whenever large changes are made in service levels, as well as when the model is to be applied to a different year from the orlginal calibration.

Correctly, the procedure entalls deducting the positions saved and other units saved from the original totals, as well as deducting the saved buses, hours, mlles, and passenger boardings. If a policy exists not to lay off some of the positions indicated ln the cost-savings calculation, then the amount of change will be considerably more dramatic. However, If adjustment is made for positions saved, it is expected that the internal recalibration will largely affect only the allocation of fixed costs.

## 3. ARPLICATION OF THE RROCEDURES

### 3.1 INTERNAL RECALIBRATION OF THE COST MODEL

The change in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) from the end of FY 1984 to the middle of FY 1986, based on Bureau of Labor Statistlcs monthly releases, was 4.6\%. Table 3-1 shows the FY 1984; FY 1985, and FY 1986 (projected) values of the level-of-serivice variables used in the cost model, and also shows the final audited values for FY 1984 (the calibration was done with actual data for the first three quarters of FY 1984 and projected data for the last quarter). It should be noted that it does not affect the recalibration whether vehicle hours and vehicle miles are expressed as revenue values, scheduled, total, or any other variant, as long as it is known which are used and that the model is applied always with values conslstent with the model calibration or recalibration.

TABLE 3-1
ACTUAL AND PROJECTED ANNUAL LEVELS OF SERVICE FOR FY 1984, FY 1985, AND FY 1986

| VARIABLE | FY 1984 <br> (CALIBRATED) | FY 1985 <br> (ACTUAL) | FY 1986 <br> (ESTIMATED) | FY 1984 <br> (AUDITED) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| P.M. Peak Buses | 2,063 | 2,009 | 1,987 | 1,992 |
| Vehlcle Hours | $7,152,000$ | $7,041,642$ | $7,585,000 *$ | $7,062,585$ |
| Vehicle Miles | $95,122,000$ | $91,959,736$ | $107,465,000 *$ | $93,031,164$ |
| Passengers | $465,400,000$ | $497,158,321$ | $424,400,000$ | $465,637,732$ |

* These are total miles and hours, whlle all other entrles are revenue mlles and hours.

Table 3-2 shows the budgets for FY 1984 for each service-level variable and for each type of variation. The Table also shows, for reference, the unit costs as derived for $F Y$ 1984. The CPI adjustment is then applied to determine the FY 1985 escalated budget, as shown, and the budget values are then divided by the FY 1985 service-level values to obtaln new unit costs. These latter two items are shown in Table 3-2 as the escalated budget and the recalibrated unit costs. The same two calculations are also shown for FY 1986, for which the CPI change from FY 1984 was determined to be 11.285 percent.

TABLE 3-2
COMPUTATION OF RECALIBRATED COSTS AND ESCALATED BUDGETS

| VARIABLE TYPE | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 1984 \\ \text { UNIT COST } \\ (\$) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY } 1984 \\ & \text { BUDGET } \\ & (\$ 000) \end{aligned}$ | FY 1985 BUDGET (\$000) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY } 1985 \\ & \text { UNIT COST } \\ & (\$) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY } 1986 \\ & \text { BUDGET } \\ & (\$ 000) \end{aligned}$ | FY 1986 U̇NIT COST <br> (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Direct | 79.01 | 163.0 | 170.3 | 82.57 | 181.4 | 87.93 |
| Fixed | 33,279.69 | 68,656.0 | 71,745.5 | 35,712.06 | 76,403.8 | 38,451.85 |
| Step | 22,497:33 | 46,412.0 | 48,500.5 | 24,141.63 | 51,649.6 | 25,993.76 |
| SUBTOTAL | 55,856.03 | 1.15,231.0 | 120,412.0 | 59,936.26 | 128,228.0 | 64,533.54 |
| Veh. Fixed | 0.59 | 4,250.0 | 4,441.3 | 0.63 | 4,729.6 | 0.62 |
| Step | 23.80 | 170,185.0 | 177,843.3 | 25.26 | 189,390.4 | 24.97 |
| SUBTOTAL | 24.39 | 174,435.0 | 182,285.0 | 25.89 | 194,120.0 | 25.59 |
| Veh. Direct | 0.57 | 54,491.0 | 56,943.1 | 0.60 | 60,640.3 | 0.64 |
| Step | 0.49 | 46,644.0 | 48,743.0 | 0.53 | 51,907.8 | 0.48 |
| SUBTOTAL | 1.06 | 101,135.0 | 103,785.0 | 1.13 | 120,415.0 | 1.12 |
| Pass. Direct | 0.0011 | 490.0 | 512.1 | 0.0011 | 545.3 | 0.0012 |
| Flxed | 0.01 | 4,078.0 | 4,261.5 | 0.0086 | 4,538.2 | 0.0107 |
| Step | 0.06 | 30,065.0 | 31,41.7.9 | 0.06 | 33,457.8 | 0.0788 |
| SUBTOTAL | 0.0711 | 34,633.0 | 36,245.0 | 0.0729 | 38,493.0 | 0.0907 |
| TOTAL COST/BUDGE | \$425,434,000 |  | \$442,727,000 |  | \$481,256,000 |  |

As can be seen from Table 3-2, the result of the test is that the model projects a total expenditure of $\$ 442,727,000$ for FY 1985. The actual audited expenditures on operatling budget for FY 1985 were $\$ 439,903,899$. The difference (overestimate) of $\$ 2,823,101$ represents 0.64 percent of the FY 1985 actual expenditures. The same process for the FY 1986
estimated budget, using the FY 1986 estimated service level data emploÿed earller to recalibrate the model, provides budget lines and coefficients that generate a total forecast budget of $\$ 481,256,000$. This compares to the District budget of $\$ 484,174,000$. In this case, the difference (underestimate) is $\$ 2,918,000$ and represents 0.60 percent of the District budget for FY 1986.

Table 3-3 summarlzes the final coefficients from Table 3-2 for the four service-level variables. There is some shifting of cost between the service-level variables resulting from some lnternal reorganization of the District between FY 1984 and FY 1986. As a result, the match between the internally recalibrated figures and the actual calibration of FY 1986 is not as close as the overall budget projections would indicate. However, the results are encouraging in terms of the robustness of the model and the ability of the internal recalibration to produce sensible results.

TABLE 3-3
SUMMARY OF FINAL COEFFICIENTS FROM INTERNAL RECALIBRATION AND FULL CALIBRATION OF FY 1986

| VARIABLE | COEFEICIENT/UNIT CQST (\$) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 1984 \\ \text { Callbrated } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 1985 \\ \text { Internally } \\ \text { Recalibrated } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 1986 \\ \text { Internally } \\ \text { Recalibrated } \end{gathered}$ | FY 1986 Calibrated |
| Peak Buses | 55,969 | 59,936 | 64,534 | 68,088 |
| Vehicle Hours | 24.390 | 25.887 | 25.593 | 25.82 |
| Vehicle Miles | 1.063 | 1.129 | 1.121 | 0.97 |
| Passengers | 0.0744 | 0.0729 | 0.0907 | 0.1162 |

### 3.2 DIRECT MODEL APPLICATION WITHOUT RECALIBRATION

A number of servilce cuts were identifled by District Planning Staff, intended to total to a package of $\$ 10,000,000$ in operating cost cuts for a full year, based on FY 1986 costs. These service cuts were costed originally using a version of the Scatchard model that has been adjusted to approximate marginal costs. Figure 3-1 shows an example of the spreadsheet used to compute a cost for a period of service on a line, using the FY 1986 calibrated model (from Technical Memorandum 86.5.1). Table 3-4 summarizes the calculations for each line or period on a line
and compares these with the Planning Department cost estimates. It must be emphasized that the costs in Table 3-4 do not reflect the potential savings withln a division or across the entlre District that would be achieved as the service cuts are summed together. The total effects are summarized at the end of the Table.

From these data, it is clear that the GPC Bus Operating Cost Model differs from the existing SCRTD cost model in that the full set of proposed service reductions are costed out at $\$ 7,913,600$ instead of the $\$ 10,407,000$ derived from the current SCRTD model. The GPC model estimate is approximately 24 percent lower than the Scatchard model. It is also clear from the table that there are significant intra-Division and Systemwide economies possible in a package of service changes of this slze, glven that the difference between the line-by-line costs and the division/systemwide costs is about $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{million}$ in a set of service changes initially costed at $\$ 6.4 \mathrm{milli}$. . Gross costs of these service changes are $\$ 10,412,900$, with revenues of $\$ 2,499,200$.

The second notable element of the GPC Cost Model is shown in Table 3-5. This Table shows the sources of the costs identified in Table 3-4 and indicates the amount of cost attributable to each element. Of the gross costs for the service changes listed in these tables, approximately $\$ 2.8$ million is derived from materials savings, such as fuel and parts, and from savings on property damage and public liabillty. These savings would be achieved without any other action on the part of the District than cutting the service. All of the remaining $\$ 7.6 \mathrm{milli}$ in in costs are from labor positions or labor-related costs. For example, $\$ 6.1$ million in savings will accrue from the 144 operators used to operate the services in Table 3-4. However, if these operators are not laid off, only a small fraction of the savings will occur from reductions in hours pald.

### 3.3 MODEL APPLICATION WITH RECALIBRATION

To determine the effects of internal recalibration of the model for a significant set of service changes, the changes used in the preceding section were re-estimated using a single recalibration for the entire package. Clearly, the prlmary effects of internal recalibration will be on the fixed costs, which were not included in the estimation of the service-change costs in Section 3.2. However, some changes may occur in step sizes as a result of the service changes. These can be identified readly by using Table $3-5$, which indicates those line items that are changed and effectively identifies all unchanged labor categories.

The internal recalibration undertaken was done by recomputing each stepwise line item in the spreadsheets, determining the change in FY 1986 cost, the change in the base, and the change in the number of positions. These produced a new estimated step slze for all steps. Using these new step sizes in the calculations for the division and systemwide costs of the service changes produced a revilsed estimate of net costs of $\$ 8,061,900$, an increase of $\$ 148,300$, or 2 percent of the original cost estimate. Based on this, it appears that internal recalibration of the model is unnecessary, at least for service changes on the order of 50 peak buses, and $\$ 10$ million in gross costs.

SUMMARY OF COSTS FOR SPECIFIC LINE AND PERIOD SERVICE CUTS, BASED ON THE FY 1986 GPC OPERATING COST MODEL

| Line No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Div. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Service Day | GPC Operating Cost | Cumulative GPC Cost | Scatchard <br> Op. Cost | Cumulative Scatchard Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 203 | 3 | Weekday | \$48,400 | \$48,400 | \$98,000 | \$98,000 |
| 203 | 3 | Saturday | 1,000 | 49,400 | 19,000 | 117,000 |
| 203 | 3 | Sunday | 1,100 | 50,500 | 21,000 | 138,000 |
| 203 | 3 | All | 94,100 | 94,100 | 138,000 | 138,000 |
| 225/226 | 18 | Saturday | 134,400 | 228,500 | 233,000 | 371,000 |
| 208 | 3 | Sunday | 25,700 | 254,200 | 34,000 | 405,000 |
| 175 | 3 | Saturday | 24,200 | 278,400 | 55,000 | 460,000 |
| 175 | 3 | Sunday | 800 | 279,200 | 30,000 | 490,000 |
| 175 | 3 | Sat/Sun | 46,000 | 300,200 | 85,000 | 490,000 |
| 208 | 3 | Saturday | 23,000 | 323,200 | 29,000 | 519,000 |
| 192/194 | 16 | Weekday | 427,700 | 750,900 | 636,000 | 1,155,000 |
| 250/253 | 10 | Sunday | 21,600 | 772,500 | 52,000 | 1,207,000 |
| 430 | 6 | Weekday | 44;400 | 816,900 | 83,000 | 1,290,000 |
| 130 | 12 | Sunday | 64,300 | 881,200 | 151,000 | 1,441,000 |
| 259 | 9 | Sunday | 42,100 | 923,300 | 103,000 | 1,544,000 |
| 236 | 8 | Sunday | 21,600 | 944,900 | 63,000 | 1,607,000 |
| 161 | 8 | Weekday | 181,600 | 1,126,500 | 333,000 | 1,940,000 |
| 205 | 12 | Saturday | 56,200 | 1,182,700 | 130,000 | 2,070,000 |
| 205 | 12 | Sunday | 14,500 | 1,197,200 | 52,000 | 2,122,000 |
| 205 | 12 | Sat/Sun | 70,700 | 1,197,200 | 182,000 | 2,122,000 |
| 220 | 7 | Sunday | 40,800 | 1,238,000 | 91,000 | 2,213,000 |
| 487/491 | 9 | Saturday | 85,800 | 1,323,800 | 168,000 | 2,381,000 |
| 487/491 | 9 | Sunday | 40,100 | 1,363,900 | 115,000 | 2,496,000 |
| 487/491 | 9 | Sat/Sun | 132,700 | 1,370,700 | 283,000 | 2,496,000 |
| 166/168 | 8 | Sunday | 42,300 | 1,413,000 | 129,000 | 2,625,000 |
| 208 | 3 | Weekday | 190,300 | 1,603,300 | 137,000 | 2,762,000 |
| 208 | 3 | All. | 242,400 | 1,606,700 | 200,000 | 2,762,000 |
| 462 | 1 | Sunday | 41,400 | 1,648,100 | 92,000 | 2,854,000 |
| 236 | 8 | Saturday | 41,900 | 1,690,000 | 103,000 | 2,957,000 |
| 236 | 8 | Sat/Sun | 84,500 | 1,711,000 | 166,000 | 2,957,000 |
| 293 | 16 | Weekday | 454,100 | 2,165,100 | 255,000 | 3,212,000 |
| 262 | 9 | Sunday | 38,800 | 2,203,900 | 114,000 | 3,326,000 |
| 225/226 | 18 | Weekday | 769,700 | 2,973,600 | 1,126,000 | 4,452,000 |
| 225/226 | 18 | All | 968,400 | 3,037,900 | 1,359,000 | 4,452,000 |
| 268 | 3 | Sunday | 39,900 | 3,077,800 | 97,000 | 4,549,000 |
| 130 | 12 | Saturday | 59,300 | 3,137,100 | 130,000 | 4,679,000 |
| 130 | 12 | Sat/Sun | 148,400 | 3,161,900 | 281,000 | 4,679,000 |
| 250/253 | 10 | Saturday | 36,900 | 3,198,800 | 82,000 | 4,761,000 |
| 250/253 | 10 | Sat/Sun | 79,600 | 3,219,900 | 134,000 | 4,761,000 |
| 271 | 12 | Weekday | 164,900 | 3,384,800 | 299,000 | 5,060,000 |

TABLE 3-4 (Continued)
SUMMARY OF COSTS FOR SPECIFIC LINE AND PERIOD SERVICE CUTS; BASED ON THE FY 1986 GPC OPERATING COST MODEL

| Line No. | Dlv. No. | Service Day | GPC | Operating Cost | Cumulatlve GPC Cost | Scatchard <br> Op. Cost | Cumulatlve Scatchard Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 147 | 12 | Saturday |  | \$16,800 | \$3,401,600 | \$44,000 | \$5,104,000 |
| 147 | 12 | Sunday |  | (4,900) | 3,396,700 | 23,000 | 5,127,000 |
| 147 | 12 | Sat/Sun |  | 33,000 | 3,417,800 | 67,000 | 5,127,000 |
| 488 | 9 | Sunday |  | 18,000 | 3,435,800 | 54,000 | 5,181,000 |
| 274/276 | 9 | Weekday |  | 495,200 | 3,931,000 | 767,000 | 5,948,000 |
| 42 | 18 | Sunday |  | 99,600 | 4,030,600 | 203,000 | 6,151,000 |
| 259 | 9 | Saturday |  | 37,100 | 4,067,700 | 88,000 | 6,239,000 |
| 259 | 9 | Sat/Sun |  | 100,200 | 4,088,700 | 191,000 | 6,239,000 |
| 434 | 6 | Sunday |  | 83,200 | 4,171,900 | 198,000 | 6,437,000 |
| 220 | 7 | Saturday |  | 36,500 | 4,208,400 | 77,000 | 6,514,000 |
| 220 | 7 | Sat/Sun |  | 77,300 | 4,208,400 | 168,000 | 6,514,000 |
| 482 | 16 | Sunday |  | 38,200 | 4,246,600 | 123,000 | 6,637,000 |
| 267 | 9 | Sunday |  | 17,500 | 4,264,100 | 63,000 | 6,700,000 |
| 209 | 5 | Sunday |  | 54,200 | 4,318,300 | 110,000 | 6,810,000 |
| 493 | 9 | Sunday |  | 17,900 | 4,336,200 | 36,000 | 6,846,000 |
| 215 | 18 | Saturday |  | 15,900 | 4,352,100 | 57,000 | 6,903,000 |
| 262 | 9 | Saturday |  | 54,800 | 4,406,900 | 100,000 | 7,003,000 |
| 262 | 9 | Sat/Sun |  | 118,400 | 4,431,700 | 214,000 | 7,003,000 |
| 434 | 6 | Saturday |  | 79,500 | 4,511,200 | 174,000 | 7,177,000 |
| 434 | 6 | Sat/Sun |  | 180,700 | 4,529,200 | 372,000 | 7,177,000 |
| 158 | 15 | Sunday |  | 17,200 | 4,546,400 | 71,000 | 7,248,000 |
| 154 | 8 | Sunday |  | 36,200 | 4,582,600 | 86,000 | 7,334,000 |
| 183 | 15 | Sunday |  | 35,400 | 4,618,000 | 68,000 | 7,402,000 |
| 169 | 15 | Saturday |  | 35,400 | 4,653,400 | 100,000 | 7,502,000 |
| 423 | 8 | Weekday |  | 88,000 | 4,741,400 | 223,000 | 7,725,000 |
| 119/126 | 18 | Saturday |  | 52,100 | 4,793,500 | 108,000 | 7,833,000 |
| 438 | 18 | Weekday |  | 96,300 | 4,889,800 | 197,000 | 8,030,000 |
| 265/275 | 12 | Weekday |  | 374,400 | 5,264,200 | 588,000 | 8,618,000 |
| 211 | 5 | Saturday |  | 14,100 | 5,278,300 | 56,000 | 8,674,000 |
| 434 | 6 | Weekday |  | 638,400 | 5,916,700 | 993,000 | 9,667,000 |
| 434 | 6 | All |  | 883,600 | 5,981,200 | 1,365,000 | 9,667,000 |
| 256 | 10 | Sunday |  | 31,400 | 6,012,600 | 95,000 | 9,762,000 |
| 103 | 5 | Sunday |  | 14,900 | 6,027,500 | 44,000 | 9,806,000 |
| 255 | 10 | Sunday |  | 32,200 | 6,059,700 | 66,000 | 9,872,000 |
| 576 | 10 | Weẹkday |  | 361,500 | 6,421,200 | 535,000 | 10,407,000 |

TABLE 3-4 (Contlnued)
SUMMARY OF COSTS FOR SPECIFIC LINE AND PERIOD SERVICE CUTS, BASED ON THE FY 1986 GPC OPERATING COST MODEL

| Line No. | Div. No. | Service Day |  | Operating Cost | Cumulatlve GPC Cost | Scatchard <br> Op. Cost | Cumulative Scatchard Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Systemwide Costs |  |  | \$3,423,100 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Divisi | Costs: |  |  |
| Divis | on 1 |  |  | 25,100 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  | 349,800 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  | 69,400 |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  | 479,100 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  | 48,200 |  |  |  |
|  | 8 |  |  | 333,800 |  |  |  |
|  | 9 |  |  | 685,500 |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  | 319,200 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  | 603,700 |  |  |  |
|  | 15 |  |  | 62,000 |  |  |  |
|  | 16 |  |  | 687,500 |  |  |  |
|  | 18 |  |  | 827,200 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL (Systemwlde and Division) |  |  |  |  | 7,913,600 |  |  |

SOURCES OF COSTS FOR THE SERV̇ICE CHÄNGES IN TȦBLE 3-4

| SOURCE LEVEL | DEPARTMENT | ITEM QUANTITY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Systemwide | Facilities Maintenance | Supplies -- Radios 52 | \$3,926 |
|  | Scheduling | Schedule Checkers , 1 | 42,645 |
|  | Facilities Maintenance | Electronics Maint. | 39,928 |
|  | Maintenance Oper. Div. | Non-Revenue Maint. 1 | 34,400 |
|  | Maintenance Oper. Div. | Parts, Lubricants - 1 | 1,030,705 |
|  | Non-Departmental Exp. | Fuel and Taxes - 1 | 1,177,723 |
|  | Non-Departmental Exp. | Workmen's Comp. Maint 62 | 229,999 |
|  | Non-Departmental Exp. | Exp. \& Provisions PD 40** | 122,200 |
|  | Central Maintenance | Running Repair Mech. 1 | 40,179 |
|  | Central Maintenance | Mechanical Maint.Mech. 1 | 40,189 |
|  | Central Maintenance | Electrical Maint. Mech. 1 | 40,206 |
|  | Central Maintenance | Body Shop Mechanic 1 | 40,187 |
|  | Central Maintenance | Transmission Mechanic 1 | 40,200 |
|  | Central Maintenance | Engine Line Mechanic 1 | 39,983 |
|  | Print Shop | Timetable Printing | 5,769 |
|  | Non-Departmental Exp. | Expenses for PL 31** | 16,239 |
|  | Non-Departmental Exp. | Provisions for PL 31** | 448,904 |
|  | Customer Relations | Telephone Clerks 1 | 29,678 |
| Division 1 | Transp. Oper. Division | Operators 1* | 38,766 |
|  | Non-Depart. Expenses | Workmen's Comp. Oper. 1* | 3,363 |
| Division 3 | Transp. Oper. Division | Operators 9* | 348,897 |
|  | Non-Departmental Exp. | Workmen's Comp. Oper. 9* | 30,263 |
|  | Maint. Oper. Division | Running Repairs Mech. 1 | 40,186 |
| Division 5 | Transp. Oper. Division | Operators 3* | 116,299 |
|  | Non-Depart. Expenses | Workmen's Comp. Oper. 3* | 10,088 |
| Division 6 | Maint. Oper. Division | Service Workers 1 | 31,945 |
|  | Transp. Oper. Division | Operators 18* | 697,794 |
|  | Non-Departmental Exp. | Workmen's Comp. Oper. 18* | 60,525 |
|  | Maint. Oper. Division | Running Repairs Mech. 3 | 120,559 |
| Division 7 | Transp. Oper, Division | Operators 2* | 77,533 |
|  | Non-Depart. Expenses | Workmen's Comp. Oper. 2* | 6,725 |
| Division 8 | Transp. Oper. Dioulsion | Operators 11* | 426,430 |
|  | Non-Departmental Exp. | Workmen's Comp. Oper. 11* | 36,988 |
|  | Maint. Oper. Division | Running Repairs Mech. 2 | 80,373 |

* Full-Time Equivalent positions
** Number of accidents involving property damage (average)

TABLE 3-5 (Continued)
SOURCES OF COSTS FOR THE SERVICE CHANGES IN TABLE 3-4

| SOURCE LEVEL | DEPARTMENT | ITEM | QUANTITY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALLOCATE } \\ & \text { COST } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Division 9 | Transp. Oper. Division | Operators | 22.5* | \$872,243 |
|  | Ṅon-Departmental Exp. | Workmen's Comp. Oper, | 22.5* | 75,657 |
|  | Maint. Oper. Division | Running Repairs Mech |  | 120,559 |
| Division 10 | Malnt. Oper. Division | Service Workers | 1 | 31,945 |
|  | Transp. Oper. Division | Operators | 12* | 465,196 |
|  | Non-Departmental Exp. | Workmen's Comp. Oper | . 12* | 40,350 |
|  | Maint. Oper. Division | Running Repairs Mech |  | 40,186 |
| Division 12 | Maint. Oper. Division | Service Workers | 1 | 31,945 |
|  | Transp. Oper. Division | Operators | 19.5* | 755,944 |
|  | Non-Departmental Exp. | Workmen's Comp. Oper | . 19.5* | 65,569 |
|  | Maint. Oper. Division | Running Repairs Mech. | - 3 | 120,559 |
| Division 15 | Transp. Oper. Division | Operators | 3* | 116,299 |
|  | Non-Depart. Expenses | Workmen's Comp. Oper | - 3* | 10,088 |
| Division 16 | Maint. Oper. Division | Service Workers | 1 | 31,945 |
|  | Transp. Oper. Division | Operators | 18* | 697,79.4 |
|  | Non-Departmental Exp. | Workmen's Comp. Oper | . 18* | 60,525 |
|  | Maint. Oper. Division | Running Repairs Mech | . 2 | 80,373 |
| Division 18 | Maint. Oper. Division | Service Workers | 1 | 31,945 |
|  | Transp. Oper. Division | Operators | 25* | 969,159 |
|  | Non-Departmental Exp. | Workmen's Comp. Oper. | . $25 *$ | 84,063 |
|  | Maint. Oper. Division | Running Repairs Mech. | . 4 | 160,745 |

[^0]
### 4.1 APPLEWORKS SPREADSHEETS

Appendix A shows three sample spreadsheets, one for a line and period, one for a division, and one for the system. These spreadsheets are currently being run on Apple. //e computers under Appleworks, but an integrated database and spreadsheet system is under development in LOTUS 1-2-3 for use on IBM-PCs. The mechanics of the spreadsheets are ilttle different. In the Appleworks version, the user follows the steps at the top of the sheet, entering only the line and division identiflers, as appropriate; adjustlng the annual expansion factors, if necessary; and entering average data for the day or days defined for costling (l.e., an average weekday, an average Saturday, or an average Sunday). Recalculation of the spreadsheet is set to be done manually, not automatically, so the user must then request recalculation of the sheet, which produces the estimates of the Total Marginal Costs and the Net Total Marginal Costs.

If costs are desired for different periods of operation of the same line, service data can be entered for all periods of interest and the calculations controlled by means of the Period Designator (Step 1). The only exception to this is for a case where first one period, then two periods, and finally three periods are to be costed. In this case, it is necessary to walt untll after the two periods have been rung, before entering the data for the third period.

The spreadsheet calculates the annual service statistics from the daily ones provided by the user and also sums the total across periods, if more than one period of data is specified. Keyed to the Period Designator, the appropriate annual service statistic is then displayed before each of the four groups of cost calculations, i.e., buses, hours, miles, and passengers. The number of steps is then calculated for each stepwise line item and the costs are computed. These are summed and entered into the sumnary table, where the total costs are determined.

After completing all cost estimates by line and period, the data should be entered into the division spreadsheets for as many divislons as necessary. The division spreadsheets compute only the component of cost that is incurred within a division. To complete the estimation, even If only one division is involved, total service-level statistics should be entered into the systemwide spreadsheet, where the remaining variable costs are estimated at a systemwide level. The systemwide spreadsheet also permits the user to estimate both marginal and fully-allocated costs by toggling the "Fully-alloc." switch between 1 and 0.

### 4.2 LOTUS 1-2-3 SPREADSHEETS

At the time of preparation of this Technical Memorandum, an enhanced spreadsheet and database procedure is under development. This procedure will use a data base developed from a malnframe flle containing all scheduled trips by all routes and from all divisions, together with the most recent available data on revenue and passenger boardings. The spreadsheet-database system, which runs on an IBM or compatible personal computer in LOTUS 1-2-3, is designed so that the user is asked to indicate only the line and period for which costs are to be estimated. After the first line and period is entered, the program asks the user if more lines or periods are to be costed. As each additional line or period is entered, data are accumulated by division and for the system. At any time that the user wishes to do so, estimates can be obtained of the total costs, for all lines and periods entered so far, including divisional and systemwide economies.

A Users Manual and description of the procedures will be prepared once the procedures are complete, together with a Technic̣al Memorandum descrlbing the methods to update the data bases.

## APPENDIX A

SAMPLE SPREADSHEETS FOR LINE, DIVISION, AND SYSTEMWIDE COSTS

STED 1: Rnter period for computation of line costs
Meekday $=1$, Saturday $=2$, Sunday $=3$, Total $=4$
NOTE: If a combination of ANY TWO periods is desired, enter 4 for period. Then enter RAILY STATISTICS for desired TWO periods in Step 4
Enter Line Number and Division Number
TrEP 3: Are the number of days in the year correct for this line? If NO, change entries in in "Numbers of Days' cells
STEP 4: Enter DAILY STATISTICS for the periods to be used in calculating line costs


| Peak Buses | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Hrs . | 24.0 | 6,120 | 17.0 | 884 | 17.0 | 986 | 7,990 |
| Vehicle Mls. | 483 | 123,165 | 216 | 11,232 | 241 | 13,978 | 148,375 |
| Passengers | 358 | 91,290 | 235 | 12,220 | 146 | 8,468 | 111,978 |
| Passenger Revenue | \$104 | \$26,520 | \$73 | \$3,7\% | \$47 | \$2,726 | \$33,042 |
| COST Calcula | S SOUR | CE - LINE | 208 |  | UISION | 3 |  |


| Type | FY 1986 Cost | FY 1986 Base | $\begin{gathered} \text { Step } \\ \text { Basis } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Step } \\ & \text { Size } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { Steps } \end{aligned}$ | Step Cost | Annual Cost | Department | Item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | \$150,000 | 1987 | 1987 | 1.0 | 1 | \$75 | \$75 | Facilities Maint. | Supplies -- Radio |
| Step D | \$10,944,000 | 1987 | 343 | 5.8 | 0 | \$31,907 | \$0 | Maint. Oper. Dlv. | Servicing Mechanics |
| Step S | \$2,690,000 | 1987 | 63 | 31.5 | 0 | \$42,698 | \$0 | Schectuling | Schedul ing Checkers |
| Step D | \$1,913,000 | 1987 | 60 | 33.1 | 0 | \$31,883 | \$0 | Haint. Oper. Div. | Servicing Deep Clean Wk |
| Step D | \$2,412,000 | 1987 | 60 | 33.1 | 0 | \$40,200 | \$0 | Maint. Oper. Div. | Wheelchair Maint. Mecha |
| Step S | \$1,688,000 | 1987 | 42 | 47.3 | 0 | \$40,190 | \$0 | Pacilities Majnt. | Electronic Maint. Mecha |
| Step D | \$1,326,000 | 1987 | 33 | 60.2 | 0 | \$40,182 | \$0 | Maint. Oper. Div. | Farebox Maint. Mechanic |
| Step D | \$723,000 | 1987 | 18 | 110.4 | 0 | \$40,167 | $\$ 0$ | Malnt. Oper : Div. | Special Projects Hechan |
| Step S | \$544,000 | 1987 | 17 | 116.9 | 0 | \$32,000 | $\$ 0$ | Central Haint. | Service Yorkers |
| Step S | \$3,861,000 | 1987 | 14 | 141.9 | 0 | \$275,786 | $\$ 0$ | Facillities Maint. | Blectrical, Property, S |
| Step S | \$702,000 | 1987 | 14 | 141.9 | 0 | \$50,143 | \$0 | Maintenance Gen. | Haintenance Instructors |
| Step D | 39,742,000 | 1987 | 13 | 152.8 | 0 | \$749,385 | \$0 | Transp. Oper. Div. | All except Operators |
| Step S | \$573,000 | 1987 | 13 | 152.8 | 0 | \$44,077 | \$0 | Transp. Services | Radio Dispatchers |
| Step D | 31,258,000 | 1987 | 13 | 152.8 | 0 | \$96,769 | \$0 | Purchasing | Bivision Storekeepers |
| Step D | 39,476,000 | 1987 | 13 | 152.8 | 0 | \$728,923 | $\$ 0$ | Maint. Oper. Div. | Misc., Supp., Admin.,Su |
| Step S | \$574,000 | 1987 | 12 | 165.6 | 0 | \$47,833 | \$0 | Central Maint. | Central Shop Supervisio |
| Total | \$48,576,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$75 |  |  |


| Hours | 7,990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | FY 1986 Cost | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY } 1986 \\ & \text { Base } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Step } \\ & \text { Size } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Step } \\ & \text { Size } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { Steps } \end{aligned}$ | Step Cost | Annual Cost |  |
| Step D | \$172,358,000 | 7585000 | 8890 | 853 | 9 | \$19,388 | \$174,491 | Transp. Oper. Div. Operators |
| Step D | \$14,950,000 | 7585000 | 8890 | 853 | 9 | \$1,682 | \$15,135 | Non-Dept. Expenses Vorkmen's Comp,--Operat |
| Step S | \$1,204,000 | 7585000 | 35 | 216714 | 0 | \$34,400 | \$0 | Maint. Oper. Dlv. Non-Revenue Maintenance |
| Step S | \$967,000 | 7585000 | 23 | 329783 | 0 | \$42,043 | \$0 | Scheduling Schedule Makers |
| Step S | \$792,000 | 7585000 | 18 | 421389 | 0 | \$44,000 | \$0 | Transp. Services Street Supervisors |
| Step S | \$586,000 | 7585000 | 17 | 446176 | 0 | \$34,471 | \$0 | Transit Police Transp. Service Inspec. |
| Step S | \$708,000 | 7585000 | 16 | 474062 | 0 | \$44,250 | \$0 | Transp. Instruct. Operator Training |
| Step S | \$373,000 | 7585000 | 12 | 632083 | 0 | \$31,083 | \$0 | Account. \& Piscal Payroll Clerks |
| Total | \$191,938,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$189,626 |  |

Miles 148,375

|  | FY 1986 | FY 1986 | Step | Step | No. of | Step | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Cost | Base | Size | Size | Steps | Cost | Cost |


| Direct | \$25,421,000 107465000 | 107465000 | 1 | 148375 | \$.2366 | \$35,098 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | \$29,047,000 107465000 | 107465000 | 1 | 148375 | \$.2703 | \$40,105 |
| Step S | \$5,750,000 107465000 | 1550 | 69332 | 2 | \$3,710 | \$7,419 |
| Step S | \$3,055,000 107465000 | 1000 | 107465 | 1 | \$3,055 | \$3,055 |
| Step D | \$24,152,000 107465000 | 601 | 178810 | 0 | \$40,186 | \$0 |
| Step D | \$2,009,000 107465000 | 50 | 2149300 | 0 | \$40,180 | 30 |
| Step S | \$1,567,000 107465000 | 39 | 2755513 | 0 | \$40,179 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$1,487,000 107465000 | 37 | 2904459 | 0 | \$40,189 | 50 |
| Step S | \$1,367,000 107465000 | 34 | 3160735 | 0 | \$40,206 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$1,286,000 107465000 | 32 | 3358281 | 0 | \$40,188 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$1,206,000 107465000 | 30 | 3582167 | 0 | \$40,200 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$1,085,000 107465000 | 27 | 3980185 | 0 | \$40,185 | $\$ 0$ |
| Step S | \$965,000 107465000 | 24 | 4477708 | 0 | \$40,208 | $\$ 0$ |
| Step S | \$763,000 107455000 | 19 | 5656053 | 0 | \$40,158 | $\$ 0$ |
| Step S | \$763,000 107465000 | 19 | 5656053 | 0 | \$40,158 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$563,000 107465000 | 14 | 7676071 | 0 | \$40,214 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$563,000 107465000 | 14 | 7676071 | 0 | \$40,214 | 30 |
| Step S | \$523,000 107465000 | 13 | 8266538 | 0 | \$40,231 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$523,000 107465000 | 13 | 8266538 | 0 | \$40,231 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$442,000 107465000 | 11 | 9769545 | 0 | \$40,182 | 50 |
| Step S | \$321,000 107465000 | 8 | 13433125 | 0 | \$40,125 | $\$ 0$ |
| Step S | \$321,000 107465000 | 8 | 13433125 | 0 | \$40,125 | \$0 |
| Step D | \$241,000 107465000 | 6 | 17910833 | 0 | \$40,167 | $\$ 0$ |
| Step S | \$201,000 107465000 | 5 | 21493000 | 0 | \$40,200 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$161,000 107465000 | 4 | 26866250 | 0 | \$40,250 | \$0 |
| Total | \$103,782,000 |  |  |  |  | \$85,677 |

Haint. Oper. Div. Parts, lubricants, etc. Non-Dept. Expenses Puel and Taxes on Puel Non-Dept. Expenses Yorkmen's Comp.
Non-Dept. Expenses Expen, and Prov, for PD Maint. Oper. Div. Running Repair Mechanic Haint. Oper. Div. Inspectors
Central Maint. Running Repair Mechanic Central Maint. Mechanical Maint. Yorke Central Maint. Electrical Maint. Worke Central Maint. Body Shop Mechanics Central Maint. Transnission Mechanics Central Maint. Englne Line Mechanics Central Haint. Welding Mechanics Central Halnt. Cylinder Head Mechanics Central Maint. Paint Shop Workers Central Maint. Machine Shop Mechanics Centra! Maint. Sheet Metal Shop Mechan Central Maint. Frame Shop Mechanics Central Maint. Upholstery Morkers Central Malnt. Systems Shop Mechanics Central Maint. Engine Parts Crib Mecha Central Maint. Engine Teardoun Mechani Malnt. Oper. Div. Road Pallure Mechanics Central Malnt. Sign Shop Mechanics Central Maint. Tool \& Unit Roon Mechan
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|  | FY 1986 | FY 1986 | Step | Step | Ño. of | Step | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Cost | Base | Size | Size | Steps | Cost | Cost |


| Direct | \$476,000 | 424400000 | 424400000 | 1 | 111978 | \$0 | \$126 | Print Shop Timetables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step S | \$1,362,000 | 424400000 | 2600 | 163231 | 0 | \$524 | \$0 | Non-Dept. Expenses Expenses for PL |
| Step S | \$37,650,000 | 424400000 | 2600 | 163231 | 0 | \$14,481 | $\$ 0$ | Non-Dept. Expenses Provisions for Unins. P |
| Step S | \$2,671,000 | 424400000 | 90 | 4715556 | 0 | \$29,678 | \$0 | Customer Relations Telephone Clerks |
| Step S | \$1,172,000 | 424400000 | 34 | 12482353 | 0 | \$34,471 | \$0 | Trangit Police Police--Passenger Secur |
| Step S | 11,065,000 | 424400000 | 33 | 12860606 | 0 | \$32,273 | \$0 | Account. \& Piscal Cash Clerks |
| Step S | \$881,000 | 424400000 | 22 | 19290909 | 0 | \$30,955 | \$0 | Marketing and Coum.Ticket Clerks |
| Total | \$45,077,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$126 |  |

SIMARPY COST TABLE
Source Value
Peak Buses
Vehicle Hours $\$ 189,626$
Vehicle Miles . $\$ 85,677$
Passenger Boardings ..... $\$ 126$
TUTAL MARGIMAL COSTS ..... \$275,504

| Passenger Revenue | $\$ 93,042$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| NET TOTAL MARGINAL COSTS | $\$ 242,462$ |

1: Enter period for computation of line costs
Weekday $=1$, Saturday $=2$, Sunday $=3$, Total $=4$
NOTE: If a combination of AKY THO periods is desired, enter 4 for period.
Then enter DAILY STATISTICS for desired TWO perlods in Step 4
STEP 2: Enter Line Number and Division Number
STEP 3: Are the number of days in the year correct for this line?
If No, change entries in in 'Numbers of Days' cells
STEP 4: Enter DAILY STATISTICS for the periods to be used in calculating line costs

| Period | 4 | Number of Days: | Yeekdays | 255 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Line Number | 0 |  | Saturday | 52 |
| Division Num | 3 |  | Sundays | 58 |


| Days in Year | Weekday |  | Saturday |  | Sunday |  | 58 | TOTAL ANNUAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOUPCP | Daily | Annual | Dally | Annual | Daily | Annual |  | ANNUAL |


| Peak Buses | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Hrs. | 34.4 | 8,772 | 53.7 | 2,792 | 79.4 | 4,605 | 16,170 |
| Vehicle yls. | 566 | 144,330 | 613 | 31,876 | 1,143 | 66,294 | 242,500 |
| assengers | 391 | 99,705 | 577 | 30,004 | 1,267 | 73,486 | 203,195 |
| Passenger Revenue | \$116 | \$29,580 | \$196 | \$10,192 | \$514 | \$29,812 | \$69,584 |

COST CALCULATIONS BY SOURCE - LINE 0 DIVISION

| Buses | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 1985 | FY 1986 | Step | Step | No. of | Step | Annual | Department |$\quad$ Item


| Step D | 110,944,000 | 1987 | 343 | 5.8 | 0 | \$31,907 | \$0 | Haint. Oper. Div. Servicing Mechanics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step D | \$1,913,000 | 1987 | 60 | 33.1 | 0 | \$31,883 | \$0 | Maint. Oper. Div. Servicing Deep Clean |
| Step D | \$2,412,000 | 1987 | 60 | 33.1 | 0 | \$40,200 | \$0 | Maint. Oper. Div. Wheelchair Maint. Mec |
| Step D | \$1,326,000 | 1987 | 33 | 60.2 | 0 | \$40,182 | \$0 | Maint. Oper. Div. Parebox Maint. Mechan |
| Step D | \$723,000 | 1987 | 18 | 110.4 | 0 | \$40,167 | \$0 | Maint. Oper. Div. Special Projects Hech |
| Step D | \$9,742,000 | 1987 | 13 | 152.8 | 0 | \$749,385 | \$0 | Transp. Oper. Div. All except Operators |
| Step D | \$1,258,000 | 1987 | 13 | 152.8 | 0 | \$96,769 | \$0 | Purchasing Division Storekeepers |
| Step D | 39,476,000 | 1987 | 13 | 152.8 | 0 | \$728,923 | \$0 | Maint. Oper. Div. Misc., Supp., Aminin., |
| Total | \$37,794,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$0 |  |

Hours $\quad 16 ; 170$

|  | FY 1985 | FY 1986 | Step | Step | No. of | Step | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Cost | Base | Size | Size | Steps | Cost | Cost |


| Step D | $\$ 172,358,000$ | 7585000 | 8890 | 853 | 18 | $\$ 19,388$ | $\$ 348,981$ | Transp. Oper. Div. Operators |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Step D | $\$ 14,950,000$ | 7585000 | 8890 | 853 | 18 | $\$ 1,682$ | $\$ 30,270$ | Non-Dept. Expenses Yorkmen's Comp.--Oper |
| Total | $\$ 187,308,000$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 379,251$ |  |


| Miles | 242,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | FY 1986 Cost | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY } 1986 \\ & \text { Base } \end{aligned}$ | Step Size | Step Size | No. of Steps | Step <br> Cost | Annual |  |
| Step D | \$24,152,000 | 107465000 | 601 | 178810 | 1 | \$40,186 | \$40,186 | Maint. Oper. Div. Running Repair Mechan |
| Step D | \$2,009,000 | 107465000 | 50 | 2149300 | 0 | \$40,180 | \$0 | Maint. Oper. Div. Inspectors |
| Step D | \$241,000 | 107465000 | 6 | 17910833 | 0 | \$40,167 | \$0 | Maint. Oper. Div. Road Pa!lure Mechanic |
| Total | \$26,402,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,186 |  |

SUMARY COST TABLE

| Source | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Peak Buses | $\$ 0$ |
| Vehịcle Hours | $\$ 779,251$ |
| Vehicle Miles | $\$ 40 ; 186$ |
| TOTAL MARGINAL COSTS | $\$ 419,438$ |
| Passenger Revenue | $\$ 69,584$ |
| NET TOTAL MARGINAL COSTS | $\$ 349,854$ |



|  | FY 1986 | FY 1986 | Step | Step | No. of | Step | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Cost | Base | Size | Size | Steps | Cost | Cost |


| Step S | \$1,204,000 | 7585000 | 35 | 216714 | 1 | \$34,400 | \$34,400 | Maint. Oper. Div. | Non-Revenue Main |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step S | \$967,000 | 7585000 | 23 | 329783 | 0 | \$42,043 | \$0 | Scheduling | Schedule Hakers |
| Step S | \$792,000 | 7585000 | 18 | 421389 | 0 | \$44,000 | $\$ 0$ | Transp. Services | Street Superviso |
| Step S | \$586,000 | 7585000 | 17 | 446176 | 0 | \$34,471 | $\$ 0$ | Transt Police | Transp. Service |
| Step S | \$708,000 | 7585000 | 16 | 474062 | 0 | \$44,250 | \$0 | Transp. Instruct. | Operator Trainin |
| Step S | \$373,000 | 7585000 | 12 | 632083 |  | \$31,083 | \$0 | Account. \& Fiscal | Payroll Clerks |
| Fixed | \$3,869,000 | 7585000 | 7585000 | 1 | 251812 | \$1 | \$128,446 |  |  |
| Total | \$4,630,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,400 |  |  |
| Miles | 4,357,213 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type | FY 1986 Cost | $\text { FY } 1986$ | Step <br> Size | Step <br> Size | No. of Steps | Step <br> Cost | Annual Cost |  |  |
| Type | Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Direct | \$25,421,000 | 107465000 | 107465000 | 1 | 4357213 | \$. 2366 | \$1,030,705 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct | \$29,047,000 | 107465000 | 107465000 | 1 | 4357213 | \$.2703 | \$1,177,723 |
| Step S | 35,750,000 | 107465000 | 1550 | 69332 | 62 | \$3,710 | \$230,000 |
| Step S | \$3,055,000 | 107465000 | 1000 | 107465 | 40 | \$3,055 | \$122,200 |
| Step S | \$1,567,000 | 107465000 | 39 | 2755513 | 1 | \$40,179 | \$40,179 |
| Step S | \$1,487,000 | 107465000 | 37 | 2904459 | 1 | \$40,189 | \$40,189 |
| Step S | \$1,367,000 | 107465000 | 34 | 3160735 | 1 | \$40,206 | \$40,206 |
| Step S | \$1,286,000 | 107465000 | 32 | 3358281 | 1 | \$40,188 | \$40,188 |
| Step S | \$1,206,000 | 107465000 | 30 | 3582167 | 1 | \$40,200 | \$40,200 |
| Step S | \$1,085,000 | 107465000 | 27 | 3980185 | 1 | \$40,185 | \$40,185 |
| Step S | \$965,000 | 107465000 | 24 | 4477708 | 0 | \$40,208 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$763,000 | 107465000 | 19 | 5656053 | 0 | \$40,158 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$763,000 | 107465000 | 19 | 5656053 | 0 | \$40,158 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$563,000 | 107465000 | 14 | 7676071 | 0 | \$40,214 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$563,000 | 107465000 | 14 | 7676071 | 0 | \$40,214 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$523,000 | 107465000 | 13 | 8266538 | 0 | \$40,231 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$523,000 | 107465000 | 13 | 8266538 | 0 | \$40,231 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$442,000 | 107465000 | 11 | 9769545 | 0 | \$40,182 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$321,000 | 107465000 | 8 | 13433125 | 0 | \$40,125 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$321,000 | 107465000 | 8 | 13433125 | 0 | \$40,125 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$201,000 | 107465000 | 5 | 21493000 | 0 | \$40,200 | \$0 |
| Step S | \$161,000 | 107465000 | 4 | 26866250 | 0 | \$40,250 | \$0 |
| Total | \$77,380,000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,801;775 |

Ma!nt. Oper. Div. Parts, lubricant Non-Dept. Expenses Puel and Taxes 0 Non-Dept. Expenses Workmen's Comp. Non-Dept. Expenses Expen. and Prov. Central Maint. Running Repair M Central Maint. Mechanical Malnt Central Haint. Electrical Maint Central Maint. Body Shop Mechan Central Maint. Transmission Mec Central Maint. Englne Line Mech Central Maint. Welding Mechanic Central Malnt. Cylinder Head Me Central Maint. Paint Shop Worke Central Maint. Machine Shop Mec Central Maint. Sheet Metal Shop Central Maint. Frame Shop Mecha Centra! Maint. Upholstery Horke Central Maint, Systems Shop Mec Central Maint. Engine Parts Cr Central Malnt. Engine Teardown Central Maint. Sign Shop Mechan Central Maint. Tool \& Unit Room


SUMMARY COST TABLE

| Source | Value |
| :--- | ---: |
| Peak Buses | $\$ 85,814$ |
| Vehicle Hours | $\$ 34,400$ |
| Vehicle Hiles | $\$ 2,801,775$ |
| Passenger Boardings | $\$ 500,589$ |
| TOTAL | COSTS |

## GENERAL PLANNING CONSULTANT

| M | M | EEEEE | M | , | 000 | RRRR | AAA | N | $N$ | DDDD | U U | , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M MM | E |  | MM | 00 | $R \quad R$ | A A | NN | N゙ | D D | $\cup \quad U$ | MM MM |
|  | M M | ÉEE |  | M | 00 | RRRR | AAAAA | N | $N$ | D D | $\cup \quad U$ | M M M |
| M | M | E | M | M | 00 | $R \mathrm{R}$ | A A | N | NN | D D | U | M M |
| M | M | EeEEE | M | M | 000 | $R \quad \mathrm{R}$ | A A | N | N | DDDD | uu | M |

To: Steve Pariry
From: David Wilson
Date: April 9, 1986

Subject: BUS COST MODEL DATA BASE

## Background:

As you know, we have been working to develop a data base of daily miles, hours and peak buses for each line by operating division. The 4-24 reports provide these data by line only and do not split miles and hours by division.

To obtain this information we have developed an algorithm to summarize this data from the bus run data base files (for September 8, 1985) provided by Dennis Shoemaker in scheduling.

## Current Position:

The algorithm we have developed matches the results of the $4-24$ reports for most records, however, these are some discrepancies between the records. Discussions with Dennis Shoemaker indicate two likely causes or sources for the discrepancies:

1. The $4-24$ reports assume the evening peak hour is between 3.45 pm and 7pm, whereas, our algorithm calculates buses in the peak between 3pm and 6 pm . The margin of error usually involvestl bus per line.
2. The 4-24 report assigns driver relief runs to the line which the bus operated prior to commencing the relief run. Our algorithm assigns the hours and miles to the division to which or from which the driver relief run is made. This effectively creates a pool of hours and miles that is assigned to a division but not a line.

Possible Directions To Proceed:
We believe that there is no reason to change the algorithm we use to calculate buses in the peak because the discrepancies are small and can be ignored.

However, a decision is needed on the procedure to be used to handle driver relief runs. There are 4 alternative techniques that may be used and each has advantages and disadvantages.

First the procedure used to generate the $4-24$ reports could be used. This uses the assignment of miles and hours to the bus line number that happens to be used for the relief run. However this will overestimate the costs of running that line and underestimate the costs of running lines whose buses do not happen to be used for relief runs but whose drivers may be carried on the relief runs.

Alternatively if we use our algorithm which spreads the costs over the division then we are adding to the fixed or overhead costs of the division and by definition these will not be sensitive to changes in the amount of buses operated. Any reductions of buses would usually be associated with some reduction in the need for relief runs. Totally shutting down all lines in a division would still leave a residual of hours and miles associated with relief bus runs that were no longer needed.

A third and new method of allocation is to identify all the bus lines that come into a terminal and that use one of the buses for the relief run. The costs associated with the relief run could then be spread over all the bus lines that use the relief ruñ. This is theoretically better, but this will require a more complex algorithm and consequently take more time to implement.

A fourth and final alternative is to take a combination of the second and third alternatives. For the purposes of implementing the cost model we can accept our existing algorithm (allocation to division) and continue to build the model but plan in fiscal ' 87 to revise the allocation procedure using the theoretically better third technique.

We request your advice and direction regarding which of the four alternatives we should proceed to implement.

CC Leo Bevon
Keith Killoügh
Anne Odell
Dennis Shoemaker
Peter Stopher
Project File (2 copies)

## SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT



DATE: June 19, 1986
TO:

FROM:
subject: BUS COST FORMU $A$

The General Planning Consultants have developed a version of the Bus Cost Model for our review and application. The result of several months of development, it is our intent to eventually replace the present cost formula with this. With that in mind, please have your staff work with it so we may see how it performs. As with any program, the bugs must be worked on.

A floppy disk of the program and two copies of the draft user documentation are for your section's use. Please appoint a contact persons) for your section so we may keep the material current as new issues are released.

Mike Brewer will serve as the focal point for your comments, suggestions, and intermediary with the GPC staff.

Attachments

## cc: Peter Stopher <br> Gary Spivack

## Info Sapport

## BÜSCOST

VERSION 1.0

USER DOCUMENTATION

DRAFT

June, 1986

## WHAT IS THE BUS COST MODEL?

The Bus Cost Model is designed to predict the annual operatino cost of a bus line or group of lines. The model is based on the actual 1985/1986 operating budget and can be used to estimate the costs of running a bus line on a weekday or a Säturday or a. Sunday or some combination.

Specifically the model can perform the following tasks:

1. Cost an existing bus line already in the data base
2. Cost a group of lines in the data base
3. Cost a proposed line where the level of service is supplied by the user.
4. Change the level of service of existing bus lines in the data base and then cost the results.

The cost model contains a large data base of existing RTD bus services so that the user only has to specify the bus line number and day of the week for the model to be abie to calculate operating costs.

The cost model has been developed as e spreadsheet program using LoTUS 123. You do not have to know about the theory of the model or how to use spreacisheet programs like $\operatorname{loT} U S$ in urder to be able to run the program. This is because the Bus Cost Model is menu driven. All you have to do is learn to use a menu of functions which run the cost calculations for you and print reports.

However, should you want to come to orips with the theory of the Bus Cost Model then there are a number of technical documents that you couid read. Technical Memoranda 5.1 .2 , June 1984 , and 86.5 .1 and 86.5 .3 November 1985 and 86.5 .2 February 1986 explain the theory and procedures used for calculating the model.

Different Costs.. Systemeride and Divisional.

As the name suggests the bus cost modei estimates costs of operating a bus. These costs are estimated simply by taking each line item of the bus system
 of how much work a bus performs. This is because the more work or services a bus produces the more it costs to operate. The measures of the work or level of service used are: the number of buses used in peak operations, the annual amount of miles and hours trauelled and the number of passengers that boarded the bus. As these measures go up costs go up and as they go down costs go down. These measures have been found to be accurate predictors of bus costs.

The Bus Cost Model splits costs into divisional and systemwide costs. .

## Cost of Operating A Bus

Certain functions of running a bus company can be located at a bus garage or divisional leüel. For example, dally or weekly maintenance takes place in the division. For sayings to occur in maintenance there needs to be changes in the number of buses serviced by that division, In a multidivision operation cutting buses in several divisions may in fact create no saving because not enough buses have been removed from the division to warrant the saving of say a mechanic or bus driver. On the other hand some functions like central maintenance, administration and marketing are function of the total bus fleet and changes can be pooled across all divisions. This is why bus costs are partitioned into division and systemwide costs. A more detailed description of the theory is contained in the technical reports.

## SAMPLE DUTPUT

This section describes the columns of the systemwide and division spreadsheets. Recall that the bus cost model has two different calculation areas, one for system effects and another for divisional effects. The colums of each sheet are similar so it will suffice to detall the systemwide spreadsheet.

First let's consider the overall layout of the bus cost analysis printout. Figure 1 is a printout of the calculations for the system costs of a bus line (line 203). It shows all costs that cannot be easlly or meaningfully allocated to any one division. For example; scheduling departments, central facilities maintenance, administration, and training, etc., are functions that must be be performed for the whole fleet and cannot easily be allocated to any one group or division of buses.

BUS COST ANALYSIS MODEL
(GPC.1986)
DATE:
02-Jün-86

System nide calculations area


Busés 0


Hours___..........10,400

|  | Fy 1986 | Fy 1986 <br> Bace | Step Size | No. of | Step |  | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Cost $\$$ | Base | Size | Steps | Cost | \$ | Cost |


| Step S | $1,204,000$ | $7,585,000$ | 216,714 | 0 | 34,400 | 0 Maint. Oper. Div. Non-Revenue Main |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Step S : | 967,000 | $7,585,000$ | $329 ; 783$ | 0 | 42,044 | 0 Scheduling |

Figure 2 shows the costs for the operating divisions. These costs reg., daily maintenance and driver wages) can be allocated by division.

COSTS FDR DIVISIoN
-.. Buses


Hours $\quad 10,400$

niles 52,000



Total! 26,40 , 000


Returning to the system cost printout (Figure 1), we can divide the sheet into six areas (schematically presented in figure 3). The first area, at the top, is the level of service measures for a selected bus line or the sum of these measures for a group of lines. Areas two to five then take these level of service measures and allocate them to specific line items to determine operating costs.

For example, area two includes line item costs that are related to <or allocated to) the level of service measure peak buses: Area three includes line items that are related to the annual hours of travel, area four line item costs related to annual miles of travel, and area five is line items related to annual passengers. Area 6 is a summary of the total costs from areas two, three, four; and five. This sumary is copied into the report section \&Figure 4).

The divisional printout has a similar layout, but because of space limitations in the spreadsheet, we have onitted the level of service measures summary in area one. However, these measures are identical to the totais in the system cost printout.

Having described the basic layout of these printouts, we will now examine the columns and rows of some of the areas in the printout.

The first column in Figure 1 refers to the type of variable. As discüssed in the section on theory, there are direct costs, both step and fixed. The second column is the fiscal year cost for the line item. The third column, FY 1986 BASE, is the total level of service measure for the system. The first part of spreadsheet (area 2) 1987 refers to the number of buses in the system.

In the section section below, (area 3) 7585000 refers to the total number of bus hours in the system. The fourth column, step size, is the increment in the level of service (or the decrement) necessary to incur a cost increase (or decrease). Where costs are direct, the stepsize is one, meaning that costs will be a continuous function of the level of service.

The step size is calculated by dividing the annual level of service measures for the whole system by the number of employees in the corresponding line item. The number of steps (column 5) generated is calculated by dividing the total level of service measure (say peak buses or annual vehicie hours) by the step size. The sixth column is the step cost. This cost is determined by dividing the $F Y$ 1986 cost by the Fy 1986 BASE. The final column is the annual cost, which is the product of the step cost multiplied by the number of steps.

It is useful to consider a sample output to clarify the above brief description of the spreadsheet cost model: Suppose that you wish to calculate the cost of cutting bus line 203, for weekdays, Saturdays and Sundays. After specifying line 203 in the data input area the spreadsheet macro accesses the data base and places the annual totals for vehicle hours, miles, passengers, revenue and average daily peak pm buses at the top of the spreadsheet. For line 203, there is 1 PM peak bus, a total of 3785 vehicle hours, 30368 miles and 59310 passengers for a revenue of $\$ 12730$ dollars.

Taking the 1 peak bus, let's work through the first part of the spreadsheet. The first line item of $\$ 150000$ refers to the cost of radios in the total bus fleet (1987 buses). Since each radio costs $\$ 75$ (step cost), the cost of the radios for 1 PM peak bus is $\$ 75$. The second line item involves scheduling services. For there to be an increase in this cost, there must be at least 32 buses. since there is only 1 bus; the scheduling costs will be absorbed by the existing manpower and total cost will not increase.

The systemwide costs are sumarized at the foot of the spreadsheet in figure 1 .

The system costs of running line 203 are $\$ 63553$ allocated amongst peak buses ( $\$ 43716$ ), vehicle hours ( $\$ 3785$ ) vehicle miles ( $\$ 15394$ ) and passengers ( $\$ 658$ ).

The division costs for line 203 are given in the next spreadsheet, Figure 2. The level of service totals for line 203 in the division spreadsheet are the same as those for the system spreadsheet. However, the unit costs, step sizes. and line items are different, The method of calculation, though, is identical. In this example the division costs are $\$ 84256$ and are attributable to the vehicle hoürs level of service variable.

Finally the summary report (Figure 3) takes the information from the two spreadsheets and combines them to produce the total cost effect of the line.
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## BEFORE YOU BEGIN

## 1. Checking Your Package,

Your Bus Cost Model package should contain this user manual, plus 1 Bus Cost Model disk. The disk contains a lotus spreadsheet model of the bus cost system. Do not use this disk except to perform the installation. Always use a copy of this disk. Making copies (backups) of your bus cost system disk is described in section 3 , Installation.
2. Equipment Required To Run The Bus Cost Model.

The Bus Cost System lionel yous will be using requires the following computer equipment in order. to be able to operate.

1. An IBM KT with a hard disk. It should have XYK memory.
2. A 122 character wide printer

The model cannot run on a standard IBM PC because of limited memory.
3. Harding Disks

INSTALLING THE BUS COST MODEL. ON AN IBM XT.

## Make a Backup

Before you do anything eise take out the Bus Cost System disk and make a backup copy on a new disk. First make sure that you are using an IBM XT or its equivalent and that it has a hard disk. To make the backup peform the following tasks.

1. Insert a DOS 2.0 disk in drive $A$ and turin on the computer.
2. Check to make süre that you have an $A$ disk prompt ( $A$ ) ) on the . screen
3. If you do not you will have a prompt from the hard disk like:C). Change this by entering directiy after this Ajand press return.
4. Insert a formatted blank disk in drive B. If it is not formatted then format the disk first by typing FORMAT Biand press return,
5. Change the prompt to drive B by tiping B:(don't forget return) Now type COPY A: *:* and press return.

The drive light aboye disk $B$ should light up and the Lotus spreadsheet file will be copied to your back up di三k. Remove the master disk from drive $A$ and store it in a safe place apart from yoúr back up. From now on use the backup.

STORING THE SPREADSHEET ON HARD DISK.
Next create a subdirectory on your hard disk so that you can run the buscost model from the hard disk directly. Peform the following steps:

1. Change the prompt to $C$ : by typing $C$ : and press return.
2. Next type MD Buscost and press return.
3. Then tÿpe COPY B: *.* AND PRESS RETUFN.

The Bus cost spreadsheet is now stored under the subdirectory BUSCOST.
To access this spreadsheet first run LOTUS 123 then the following commands:

1. /FD $\backslash B U S C O S T$ and press return, (Changes the file directory)
2. /FRBUSCOST and press return. (Gets the Buscost spreadsheet)

The Buscost spreadsheet will then be loaded and commence automatically.

STARTING AND ENDING A BUS COST MODEL SESSION

## Starting a Session

Before starting a bus cost model session, first install BUSCOST on your IBM XT hard disk. (See "Installation" chapter)

To run BUSCOST, load LOTUS 123 as you would normally (for instructions, see the LOTUS manual). The first sereen of LOTUS is the blank spreadsheet:


Figure 1.
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Once you have loaded 123; change the directory to \BUSCOST. To do that type /fdibuscost


Figúre 2,

To load guscost enter flle, retrieve buscost. To do that, type/frbuscost. If BUSCOST is the first file in the subdiretory, the cursor will be positioned on BUSCOST, and it will only be necessary to type /frreturn.


Figure 3.

The first BUSCOST screen contains a welcome message, a bus logo which moves quickly across the screen and stops, and the main Buscost menu. Figure 4 shows this screen.


Figure 4,

To begin costing lines, simply use the direction keys to move the cursor to the Begin position and press return.

Ending a Session
All costing procedures finish by returning you to the main menu.
of to costing procedure, then use the direction return.

$$
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## THE BUSCOST MENU

At the top of the screen, Just aboüe the spreadsheet, is the menu which allows the user to select various options for running the model and printing parts of

1. Begin.

Allows you to cost a line or group of lines. Begin contains the data entry sequence.
2. Print Data. Prints a list of the number of buses, annual vehicle hours and miles and annual passengers and revenues for each line specified.

EXTRACTED LINE DATA FOR COSTING


## 3. Division. Produces a printout of the spreadsheet showing division costs.




## Total

- Value

Peak buses
Yehicle hours
Vehicle Miles
5, 834,728
602,784

- passengers

4. System,

Produces a printout of the system wide spreadsheet showing for system wide costs.
$\qquad$
System wide calculations area
$\qquad$

Buses
51


| 5. Report. | Produces a summary printout of costs allocated to <br> each major fevel of service variable: peak buses, <br> annual hours, miles and passengers. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6. Numday. | Permits the user to change the number of days a <br> year a bus line operates during weekdays, Saturdays <br> and Sundays. | and Sundays.



7. Quit. Exits the user from the spreadsheet program and returns the user to DOS.

CHANGING THE NUMBER OF WEEKDAYS, SATURDAYS, OR SUNDAYS

The NumDay option on the main Buscost menu allows you to adjust the number of days a year that the chosen bus lines operate on a weekday, Saturday, or Sunday schedule. Of course; the total number of days per year must always equal 365.

The standard NumDay settirg is:
Weekdays: 255
Saturday: 52
Sundjays: 58
If you wish to adjust these settings, use NumDay before you use Begin to begin your run.

## Using NumDay

To use the NumDay option, bring up the main menu and use the direction keys to move the cursor to NumDay.


Figure 1.

Hit return to bring up the Numbay screen,


Figüre 2,

Enter the new schedule, being sure the total is 365 .


Figure 3.

When the schedule is correct, hit return to continue with your BUSCOST run.


Figure 4.

HOW TO COST A SINGLE BUS LINE.
First run the model (/FRBUSCOST) so that the first screen appears. It will look like figure 1 , a cartoon bus moving quickly across the sceen and stopping. Notice at the top of the spreadsheet screen there is a menu with the following items:

Zegin Print data Diuision System Report Numday Buit
Cost a bus line or lines

This is the main menu of the program and each item is described in the chapter on Menu Options. To cost a single bus line select the item Begin by pressing the return key because the cursor is already resting on that item. If you have been performing other menu options the cursor may not be on the Begin option. Use the direction keys to move the cursor to the Begin option and then press return to select this option.

figure 1

The second screen of the bus cost model should now appear and ask you if you wish to cost the entire system (all bus lines in the data base), jn this case the answer is no and you should enter a 0 and press return. This sereen should look like figure 2.

figure 2,

The next screen asks you if you wish to cost existing bus lines or cost proposed or new lines. This screen should appear like figure 3 below. Since you wish to cost a single (existing) line just enter a 0 and press return.



AP45: U 'SAT
CID READY

So far so pood. In the next screen (Figure 4), the user must specify the bus line and the day of the weak to be costed.


The cursor is resting directly under LiNE. Next the user enters a line number between 1 and $x y z$. Note that some lines are coupled and appear under just one number. For example, 471 is not in the data base because it is coupled with a a $_{0}$ and 471 is in the data base, Coupled lines cannot be split. After entering the line number use the right direction arron to enter the number into the spreadsheet and move the cursor over to the DAY column. You have a choice of obtaining the cost for either weekdays, Saturdays or Sundays.

```
For weekdays type LWEEK
For Saturdays type SAT
For Sundays type Sun
```

If you wish to cost the line for all these days you could just enter a $*$ in the day column. If you wanted to cost just Weekdays and Saturdays then you need to type the following. Enter, , $E E E K$ under the day column and then use the direction arrows ${ }^{2} \mathrm{left}$ and down) to move to the LINE column and enter below the first line number you entered the same line number again. Wise the right direction arrow to move to the DAY column and enter SAT. Your screen should look like figure 5 , which appears on the following page.

screen after data entry FIGURE 5.

IT IS Important to note that you need to have the caps lock key turned on so THAT THE ENTRIES IN THE DAY COLUMN ARE ALL IN CAPTIALS!!!!!

Now if they are not just press caps lock and then use the direction keys to move to those entries you want to change.

> Finally press return twice and the following screen will appear. $$
-N 39:-0-\quad .
$$



This sereen informs you that the data base is being accessed. The program is searching the data base to find the level of service information for the specified line number and day of week. Berause the data base is large this takes about 1 minute, so be patient.

Once this is accomplished the program then displays a blank summary screen whist it calculates the costs. The level of service values for the line are dispiayed at the base of the summary sheet.

figure 7

The final sereen to appear automatically asks you if you want a print out of the division calculations and the summary sheet. You usually will so enter a 1 and press return in each case, (figüre 8). Make sure the printer is turned on and the condensed print is on..(note to me.... need to have printer set up chapter)

$$
25 a
$$



## figure 8.

The next screen to appear is the beginning screen with the bus logo. This is the master menu and you should now move the cursor to syztem and print out the System costs and to Frint Data for a print out of the data base.

This completes the proress of costing a single ine. you could now go on to cost more lines, change the lines ievel of service ete.


The procedues for costing groups of lines are identical to costing one line, Any group of up to 50 lines may be costed at one time.

Remember, the BUSCOST options for choosing to cost existing or proposed lines and fully aljocated or not fully allocated costs can only be exercifed once for the entire groüp of lines to be costed.

Also, when costing groups of lines, remember that while line numbers are essentially consecutlve, gaps exist so that not every number represents an existing line.

## COST

hod TO ${ }_{n}$ THE ENTIRE bUS SYstem
Load the model (jFRBüscosis) to bring up the first screen. It will look like Figure 1, a cartoon bus which drives quickly across the screen and stops. Notice at the top of the spreadsheet screen is the following menu:

Begin Print data Division System Report Numday duit Cost a bus line or lines

This is the main menu of the program. Each item is described in the chapter entitled "Meriu Options." To cost the entire bus system, select the item Begin by moving the cursor to Begin using the direction keys and pressing the return key.


Figure 1

The second screen of the bus cost model now appears and asks whether you wish to cost the entire system (all bus lines in the data base). In this case the answer is yes, you enter 0 and press return. This screen will look like Figure 2.


Figure 2.

The bus line entry screen will appear. To cost the entire system, press return twice.


Figure 3.

The next screen informs you that the data base is being accessed. The program is searching the data base to find the level of service information for the specified Line number and day of week. Because the data base is large this takes about 1 minute, so please be patient.


Figure 4.

The next screen (Figure 6) asks you if you wish to change the service on an existing bus line. You may if you wish, but in this example we do not. Therefore, enter 0 .


Figure 5

Now the model asks you if you wish to run fully allocated costs. These are the fixed costs in the budget. If you do; enter 1 as in figure 6.


Figure 6

The program now displays a blank sumary screen whilst it calculates the costs. The level of service values for the line are displayed at the base of the sunmary sheet.


Figure 7

The next sereen asks whether you want a printout of the division calculations and the summary sheet. You usually will, so enter a 1 and press return in each case. (Figure g). Be sure yoür printer is turned on and that condensed print is on.


In this case the last division to be printed is Division 16 . The Division 16 Sumary Screen includes the cümulative costs for the entire bus system.


Figure 9.
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HOld TO COST A NEW LINE.

First lat the model (/FRBUSCOST) to bring up the first screen. it id il look like Figure 1, a cartoon bus which drives quickly across the screen and stops. Notice at the top of the spreadsheet screen there is a menu with the following items:

Begin Print data Division System Report Numday Quit Cost a bus line or lines

This is the main menu of the program. Each item is described in the chapter entitled "Menu Options." To cost a new bus line, select the item Begin by moving the cursor to Begin is ing the direction keys and pressing the return key.


Figure 1

## 34

The second screen of the bus cost model now appears and asks whether you wish to cost the entire system (ell bus lines in the data base). In this case the answer is no, you enter 0 and press return. This screen will look like Figure 2.


Figure 2.

The next screen asks whether you wish to cost existing bus lines or proposed (new) lines. This screen will appear like Figure 3 below, since you wish to cost a new line just enter 1 and press return.


Figure 3 ,

The next screen. Figure $a, ~ a \equiv k s$ you to cost a proposed line. You can cost up to 50 bus lines, but let's just do one for a weekday and Saturday. The cursur will be resting on the line number and you can enter any number between 800 and 850.


Figure 4.

Suppose you enter the following data in Figure 5:


Figure 5.

The next sereen to appear, Figure 6, will contain an error message telling you that the line number is incorrect and must be changed.

A043: บ 700

## CMD READY



Figure 6.

If you correct the 1 ine number and reenter the data by pressing return, you will receive another error message telling you that the division number is incorrect.


Figurie 7,

Whet y have finished entering proposed bus lines, enter a 1 on the last line of the column as in Figure 8. And hit notum twice


Figure 8.

So far so good. In the next screen (Figure 9), the you must specify the bus line and the day of the week to be costed.


Figure 9.

The cursor is resting directly under LINE. Enter the line number previously chosen above. After entering the chosen line number ( 825 ), use the right direction arrow to enter the number into the spreadsheet and move the cursor over to the DAY column.

For weekdays type WEEK
For Saturdays type SAT
For Sundays type SUN

If you wish to cost the line for all seven days you may just enter a $*$ in the day column. If you wish to cost just Weekdays and saturdays then you must type the following. Enter WEEK under the day column, then use the direction arrows (left and down) to move to the LINE column. Below the first line number reenter the same line number. Use the right direction arrow to move to the DAY column and enter SAT.

Your screen now looks like Figure 10,
BB6: U B25 CMD RERDY ${ }^{-}$


Figure 10.

IT Is important ío note that you need to have the caps lock key turned on so THAT THE ENTRIES IN THE DAY COLLMN ARE ALL IN CAPTIALS!!!!!

If any entry is not in all caps, you must change it now, Júst press caps lock, then use the direction keys to move to those entries that must be changed, when the entry is correct, press retürn twice to move to the next screen.

The next sereen informs you that the data base is being accessed. The program is searching the data base to find the level of service information for the specified Line number and day of week. Because the data base is large this takes about 1 minute, so please be patient.


Figure 11.

The next screen (Figure 12) asks you if you wish to change the service on an existing bus line. Since you have just defined the proposed bus ilines, you will not use this option. Therefore, enter 0 ,


Figüre 12

Now the model asks you if you wish to run fully allocated costs. These are the fixed costs in the budget. If you do, enter 1 as in Figure 13.


Figure 13

The program now displays a blank summary screen whilst it calculates the costs. The level of service values for the line are displayed at the base of the summary sheet.


Figure 14
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The next screen asks whether you want a printout of the division calculations and the summary sheet. You usually will, so enter a 1 and press return in each case. (Figure 15). Be sure your printer is turned on and that condensed print is on.


Figure 15.

The model now brings you back to the first screen which contains the bus logo and the master menu. Move the cursor to System to print the system costs and to Print Data to print the data base.


Figure 16.

## how to change a bus line's existing level of service

Load the model (/FRBUSCOST) to bring up the first screen. it will look like Figure 1, a cartoon bus which drives quickly across the screen and stops. Notice at the top of the spreadsheet screen is the following menu:

Begin Print data Division System Report Numday Quilt Cost a bus line or lines

This is the main menu of the program. Each item is described in the chapter. entitled "Menu Options." To cost a new bus line, select the item Begin by moving the cursor to Begin using the direction keys and pressing the return key.


Figure 1

The second screen of the bus cost model now appears and asks whether you wish to cost the entire system (all bus lies in the data base). In this case the answer is no, you enter 0 and press return. This screen will look like figure 2.


Figure 2.

The next screen asks whether you wish to cost existing bus lines or proposed (new) lines. This screen will appear like Figure 3 below. Since you wish to cost existing lines $\theta$ and press return.

CIRE CRAP


The next screen; Figure 4, is the bus line entry area. Enter the lines you wish to cost in this run.

For weekdays type WEEK
For Saturdays type SAT
For sundays type SUN
If you wish to cost the line for all seven days you may just enter a a in the day column. If you wish to cost just Weekdays and Saturdays then you must type the following. Enter WEEK under the day column, then use the direction arrows (left and down) to move to the LINE column. Below the first line number reenter the same line number. Use the right direction arrow to move to the DAY column and enter SAT.


Figure 4,

IT 15 IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT YOU NEED TO HAVE THE CAPS LOCK KEY TURNED ON SO THAT THE ENTRIES IN THE DAY COLUMN ARE ALL IN CAPTJALS!!!!!

If any entry is not in all caps, you must change it now. Just press caps lock, then use the direction keys to move to those entries that must be changed. When the entry is correct, press return twice to move to the next screen,

The next screen informs you that the data base is being accessed. The program is searching the data base to find the level of service information for the specified Line number and day of week. Because the data base is large this takes about 1 minute, so please be patient.


Figure 5.
6
The next screen (Figure $M$ ) asks you if you wish to change the service on an existing bus line. To do so, enter 1.


Figure 6

The next screen to appear is a table which displays existing levels of service and allows you to enter new data.

ARI20: 0 OMD READ'


Figure 7.

To perform this function; you must first specify whether the service displayed is for weekdays; Saturdays, or Sundays. Simply read the prompt displayed directly under the label "annual existing" and enter the code number as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { WEEK }=1 \\
& \text { SAT }=2 \\
& \text { SUN }=3
\end{aligned}
$$

After you have entered the day code (in this example 1 for weekdays), the model automatically calculates the existing daily service levels.


Figure 8.

Now enter the new daily sevice levels in the green data entry area. When the new daily levels have been entered, hit return to calculate the new annual service levels. The model will automatically enter the new data in the speadsheet and return ready for the next service change.


Figure 9.

When you have made all the desired service changes, enter o to continue on with the buscost run.


Figure 10.

Now the model asks you if you wish to run fully allocated costs. These are the fixed costs in the budget. If you do, enter 1 as in Figure Me $/ /$; NTH: 1 COD READY



[^0]:    * Full-Time Equivalent positions
    ** Number of accidents involving property damage (average)

