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## Executive Summary

This report documents the activities and findings for Phase II of the System-Wide On-Board OriginDestination Study. PTV NuStats, and its subcontractors (GeoStats, Maroon Society, and Temps Incorporated), executed this study on behalf of the Los Angeles County Matropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro). Phase I provided rigorous testing of the elements of user-completed paper surveys, the current state of the practice. Phase I also included the development of a new hybrid methodology which represents a significent advance in the state of the practice. This hybrid methodology is used for Phase II, a survey of Metro's entire bus and rail system. Both Phases I and II are partially funded through a Section 5339 Grant from the Federal Transit Administration (FTA)

During Pbase 1 of this study, PTV NuStats tested multiple survey instruments of varying length and offered different incentives to ascertain which instrument characteristics minimized respondent burden while increasing participation. The results of Phase I indicated respondents were more likely to participate and provide accurate information via a Computer Assisted Telephone Interview (CATL). Further improvement was gained through the interviewers' use of a project-specific tool (TripTracer Transit) to collect travel patterns of Metro passengers. During the interview process, data were subjected to real-time quality control procedures to ensure respondents provided accurate information and to identify and correct illogical trips.

The paper data collection was streamlined by replacing the lengthy twenty-item questionnaire typically used in on-board surveys with a brief, four-question instrument. Teams of locally hired surveryors collected boarding and alighting data, in addition to a passenger's contact information, using a postcardsized on-board survey card. The card used in this new approach offered two significant benefits. First, in previous survey efforts using the lengthy questionnaire, passengers traveling for a short period of time could not complete the questionnaire, resulting in missing data from this important group. In addition the card approach requires less of a passenger's time and significantly reduces the amount of critical missing data. The card data also made it posaible to weight the data using transit passenger's on- and off-stops.

The contact information provided on the card was used to contact respondents for a lengthier follow-up telephone interview. Then, using Computer-Assisted Telophone Interviewing (CATI) and PTV NuStats' spatial tool, TripTracer Transit, a respondent's trave! behavior was gathered while simultaneously providing real-time quality control measures to ensure the accuracy of the data.

A major focus of the study was to reach out to traditionally under-represented groups that constitute a large share of the Metro ridership. In a collaborative effort with Metro, PTV NuStats placed a premium on the ability for surveying teams to survey in Spanish. Not only did 50 percent of the surveyors speak Spanish, but also one-third of the counter/collector staff; as such, they were able to reach and assist this linguistically isolated demographic. The results of this concentrated effort were successful, specifically in assisting Metro with understanding this important demographic: approximately 41 percent of the bus sample was collected from the Spanish-speaking market. Most importantly, this methodology can be applied to other demographics-in future studies, Metro staff can identify geographic regions and apply a tirgeted sampling approach to match surveyors' Iinguistic abilities to specific markets.

Respondents were recruited on board the surveyed vehicle using a card, on which they recorded their contact information (name and phone number). Passengers were contacted within a twe-week window to collect the remainder of their travel behavior and demographic information. Because passengers rely heavily upon Metro's service and could make multiple trips per day, the card captured the passenger's trip purpose, which the respondent verified at the beginning of the interview.

This hybrid survey was conducted among passengers of all Metro's directly-operated local, limited, and express service; shuttle and circulator routes; and rapid and rail service. Bus data collection took place from January 11 through June 24, 2011, while the rail data collection took place from September 26
through November 1, 2011. A total of 33,782 fully weighted questionnaires, as included in the final data files, were collected. In addition to the origin-destination (O/D) on-board study, boarding and alighting pairs ( $B / A$ Pair) were also conducted for all surveyed routes in the system and collected 89,491 usable cards. The B/A Pair data will serve as a marginal datazet to be used for future weighting and expansion purposes as deemed necessary by Metro.

## Key Metro Bus Findings

The completed project yielded over 27,000 survoys from fixed local routes, express service, and metro rapid servicc. The objectives of the full study were two-fold: 1) examine and confirm the travel behavior characteristics of Metro passengers, and 2) obtain the socio-economic characteristics of Metro passengers. The data weighting and expansion provide an appropriate representation of the Metro system.

Important findings from the analysis of the Metro bus system ridership are presented below:

- Forty-one percent of Metro bus passengers compland their survey in Spanish.
- Eight out of ten Metro bus passengers are from households with an annual income of less than \$25,000.
- Over half ( 56 percent) of Metro bus passengers are transit-captive riders (i.e., they are from households that did not have a vehicle available to complerir their one-way trip).
- Sixty-four percent of Metro bus passengers are employed, with 38 percent employed full-time.
- Three-quarters of Metro bus passengers do not possess a valid driver's license.
- Over two-thirds ( 68 percent) of Metro bus passengers reported their ethnicity as Hispanic and 17 percent listed Black/African-American.
- Eighty-eight percent of Metro bus passengers are between the ages of 18 and 64.
- Thirty-two percent of Metro bus passengers reported paying cash for their fare while thirty percent used a monthly pass.
- Travel behavior characteriatics of Metro bus passengers indicate that home and worls are the most prevalent trip origins and destinations.
$\checkmark$ Forty-three percent of trips originate from home, 30 percent of trips originate from work, and both school and social/recreational account for six percent of origin trip purposes.
$\checkmark$ The final destination for 44 percent of trips is home, whereas 28 percent end at work. Other popular destination trip attractions are social/recreational (eight percent of trips) and shopping (six percent).
$\checkmark$ Fifty-two percent of Metro bus passengers made home-based work trips.
- Overall, 94 percent of Metro bus passengers reported walk as their mode of access and egress.
- Forty-one percent of Metro bus passengers made one transfer to complete their one-way trip, whereas 38 percent did not transfer.


## Key Metro Rail Findings

The Metro rail survey produced 6,528 complete and usable records from the five rail Lines (Red, Blue, Green, Gold, and Purple) that service the Metro region.

- T'wenty-three percent of Metro rail passengers completed their survey in Spanish.
- Sixty-four percent of Metro rail passengers are from households with an annual income of less than $\$ 25,000$.
- Thirty-six percent of Metro rail passengers are transit-captive riders (i.e., they are from households that did not have a vehicle available to complete their one-way trip).
- Sixty-seven percent of Metro rail passengers are employed, with 46 percent employed full-time.
- Fifty-four percent of Metro rail passengers do not possess a valid driver's license.
- Fifty four percent of Metro rail passengers reported their ethnicity as Hispanic and 23 percent listed Black/African-American.
- Ninety-four percent of Metro rail passengers are between the ages of 18 and 64.
- Twenty-seven percent of Metro rail passengers reported paying cash for their fare and twentyseven percent used a monthly pass.
- Travel behavior characteristics of Metro rail passengers indicate that home and work are the most provalent trip origins and destinations.
$\checkmark$ Forty-three percent of trips originate from home, 31 percent of trips originate from work, and college/university and social/recreational each account for eight percent of origin trip purposes.
$\checkmark$ The final destination for 46 percent of thips is home, whereas 29 percent end at work. Other popular destination trip attractions are social/recreational (nine percent of trips) and college/universily (six percent).
$\checkmark$ Fifty-one percent of Metro rail passengers made home-based work trips.
- Overall, three quarthrs of Metro rail passengers reported walk as their mode of access and egress.
- Thirty-seven percent of Metro rail passengers made one transfer to complete their one-way trip, whereas 26 percent did not transfer.


## Introduction

PTV NuStats conducted the System-Wide On-Board Origin-Destination Survey (Metro Survey) of Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) passengers in 2011. This study provided information about transit passenger demographics and trip details. The Metro Survey was a systemwide study to permit an appropriate level of sampling to reflect all services, including new, expanded, and revised routes. In addition, a secondary survey collecting boarding and aliglting pairs (B/A Pair) from all surveyed routes was conducted.

PTV NuStats recognizes the bias that exists with on-board surveys, and developed an approach that would establish on-to-off pairs of passengers who participated in the survey by accepting the card. Not only did this data set include passengers who provided their travel behavior for their one-way trip, but also those who declined to participate in the full study. The results of the marginal data set will be used in future weighting applications to better understand passenger flows in the Metro transit system.

The Metro Survey was conducted among passengers of fixed-route bus and rail services for Metro using a self-administered approach for recruitment and a Computer Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI) for retrieval. Data collection was conducted on weekdays (Monday through Friday) from January 11 through November 1, 2011. (To prevent seasonality effects, surveying was not conducted during summer months.) A total of 33,782 usable bus and rail questionnaires were collected for this study. The final bus data files incorporated a total of 113,380 eligible hoardings for a response rate of 24 percent for the bus data set. The rail data set was comprised of 28,875 eligible boardings for a response rate of 23 percent.

This report summarizes the survey methods and 2011 Metro Survey findings, as well as the B/A Pair Survey. Chapter 2 provides a description of the sampling approach, survey instrument and procedures, project challenges and solutions, and weighting and expansion methodology. Chapter 3 provides detailed information for the variables collected during the Metro Survey.

Appendix A includes the English and Spanish survey instruments.

## Survey Methods

## Sampling Plan

A total of 166 Metro routes were sampled on weekdays covering all fixed-route bus and rail service. A sampling plan was designed at the route level and to provide a sample size adequate for analysis of weekday bus and rail service. The sampling goal was to collect 30,000 valid questionnaires for Metro routes, two percent of the average daily ridership. Survey data collection resulted in 33,782 valid questionnaires from Metro routes, or two percent of the average daily ridership.

The Metro Survey used a standard two stage sampling approach that consisted of sampling passengers and sampling vehicle trips. Every pisssenger over the age of 16 (determined by visual estimation) who boarded the sampled vehicle received a card. If the surveyor was not able to determine whether a passenger's age was over 16 by direct observation (which is the slindard procedure), the surveyor asked the boarding passenger if they were over 16 years old.

## Approach to Sampling Bus Trips

Metro's consultant on this study, PTV NuStats, prepared a plan to sample weekday bus and rail trips to capture two percent of the average daily weekday ridership. The proposed sample plan was based on three main factors.

- First, the plan ensured that the sample adequately met data needa at the global level.
- Second, the plan ensured the collection of adequate samples at the various times of day. Times of day (TOD) are defined as AM Peak (6:00 a.m.-9:00 a.m.), Mid-day (9:01 a.m.-2:59 p.m.), PM Peak (3:00 p.m. $-7: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.), and Evening/Early Morning (7:01 p.m.-3:00 a.m.).
- Third, the plan ensurrd that Metro stalf would have the ability to segment the sample on key variables, such as rouls, day of the week, time of day, and direction.

The original sample plan was based on the average daily ridership from FY 2009 Metro's Automated Passenger Count (APC) system and was geared to capture 2 percent of passengers at the system level. The individual route goals are contained in Appendix A.

## Bus Trip Selection

The number of sampled trips was calculated by assuming an average response rate of 15 percent; depending on service type and service period, of typical passenger loads by trip (this rate had to be lowered later in the study because of poor response rates on some routes). Thus, a route that had an average load of 500 passengers and made 10 trips a day was determined to have an average passenger load of 50 passengers per trip. Assuming the route had a sample goal of 50 valid questionnaires, it was determined that seven bus trips would need to be sampled to meet the requirements at an estimated 15 percent response rate ( $500 / 10=50 \times .15=7.5 ; 50 / 7.5=6.7$ or 7 ). The number of trips sampled was rounded up to the nearest whole number for trip selection purposes.

Trips were clustered by block for the purpose of efficient use of surveyor labor. The use of clusters had the further advantage of de facto stratification by direction (i.e., most runs consist of bus trips alternately traveling inbound, outbound, etc.), stratification by time of day, and by route, if multiple routes were contained in a block.

## Surveyor Assignments

The final sampling task was uploading the sampled bus trips to a Web-based field management system to create surveyor assignment sheets. The selected clusters of trips were drawn based on the following criteria to produce surveyor assignments:

- Consecutive trips within the same block/run
- The cluster of trips starting and ending at the same location
- If trips within the cluster were unique to the cluster

Surveyor assignment sheets were printed from the Web-based management system and included the organized trips to be sampled, along with the division address from which the assignment originated. The assignment sheets were also bar-coded to link them to the field management system.

## On-Board Survey Instrument

Cards were designed in a two-sided $6^{\prime \prime}$ by $5.5^{\prime \prime}$ card-size format and printed on heavy card stock for easy distribution and completion. The card was pre-printed with a unique serial number and bar-code, which linked each card to a specific trip and vehicle boarding and alighting locations. Text on the card invited passengers to register to win a monetary prize, one of $21 \$ 500$ prizes, by providing their name and telephone number. The card was designed to obtain information in three major atargories: O/D trip purposes, contact information (name and telephone number), and the best time to call. As noted in Table 1.1, some of the tequired data elements were captured by means other than a question on the card. This approach had multiple benefits: (1) the shorter card enhanced response rates and (2) data quality was improved by citcumventing respondent-provided information. The card was available in two languages: English and Spanish.

On-board survey cards were developed such that they would be easy to administer, easy to comprehend, and, above all, collect data that supported the travel demand model. This type of card was used for the Metro Survey with great success. The simplicity of the card allowed for quick completion, particularly for those who rode a vehicle for a limited number of stops. In addition, the graphic instructions displayed the surveying steps for those who were not proficient in reading English or Spanish. Most importantly, the cards were serialized so that they could be traced back to the boarding and alighting location without having to ask the passenger. Each card was serialized, bar-coded, and packaged in bundles of 50 for ease of handling and tracking by the surveyors. See Appendix A for an example of the on-board survey card.

Table 1: Data Elements and Capture Method

| Data Elements | Capture Method |
| :--- | :--- |
| Day of Yrave: | GPS-enhanced Palm device |
| Time of Trave! | GPS-enhanced Palm device |
| Route | GPS-enhanced Polm device |
| Direction | GPS-enhanced Paim device |
| Boorcing tocation | GPS-enhanced Poim device |
| Alighting Lacation | Callectar Provided [3/ person] |
| Questiannaire Language | Card |
| Origin Trip Purpose | Card |
| Destination Trip Purpose | Card |
| Telephone Type | Cord |
| Best Time to Call | Card |

## CATI Script

The survey instrument was designed as a CATI with 35 questions. Prior to data collection, Metro and PTV NuStats defined a complete interview as one with logical answers to the following questions: origin address, destination address, mode of access, mode of egress, thip purpose, and route sequence. Boarding and alighting information was also required for a survey to meet the definition of a complete. The boarding and alighting information was collected via the $B / A$ Pair method of data collection: the boarding location was captured via PDA technology, and the alighting location was captured by the third person collector of cards on the vehicles (see CATI script. in Appendix B).

## Survey Procedures

## Labor Recruitment and Training

Surveyors were required to have lived in the service area and were screened to ensure they had good work habits, and were personable, honest, mature, and attentive to details. Surveyors were trained to read and understand assignment sheets and were taught basic survey procedures, etiquette, and how to approach passengers. Counters were trained in the use of the hand-held Palm devices, the ride count program, and on-board etiquette. Collectors were trained on how to obtain cards as passengers alighted the vehicle and how to deal with tequently asked questions. Following completion of initial assignments, surveyor teams were required to return to the survey command center where field coordinators verilied the accuracy of the surveyors' work. Assignments were then handed out for the next day.

## O/D Study

At each stop, cards were distributed by the surveyor to all boarding passengers age 16 and older. Concurrently, a "counter" counted each boarding and alighting passenger. The Palm device recorded the location and time (artival and departure) at each bus stop, and counters entered the number of passengers boarding and alighting. Then the surveyor would communicate with the counter to establish which cards were distributed at a specific stop by entering the top card number into the unit prior to arrival at a vehicle stop linked a sequence of cards directly to a vehicle stop (using Metro's digitized bus stop list). The data were uploaded daily into a Web-based field management system designed to manage surveyor assignments, provide progress ceports and data summary tables, and monitor field staff performance.

## Survey Administration

The full survey was managed by an in fueld survey team comprised of PTV NuStats field coordinators. Initial trainings were conducted January 24, 2011, prior to the start of data collection. Additional trainings were held during the data collection to account for staff attrition.

As assignments were handed out, information was updated in the Web-based field management system. When teams returned from an assignment, the field coordinator(s) checked the assignment results (i.e., quickly reviewed the cards to spot any glaring performance issues) and downloaded the passenger count data from the Palm devices. Feedback and additional training were provided when errors were found in the data. If important errors persisted, staff would be relieved of their services. The field coordinator updated the assignment status in the Web-based field management system and then handed out the next assignment. Once the completed assignments were reviewed, the cards went through the in-field editing process for inspection and coding prior to being scanned.

Figure 2: Website Assignment Tool
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## 

## Status Reporting

PTV NuState uses a transparent project-specific Website to monitor all phases of the data collection eflort. This critical management tool also allows PTV NuStats to share progress with Metro regarding line-level response rates, percentage of route goals completed, and surveyor-level response rates. The system integrates barcode technology to track each returned card with the specific "control file" information regarding a trip (boarding and alighting, route, direction, and time of day). The system also provides a means to track assignment completion to avoid unintentional over- or under-sampling of lines; this has proven to be a very effective schedule and cost-control mechanism. The Metro On-Board Survey project Websitc served as a central location for all assignment information. Reports were generated by the Website and disseminated by the PTV NuStats Project Manager for monitoring and for identifying surveying deficiencies for correction.

The surveyor manager prepared status reports from the Web-based field management system. This automated application conducted consistency checks, flagged problem records, and cleaned and purged flagged records. The field coordinator reviewed this information for accuracy in the status, response, and performance reports to the Web -based field management system.

Figure 3: Website Reporting Tool



## In-Field Scanning

Following the team check-in, all returned cards were presented to the field coordinator for editing, correction, and scanning. Scanning in the field was introduced to reduce the time lag between when the card was administration and the first attempt at telephone follow-up. Prior to scanning, all cards were reviewed and sorted by language. Batches of 100 cards were created and scanned. All images were uploaded to a SQL Server located at PTV NuStats.

## Sample Management, Loading, and Goals

Once sample was generated from the on-board effort, PTV NuStats` staff loaded the data into the VOXCO management software. This software allowed P'NV NuStats staff to monitor specific goals using route lines, sample type, and other predetermined targets provided by Metro. The sample management software ensured strategic release of sample for dialing to maintain an acceptable freshness period for retrieving survey information, as well as mange the number of attempts made on each individual record. This level of sample management; which includes prioritization, daily assignments, and goal stratification, enabled PTV NuStats to collect the most representative trip information possible.

## CATI Interview

After the card was processed, it was loaded into the CATI program to contact the respondent within 48 72 hours of administration. The personal interview allows the respondent to take the survey at their convenience, as call back times were established by the respondent if they were not available during the initial call. Additionally, the respondent had the opportunity to ask the interviewer questions, making the interviewing process transparent, which helped to put the respondent's mind at ease regarding confidential information.

PTV NuStats recognizes that interviewer training is one of the most important tasks associated with a study of this type. Interviewer training lasted 14 hours over a three-day period. The interviewer training was divided into four segments: general project information, geography training, software training, and
trip collection. At the conclusion of the training, interviewers practiced collecting travel data over 50 different mock scenarios using the CATI/TripTracer Transit progran. Before an interviewer was allowed to dial on the project, they had to pass a project quiz to ensure they had a full understanding of the complexities they could encounter during the interviewing process.

## Geocoding Tool

TripTracer Transit is PTV NuStats' proprietary software solution created specifically for transit onboard studies and used a transit network comprised of all transit providers and their lines in the Greater Los Angeles Region. This network was created for the Metro Survey using data provided by Metro. The transit network serves as a layer in the spatial TripTracer Transit program that allows for a rider's origin, destination, and all transit activity to be captured by assigning latitudinal and longitudinal coordinates to each location. The program allows the incorviewer to validate the reasonableness of all tiansfer activities with the respondent-provided $O / D$ information by calculating the distance between each point. Additionally, if the rider is uneure of the route used in his/her trip but can provide the place name, landmark, or intersection; the interviewer can research the location using the Trip Tracer Transit software, which was designed to display all routes at the intersertion level.

## Research Edit Check

Data was required to pass both an automated and manual checks for data integrity before being delivered. Cases that did not meet the appropriate criteria were resolved prior to being delivered. The quality assurance (QA) department implemented these checks as an additional tool to ensure continued data quality.

- Interviewers are individually updated regarding each of their completed records that fail the edit check process, and recejve additional QA support.
- Cumulative Edit Check results are used to determine problematic trends and initialize shift-based QA strategies to resolve them.
- Edit check statistics are used to provide the interviewer team with group-based feedback.


## Survey Process Flow Chart

Figure 3 documents the various steps and conditions that occurred during the recruitment and retrieval stages of the Metro Survey.

Figure 3: Survey Process Flow Chart
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## Survey Issues

Surveying out of the garage caused some complications. Due to surveying out of different garages throughout the $L A$ region, aurveyors would sometimes arrive for work after the bus left due to traffic congestion. The surveyor: would not know the amount of time needed to travel to a new location causing them to be tardy and misy their assignment.

Another issue that arose from surveying out of the garage was the time that bus drivers left for their shift. Assignments were created to follow driver pacldles. By using the driver paddles, survey teams were scheduled to arrive 5 minutes prior to the bus driver report time. The driver report time is typically 15 minutes prior to the time the bus was supposed to leave from the garage. Throughout tho project, some bus drivers would leave 30 minutes prior to the garage pull-out time. This would cause missed assignments because the survey team was not ready to be deployed.

Another issue was the need to return to garages, sometimes several times. If telephone surveying did not turn the collected card into completad surveys in a reasonable time frame, more cards had to be distributed and collected.

## Transit Network Creation

One of the data elements needed to support the use of TripTracer in the collection of itineraries as part of the Metro Survey was a routable transit networb that could be connected to boarding and alighting stop ids identified using the fiold collection procedures. Numerous agencies besides Metro operate transit service in Los Angeles County. Two sources of data were available for building the network: exports from HASTUS and a data excract from MTA's trip planner system, called TripMaster.

In order to combine these two sources of transit network data GeoStats generated non-overlapping unique stop identifiers for the TripMaster and HASTUS datasets and a unform naming convention for the route names. This naming convention included a two letter abbreviation of the transit system followed by a dash and then a voute number. The combined data set consisted of all TripMaster routes, stops, and schedules except for bus routes serviced by Metro. Data on the MTA bus routes was instead generated by processing data from the HASTUS scheduting system.

Challenges arose when using the route numbers from the HASTUS schedule as some of them consisted of "child" routes that were only known outside the scheduling system by their parents' numbers. GeoStats obtained a table from Metro that provided the mapping between child and parent route numbers and applied it to the Metro bus routes. This allowed GeoStats to generate data collection assignments that could be used in the field and also itineraries to which participants could relate.

As schedules changed through the data collection period GeoStats updated the combined network data as needed. It is worth mentioning that the majority of the updates were done through the use of "pinks". These consisted of routes and route trips that were dropped from Metro's active service. GeoStats used these to generate updated schedule files that, were then used by NuStats to update TripTracer. Data from TripMaster remained stable throughout the field data collection effort and did not require updates.

## Metro Bus Analysis

Table 2.1 documents the sample goals for the clustered routos that aerve the Metro region.

Table 2.1: Bus Clustered Route Goals

| Route | Lines | Names | Number of Lines | Godil | Cluster |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MT-. 2 | 2. 302 | Pacific Polsades via Sunset B. | 2 | 214 | Mr. 2 |
| MT-.. 4 | 4 | Santa Morica via Santa Manica 81 | 1 | 153 | MT-.. 4 |
| Mr-10 | 10 | Wert Hollywaad via Temple SI \& Melrose Av | 1 | 153 | MT- 10 |
| MT-, 14 | 14 | Beverly rilis vio Beverly 81 | 1 | 153 | MT- 14 |
| MT- 16 | 16.316 | Century City via 3 a $\$$ | 2 | 214 | MT-. 16 |
| MT-18 | 18 | Montebello wia 6 th 51 \& Whittier E : | 1 | 153 | MT- 18 |
| MT- 20 | 20 | Santa Monlea vio Withire Bl | 1 | 153 | MT- 20 |
| MT-. 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 26.51 .52 . \\ & 352 \end{aligned}$ | Artesia Transil Center vio Avolon Bl | 4 | 255 | MT- 26 |
| MT-. 28 | 28 | Century City vio West Olympic BI | 1 | 153 | MT- 28 |
| Mr-30 | 30 | indiona Station via Picosi \& East ist Si | 1 | 153 | MT-30 |
| MT-. 33 | 33 | Sonta Morico vio venice Bl | 1 | 153 | MT. 33 |
| MT- 35 | 35 | Woshingtan/Foiffax fronsit Hub vio washington Bi | 2 | 214 | MT-. 35 |
| MT-. 37 | 37 | Woshington/Fgiffox Transit Hub via Adoms Bl | 1 | 19 | MT. 3 T |
| MT. 38 | 38 | Wosinington/Foiffax vio W. Jefferson 3 I | 1 | 153 | MT-38 |
| MT- 40 | 40.42 | Gollerio via King / Le: Tijera/ Howthorne | 2 | 387 | MT-.40 |
| MT. 45 | $\angle 5$ | Rosewood vio Broodway | 1 | 153 | MT-. 45 |
| MT- 48 | $\angle 8$ | Avalon Station vio Moin St 2 South Son Pedro St | 1 | 17 | MT-. 48 |
| MT-. 53 | 53 | CSu Dominguez Hils vio Centrol Av | 1 | 153 | MT-. 53 |
| MT-55 | 35.355 | Imperial/Wilmington Station vio Compton AV | 2 | 214 | MT. 55 |
| MT- 60 | 60 | Artesio Station vio Long Beach $\mathrm{Bi}^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | 153 | MT- 60 |
| M-. 62 | 62 | Howaion Garciens vio Telegroph Rd | 1 | 153 | MT- 62 |
| MT. 66 | 66 | Montebello vio 8th \& Olympic Bl | 1 | 153 | MT-. 66 |
| MT. 68 | 68.84 | Eogle Rock wo Eogle Rock BI | 2 | 214 | MT-. 68 |
| MT-70 | 70 | El Monte via Gorvey Ay | 1 | 153 | MT-70 |
| MT-.71 | 71 | Col Stote LA via Wooosh Av \& City Terrace Or | 1. | 153 | MT-.71 |
| MT-. 76 | 76 | El monte wio Valley bi | 1 | 153 | MT-. 76 |
| MT. 78 | 78.79 .378 | Arcodio vio Las Tunos Or \& Huntington Dr | 3 | 234 | MT-78 |
| MT-81 | $\varepsilon 1$ | Horbor Fwy Station via Figueroo | i | 153 | MT-.81 |
| Mi-83 | 83 | Eogle Rock via York Bl, Pasodeno Av | 1 | 153 | MT-. 83 |
| MT. 90 | 90.91 | Sunland vio Glencole Av/Foothill 81 | 2 | 214 | MT-. 90 |
| MT-. 82 | 92 | Yo Burionk Stotion vio Glendole Bl/Bronci $\mathrm{Bl} /$ Glenoaks BI | 1 | 153 | M-. 92 |


| Route | Lines | Names | Number of Lines | Gogl | Cluster |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MT- 94 | 94 | Sun valley via San Fernando Red | 1 | 153 | MT-94 |
| MT-102 | 102 | South Gate vio Coliseum $\$ 1$ | 1 | 153 | MT-102 |
| MT-105 | 105 | Vernon vio to Cienego Bl \& Vernon Av | 1 | 153 | MT-105 |
| MT-10S | 108,358 | Pico Rivera via Slouson Av | 2 | 214 | MT-108 |
| MT-110 | 110 | gell Gordens via Jefferson Bl/ Goge Av | 1 | 153 | MT-110 |
| MT-111 | 111.311 | Norwalk Station wa Forence Av | 2 | 214 | MT-111 |
| MT-115 | 115 | Nonwalk via Monchester. Firestone | 1 | 153 | MT-115 |
| MT-117 | 117 | Downey via Cenlury BI \& imperial Hwy | 1 | 153 | MT-1:7 |
| MT-120 | 120 | Whillwoad Moll via imperial Hwy | 2 | 387 | MT-120 |
| MT-125 | 125 | Norwalk Station vo Rosecrans AV | 1 | 156 | MT-125 |
| MT-126 | 126 | Howthame Statign vig Manhaltan Beach Bl | 1 | 18 | MT-126 |
| MT-127 | 127 | Downey via Campton BI \& Somersel BI | 1 | 56 | MT-127 |
| MT-150 | 150.240 | Conoga Park - Universol City Slotion | 2 | 214 | MT-150 |
| MT. 152 | 152,353 | Woodlond Hilts - North Hollywood Station | 2 | 214 | MT-152 |
| M!-154 | 154 | Burbank Station vic Burbonk 81 \& Oxnard 51 | 1 | 72 | MT-154 |
| MT-155 | 155.292 | Burbank Siation vio Riverside Dr. Olive A,v. Glencaks 81 | 2 | 255 | MT-155 |
| MT-156 | 156. 656 | Von Nuys, Hollywood. Panorama Cliy | 2 | 214 | MT-156 |
| MT-158 | 158 | Shermon Oaks vio Devonshire St, Woodmon Av | 1 | 153 | MT-158 |
| MT-161 | 161 | Warner Center vio Westoke Villoge. Agoura Hills. Colch | ! | 153 | MT-161 |
| MT-163 | 163.363 | West Hills Mecical Center - Sun valley/North Hallywood | 2 | 214 | MT-163 |
| MT-164 | 164 | Burbonk Slotion vio victory Bi | 1 | 153 | MT-164 |
| MT-165 | 165 | Burbonk Slation vio Vonowen SI | 1 | 153 | MT-165 |
| MT-166 | 166. 364 | Sun Volley via Nordhoff 51, Osborne Si | 2 | 214 | MT-366 |
| MT-169 | 169 | Sunland vio Saticoy St. Sunland BI | 1 | 153 | MT-169 |
| MT-175 | 175 | Hollywood vio hyperion \& Fountain Av5 | 1 | 61 | MT-175 |
| MT-176 | 176 | El monte Stotion via missian St \& Mission Dr | 1 | 96 | MT-176 |
| MT-180 | 180. 181 | Pasadeno va Los Felz BI \& Colorodo EI | 2 | 214 | MT-180 |
| MT-183 | 183 | Glendale Stolion via Magnollo BI | 1 | 153 | MT-183 |
| MT-190 | 190. 194 | Cal Poly Pomona vio Ramono BI \& valley bi | 2 | 214 | MT-190 |
| MT-200 | 200 | Expasilion Park vio Alvorodo SI \& Hoover ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 1 | 153 | MT-200 |
| MT-201 | 201 | Koreotown vio Sliver Loke BI | 1 | 99 | MT-201 |
| MT-202 | 202 | Wilmingtan via Alomedo $5 t$ | 1 | 31 | MT-202 |
| MT-204 | 204 | Athens vio Vernoni $A$ V | 1 | 153 | MT-204 |
| MT-206 | 206 | Athens vio Narmandie A.v | 1 | 153 | MT-206 |
| MT-207 | 207 | Athens vio Western Av | 1 | 153 | MT-207 |
| MT-209 | 209 | Athens va Van Ness Ave | 1 | 69 | MT-209 |
| MT-210 | 210 | Soulh Boy Gollerio via Crenshaw Bl | 1 | 153 | MT-210 |


| Route | Lines | Names | Number of lines | Coal | Cluster |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MT-21I | 211, 215 | Redondo Beoch vio Froine Av, Inglewood Av | 2 | 93 | MT-211 |
| MT-212 | 212.312 | Hawthorne Station vio Lo Breo Av | 2 | 214 | MT-212 |
| MT-217 | 217 | Faitax/Washington vio Hollywood BI \& Foiffox Av | 1 | 153 | MT-217 |
| MT-220 | 220 | Culver Cliy vio Robertson 81 | 1 | 41 | MT-220 |
| MT-222 | 222 | Sun Volley - Hollywood | 1 | 116 | MT-222 |
| MT-224 | 224 | Sylmor Stotion -- Universal City Stalion | 1 | 153 | MT-224 |
| MT-230 | 230 | Studio Cily vio Lourel Conyon Bl | 1 | 153 | MT-230 |
| MT-233 | 233 | Sherman Ooks vio Von Nuys bl | 1 | 153 | MT-233 |
| MT-234 | 234 | Sherman Ooks vio Sepulveda BI, Brand BI | 1 | 153 | MT-234 |
| MT-236 | 236. 237 | Sylmor Station - Encino | 2 | 214 | MT-236 |
| MT-239 | 239 | Encino via Zelzah Av. White Oak Av | 1 | 51 | MT-239 |
| MT-242 | 242.243 | Waadland Hills via Tompo Av \& Winnelka Av | 2 | 214 | MT-242 |
| MT-244 | 244. 245 | Woodlond Hills via De Soto Av/Toponga Canyon Br | 2 | 169 | MT-244 |
| MT-246 | 246.247 | Arlesio Transit Center via Avolon BI | 2 | 213 | MT-246 |
| MT. 251 | 251.252 | Lynwood via Solo St | 2 | 385 | MT-251 |
| MT-258 | 258 | Paramouni va Fremant Av \& Eastern Av | 1 | 152 | MT-258 |
| MT-260 | 260 | Artesia Blue line Station wa Fair Oaks Av \& Atantic B | 1 | 152 | MT-260 |
| M1-264 | 264, 267 | Duarle: Aflodena / El Monle | 2 | 213 | MT-264 |
| MT-265 | 265 | Lakewood Center Mall via Paramount Bi | 1 | 152 | MT-265 |
| MT-268 | 268 | El Mante via Baldwin Av \& Washinglan Bl | 1 | 152 | MT-268 |
| MT-287 | 287 | The Shops al Montebello via Tyler Av \& Rush St | 1 | 152 | MT-287 |
| MT-290 | 290 | Sunland vio Foalhill 81 | 1 | 79 | MT-290 |
| MT-305 | 305 | Westwood. Leimert Park. Willowbrook | 1 | 152 | MT-305 |
| MT344 | 344 | Palos Verdes vio Hawthorne Bl | 1 | 152 | MT-344 |
| MT-439 | 439 | Culver City Transil Center vial-10 Fwy | 1 | 78 | MT-439 |
| MT-442 | 4.42 | Howthorne Station via Monchester Bl. | 1 | 38 | MT-442 |
| MT-44, | 445 | Horbor Fwy | 1 | 108 | MT-445 |
| MT-450 | 450 | San Pedro via Harbor Transilway | 1 | 65 | MT-450 |
| MT-460 | 460 | Disneyland via Harbar Transi wway \& I-105 Fwy | 1 | 152 | MT-480 |
| MT-485 | 485 | Alladena via Fremont Av \& Loke Av | 1 | 152 | MT-485 |
| MT-487 | 487. 489 | Slarro Modre Villo Statian to El Monte Station | 2 | 213 | MT-487 |
| MT-534 | 534 | Washington/Faitas Tronsil Hub vio Paclic Coast Hwy | 1 | 152 | MT-534 |
| MT-550 | 550 | Son Peoro via Harbor Transitway | 1 | 152 | MT-550 |
| MT-577 | 577 | Long Beach VA Medicol Center via l-605 Fwy | 1 | 152 | MT-577 |
| MT-611 | 611 | Huntington Park Shutte | 1 | 152 | MT-611 |
| MT-612 | 612 | South Gale Shutle | 1 | 152 | MT-612 |
| MT-620 | 620 | Bayle Helghts via Cesor Chovez Ave \& Stote St. | 1 | 59 | MT-620 |


| Route | Lines | Names | Number of tines | Goal | Cluster |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M^{\top}-645$ | 645 | Wamer Center vio valley Circle Bi, Mulhollond Dr | 1 | 4) | MT. 645 |
| MT-665 | 665 | City Terroce shutile | 1 | 69 | MT-665 |
| MT-585 | 685 | Glassell Pork vio verduga Ra. | 1 | 52 | MT-685 |
| Mi-687 | 086.687 | Allodeno to Pasodeno | 1 | 152 | MT-687 |
| Mr-704 | 704 | Sonto Monica va Santa Manico el | 1 | 152 | MT-704 |
| MT-705 | 705 | Vernon vio lo Cienega BI | 1 | 152 | MT-705 |
| MT. 710 | 710 | South Bov Galleria vio Crenshow 81 | 1 | 152 | MT-710 |
| MT-720 | 720 | Commerce vio Wishire 81 \& Whitier BI | 1 | 152 | MT-720 |
| MT-728 | 728 | Century City vio West Olympic BI | 1 | 152 | MT.728 |
| MT-730 | 730 | PicalRimpau via Pico Bl | 1 | 152 | MT-730 |
| MT-733 | 733 | Sonta Monica via Venice 8 ! | 1 | 152 | MT-733 |
| MT-734 | 734 | Syimer Station vio Sepulvedo Bl | 1 | 152 | MT-734 |
| M-740 | 740 | Redondo Beoch vio Howthome BI, \& M. L. King 3i | 1 | 152 | MT-740 |
| MT-741 | 74 | Torzana vio Resedo BI | 1 | 152 | MT. 741 |
| MT.745 | 745 | Horbor Preeway Stotion vio Brocoway | 1 | 152 | MT. 745 |
| MT-750 | 750 | Universal City Siotion vio Ventura 31 | 1 | 152 | MT-750 |
| MT.75: | 751 | Huntington Pork vio 5010 $\$ 1$ | 1 | 152 | MT-751 |
| Mi. 754 | 754 | Athens vio Vemmont $A$ y | 1 | 152 | MT-754 |
| MT-757 | 757 | Crenshow Stotion va Western Av | 1 | 152 | MT-757 |
| MT-760 | 760 | Artesta Station via Long Beach Blvd. | 1 | 152 | MT-760 |
| MT-761 | 761 | Wesfwaod vio van Nuys bl, Sepulvedo 81 | 1 | 152 | MT.76: |
| M-762 | 762 | Artasio blue Une Stotion vio Attontic $\mathrm{Bl}^{\prime}$ | 1 | 152 | MT-762 |
| M-.770 | 770 | El Monte Station vio Gorvey \& Cesor E Chovez Avs | 1 | 152 | MT-770 |
| M.780 | 780 | Pasodena vic Foitax Av \& Hollywood \& Coloroda Bl | 1 | 152 | MT-780 |
| Mi-794 | 794 | Sylmor Station vo San Fernondo Ra | 1 | 152 | MT-794 |
| MT-901 | 901 | Metro Oronge Line | 1 | 152 | MT-901 |
| MT-910 | 910 | Metro Silver Une | 1 | 152 | MT.910 |

Table 2.2 documents the sample goals and the number of completed surveys for the individual bus routes that serve the Metro region. Throughout the entirety of the bus system, 27,254 surveys were collected and processed.

Table 2.2: Bus Goals

| Route Type | Route Group | Lines | Route Name | Goal | Completes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | MT-. 2 | 2. 302 | Pocific Palisodes vio Sunset BI | 208 | 180 |
| Bus | MT-. 4 | 4 | Santa Manica via Santa Monica Bil | 188 | 162 |
| 8us | MT- 10 | 10 | West Hallywood via Temple St \& Mielrose Av | 188 | 180 |
| Bus | MT- If | 14 | Beverly Hills via Beverly 81 | 188 | 225 |
| Bus | MT- 16 | 16.316 | Gentury City via 3rd SI | 208 | 192 |
| Bus | MT- 18 | 18 | Montebelto vio 6 in 518 Whither Bl | 188 | 363 |
| Bus | MT- 20 | 20 | Santa Monica vo Wlishire BI | 188 | 207 |
| Bus | MT-26 | 26.51.52.352 | Artesio Transit Center via Avalon 81 | 248 | 999 |
| Bus | MT-, 28 | 28 | Century Cliy vio West Olympic Bi | 188 | 245 |
| Bus | MT- 30 | 30 | Indiang Station via Pico 81 \& East Is S 1 | 188 | 198 |
| Sus | MT- 33 | 33 | Santo Monica via Venice 31 | 188 | 214 |
| Bus | MT- 35 | 35 | Washington/Fairfax Tronsit Hub vio Woshington Bl | 208 | 430 |
| Bus | MT- 37 | 37 | Washingion/Foiftox Transit Hub via Adams Bl | 20 | 133 |
| Bus | MT- 38 | 38 | Washington/Folriox via W. Jeftersan BI | 188 | 242 |
| Bus | MT- 40 | 40. 42 | Golleria vio King / Le lijero / Howthome | 376 | 341 |
| Bus | MT- 45 | 45 | Rosewood via Braadwoy | 188 | 208 |
| Bus | MT- 48 | 48 | Avolon Station via Main st \& South San Pedrost | 20 | 251 |
| Bus | MT-. 53 | 53 | CSU Dominguez Hills vio Central AV | 188 | 413 |
| Bus | M.T-, 55 | 55.355 | Imperial/Wirnington Slation via Compton AV | 208 | 323 |
| 3us | MT- 60 | 60 | Artesio Station vio Long Beach Bl | 188 | 351 |
| Bus | MT- 62 | 62 | Howaiion Gordens vio Teleçroph Rd | 188 | 218 |
| Bus | MT-36 | 66 | Monlebello via 8ith 8. Olymplc 8i | 188 | 223 |
| Bus | MT-, 68 | 68.84 | Eogle Rock vio Eogle Rock Bl | 208 | 283 |
| Bus | Mr-. 70 | 70 | El Mante vio Goney Av | 188 | 148 |
| Bus | MT-. 71 | 71 | Cal State LA vio Wabash Av \& City Terrace Dr | 188 | 147 |
| Bus | MT. 76 | 76 | El Monte vio Valley 81 | 188 | 204 |
| Bus | MT-. 78 | 78.79,378 | Arcodio via Las Tunas Dr \& Huntingtan Dr | 228 | 297 |
| Bus | MT-. 81 | 81 | Horbor Fwy Stalion via Figuerca | 188 | 327 |
| Bus | MT-83 | 83 | Eogle Rock vio York Bl, Posodeno Av | 188 | 198 |
| Bus | MT-90 | 90.91 | Suntand via Glendale Av/Foothill Bl | 208 | 186 |
| Bus | MT-. 92 | 92 |  | 188 | 263 |


| Route <br> Type | Route Group | Lines | Route Name | Goal | Completes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | MT-94 | 94 | Sun Valley via San Fernondo Rd | 188 | 194 |
| Bus | MT. 102 | 102 | South Gate vio Coliseum \$t | 188 | 336 |
| Bus | Mr-105 | 105 | Vemon via La Cienego BI \& Vemon Av | 188 | 330 |
| Bus | MT-108 | 108.358 | Pico Rivera vio Slouson Av | 208 | 183 |
| Bus | MT-110 | 110 | Bell Gardens via Jelferson BI/ Gage Av | 188 | 214 |
| Bus | MT-111 | 111.311 | Norwolk Station vio Florence Av | 208 | 269 |
| Bus | MT-115 | 115 | Norwolk vio monchester, Firesione | 188 | 192 |
| Bus | Mr-117 | 117 | Downey vio Century Bl \& Imperial itwy | 188 | 182 |
| Bus | Mr-120 | 120 | Whitiwood Moll vio Imperial Hwy | 376 | 322 |
| Bus | MI-126 | 126 | Howthome Station via Monhation Beach Bi | 21 | 22 |
| Bus | MT-127 | 127 | Downey vio Complon B \& Somersel Bl | 68 | 48 |
| Bus | MT-150 | 150,240 | Conogo Park - Universal City Stalion | 208 | 214 |
| Bus | MT-152. | 152.353 | Woodlond Hills - North Hollywood Stotion | 208 | 208 |
| Bus | MT-154 | 154 | Burbank Stotion via Burbonk BI \& Oxnara SI | 88 | 33 |
| Bus | MT-155 | 155. 292 | Burbonk Stalion via Riverside Dr. Olive Av, Glenooks BI | 248 | 209 |
| Bus | MT-156 | 156.656 | Van Nuys, Hollywood, Ponoroma City | 208 | 122 |
| Bus | MT-158 | 158 | Shermon Oaks vio Devonshire St. Woodman Av | 188 | 24. |
| Bus | MT-161 | 161 | Womer Cenler via Westlake Villoge, Agouro Hills. Calab | 168 | 172 |
| 8us | MT-163 | 163,363 | West Hills Medical Center - Sun Volley/North Hollywood | 208 | 233 |
| Bus | MI-164 | 164 | Burbonk Stolion via Victory El | 188 | 198 |
| Bus | MT-165 | 165 | Surbank SIation via Vanowen 51 | 188 | 207 |
| Bus | MT-166 | 166.364 | Sun Valley vio Norohoff St. Osborne \$1 | 208 | 243 |
| Bus | M1-169 | 169 | Suniond via Solicay \$1, Sunland Bl | 188 | 264 |
| Bus | MT-175 | 175 | Hollywood vio Hyperion \& Founloin Avs | 75 | 79 |
| Bus | MT-176 | 176 | El Monte Station via Mussion St \& Mission Dr | 118 | 117 |
| Bus | MT-180 | 180.181 | Pasadeno vio Los Feiz BI \& Colorado BI | 208 | 284 |
| Bus | MT-183 | 183 | Glendale Stotion va Magnolio EI | 188 | 114 |
| Bus | MT-190 | 190.194 | Col Poly Pomono vio Romono Bl \& Volley al | 208 | 237 |
| Bus | MT-200 | 200 | Exposition Park via Alvarado 51 \& Hoover \$t | 188 | 284 |
| Bus | MT-201 | 201 | Karealown wa Siver Loke Bl | 121 | 219 |
| Bus | MT-202 | 202 | Witmington vio Alamedo \$1 | 38 | 24 |
| Bus | MT-204 | 204 | Athens via Vermant Av | 188 | 191 |
| Bus | MT-2.)6 | 206 | Athens via Normondie Av | 188 | 154 |
| Bus | MT-207 | 207 | Athens via Western Av | 188 | 220 |
| Bus | MT-209 | 209 | Athens via Van Ness Ave | 85 | 102 |
| Bus | MT-210 | 210 | South Bay Galleria via Crenshow Bl | 188 | 178 |


| Route <br> Type | Route Grcup | Lines | Route Name | Godl | Completes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | MT-211 | 211.215 | Redondo Beach via Prairie Av, Inglewood Av | 91 | 64 |
| Bus | MT-212 | 212.312 | Hawthorne Station via La Brea Av | 208 | 234 |
| Bus | MT-217 | 217 | Fairfax/Woshington vo Hollywood BI \& Foitox Av | 188 | 242 |
| Bus | MT-220 | 220 | Culver City via Robertson 31 | 50 | 62 |
| Bus | MT-222 | 222 | Sun Voley - Hollywoad | 143 | 143 |
| Bus | MT-224 | 224 | Sylmor Station - Universal Cily Station | 188 | 278 |
| Bus | MT-230 | 230 | Studio Clly vio Lourel Conyon BI | 188 | 194 |
| Bus | M1-233 | 233 | Shermon Ooks vio Von Nuys BI | 188 | 191 |
| Bus | MT-234 | 234 | Sherman Ooks vio Sepulvedo B1, Brond Bi | 188 | 230 |
| Bus | MT. 236 | 236.237 | Sylmar Station - Encino | 208 | 260 |
| Bus | MT-239 | 239 | Encino vio zelzoh Av, White Ook Av | 62 | 67 |
| Bus | MT-242 | 242. 243 | Woodland Hils vio Tampo Av \& Winnetka Av | 208 | 164 |
| Bus | MT-244 | 244. 245 | Woodland rilis vio De Soto Av/Topongo Conyon BI | 207 | 233 |
| Sus | MT-246 | 246. 247 | Artesia Tronsit Center vio Avalon Bl | 207 | 105 |
| Bus | MT-25i | 251, 252 | Lynwood vlo Soto SI | 374 | 554 |
| Bus | MT-258 | 258 | Poromount vio Fremont Av \& Eastern Av | 187 | 227 |
| Bus | MT-260 | 260 | Arlesio Blue Une Stotion vio Foir Ooks Av \& Atlontic Bl | 187 | 198 |
| Bus | MT-264 | 264, 267 | Duate: Altadeno / El Monte | 207 | 197 |
| Bus | MT-265 | 285 | Lokewoad Center Moll via Faramount Bl | 187 | 259 |
| Bus | MT-268 | 268 | E Monte vio Boldwin Av \& Woshington BI | 187 | 129 |
| Bus | MT-287 | 2 B 7 | The Shops al Montebello via Tyler Av \& Rush $\$ 1$ | 187 | 101 |
| Bus | MT-290 | 290 | Suniand vio Foolhill ${ }^{\text {al }}$ | 97 | 119 |
| Bus | MT-305 | 305 | Westwood, Leimert Pork, Wllowbrook | 187 | 224 |
| Bus | MT-344 | 344 | Polas Verdes via Hawthome 8\| | 187 | 163 |
| Bus | MT-439 | 439 | Culver City Tronsit Center via l-10 Fwy | 95 | 37 |
| Bus | MT-442 | 4.42 | Howthorne Station vio Monchester Bl. | 22 | 33 |
| Bus | MT-445 | 445 | Harbor Fwy | 133 | 106 |
| Bus | M1-450 | 450 | San Pedra via Harbar fransilwoy | 80 | 46 |
| Bus | MT-460 | 460 | Disneylond via Haroor Tronsiwoy \& I-105 Fwy | 187 | 196 |
| Bus | MT-485 | 485 | Alladeno vio Fremont Av \& Lake Av | 187 | 294 |
| Bus | MT-487 | 487.489 | Stera Madre Villa Station ta EP Monte Station | 207 | 221 |
| Bus | MT. 534 | 534 | Woshington/Fairlax Tronsit Hub vio Pacilic Coost Hwy | 187 | 210 |
| Bus | MT-550 | 550 | San Pedro via Harbor Transitway | 187 | 192 |
| Bus | MT-577 | 577 | Lang Beach VA Medical Cenler via l-605 Fwy | 187 | 147 |
| Bus | MT-611 | 611 | Huntington Park Strutte | 187 | 244 |
| Bus | MT-612 | 612 | South Gate Shutile | 187 | 289 |


| Route Type | Route Group | lines | Route Name | Goal | Completes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | MT. 620 | 620 | Boyie Heights vio Cesar Chovez Ave \& State St. | 72 | 85 |
| Bus | MT.645 | 645 | Warner Center via Valley Circle BI. Mulholland Dr | 50 | 39 |
| Eus | MT-665 | 665 | City Perroce Shutle | 85 | 88 |
| Bus | MT. 685 | 685 | Glassell Pork vio Verduga Rd. | 63 | 110 |
| Bus | MT. 687 | 686.687 | Altodena to Pasadeno | 187 | 151 |
| Bus | M. 7.704 | 704 | Santo Manica via Sanio Manica Bl | 187 | 252 |
| Bus | MT-705 | 705 | Vernon vo la Cienego 81 | 187 | 266 |
| Bus | MT-710 | 710 | South Boy Gallerio vio Crenshow 81 | 187 | 166 |
| Bus | MiT-720 | 720 | Commerce vio Wilshire B1 \& Whittier BI | 187 | 287 |
| Bus | MT-728 | 728 | Century City vio West Olympic B! | 187 | 248 |
| Bus | MT-730 | 730 | Pico/Rimpau via Pico Bl | 187 | 185 |
| Bus | MT-733 | 733 | Sonto Monico via Venice BI | 187 | 169 |
| Bus | MT-734 | 734 | Sylmor Station via Sepulvedo Bl | 187 | 164 |
| Bus | M 7.740 | 740 | Redondo Beoch vio Howthorne BI \& \% M. L. King Bl | 187 | 163 |
| Bus | MT-741 | 741 | Torzano via Reseda bl | 187 | 303 |
| Bus | MT. 745 | 745 | Harbor Freewoy Stotion via Broadway | 187 | 235 |
| Bus | Mr-750 | 750 | Universal Cily Station vio Venturo BI | 187 | 216 |
| Bus | Mr.751 | 751 | Huntingtan Park via Salo St | 187 | 268 |
| Bus | MT-754 | 756 | Athens vio Vermont Av | 187 | 163 |
| Bus | M1-757 | 757 | Crenshow Station via Western Av | 187 | 197 |
| Bus | MT. 760 | 760 | Arlesia Station via Long Beach Blva. | 187 | 278 |
| Bus | M1.761 | 761 | Westwood vio von Nuys 8i, Sepulvedo Bl | 187 | 185 |
| Bus | M. 752 | 762 | Artesia Blue Line Stotion vid Allontic Bl | 187 | 164 |
| Bus | M17.770 | 770 | El Monte Stotion via Garvey \& Cesar E Chavez Avs | 187 | 155 |
| Bus | MT. 780 | 780 | Pasodena vio fairfox Av \& Hallywoad \& Colorado Bi | 187 | 290 |
| Bus | Mr.794 | 794 | Syimar Station vio Son Fernando Rd | 187 | 202 |
| Bus | MT-901 | 901 | Metro Orange Une | 187 | 210 |
| Bus | MT-910 | 910 | Metro Siver Lioe | 187 | 171 |
| Total |  |  |  | 23.121 | 27.254 |

A cross-tabulation of line by time of day demonstrates the number of observations collected tor the Metro bus routes. The bus system was evenly sampled by time of day with a two percentage point diflerence between AM peak period ( 32 percent) and PM peak period ( 34 percent). Twenty-four percent of all trips were surveyed during the Mid-Day period.

Table 2.3: Cross-Tabulation of Line by Time of Day

| Route Group | Lines | Time of Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AN Peak |  | Mid-Day |  | PM Peak |  | Eve/Eorly AM |  |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | c\% | N | $\%$ |  |
| MT-.. 2 | 2. 302 | 47 | 26\% | 63 | 35\% | 62 | 34\% | 8 | 4\% | 180 |
| MT-. 4 | 4 | 25 | 15\% | 87 | 54\% | 50 | $31 \%$ | - | - | 162 |
| MT- 10 | 10 | 31 | 17\% | 16 | 9\% | 99 | 55\% | 34 | 19\% | 180 |
| MT-. 14 | 14 | 67 | 30\% | 46 | 20\% | 91 | $40 \%$ | 21 | 9\% | 225 |
| MT- 16 | 16.316 | 49 | 26\% | 108 | 56\% | 35 | 18\% | - | - | 192 |
| MT-, 18 | 18 | 112 | $31 \%$ | 131 | $36 \%$ | 120 | 33\% | - | - | 363 |
| MT- 20 | 20 | 46 | 22\% | 46 | 22\% | 95 | 46\% | 20 | 10\% | 207 |
| MT- 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 26.51 \\ & 52.352 \end{aligned}$ | 452 | 45\% | 291 | 29\% | 201 | 20\% | 55 | 6\% | 999 |
| MT. 28 | 28 | 101 | 41\% | 55 | 22\% | 89 | $36 \%$ | - | - | 245 |
| MT. 30 | 30 | 75 | 38\% | - | - | 109 | 55\% | 14 | 7\% | 198 |
| NTT. 33 | 33 | 59 | 28\% | 95 | 44\% | 52 | $24 \%$ | 8 | 4\% | 214 |
| MT. 35 | 35 | 63 | $15 \%$ | 210 | 49\% | 127 | 30\% | 30 | 7\% | 430 |
| MT- 37 | 37 | 66 | 50\% | - | - | 52 | 38\% | 15 | 11\% | 133 |
| MT-. 38 | 38 | 73 | 30\% | 56 | 23\% | 106 | 44\% | 7 | 3\% | 242 |
| NT. 40 | 40.42 | 112 | 33\% | 70 | 21\% | 124 | 36\% | 35 | 10\% | 341 |
| MT. 45 | 45 | 83 | 40\% | - | - | 121 | 58\% | 4 | 2\% | 208 |
| MT-. 48 | 48 | 80 | $32 \%$ | 81 | 32\% | 64 | 25\% | 26 | 10\% | 251 |
| MT. 53 | 53 | 180 | 44\% | 77 | 19\% | 126 | 31\% | 30 | 7\% | 413 |
| MT- 55 | 55. 355 | 111 | $34 \%$ | 79 | $24 \%$ | 126 | 39\% | 7 | 2\% | 323 |
| MT. 60 | 60 | 83 | 24\% | 78 | 22\% | 180 | 51\% | 10 | 3\% | 351 |
| MT-. 62 | 62 | 87 | 40\% | 86 | 39\% | 31 | 14\% | 14 | 6\% | 218 |
| MT. 66 | 66 | 50 | 22\% | 24 | 11\% | 116 | 52\% | 33 | 15\% | 223 |
| MT-. 68 | 68.84 | 86 | 30\% | 113 | 40\% | 80 | 28\% | 4 | 1\% | 283 |
| MT. 70 | 70 | 33 | 22\% | 61 | 41\% | 39 | 26\% | 15 | 10\% | 148 |
| MT. 71 | 71 | 49 | 33\% | 65 | 44\% | 26 | 18\% | 7 | 5\% | 147 |
| MT. 76 | 76 | 65 | 32\% | 74 | 36\% | 62 | 30\% | 3 | 1 \% | 204 |
| MT. 78 | $\begin{aligned} & 78.79 . \\ & 378 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 81 | 27\% | 100 | 34\% | 108 | $36 \%$ | 8 | 3\% | 297 |
| MT. 81 | 81 | 142 | 43\% | 72 | 22\% | 113 | 35\% | - | - | 327 |
| MT-. 83 | 83 | 65 | 33\% | 28 | $14 \%$ | 81 | 41\% | 24 | 12\% | 198 |


| Route Group | Lines | Time of Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak |  | Mid-Dey |  | PM Peak |  | Eve/Eorly AM |  |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| MT- 90 | 90.91 | 33 | 18\% | 54 | 29\% | 72 | 39\% | 27 | 15\% | 186 |
| MTE. 92 | 92 | 88 | $33 \%$ | 63 | 24\% | 68 | 26\% | 44 | 17\% | 263 |
| MT- 94 | 94 | 102 | 53\% | 17 | 9\% | 36 | 19\% | 39 | 20\% | 194 |
| MT-102 | 102 | 79 | 24\% | 149 | 44\% | 70 | 21\% | 38 | 11\% | 336 |
| MT-105 | 105 | 96 | 29\% | 100 | 30\% | 114 | 35\% | 20 | $6 \%$ | 330 |
| MT-108 | 108,358 | 106 | $58 \%$ | 26 | $14 \%$ | 51 | 28\% | - | - | 183 |
| MT-110 | 110 | 78 | $36 \%$ | 93 | 43\% | 43 | 20\% | - | - | 214 |
| MT-111 | 111.311 | 81 | 30\% | 46 | 17\% | 111 | $41 \%$ | $3)$ | 12\% | 269 |
| MT-115 | 115 | 46 | 24\% | 46 | 24\% | 83 | 43\% | 17 | 9\% | 192 |
| MT-117 | 117 | 32 | 18\% | 68 | $37 \%$ | 82 | 45\% | - | $\checkmark$ | 182 |
| MT-120 | 120 | 77 | 24\% | 83 | 26\% | 113 | $35 \%$ | 49 | 15\% | 322 |
| MT-126 | 126 | 22 | 100\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| MT-127 | 127 | - | * | 21 | 44\% | 27 | 56\% | - | - | 48 |
| MT-150 | 150.240 | 133 | 62\% | 34 | 16\% | 17 | 8\% | 30 | 14\% | 214 |
| MT-152 | 152.353 | 37 | 18\% | 130 | 63\% | 34 | 16\% | 7 | $3 \%$ | 208 |
| MT-154 | 154 | - | - | 12 | 36\% | 21 | 64\% | - | - | 33 |
| MT-155 | 155.292 | 91 | 44\% | 47 | 22\% | 58 | $28 \%$ | 13 | 6\% | 209 |
| MT-156 | 156,656 | 47 | 39\% | 10 | 8\% | 54 | 44\% | 11 | 9\% | 122 |
| MT-158 | 158 | 50 | 21\% | 82 | 34\% | 96 | $40 \%$ | 13 | 5\% | 241 |
| MT-161 | 161 | 65 | $38 \%$ | 41 | 24\% | 42 | 24\% | 24 | 14\% | 172 |
| MT-i63 | 163.363 | 36 | 15\% | 55 | $24 \%$ | 107 | 46\% | 35 | 15\% | 233 |
| MT-164 | 164 | 32 | 16\% | 45 | 23\% | 61 | 31\% | 60 | 30\% | 198 |
| MT-165 | 165 | 54 | 26\% | 54 | 26\% | 56 | 27\% | 43 | 21\% | 207 |
| MT-166 | 166.364 | 59 | $24 \%$ | 61 | 25\% | 93 | $38 \%$ | 30 | $12 \%$ | 243 |
| MT-169 | 169 | 95 | $36 \%$ | 84 | 32\% | 76 | 29\% | 9 | 3\% | 264 |
| MT-175 | 175 | 54 | 68\% | 10 | $13 \%$ | 15 | 19\% | - | - | 79 |
| MT-176 | 176 | 37 | 32\% | 32 | 27\% | 41 | 35\% | 7 | 6\% | 117 |
| MT-180 | 180. 181 | 92 | 32\% | 83 | 29\% | 82 | 29\% | 27 | 10\% | 284 |
| MT-183 | 183 | 46 | 40\% | 16 | 14\% | 52 | 46\% | - | - | 114 |
| MT-190 | 190, 194 | 83 | 35\% | 77 | 32\% | 69 | 29\% | 8 | 3\% | 237 |
| MT-200 | 200 | 71 | 25\% | 42 | 15\% | 153 | 54\% | 18 | 6\% | 284 |
| MT-201 | 201 | 74 | $34 \%$ | 74 | 348 | 61 | 28\% | 10 | 5\% | 219 |
| MT-202 | 202 | 3 | 21\% | - | - | 17 | 71\% | 2 | 8\% | 24 |
| MT. 204 | 204 | 54 | 28\% | 21 | $11 \%$ | 92 | 48\% | 24 | 13\% | 191 |
| MT-206 | 206 | 110 | 71\% | 2 | 1\% | 16 | 10\% | 26 | 17\% | 154 |


| Route Group | Lings: | Time of Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak |  | Mid.Bay |  | PM Peok |  | Eve/Early AM |  |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | $\%$ | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| MT-207 | 207 | 74 | 34\% | 28 | 13\% | 95 | 43\% | 23 | 10\% | 220 |
| MT-209 | 209 | 18 | 18\% | 25 | 25\% | 54 | 53\% | 5 | 5\% | 102 |
| MT-210 | 210 | 71 | 40\% | 64 | $36 \%$ | 43 | 24\% | , | - | 178 |
| MT-211 | 211.215 | 34 | 53\% | - | - | 30 | 47\% | - | * | 64 |
| MT-212 | 212.312 | 52 | 22\% | 15 | 6\% | 115 | 49\% | 52 | 22\% | 234 |
| MT-217 | 217 | 32 | $13 \%$ | 80 | $33 \%$ | 115 | 48\% | 15 | $6 \%$ | 242 |
| MT-220 | 220 | 23 | $37 \%$ | 21 | 34\% | 16 | 26\% | 2 | $3 \%$ | 62 |
| MT-222 | 222 | 26 | 18\% | 14 | 10\% | 86 | 60\% | 17 | 12\% | 143 |
| MT-224 | 224 | 77 | 28\% | 98 | 35\% | 58 | 21\% | 45 | 16\% | 278 |
| MT-230 | 230 | 73 | 38\% | 39 | 20\% | 77 | 40\% | 5 | $3 \%$ | 194 |
| MT-233 | 233 | 19 | 10\% | 76 | 40\% | 93 | 49\% | 3 | 2\% | 191 |
| MT-234 | 234 | 120 | $52 \%$ | 53 | 23\% | 18 | 8\% | 39 | 17\% | 230 |
| MT-236 | 236.237 | 92 | 35\% | 47 | 18\% | 91 | 35\% | 30 | 12\% | 260 |
| MT-239 | 239 | 33 | 49\% | 3 | 4\% | 31 | 46\% | - | - | 67 |
| MT-242 | 242.243 | 102 | 62\% | - | - | 46 | 28\% | 16 | 10\% | 164 |
| MT-244 | 244. 245 | 45 | 19\% | 33 | 14\% | 94 | 40\% | 61 | 26\% | 233 |
| MT-246 | 246.247 | 27 | 26\% | 12 | 11\% | 44 | 42\% | 22 | 21\% | 105 |
| MT-251 | 251, 252 | 193 | 35\% | 109 | 20\% | 193 | $35 \%$ | 59 | 11\% | 554 |
| MT-258 | 258 | 74 | 33\% | 69 | 30\% | 66 | 29\% | 18 | 8\% | 227 |
| MT-260 | 260 | 57 | 29\% | 63 | 32\% | 36 | 18\% | 42 | 21\% | 198 |
| MT-264 | 264. 267 | 62 | $31 \%$ | 61 | 31\% | 72 | 37\% | 2 | 1\% | 197 |
| MT-265 | 265 | 84 | 32\% | 57 | 22\% | 90 | 35\% | 28 | 11\% | 259 |
| MT-268 | 268 | 42 | 33\% | 45 | 35\% | 34 | 26\% | $g$ | $6 \%$ | 129 |
| MT-287 | 287 | 25 | 25\% | 35 | 35\% | 40 | 40\% | 1 | 1\% | 101 |
| MT-290 | 290 | - | - | 42 | 35\% | 76 | 64\% | 1 | 1\% | 119 |
| MT-305 | 305 | 72 | 32\% | 42 | 19\% | 88 | $39 \%$ | 22 | 10\% | 224 |
| MT-344 | 344 | 66 | 40\% | 11 | 7\% | 65 | 40\% | 21 | 13\% | 163 |
| MT-439 | 439 | 19 | 51\% | 8 | 22\% | 7 | $19 \%$ | 3 | 8\% | 37 |
| MT-442 | 442 | 18 | 55\% | - | - | 10 | 30\% | 5 | 15\% | 33 |
| MT-445 | 445 | 43 | 41\% | 14 | 13\% | 27 | 25\% | 22 | 21\% | 106 |
| MT-450 | 450 | 29 | 63\% | - | - | 17 | $37 \%$ | - | - | 46 |
| MT-460 | 460 | 65 | $33 \%$ | 82 | 42\% | 31 | $16 \%$ | 18 | 9\% | 196 |
| MT-485 | 485 | 99 | 34\% | 91 | $31 \%$ | 92 | 31\% | 12 | 4\% | 294 |
| MT-487 | 487. 489 | 78 | $35 \%$ | 61 | 28\% | 70 | $32 \%$ | 12 | $5 \%$ | 221 |
| MT-534 | 534 | 30 | 14\% | 40 | 19\% | 74 | 35\% | 66 | $31 \%$ | 210 |


| Route Group | Lines | Time of Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AMPeak |  | Mid-Day |  | PM Peok |  | Eve/Early AM |  |  |
|  |  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  |
| MT-550 | 550 | 72 | 38\% | 57 | $30 \%$ | 40 | 21\% | 23 | 12\% | 192 |
| MT-577 | 577 | 52 | 35\% | 41 | $28 \%$ | 46 | 31\% | 8 | 5\% | 147 |
| MT-611 | 611 | 116 | 48\% | 37 | 15\% | 56 | 23\% | 35 | 1.4\% | 244 |
| MT.612 | 612 | 112 | $39 \%$ | 115 | 40\% | 43 | 15\% | 19 | 7\% | 289 |
| MT-620 | 620 | 15 | 18\% | 48 | $56 \%$ | 16 | 19\% | 6 | 7\% | 85 |
| MT-64S | 645 | 21 | 54\% | 7 | 18\% | 11 | 28\% | - | - | 39 |
| MT-665 | 665 | 40 | 4.5\% | 3 | $3 \%$ | 42 | $48 \%$ | 3 | 3\% | 88 |
| MT-685 | 685 | 29 | 26\% | 21 | 19\% | 34 | 49\% | 6 | 5\% | 110 |
| MT-687 | 686.687 | 46 | $30 \%$ | 39 | 26\% | 60 | 40\% | 6 | 4\% | 151 |
| MT-704 | 704 | 104 | 41\% | 54 | 21\% | 94 | 37\% | - | - | 252 |
| MT. 705 | 705 | 157 | 59\% | 36 | 14\% | 54 | 20\% | 19 | 7\% | 266 |
| MT-710 | 710 | 27 | 16\% | 54 | 33\% | 59 | 36\% | 26 | 16\% | 166 |
| MT. 720 | 720 | 110 | 38\% | 63 | 22\% | 114 | 40\% | - | - | 287 |
| MT-728 | 728 | 82 | $33 \%$ | 60 | 24\% | 99 | 40\% | 7 | 3\% | 248 |
| MT-730 | 730 | 98 | 53\% | 27 | 15\% | 49 | 26\% | 11 | 6\% | 185 |
| MT-733 | 733 | 56 | 33\% | 10 | $6 \%$ | 74 | 44\% | 29 | 17\% | 169 |
| MT-734 | 734 | 67 | 41\% | - | - | 74 | 45\% | 23 | 14\% | 164 |
| M 1.740 | 740 | 64 | $39 \%$ | - | - | 67 | 41\% | 32 | 20\% | 163 |
| MT-74 | $74 i$ | 61 | 20\% | 122 | 40\% | 114 | 38\% | 6 | 2\% | 303 |
| MT. 745 | 745 | 69 | $29 \%$ | 29 | 12\% | 120 | 51\% | 17 | 7\% | 235 |
| MT-750 | 750 | 84 | $39 \%$ | 34 | 16\% | 80 | $37 \%$ | 18 | 8\% | 216 |
| MT-751 | 751 | 67 | 25\% | 50 | 19\% | 146 | 54\% | 5 | 2\% | 268 |
| Mi-754 | 754 | 78 | 48\% | - | - | 85 | 52\% | - | - | 163 |
| MT-757 | 757 | 159 | 81\% | 14 | 7\% | 24 | 12\% | - | * | 197 |
| MT-760 | 760 | 87 | $31 \%$ | 54 | 19\% | 87 | 31\% | 51 | 18\% | 279 |
| MT. 761 | 761 | 20 | 11\% | 47 | 25\% | 91 | 49\% | 27 | 15\% | 185 |
| MT.762 | 762 | 42 | 26\% | 33 | 20\% | 58 | 35\% | 31 | 19\% | 164 |
| MT. 770 | 770 | 66 | 43\% | 30 | 19\% | 56 | $36 \%$ | 3 | 2\% | 155 |
| MT. 780 | 780 | 123 | 42\% | 59 | 20\% | 84 | 29\% | 24 | 8\% | 290 |
| MT-794 | 794 | 93 | 46\% | 37 | 18\% | 40 | 20\% | 32 | 16\% | 202 |
| MT-901 | 901 | - | - | 42 | 20\% | 164 | 78\% | 4 | 2\% | 210 |
| MT-910 | 910 | 45 | $26 \%$ | 35 | 20\% | 72 | 42\% | 19 | 11\% | 171 |
|  | Totor | 8.974 | $33 \%$ | 6.686 | 25\% | 9.335 | $34 \%$ | 2.259 | 8\% | 27.254 |

Table 2.4 lists the cumulative response rates for the bus effort. The final response rate for bus collection was 24 percent. Route 202 (Wilmington via Alameda St) had the highest response vate at 89 percent, while route 901 (the Metro Orange Line) had the lowest response rate at 11 percent.

Table 2.4: Bus Response Rates

| Route <br> Type | Route Group | Lines | Roule Name | Surveyabie Boarcings | Completes | Response Rale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | M.- .2 | 2. 302 | Procitic Palisodes via Sunset Bl | 449 | 180 | 40\% |
| Bus | MT-. 4 | 4 | Santa Manica via Santa Manlca Bi | 954 | 162 | 17\% |
| Bus | MT- 10 | 10 | West Hollywoad via Temple Si \& Melrose Av | 612 | 180 | 29\% |
| Bus | MT- 14 | 14 | Beverly Hills vio Eeverly Bl | 861 | 225 | 26\% |
| Bus | MT- 16 | 16.316 | Century Qly via 3ra 51 | 932 | 192 | 21\% |
| Bus | MT- 18 | 18 | Mantebello via 6 th St \& Whitier Bl | 1,310 | 363 | $28 \%$ |
| Bus | MT- 20 | 20 | Sonto monica vio Wilshire Bi | 869 | 207 | 24\% |
| Bus | MT-, 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 26.51 . \\ & 52.352 \end{aligned}$ | Artesia Transit Center vio Avalon Bl | 3.717 | 999 | 27\% |
| Bus | MT. 28 | 28 | Century City vio West Olympic BI | 1.183 | 245 | 21\% |
| Bus | MT- 30 | 30 | Inciana Station via Pica B1 \& Easi Ist \$1 | 339 | 198 | 58\% |
| Bus | MT-. 33 | 33 | Sonto Manica via venice BI | 697 | 214 | $31 \%$ |
| Bus | MT-. 35 | 35 | Wasnington/Fairfox Tronsit Hutb via Washington BI | 2.216 | 430 | 19\% |
| Bus | MT-. 37 | 37 | Woshington/Faiffox Tronsit Hub via Adams Bl | 575 | 133 | 23\% |
| Bus | MT-. 38 | 38 | Washingtan/Fairox vio W. Jefferson Bl | 1.099 | 242 | 22\% |
| Bus | MT-. 40 | 40, 42 | Gallerio via King / La lijera / Howthome | 1,435 | 341 | 24\% |
| Bus | MT- 45 | 45 | Rosewocd vio Broodwoy | 786 | 208 | 26\% |
| Bus | MT-. 48 | 48 | Avalon Stotion vio main St \& South San Pedro St | 935 | 251 | 27\% |
| Bus | MT-. 53 | 53 | CSU Dominguez Hills via Central Av | 1.268 | 413 | 33\% |
| Bus | MT. 55 | 55.355 | imperial/Wilmington Station via Compton Av | 904 | 323 | 36\% |
| Bus | MT- 60 | 60 | Arteska Station via Long beoch Bl | 1.266 | 351 | $28 \%$ |
| Bus | MT- 62 | 62 | Hawailon Gardens via Telegroph Rd | 759 | 218 | 29\% |
| Bus | MT-. 66 | 66 | Mantebello via 8ih \& Olympic Bl | 919 | 223 | 24\% |
| Bus | MT. 68 | 68.84 | Eagle Rock vio Eagle Rock 81 | 1.416 | 283 | 20\% |
| Bus | MT-. 70 | 70 | El Monle via Garvey Av | 782 | 148 | 19\% |
| Bus | MT-. 71 | 71 | Cal State LA via Wabash Av \& City Terrace Dr | 512 | 147 | 29\% |
| Bus | MT-. 76 | 76 | El Monle via valley bi | 1.326 | 204 | 15\% |
| Bus | MT-. 78 | $\begin{aligned} & 78.79 . \\ & 378 \end{aligned}$ | Arcada vio Los Tunas Dr \& Huntington Dr | 2,033 | 297 | 15\% |
| Bus | MT. 8 ! | 81 | Harbor Fwy Statian via Figueroa | 1.488 | 327 | 22\% |
| Bus | MIT-83 | 83 | Eogle Rock vio York BI, Pasadena AV | 900 | 198 | 22\% |
| Bus | MT- 90 | 90.91 | Suntond vio Glendole Av/Foothill BI | 937 | 186 | 20\% |
| Bus | MT- 92 | 92 | To Eurbonk Siotion via Glendale BI/Brand B!/Glenooks 31 | 840 | 263 | 31\% |


| Route <br> Type | Route Group | Lines | Route Name | Surveyable Boardings | Completes | Response Rale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | MT- 94 | 94 | Sun Valley via San Femando Ra | 1,320 | 19.4 | 15\% |
| Bus | MT-102 | 102 | South Gate vio Colseumst | 1.128 | 336 | $30 \%$ |
| Buis | MT-105 | 105 | Vemon vio Lo Clenego Bi \& Vernon Av | 1,223 | 3.30 | 27\% |
| Bus | NTT-108 | 108.358 | Plco Rivera via Slouson Av | 734 | 183 | 25\% |
| Bus | Mi-110 | 110 | Bell Gardens vid Jelterson BI / Goge Av | 828 | 214 | 26\% |
| Bus | MT-111 | 111.311 | Nomalk Station via Florence Av | 1.058 | 269 | 25\% |
| Bus | MT-115 | 115 | Nonwalk vio Manchester, Firestone | 641 | 192 | 30\% |
| Bus | MT-117 | 117 | Downey vio Cenlury Bi \& Imperial Hwy | 518 | 182 | 35\% |
| Bus | MT 120 | 120 | Whittwood mall via imperiol Hwy | 1.192 | 322 | 27\% |
| Bus | MT-126 | 126 | Howhome Station via Monhatton Beach Bl | 46 | 22 | 48\% |
| Bus | MT-127 | 127 | Downey vio Compton Bl 8 Somerset Bl | 141 | 48 | $34 \%$ |
| Bus | MT-150 | 150.240 | Canogo Pars - Universal City station | 1.016 | 21.4 | 21\% |
| Bus | MT-152 | 152.353 | Woodlond Hils - North Hollywood Station | 1.105 | 208 | 19\% |
| Bus | MT-154 | 154 | Burbank Stationi via Burbank BI \& Oxnord St | 191 | 33 | 17\% |
| Bus | MT-155 | 155.292 | Burbank Stotion via Riverside Dr, Olive Av. Glenooks Bi | 619 | 209 | $34 \%$ |
| Bus | MT-156 | 156.656 | Van Nuys, Hollywood, Penorama City | 329 | 122 | 37\% |
| Bus | MT-158 | 158 | Sherman Oaks via Devonstire St, Woodmon Av | 920 | 241 | 26\% |
| Bus | MT-161 | 161 | Womer Center vio Westlake viliage. Agoura Hills. Colab | 808 | 172 | 21\% |
| Bus | MT-163 | 163.363 | West Hills Medical Center - Sun Volley/North Hollywood | 1.261 | 233 | 18\% |
| Bus | MT-164 | 164 | Burbank Station vio Victory Bi | 1.323 | 198 | 15\% |
| Bus | MT-165 | 165 | Burbank Station vio vanowen St | 1.049 | 207 | 20\% |
| Bus | MT-166 | 166.364 | Sun Volley vio Nordhott S.t. Oshorne St | 1,058 | 243 | 23\% |
| Bus | MT-169 | 169 | Sunland via Saticoy st, Sunland bi | 1,184 | 264 | 22\% |
| Bus | M1-175 | 175 | Hollywood vio Hyperion \& Fountain Avs | 312 | 79 | 25\% |
| Bus | MT-176 | 176 | El Monte Station via Mission 51 \& Mission Dr | 486 | 117 | 24\% |
| Bus | MT-180 | 180.181 | Pasadena via Los Felz 318 Colorodo 81 | 986 | 284 | 29\% |
| Bus | MT-183 | 183 | Glendale Station va Magnolia 81 | 392 | 114 | 29\% |
| Bus | MT-190 | 190.194 | Cal Paly Pomono via Ramono Bi \& Valley BI | 1.308 | 237 | $18 \%$ |
| Bus | MT-200 | 200 | Expositian Park via Alvarado St \& Hoover 51 | 1.561 | 28.4 | $18 \%$ |
| Eus | MT 201 | 201 | Koreatown via Siver Lake BI | 837 | 219 | 26\% |
| Bus | MT-202 | 202 | Wilmington vio Alomedasi | 27 | 24 | $89 \%$ |
| Bus | MT-204 | 204 | Alhens via vermont $A v$ | 877 | 191 | 22\% |
| Bus | MT.206 | 206 | Athens vio Nomande Av | 1.163 | 154 | 13\% |
| Bus | MT-207 | 207 | Alhens vio Westem Av | 1.355 | 220 | 16\% |
| Bus | MT-209 | 209 | Athans vio Van Ness Ave | 346 | 102 | 29\% |


| Route <br> Type | Route Group | Lines | Route Name | Surveyabie. Boarcings | Completes | Response Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | MT-210 | 210 | South Boy Gallerio vio Crenshow Bi | 699 | 178 | $25 \%$ |
| Bus | MT-2I 1 | 211.215 | Redondo Beoch vio Prairie Av, Inglewood Av | 188 | 64 | $34 \%$ |
| Bus | MT-212 | 212,312 | Howtharne Stotion via La Brea Av | 1.124 | 234 | 21\% |
| Bus | MT-217 | 217 | Foirfox/Wostington vio Hollywood 81 \& Fgirfox Av | 1.631 | 242 | 15\% |
| Bus | MT-220 | 220 | Culver Clity via Robertson 81 | 106 | 62 | 58\% |
| Bus | MT-222 | 222 | Sun Valley - Hollywood | 668 | 143 | 21\% |
| Bus | MT-224 | 224 | Syimor Stalion - Universol Clity Stotion | 1,155 | 278 | 24\% |
| Bus | MT-230 | 230 | Stucio Cily vio Laurel Canyon Bl | 1.010 | 194 | 19\% |
| Bus | MT-233 | 233 | Shermon Ooks vio van Nuys Bl | 695 | 191 | 27\% |
| Bus | MT-234 | 234 | Shermon Ooks vio Sepulvedo B1, Brand BI | 594 | 230 | $39 \%$ |
| Bus | MT-236 | 236. 237 | Syimar Station - Encíno | 1.027 | 260 | 25\% |
| Bus | MT-239 | 239 | Encino via Zelzoh Av. White Ook Av | 178 | 67 | 38\% |
| Bus | MT-242 | 242. 243 | Woodiond Hills vio Tompo Av \& Winnetko Av | 368 | 164 | 45\% |
| Bus | MT-244 | 244, 245 | Woadiand Hills vio De Soto Av/Topango Conyon 81 | 1.117 | 233 | 21\% |
| Bus | MT-246 | 246.247 | Artesio Tronsit Center vio Avalon Bl | 347 | 105 | 30\% |
| Bus | MT-251 | 251. 252 | Lynwood vio Solo \$1 | 2,84.4 | 554 | 19\% |
| Bus | MT-258 | 258 | Paromount vio Fremont Av \& Ecstern Av | 703 | 227 | 32\% |
| Bus | MT-260 | 260 | Arlesio Blue Line Station via Foir Ooks Av \& Aliartic Bl | 956 | 198 | 21\% |
| Bus | MT-264 | 264, 267 | Duorte: Altodeno / Emonte | 785 | 197 | 25\% |
| Bus | MT-265 | 265 | Lakewood Center moll v/a Poramount BI | 557 | 259 | 46\% |
| Bus | MT-268 | 268 | E Mante via Baldwin Av \& Washington Bl | 842 | 129 | 15\% |
| Bus | MT. 287 | 287 | The Shops of monlebello via Tyler Av \& Rush Si | 289 | 101 | 35\% |
| Bus | MT-290 | 290 | Sunland vio Foothill Bl | 723 | 119 | 16\% |
| Bus | MT-305 | 305 | Westwaod, Leimert Park, Willowbrook | 641 | 224 | 35\% |
| Bus | MT-344 | 344 | Polos verdes wo Howthome BI | 662 | 163 | 25\% |
| Bus | MT-439 | 439 | Culver Clity Tronsit Center val 10 Fwy | 126 | 37 | 29\% |
| Bus | MT-442 | 442 | Howtharne Stotion via manchester il. | 82 | 33 | 40\% |
| Bus | MT-445 | 445 | Horbor Fwy | 328 | 106 | 32\% |
| Bus | MT-450 | 450 | San Pedra v/o Horbor Tronsl twoy | 146 | 46 | $32 \%$ |
| Bus | MT-460 | 460 | Disneylond vio Harbar Tronsitway \& 1-105 Fwy | 674 | 196 | 29\% |
| Bus | MT-485 | 485 | Allateno vio Fremont Av \& Loke Av | 1.107 | 294 | 27\% |
| Bus | MT-487 | 487.489 | Sierra madre Villo Station to El Monte Stallon | 868 | 22.1 | 25\% |
| Bus | MT-534 | 534 | washington/Faifax Transit Hub via Pacific Coast Hwy | 1,152 | 210 | 18\% |
| Bus | MT-550 | 550 | Son Pedro vio Harbor Transitwoy | 640 | 192 | 30\% |
| Bus | MT-577 | 577 | Long Eeach VA Medical Center via l-605 Fwy | 702 | 147 | 21\% |
| Bus | MT-611 | 611 | Huntington Park Shutte | 811 | 244 | 30\% |


| Route <br> Type | Route Group | Lines | Route Name | Surveyable Boardings | Completes | Response Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sus | MT-612 | 612 | South Gale Snutile | 825 | 289 | $35 \%$ |
| Bus | MT-620 | 620 | Boyle Heights via Cesar Chovez Ave \& Stote St. | 131 | 85 | 65家 |
| Bus | MT-645 | 645 | Worner Center vio voley Circle BI. Mulholland Dr | 1.41 | 39 | 28\% |
| Bus | MT. 665 | 665 | City Terrace Shutile | 258 | 88 | 34\% |
| 8us | MT-685 | 685 | Glassell Park vio verougo Ra. | 417 | 110 | 26\% |
| Bus | MT-687 | 686.687 | Altadenc to Pasaciena | 630 | 151 | $24 \%$ |
| Bus | MT.704 | 704 | Santo Monico vio Santo Monica 3l | 906 | 252 | 28\% |
| Bus | MT-705 | 705 | Vernon via Lo Cienego 81 | 1.226 | 266 | 22\% |
| Bus | MT.710 | 710 | South Bay Galleria vic Crenshow 51 | 257 | 166 | 65\% |
| Bus | MT. 720 | 720 | Commerce vio Wishire Bl \& Whittier ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 945 | 287 | 30\% |
| Sus | MT. 728 | 728 | Century City via West Olympic Bi | 1.246 | 248 | 20\% |
| Bus | MT-730 | 730 | Pico/Rimpau via Pico 8. | 615 | 185 | 30\% |
| Bus | MTM73 | 733 | Santa Monica via Venice 31 | 620 | 169 | 27\% |
| Bus | MT-734 | 734 | Sylmar Station vio Sepulveda E! | 681 | 164 | 24\% |
| Bus | MT-740 | 740 | Redando Beoch vio Howthome BI, \& M. L. King 81 | 528 | 163 | 31\% |
| Bus | MT-741 | 741 | Torzono vic Resedo B | 1.259 | 303 | 24\% |
| Bus | MT-745 | 745 | Harbor Freeway Statian via Bracdwoy | 580 | 235 | 41\% |
| Bus | MT.750 | 750 | Universat City Station vio Ventura Bl | 956 | 216 | 23\% |
| 8us | MT-751 | 751 | Huntington Pork vio Solo \$t | 1.214 | 288 | 22\% |
| Bus | MT-754 | 754 | Athens vic Vermont Av | 799 | 163 | 20\% |
| Bus | MT-757 | 757 | Crenshaw Stction via Western Av | 819 | 197 | 24\% |
| Bus | Mr-760 | 760 | Artesio Station via Long Beoch Blvd. | 839 | 279 | 33\% |
| Bus | M7-761 | 761 | Westwood vio Von Nuys 8i, Sepulvedas: | 1.318 | 185 | 14\% |
| Sus | MT-762 | 762 | Artesic Blue line Stotion vio Atlontic Bl | 696 | 164 | 24\% |
| Bus | MT-770 | 770 | El Monte Stotion vio Gorvey \& Cesar EChavez Avs | 749 | 155 | 2;\% |
| Bus | MT-780 | 780 | Pasadena via Faiflax Av \& Hollywaod \& Calorado हl | 1.077 | 290 | 27\% |
| Bus | MT. 794 | 794 | Symor Station via Son Fernondo Rd | 651 | 202 | 31\% |
| Bus | MT-901 | 901 | Metro Orange Une | 1.892 | 210 | 11\% |
| Bus | MT-910 | 910 | Metro Siver Line | B99 | 171 | 19\% |
| Tolol |  |  |  | 113.380 | 27.254 | 24\% |

Table 2.5 documents the usable route observations and the bus population; it was expanded based on ridership figures from fiscal year 2010 . Bus data were weighted and expanded to a total population of $1,119,284$ from the 27,254 pieces of sample collected.

Table 2.5: Expansion of Bus Route Data

| Route Type | Cluster Group | Total Usable Records | Total Expanded Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | MT-.. 2 | 180 | 21.141 |
| Bus | MT-.. 4 | 162 | 20.582 |
| Bus | MT- 10 | 431 | 13.916 |
| Bus | Mr-14 | 358 | 21.372 |
| Bus | MT-16 | 192 | 27.410 |
| Bus | M- 18 | 363 | 26.174 |
| Bus | M ${ }^{T}-20$ | 207 | 17.198 |
| Bus | MT-26 | 999 | 29.986 |
| Bus | MT- 28 | 245 | 8.931 |
| Bus | Mi. 30 | 198 | 13.510 |
| Sus | MT-33 | 214 | 12.287 |
| Bus | MT-35 | 430 | 8.585 |
| Bus | MT- 38 | 242 | 6.020 |
| Bus | MT. 40 | $34 i$ | 24.382 |
| Bus | MT- 45 | 208 | 23.087 |
| Bus | Mr. 53 | 413 | 14.154 |
| Bus | MT- 55 | 323 | 10.859 |
| Bus | Mi- 60 | 351 | 17.979 |
| Bus | MT- 62 | 218 | 4.818 |
| Bus | MT-66 | 223 | 20.617 |
| Bus | MT-68 | 283 | 10.393 |
| Bus | MT- 70 | 148 | 12.505 |
| Bus | MT. 71 | 147 | 1.714 |
| Bus | MT. 76 | 204 | 11.26 . |
| Bus | MT-. 78 | 297 | 11.870 |
| Bus | MT. 81 | 327 | 17.445 |
| Bus | MT-. 83 | 193 | 4.611 |
| Bus | M-. 90 | 186 | 6.542 |
| Bus | MT. 92 | 263 | 5.773 |
| Bus | MT-94 | 194 | 6.604 |
| 8us | MT-102 | 336 | 1.663 |
| Bus | MT. 105 | 330 | 12,654 |


| Route <br> Type | Custer Group | Total Usable Records | Total Expanded Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | MT-108 | 183 | 17.712 |
| Bus | MT-110 | 214 | 10,087 |
| Bus | MT-111 | 269 | 20.475 |
| Bus | Mr-115 | 192 | 17.445 |
| Bus | MT-117 | 182 | 9.671 |
| Bus | MT-120 | 322 | 4.520 |
| Bus | MT-126 | 22 | 229 |
| Bus | MT-127 | 48 | 666 |
| Bus | MT-150 | 214 | 12.175 |
| Bus | MT-152 | 208 | 13.972 |
| Bus | MT-154 | 33 | 1.088 |
| Bus | MT-155 | 61 | 584 |
| Bus | MT-156 | 122 | 1.805 |
| Bus | MT-158 | 241 | 2.430 |
| Bus | MT-161 | 172 | 1.438 |
| Bus | MT-163 | 233 | 10.784 |
| Bus | MT-164 | 198 | 8.156 |
| Bus | MT-165 | 207 | 9,505 |
| Bus | MT-166 | 243 | 7,326 |
| Bus | MT-169 | 264 | 2,578 |
| Bus | MT-175 | 79 | 658 |
| Bus | M 7 -176 | 117 | 1.093 |
| Bus | MT-180 | 284 | 11.509 |
| Bus | MT-183 | 114 | 2.379 |
| Bus | M'-190 | 237 | 8,609 |
| Bus | MT-200 | 284 | 15,399 |
| Bus | M7-201 | 219 | 1,122 |
| Bus | MT-202 | 24 | 253 |
| Bus | MT-204 | 354 | 51,366 |
| Bus | MT-206 | 154 | 13.682 |
| Bus | MT-207 | 417 | 37.894 |
| Bus | MT-209 | 102 | 1.035 |
| Bus | MT-210 | 178 | 14.627 |
| Bus | MT-211 | 64 | 694 |
| Bus | MT-212 | 234 | 13.293 |
| Bus | MT-217 | 242 | 9.687 |


| Route Type | Cluster Group | Total Usable Recoras | Total Expanded Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | MT-220 | 62 | 268 |
| Bus | MT-222 | 143 | 1.347 |
| Bus | MT-22.4 | 278 | 10.124 |
| Bus | MT-230 | 194 | 5.049 |
| Bu5 | MT-233 | 191 | 12,683 |
| Bus | MT-234 | 230 | 6.922 |
| Bus | MT-236 | 260 | 2,767 |
| 805 | MT-239 | 67 | 1.062 |
| Bus | MT-242 | 164 | 2.413 |
| Bus | MT-244 | 233 | 4,331 |
| Bus | MT-246 | 105 | 3.311 |
| Bus | MT-251 | 554 | 12.431 |
| Bus | MT-258 | 227 | 1.722 |
| Bus | MT-260 | 198 | 12.714 |
| Bus | MT-264 | 197 | 4.135 |
| Bus | MT-265 | 259 | 1.948 |
| Bus | MT-268 | 129 | 2.371 |
| Bus | MT-287 | 101 | 773 |
| Bus | MT-290 | 119 | 1.216 |
| Bus | MT-292 | 148 | 2.358 |
| Bus | MT-305 | 224 | 2522 |
| Bus | MT-344 | 163 | 1,851 |
| Bus | MT-439 | 37 | 434 |
| Bus | MT-442 | 33 | 230 |
| Bus | MT-445 | 106 | 1.289 |
| Bus | MT-450 | 46 | 833 |
| Bus | MT-460 | 196 | 4,350 |
| Bus | MI-485 | 294 | 2,694 |
| Bus | MT-487 | 221 | 4,066 |
| Bus | MT 534 | 210 | 2.848 |
| Bus | MT-550 | 192 | 3.076 |
| Bus | MT-577 | 147 | 769 |
| Bus | MT.611 | 244 | 2.024 |
| Bus | MT-612 | 289 | 1.637 |
| Bus | MT-620 | 85 | 313 |
| Bus | MT-64S | 39 | 612 |


| Route Type | Cluster Group | Total Usable Records | Total Expanded Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | MT-665 | 88 | 817 |
| Bus | MT-685 | 110 | 68.4 |
| Bus | MT-687 | 151 | 1,927 |
| Bus | MT-704 | 252 | 11.938 |
| Bus | MT-705 | 266 | 8.392 |
| Bus | M ${ }^{\text {- }} \mathbf{7 1 0}$ | 166 | 7.942 |
| Bus | MT-720 | 287 | 41,166 |
| Bus | Mr-728 | 248 | 7.469 |
| Bus | MT-730 | 185 | 5.153 |
| Bus | MT-733 | 169 | 13.338 |
| Bus | M1-734 | 164 | 3.811 |
| Bus | M 7.740 | 163 | 8.739 |
| Bus | MT-741 | 303 | 3.057 |
| Bus | M-745 | 235 | 7.853 |
| Bus | Mi-750 | 216 | 4.845 |
| Bus | MT-751 | 268 | 6.568 |
| Bus | M-760 | 279 | 8.914 |
| Bus | M1.761 | 185 | 11.111 |
| Bus | M-762 | 164 | 5.101 |
| Bus | MT-770 | 155 | 9.293 |
| Bus | MT. 780 | 290 | 10.676 |
| Bus | MT.794 | 202 | 5.305 |
| Bus | MT-901 | 210 | 24.965 |
| Bus | Mi-910 | 171 | 8.538 |
|  | Tolal | 27.254 | 1.119 .284 |

Table 2.6 shows the relationship between vehicle ownership and household income. Ninety percent of bus respondents who do not own vehiclos have an average annual income of less than $\$ 25,000$. Forty-six percent of bus respondents with four or more vehicles have an average annual income of more than $\$ 25,000$.

Table 2.6: Cross-Tabulation of Vehicle Ownership and Household Income

|  | Househoid income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ownership | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tess itanan } \\ & \$ 5,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,000- \\ & \$ 9,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10,000- \\ & \$ 14.999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15,000- \\ & \$ 24.999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25.000- \\ & \$ 34.999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35,000- \\ & \$ 49.999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50.000- \\ & \$ 69.999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 70,000- \\ & \$ 134,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 135,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| None | 28.6\% | 25.4\% | 19.9\% | 16.0\% | 5.8\% | 2.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 100.0\% |
| One | 14.8\% | 16.7\% | 19.9\% | 20.9\% | 13.3\% | 6.7\% | 4.5\% | 2.6\% | 0.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Two | $14.4 \%$ | 12.3\% | 13.1\% | 16.8\% | 14.2\% | 14.9\% | 6.1\% | 6.2\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Three | 14.2\% | 8.1\% | 10.4\% | 21.7\% | 11.8\% | 9.5\% | 7.3\% | 13.6\% | 3.6\% | 100.0\% |
| Four or more | 8.1\% | 10.0\% | 16.0\% | 19.5\% | 10.1\% | 17.7\% | 9.5\% | 4.0\% | 4.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Total | 22.6\% | 20.8\% | 18.7\% | 17.5\% | 9.0\% | 5.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | 100.0\% |

Figure 2.1 shows the distribution of origin trip purpose for bus passengers. Forty-three percent of bus passengers' origin location was home. Respondents who were coming from school, either university or $K$. 12th grade, made up 10 percent of the bus population's origin purpose.

Figure 2.1: Distribution of Origin Trip Purpose


Forty-four percent of bus passengers' destination location was home, as illustrated in Figure 2.2. Social activities, shopping, medical, and civic activities made up 20 percent of passengers' destination purpose.

Figure 2.2: Disłribution of Destination Trip Purpose


Ninety-four percent of bus passengers walked from their oxigin location to their first bus/rail and walked after their final bus/xail to their destination location, as descrihed in Table 2.7.

Table 2.7: Cross-Tabulation of Egress Mode by Access Mode

|  | Access Mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Egress Mode | Walk Wheelchair | Bicycled | Dropped off | Drove cone and porked | Carpooled and parked | Taxi | Dial-ARide | $\begin{aligned} & \text { School } \\ & \text { Bus } \end{aligned}$ | Other |  |
| Walk/Wheelchair | 94.3\% | 0.1\% | 20\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0\% | 97.0\% |
| Bicycled | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Drapped off | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Drove alone and parked | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Carpoaleo and parked | $0.1 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Toxi | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Diol-A-Ride | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| School Bus | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |
| Other | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total | 97.0\% | 0.4\% | 21\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.05 | 0,0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |

Figure 2.3 presents how Metro bus passengers access transit. Three percent of respondents used an auto to get from their origin location to their first bus or train, while the majority of passengers ( 97 percent) walked or biked.

Figure 2.3: Distribution of Access Mode


Figure 2.4 presents how Metro bus passengers egress transit. Two percent of respondents used an auto to get from their last bus or train to their final destination. The majority of passengers ( 98 percent) walked ox biked to their final destination after their last bus or train. The egress mode results generally mirror those for the access mode.

Figure 2.4: Distribution of Egress Mode


Table 2.8 illustrates the relationship between the bus route surveyed, and the total number of vehicles needed to complete respondents' one-way trips. Thirty-three percent of all bus passengers used a total of two vehicles, either bus or rail, to complete their one-way-trip. Forty-one percent of passengers used three or more vehicles to complete their trip.

Table 2.8: Cross-Tabulation of Line by Total Vehicles Used

| Cluster Group | Total Vehicles Used |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 cr more |  |
| Mi-.. 2 | 39.6\% | $43.9 \%$ | 10.9\% | 5.6\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-.. 4 | 51.5\% | 36.3\% | 9.4\% | 2.7\% | 100.0\% |
| Mr. 10 | 60.8\% | 27.0\% | 10.3\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| MT- 14 | 43.7\% | $38.4 \%$ | 16.6\% | 1.4\% | 100.0\% |
| MT. 16 | 43.5\% | 38.3\% | 14.8\% | 3.4\% | 100.0\% |
| MT. 18 | 49.1\% | $32.9 \%$ | 11.5\% | 6.5\% | 100.0\% |
| MT- 20 | 40.2\% | 47.7\% | 9.1\% | 3.0\% | 100.0\% |
| MT- 26 | 50.4\% | 36.3\% | 9.8\% | 3.5\% | 100.0\% |
| MT. 28 | 40.2\% | 51.1\% | 7.5\% | 1.2\% | 100.0\% |
| MT. 30 | 21.9\% | 56.1\% | 20.5\% | 1.5\% | 100.0\% |
| MT. 33 | 57.4\% | 35.5\% | 4.4\% | 2.7\% | 100.0\% |
| MT. 35 | 25.8\% | $53.4 \%$ | 17.1\% | 3.7\% | 100.0\% |
| MT. 38 | 36.1\% | 39.7\% | 20.4\% | $3.8 \%$ | 100.0\% |
| MT. 40 | 43.3\% | 43.1\% | 12.0\% | 1.7\% | 100.0\% |
| MT. 45 | 40.3\% | 56.1\% | 2.8\% | 0.8\% | 100.0\% |


| Cluster Group | Total Vehicles Used |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 cr mare |  |
| MT-. 53 | 33.0\% | 52.6\% | 10.5\% | 3.9\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-. 55 | 55.2\% | 33.1\% | 9.9\% | 1.7\% | 100.0\% |
| MT- 60 | $32.1 \%$ | 52.0\% | 13.4\% | 2.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Mil- 62 | 46.7\% | 41.4\% | $8.6 \%$ | 3.3\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-. 66 | 54.3\% | 33.8\% | 11.8\% | 0,2\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-. 68 | $39.1 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | 5.1\% | 100.0\% |
| MT- 70 | 37.4\% | 47.9\% | 11.8\% | 2.9\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-. 71 | 22.3\% | $59.7 \%$ | 12.6\% | 5.5\% | 100.0\% |
| MT- 76 | 46.1\% | 36.7\% | 13.9\% | 3.3\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-. 78 | 428\% | 46.1\% | 9.2\% | 2.0\% | 100.0\% |
| MT- $\mathrm{B1}$ | 49.5\% | 37.2\% | 10.7\% | 2.5\% | 100.0\% |
| MT- 83 | 42.4\% | 40.6\% | 13.1\% | $3.9 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| MT. 90 | 49.7\% | 35.3\% | 13.8\% | 1.1\% | 100.0\% |
| MT. 92 | 41.4\% | 39.5\% | 13.8\% | $5.4 \%$ | 100.0\% |
| MTT. 94 | 31.1\% | 50,0\% | $17.4 \%$ | 1.5\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-102 | 49.8\% | 34,4\% | $11.4 \%$ | 4.4\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-105 | 22.4\% | 52.3\% | 21.2\% | 4.2\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-108 | 27.0\% | 53.4\% | 15.2\% | 4.4\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-110 | 41.4\% | 42.1\% | $14.6 \%$ | 1.9\% | 100,0\% |
| MT-111 | 24.4\% | 49.3\% | $22.7 \%$ | 3.7\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-115 | 39.0\% | 37.6\% | 18.0\% | 5.4\% | 100.0\% |
| MT: 17 | 35.9\% | 44.9\% | 14.8\% | 4.4\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-120 | $36.2 \%$ | 44.2\% | 14.8\% | 4.8\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-126 | $70.3 \%$ | 7.6\% | 12.6\% | 3.4\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-127 | 38.9\% | 44.5\% | 13.6\% | 3.0\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-150 | 43.2\% | 32.4\% | $17.0 \%$ | 7.4\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-152 | 48.8\% | $37.2 \%$ | 11.0\% | 2.9\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-154 | 47.8\% | 27.4\% | 10.8\% | 14.0\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-155 | 22.5\% | $36.6 \%$ | 34.4\% | $6.6 \%$ | 100.0\% |
| MT-156 | 20.0\% | 38.8\% | 32.0\% | 9.2\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-158 | 44.6\% | 42.5\% | 7.9\% | 5.0\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-161 | 27.7\% | $42.3 \%$ | 19.6\% | $10.4 \%$ | 100.0\% |
| MT-163 | 42.6\% | 44.7\% | 9.7\% | 3.0\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-164 | 58.3\% | 31.2\% | 7.9\% | 2.5\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-165 | 45.0\% | 35.2\% | 11.2\% | 8.6\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-166 | 40.7\% | 43.1\% | 12.7\% | $3.4 \%$ | 100.0\% |
| MT-169 | 65.8\% | 23.6\% | 6.8\% | 3.9\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-175 | 4.7\% | 67.6\% | 16.3\% | $11.4 \%$ | 100.0\% |
| MT-176 | 35.7\% | 45.7\% | 17.5\% | 1.1\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-180 | 40.6\% | 39.1\% | 14.9\% | 5.3\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-183 | 54.5\% | 27.3\% | $10.4 \%$ | 7.8\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-190 | 51.6\% | 31.0\% | 14.4\% | $3.0 \%$ | 100.0\% |
| MT-200 | 26.3\% | 49.7\% | 18.0\% | 6.0\% | 100.0\% |


| Cluster Group | Total Venicles Used |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2. | 3 | 4 or more |  |
| Mr-20\% | 49.6\% | 37.5\% | 8.6\% | 4.3\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-202 | 55.2\% | 33.7\% | $11.1 \%$ | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| MY.204 | $44.3 \%$ | 41.2\% | $11.7 \%$ | 2.9\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-206 | 28.9\% | 61.9\% | 6.5\% | 2.7\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-207 | 32.8\% | 46.4\% | 14.7\% | 6.1\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-209 | 35.9\% | 40,1\% | $15.8 \%$ | 8.2\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-210 | 30.9\% | $43.9 \%$ | 20.0\% | 5.2\% | 100.0\% |
| MT. 211 | 56.6\% | 31.5\% | 9.9\% | 2.0\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-212 | 26.9\% | 53.3\% | 17.3\% | 2.5\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-217 | 38.1\% | $41.8 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | 5.2\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-220 | 28.7\% | 53.0\% | $16.1 \%$ | 2.2\% | 100.0\% |
| M1. 222 | 28.7\% | 37.6\% | $21.6 \%$ | 12.1\% | 100.0\% |
| MT. 224 | 28.7\% | 39.0\% | 25.2\% | 7.2\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-230 | 40.2\% | 46.8\% | 7.7\% | 5.4\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-233 | 38.7\% | 47.0\% | $10.0 \%$ | 4.3\% | 100.0\% |
| MI-234 | 42.8\% | 40.6\% | 11.9\% | 4.6\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-236 | 25.4\% | 49.2\% | 14.5\% | 10.9\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-239 | 68.0\% | 28.6\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-242 | 39.6\% | 44.6\% | 8.9\% | 6.9\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-244 | $45.1 \%$ | 38.7\% | 9.0\% | 7.2\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-246 | 61.3\% | 19.9\% | 9.0\% | 9.8\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-251 | 47.0\% | 39.4\% | $9.2 \%$ | 4.4\% | $100.0 \%$ |
| MT-258 | 47.08 | 43.7\% | 8.2\% | 1.1\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-260 | 32.5\% | 46.9\% | 17.3\% | 3.3\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-264 | 51.3\% | 37.9\% | 8.1\% | 2.6\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-265 | $36.9 \%$ | 36.0\% | 13.5\% | 13.6\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-268 | 46.3\% | $38.5 \%$ | 10.8\% | 4.4\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-287 | 46.7\% | 36.8\% | 15.5\% | 1.1\% | 100.0\% |
| M 7 -290 | $52.3 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | 6.6\% | $2.9 \%$ | 100.0\% |
| MT. 292 | 50.1\% | 35.0\% | 13.2\% | 1.7\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-305 | 46.9\% | 38.1\% | 9.7\% | 5.3\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-344 | 26.0\% | 34.3\% | $31.7 \%$ | 8.0\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-439 | 28.2\% | 25.6\% | 40.7\% | 5.4\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-442 | 49.5\% | 46.0\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| MT.445. | 22.1\% | 43.2\% | 21.6\% | 13.2\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-450 | $32.1 \%$ | 17.0\% | 35.3\% | 15.5\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-460 | 32.9\% | 35.0\% | 22.0\% | 10.0\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-485 | 41.2\% | 39.2\% | 15.2\% | 4.4\% | $100.0 \%$ |
| MT-487 | 43.5\% | $38.3 \%$ | 15.5\% | 2.6\% | $100.0 \%$ |
| MT-53.4 | 11.9\% | 49.2\% | 31.0\% | 7.8\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-550 | $46.6 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ | 8.5\% | 3.1\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-577 | 15.6\% | 39.0\% | 35.0\% | 10.4\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-6: 1 | 38.2\% | $30.7 \%$ | 19.0\% | $12.1 \%$ | 100.0\% |


| Cluster Group | Toial Vehicles Used |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 ormore |  |
| MT-612 | $50.4 \%$ | 26.9\% | 18.9\% | 3.8\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-620 | 57.5\% | 33.7\% | 6.2\% | 2.8\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-645 | 36.2\% | 31.0\% | 30.0\% | 1.9\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-665 | 45.5\% | $41.8 \%$ | 7.5\% | 5.2\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-685 | 43.8\% | $32.0 \%$ | 22.4\% | 1.8\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-637 | 47.0\% | 28.5\% | 13.3\% | 11.1\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-704 | 42.7\% | 40.2\% | $14.7 \%$ | 2.5\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-705 | 29.9\% | 40.3\% | 19.2\% | 10.6\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-710 | 24.18 | 42.3\% | 23.3\% | 10.3\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-720 | $40.3 \%$ | 40.1\% | 16.3\% | 3.3\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-728 | 42.2\% | 43.7\% | 9.7\% | $4.4 \%$ | 100.0\% |
| MT-730 | 42.6\% | $32.8 \%$ | 17.9\% | $6.8 \%$ | 100.0\% |
| MT-733 | 25.7\% | 56.1\% | 16.7\% | 1.5\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-734 | 21.2\% | 56.3\% | 15.2\% | 7.3\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-740 | 35.7\% | 42.3\% | 18.2\% | 3.8\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-741 | 18.1\% | 44.9\% | 18.9\% | 18.1\% | $100.0 \%$ |
| MT. 745 | $37.8 \%$ | 47.9\% | 12.1\% | 2.2\% | 100.0\% |
| MT. 750 | 17.5\% | 44.4\% | 23.4\% | 14.7\% | 100.0\% |
| MT.751 | 24.9\% | 51.9\% | $16.1 \%$ | 7.1\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-760 | 25.1\% | 48.9\% | 20.8\% | 5.2\% | $100.0 \%$ |
| MT-761 | 35.2\% | 35.3\% | 22.5\% | $6.9 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| MT.762 | 28.4\% | 49.0\% | 17.0\% | 5.7\% | 100.0\% |
| MT. 770 | 36.1\% | 45.3\% | 18.8\% | 1.8\% | 100.0\% |
| MT. 780 | 22.3\% | 43.6\% | 24.6\% | 9.5\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-794 | 38.7\% | 43.5\% | $16.6 \%$ | 1.2\% | 100.0\% |
| MT-901 | 21.9\% | $372 \%$ | 26.9\% | 14.0\% | 100.0\% |
| MT.910 | 25.7\% | 33.4\% | 29.3\% | $11.6 \%$ | 100.0\% |
| Tolal | 38.9\% | 42.3\% | 14.4\% | 4.4\% | 100.0\% |

Figure 2.5 details the number of working vehicles available to the passenger. Passengers with fewer than two vehicles in their household made up 81 percent of the bus passenger population. Passengers with more than two vehicles in their household made up five percent of the bus passenger population.

Figure 2.5: Vehicle Avallability


Figure 2.6 docurents how bus passengers paid their fare. Fifty-three percent of bus passengers used day, weekly, or monthly passes to pay for their trip, while thirty-six percent of bus passengers paid their fare by cash or token.

Figure 2.6: Distrlbution of Fare


Figure 2.7 summarizes the number of full-time or part-time workers in a surveyed household. Cightyone percent of bus passengers have two or fewer employed household members. Bus passengers living in households with four or more employed members make up seven percent the ridership.

Figure 2.7: Distribution of Household Workers
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Figure 2.8 characterizes bus passengers' driver's license status. Seventy-five percent of bus passengers do not possess a valid driver's license. There is a direct relationship between the number of bus passengers with no vehicles in their households, reported at 55 percent, and the number of bus passengers, two-thirds of the ridership overall, who do not possess a valid driver's license.

Figure 2.8: Distribution of Valid Driver's License


Bus passengers who participated in the survey specified their employment status as reported in Figure 2.9. Sixty-foul percent of bus passengers are employed either full- or part-time. Nineteen percent of bus passengers are students, while 10 percent of passengers are unemployed.

Figure 2.9: Distribution of Employment Status


Figure 2.10 shows the age distribution of bus passengers. A plurality of bus passengers ( 28 percent) are between the ages of 35 and 49 yrurs old. Forty-seven percent of bus passengers are younger than 35 , and 25 pereont of passongers are 50 yonrs of age or older.

Figure 2.10: Distribution of Age


Figure 2.11 shows the distribution of ethnicity of Metro bus passengers. Hispanics, at 67 percent of the ridership, make up the majority of bus passengers; African Anerican passengers make up the second largest group, at 17 percent of the ridership.

Figure 2.11: Distribution of Ethnicity


As seen in Figure 2.12, 80 percent of bus passengers' bousehold income is less than $\$ 25,000$ annually, while 6 percent or Metro passengers make an annual income of $\$ 50,000$ or more.

Figure 2.12: Distribution of Househoid Annual Income


Fifty-nine percent of bus surveys were completed in English, which is 18 percent lower than the rail data collection, at 77 percent.

Figure 2.13: Distribution of Language


## Metro Rail Analysis

Table 3.1 documents the sample goals and the number of completed surveys for the individual rail lines that serve the Metro region. As directed by the FTA and Metro, PTV NuStats oversampled the Gold Line Lo oblain travel behaviors from passengers who access or egress the Gold Line between Mariachi Plaza and the Atlantic station.

Table 3.1: Rall Goals

| Route Iype | Route | Route Name | Goal | Completes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ral | 801 | Metro Blue Line | 1.552 | 1.552 |
| Ral | 802 | Mero Red Line | $2.29:$ | 2.297 |
| Rall | 803 | Mero Green Line | 776 | 776 |
| Ral | 804 | Mero Gold Line | 642 | 642 |
| Rail | 804 | Mero Gold Line (Oversample) | 500 | 517 |
| Rail | 805 | Mera Purple Line | 739 | 744 |
|  |  |  | Yotal | 6.500 |

A cross tabalation of line by time of day demonstrates the number of observations collected for the Metro rail lines. The rail lines were evenly sampled by time of day with a 3 percentage point difference between AM peak period ( 27 percent) and PM peak period ( 30 percent). Thirty-nine percent of total trips were sampled during the Mid-Day period.

Table 3.2: Cross-Tabulation of Line by Time of Day

| Line | Time of Day |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak | Mid-Dcy | FM Peak | Eve/ <br> Ealy AM | Total |
| 801 | 359 | 697 | 479 | 17 | 1.552 |
| 802 | 675 | 764 | 715 | 143 | 2.297 |
| 803 | 265 | 279 | 163 | 69 | 776 |
| 804 | 205 | 469 | 433 | 52 | 1.159 |
| 805 |  | 235 | 322 | 157 | 30 |
|  | Total | 1.739 | 2.531 | 1.947 | 311 |

The total response rate for the rail effort was 22 percent. The Green line had the highest response rate, at 27 percent, and the Purple line had the lowest response rate, at 11 percent.

Table 3.3: Rail Response Rates

| Route lype | Route | Route Name | Surveyable <br> Boardings | Complefes | Response <br> Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rail | 801 | Metro Blue tine | 6.161 | 1.552 | $25.2 \%$ |
| Rail | 802 | Netro Red Line | 10.515 | 2.297 | $21.8 \%$ |
| Rail | 803 | Metro Green Line | 2.926 | 776 | $26.5 \%$ |
| Rail | 804 | Metro Gola Line | 4.733 | 1.159 | $24.5 \%$ |
| Rail | 805 | Metro Purple Line | 4.540 | 517 | $11.4 \%$ |
|  |  | Tolal | 28.875 | 6.301 | $21.8 \%$ |

Table 3.4 documents the usable rail observations, which were expanded based on ridership figures from fiscal year 2010. The final rail observations were expanded to a total population of 297,167 from the 6,528 pieces of sample collected.

Table 3.4: Expansion of Rail Line Data

| Route Type | Route | Route Name | Total Usab\% Records | Total Exponded Dola |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rail | 801 | Metro 3lue Line | 1,552 | 76.908 |
| Reil | 802 | Metra Redline | 2.297 | 113.501 |
| Rail | 803 | Metro Green Une | 776 | 38.442 |
| Rail | 804 | Metro Gold Line | 1.159 | 31.828 |
| Rail | 805 | Metro Puple Line | 744 | 36.488 |
|  |  | Total | 6.528 | 297.167 |

Table 3.5 shows the relationship between vehicle ownership and household income. Eighty-nine percent of rail respondents who do not own vehicles have an average annual income of less than $\$ 25,000$. Seventy-three percent of rail respendents with four or more vehicles have an average annual income of $\$ 25,000$ or more.

Table 3.5: Cross-Tabulation of Vehicie Ownership and Household Income

| Vehicle Ownership | Household Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less: } \\ & \text { than } \\ & \$ 5.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5.000- \\ & \$ 9.999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10,000- \\ & \$ 14.999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15,000 . \\ & \$ 24,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25,000- \\ & \$ 34.995 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35.000- \\ & \$ 49.999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,000- \\ & \$ 69,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 70,000- \\ & \$ 134,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 135,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| None | 25.3\% | $25.6 \%$ | 22.2\% | $15.7 \%$ | 6.3\% | 2.6\% | 1.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 100.0\% |
| One | 11.8\% | $12.4 \%$ | 16.4\% | 19,4\% | 11,5\% | 11.3\% | 8.6\% | 7.1\% | 1.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Two | 8.2\% | 7.9\% | 12.3\% | 15.9\% | 10.6\% | 9.0\% | $7.7 \%$ | 19.4\% | 8.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Three | 4.1\% | 12.0\% | $8.1 \%$ | 9.7\% | 11.7\% | 16.0\% | 9.6\% | 19.1\% | 9.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Faut or more | 4.6\% | 4.5\% | 9.4\% | 8.2\% | 7.6\% | 5.5\% | 18.7\% | 25.4\% | $16.1 \%$ | 100.0\% |
| Total | 15.2\% | 159\% | 16.8\% | 16.3\% | 9.3\% | 7.7\% | $6.1 \%$ | 9,0\% | 3.7\% | 100.0\% |

Figure 3.1 shows the distribution of origin trip purpose for rail passengers. Forty-three percent of rail passengers' origin location was home, while 31 percent of passenger trips originated from work or a work-related activity. An additional 11 percent of passengers were coming from school, either university or K-12th grade.

Figure 3.1: Distribution of Origin Trip Purpose


Forty-six percent of rail passengers' destination location was home, as shown in Figure 3.2 . Additionally, 29 percent of respondents used Metro's rail service to get to work or a work-related activity, while a combined 18 percent of passengers were traveling for social, shopping, medical, and civic activities.

Figure 3.2: Dlstribution of Destination Trlp Purpose


Table 3.6 examines the relationship of passengers' access and egress modes for their one-way trip. Seventy-five percent of rail passengers walked from their origin to their first vehicle and walked from their final vehicle to their destination. Other popular combinations were droppod off and walk/wheelchair, as well as walk/wheelchair and drive alone and parl, at 6 percent, reapectively.

Table 3.6: Cross-Tabulation of Egress Mode by Access Mode

| Egress Mode | Access Mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Woik/ Wheelchair | Bicycled | Dropped Of | Brove alone and parked | Carpooled and parked | Toxi | Dich-A-Ride | School Bus | Other | Total |
| Walk / Wheelchair | 75.4\% | 0.4\% | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 86.9\% |
| Bicycle | 0.7\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% |
| Picked Up | $3.7 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.1\% |
| Drive alone and pork | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.2\% |
| Corpool ond gark | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Tox | 0.18 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.1 \%$ |
| Dial-A-Ride | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| School Bus | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Other | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Total | 86.0\% | 2.1\% | 6.5\% | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | $100.0 \%$ |

Figure 3.3 presents how Metro rail passengers access transit. The access mode statistics indicate that the majority of passengers ( 86 percent) walked/wheelchaired, while 12 percent of respondents used an automobile to get from theix origin location to their first (Lransit) vehicle.

Figure 3.3: Distribution of Access Mode


Figure 3.4 presents how Metro rail passengers egress transit. Egress mode use is similar to that for access modes, indicating that Metro rail passengers rely heavily on walking to access service. Eightyseven percent of passengers reported they would walk/wheelchair to their final destination. One in 10 respondents used an automobile to get from their last transit vehicle to their final destination.

Figure 3.4: Disfribution of Egress Mode


Table 3.7 illustrates the relationship between the rail line surveyed and the total number of vehicles needed to complete a one-way trip. Thirty-six percent of all rail passengers used a total of two vehicles, either bus or rail, to complete their one-way-trip. Thirty-eight percent of passengers used three or more vehicles to complete their trip.

Table 3.7: Cross-Tabulation of Line by Total Vehicles Used

| Line | Total Vehicles Used |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 ormore | Toiol |
| 802 | $27.8 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 803 | $25.6 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 804 | $15.4 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 605 | $39.8 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | $21.4 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 7 7otol | $25.9 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Passengers with fewer than two vehicles in their household constitute 67 percent of the rail passenger population. More specifically, 36 percent of passengers reported not having access to a vehicle. Passengers with more than two vehicles in their household made up 10 percent of the rail passenger population.

Flgure 3.5: Vehicle Availabillty


Figure 3.6 documents how rail passengers paid their fare. Fifty-three percent of rail passengers used day, weekly, or monthly passes to pay for their trip. Of these, the monthly pass was the most often used: 27 percent reported using a monthly pass. In addition, 29 percent of rail passengers paid their fare by cash or token.

Figure 3.6: Dlstribution of Fare


Figure 3.7 summarizes the number of full-time or part-time workers in a surveyed household. Eighty. one percent of rail passengers belong to households in which two or fewer members are employed. Noarly 4 in 10 passengers surveyed reported at least one household worker, while only 6 percent of passengers belong to households with four or more employed members.

Figure 3.7: Distribution of Household Workers


Figure 3.8 shows rail passengers' driver's license status. Fifty-four percent of rail passengers do not possess a valid driver's license. There is a direct relationship between the number of rail passengers with no vehicles in their households, reported at 35 percent, and the majority of rail passengers who do not: possess a valid driver's license.

Figure 3.8: Distribution of Valld Driver's License


Rail passengers who participated in the survey specified their employment status as shown in Figure 3.9. Sixty-geven percent of rail passengers are employed either full- or part-time. Twenty percent of rail passengers are students, while 8 percent of passengers ave unemployed.

Figure 3.9: Distribution of Employment Status


Figure 3.10 shows the age distribution of rail passengers. A pluxality of rail passengers (27 percent) are between the ages of 35 and 49 years old. Fifty-four percent of rail passengers are younger than 35 years of age, and 19 percent of passengers are 50 years of age or older.

Figure 3.10: Distribution of Age


Figure 3.11 shows the distribution of ethnicity of Metro rail passengers. Fifty-four percent of passengers are Hispanic, while African Americans are the next largest group of rail passengers, at 23 percent.

Figure 3.11: Distribution of Ethnicity


Sixty-four percent of rail passengers' household income is less than $\$ 25,000$ annually. Passengers with annual incomes of $\$ 70,000$ or more make up 13 percent of the ridership.

Figure 3.12: Distribution of Household Annual Income


Seventy-seven percent of rail surveys were completed in English, which is 18 percentage points higher: than those collected from the bus riders, at 59 percent.

Figure 3.13: Distribution of Language
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## Appendix A: Survey Card

Figure 4: Engllsh On-Board Survey Card (Metro Survey)

Why do you use the bus or fraln? Lel us know to serve you better.

1. Where are pou soaing $\boldsymbol{F}$ ROM now?

2. Where are you going 10 now?Work ar Work Reiped Sthool (K-12) (stdent ady)
Socol oc Revenonol My Home Other

Please provide us with your name, phone nomber and best time to call you. Once we complete the brief phone interview, you will be odded to 0 drowing for $\$ 500$ ! Thank you.

Hame


3 When is the best doy'time to coll poo?


Gribtedilingoulifs Canive Flengo hold ano fils crricur celur aly ey yever ofil


Figure 5: Spanish On-board Survey Card (Metro Survey)
¿Por qué uso usled el autobís o tren? Háganos saber como servife mejo

1. ¿DE donde viene usted en este momento?

O Trisbopo Relocionodo an el TrobcioHatel (luespedes salonente)


Escuile (K.12) (ostudartes salamente)Socid o Recrectivo

W. Hogo Oro
2. ¿ADÓMDE wo ea este nomenlo?
O
Orco $\qquad$Hosel (hrospider solaneste)(oliegio o Uniervidod (esvidances swioneste) Comprs Aeropueno (passigeros sdamente)
favor de proporsionamos su nombre, aúmero de teléfono, y la aseior bora para ilamarle o usted. Una vez que complete una breve enirevista por telélono, justed seri induido/o en una rifo de $\$ 500$ ! Muchas grasias

Nombre

3. ¿Cuándo seria el mejor dia/hora para llamorle a usted?
Entre Semansfinde SemencDurante el DicPorlonsche


Metro Emodto b winamo Dutimo

Earcode


# Appendix B: CATI Script 

LACMTA Script 2011

Introduction<br>Hi this is<br>$\qquad$ calling on behalf of Metro; may I speak with <RNAME>.

CONTINUE WTTH <RNAME>: You completed a survey on board a MTA <VTYPE> on <DATE>, You were on <ROUTE> <DIREC> at approximately <BTIME> traveling from <OPURP> to <DPURP>. We need to ask you some specific information on the locations at which you started and ended your travel as well as any transfers you made to complete the survey process. This won't take but a few minutes of your time. Once all answers have been verified, you will be placed in a drawing for $\$ 500$.
[AGE16] Are you at least 16 years of age or older?

## Questions

## IF <OPURP> and <DPURP> ARE BOTH HOME OR EITHER HAVE MULTIPLE RESPONSES OR EITHER IS BLANK OR EITHER IS 97 WITH A MISSING PURPO/PURPD, OTHERWISE SKIP TO Q3.

## [OPURP]

1. Let me start by confirming some information that you provided in the survey form. Which of the following best matches the type of place you were coming from?
I Work or Work Related
2 School (K-12) (STUDENT ONLY)
3 Social or Recreational
4 Home (RESPONDENT HOME)
5 Hotel (GUEST ONLY)
6 College/University (STUDENT ONLY)
$7 \quad$ Shopping
8 Airport (AIRLINE PASSENGER ONLY)
97 Other (Specify): $\qquad$

## [DPURP]

2. And, how about where you were going to? [PROBE IF THE SAME TYPE OF PLACE]

I Work or Work Related
2 School (K-12) (STUDENT ONLY)
3 Social or Recreational
4 Home (RESPONDENT HOME)
5 Hotel (GUEST ONLY)
6 College/University (STUDENT ONLY)
7 Shopping
8 Airport (AIRLINE PASSENGER ONLY)
97 Other (Specify): $\qquad$

[^0]this one-way trip. Let me start by verifying your boarding location-the location in which you were provided a paper survey by one of our interviewers.
<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<Trip Tracer Section-See Short Sheet>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
[TBCON]
3. First I show that you boarded the $\langle$ VTYPE $\rangle$ for this trip at $\langle T \mathrm{TBLO}\rangle$. Is this correct?
1 YES - SKIP TOQ5
2 NO - ADD location to Hotlist and move new location.4. IF NO: Where did you board the <VTYPE $>$ ? FIND LOCATION ON MAP
[TACON]
5. Next, I show that you got off the $<$ VTYPE $>$ at $<$ TTALO $>$. Is this correct?1 YES - SKIP TO Q72 NO - ADD location to Hotlist and move new location.
6. IF NO: Where did you get off the <VTYPE $>$ ? FIND LOCATION ON MAP

Now, I want to collect the information about your travel to the <VTYPE> on which you were surveyed.

## LAMTA 2010 TripTracer Short Sheet

Now, I want to collect the information about your travel to the <VTYPE> on which you were surveyed.
7. Earlier, you informed us that you were coming from <OPURP>. What is the name and address of this place?

INTERVIEWER NOTE: COLLECT LOCATION AS WAYPOINT 1 in TT
8. So when you left <OPURP>, did you travel directly to <BOARDING> or did you travel to a different bus, rail stop, or parking location before arriving at <BOARDING>?

1 DIRECTLY TO BOARDING-ASK Q9
2 DIFFERENT BUS/RAIL- SKIP TO Q10
3 PARKING LOCATION- SKIP TO Q14
9. What method of transportation did you use to get from <OPURP> to <BOARDING>?

INTERVIEWER NOTE: EDIT THE MODE ON THE BOARDING LOCATION WAYPOINT TO THE MODE SPECIFIED BY RESPONDENT, THEN SKIP TO ALITEXT
10. What is the system and route of the transit stop you traveled to?

INTERVIEWER NOTE- USE THE "LINE NUMBER" SEARCH FIELD IN THE TRANSIT LINE LOOK UP TAB TO SELECT APPROPRIATE SYSTEM-LINE

10a Was this a bus or train?
11. Thank you sir/ma'am and what are the cross streets of this transit stop?

INTERVIEWER NOTE- USE THE "TRANSIT STOP NAME" SEARCH FIELD TO SELECT THE CORRECT TRANSIT STOP- ADD AS ORIGIN IN TRANSIT LINE LOOK UP TAB
12. Alright, and what were the cross streets of the transit stop you got off on?

INTERVIEWER NOTE- USE THE "TRANSIT STOP NAME" SEARCH FIELD TO SELECT THE CORRECT TRANSIT STOP- ADD AS DESTINATION IN TRANSIT LINE LOOK UP TAB. TT WILL PROMPT YOU TO COLLECT THE METHOD OF TRAVEL USED TO GET TO THE TRANSIT STOP COLLECTED IN Q10
13. Did you travel to another transit stop after this, or did you travel directly to <BOARDING> from here?

1 TRANSIT STOP-ASK QUESTIONS 10-13
2 DIRECTLY TO BOARDING- SKIP TO ALITEX

14 What is the address of this parking location?

INTERVIEWER NOTE- ADD THIS AS A WAYPOINT IN TT
15 What mode of transportation did you use when you traveled to the parking location?

INTERVIEWER NOTE- ENSURE CORRECT MODE IS RECORDED IN TT
16. Thank you sir/ma'am, and what mode of transportation did you use when you traveled from the parking location to <BOARDING>?

INTERVIEWER NOTE- ADJUST MODE OF BOARDING LOCATION ACCORDINGLY
ALITEX Alright sir/ma'm, as we confirmed earlier, once you left <BOARDING> you got off at <ALIGHTING>

Now, I want to collect the information about your travel to your final destination.
17. After you got off at <TTALO>, what did you do next? Did you travel directly to <DPURP > or did you travel to a different bus, rail stop, or parking location before arriving at <DPURP >?

1 DIRECTLY TO <DPURP>- SKIP TO Q24
2 DIFFERENT BUS/RAIL-CONTINUE WITH Q19
3 PARKING LOCATION- SKIP TO PARKING
19. What system and route did you transfer to? PLEASE SPECIFY SYSTEM NAME INSTEAD OF ABBREVIATION (e.g., Metro for MT, Culver City bus for CC, etc.)

INTERVIEWER NOTE- USE THE "TRANSIT STOP NAME" SEARCH FIELD TO SELECT THE CORRECT TRANSIT STOP-ADD AS LINE/ORIGIN IN TRANSIT LINE LOOK UP TAB
20. Thank you sir/ma'am and what are the cross streets of this transit stop?

INTERVIEWER NOTE- USE THE "TRANSIT STOP NAME" SEARCH FIELD TO SELECT THE CORRECT TRANSIT STOP- ADD AS ORIGIN IN TRANSIT LINE LOOK UP TAB

21 Alright, and what were the cross streets of the transit stop you got off on?
INTERVIEWER NOTE- USE THE "TRANSIT STOP NAME" SEARCH FIELD TO SELECT THE CORRECT TRANSIT STOP. ADD AS DESTINATION IN TRANSIT LINE LOOK UP TAB. TT WILL PROMPT YOU TO COLLECT THE METHOD OF TRAVEL USED TO GET TO THE TRANSIT STOP COLLECTED IN Q21
22. Did you travel to another transit stop after this, or did you travel directly to <DPURP > from here?
1 TRANSIT STOP Re-ask Q 19-22
2 NO-SKIP TO Q24
PARKING- What is the address of this parking location?
INTERVIEWER NOTE- ADD LOCATION AS A WAYPOINT IN TT
24. How did you travel from this location to your final destination?
ADJUST MODE ACCORDINGLY IN TT
26. What was the location of your final destination?
INTERVIEWER NOTE: ADD LOCATION AS FINAL WAYPOINT IN TT

## BACK TO CATI

[DTRAN] Display of trip: route sequence, total buses, trains, and total vehicles, origin, destination, access, egress, and any additional TT notes
[BIKE]
27. Did you take your bike with you on the <VTYPE>?

1. Yes
2. No
3. DK/RF
I just have a few more questions for you.
[FARE]
4. How did you pay your fare for this trip?
5. Cash
6. Token
7. Day pass
8. Weekly pass
9. Monthly pass
10. Freeway express stamp
11. EZ Transit pass
12. EZ Premium stamp
13. Other (Specify):
$\qquad$
99 DK/RF
[O_FARE] Other Fare
[VEHAV]
14. How many working vehicles are available in your household?
NOTE DO NOT READ RESPONSE CATEGORIES IN CAPS

- NONE
1 ONE
2 TWO
3 THREE
4 FOUR OR MORE
$9 \mathrm{DK} / \mathrm{RF}$
[H.HWRK]

30. How many of the people in your household are employed full-time or part-time?
0 NONE
1 ONE
2 TWO
3 THREE
4 FOUR
5 FIVE OR MORE
9 DK/RF
[LICSE]
31. Do you have a valid driver's license?
32. Yes
33. No
$9 \quad \mathrm{DK} / \mathrm{RF}$
[EMPLY]
34. Are you...
35. Employed Full-time
36. Employed Part-Time
37. Student
38. Unemployed
39. Retired
40. Homemaker
41. $\mathrm{DK} / \mathrm{RF}$
[AGE]
42. What is your age? Is it....
43. Under 18
44. $18-24$
45. $25-34$
46. $35-49$
47. $50-64$
48. $65+$ years of age
49. $\mathrm{DK} / \mathrm{RF}$
[ETHNC]
50. What is your ethnicity? Do you consider yourself....
I. Asian
51. Hispanic
52. White
53. Black/African American
54. Native American
55. Other
99 DK/RF
[O_ETHNC] Other Ethnicity
[INCOM]
56. My last question is about your total household income in 2009. Into which of the followingcategories does your household fall? (IF NEEDED: Household income not only allows us toverify that we are including all types of households from the region, but it also has beenfound to be related to the types of trips households make.)
57. Less than $\$ 5,000$
58. $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$
59. $\$ 10,000-\$ 14,999$
60. $\$ 15,000-\$ 24,999$
61. $\$ 25,000-\$ 34,999$
62. $\$ 35,000-\$ 49,999$
63. $\$ 50,000-\$ 69,999$
64. $\$ 70,000-\$ 134,999$
65. $\$ 135,00$ or more
$99 \mathrm{DK} / \mathrm{RF}$
Those are all the questions I have for you. Thank you very much for your time and for participating in this important survey. Have a great day!

[^0]:    GO TO TT : SAY: For this survey, we will be using an interactive mapping tool to verify the origin and destination of the one-way transit trip you were surveyed on. In addition to this, we'll need to use the same tool to verify any additional bus or rail stops and parking locations you may have visited during

