

Agenda

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation Authority

REVISED

Streets and Freeways Subcommittee

→ Windsor Conference Room, 15th Floor

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. Call to Order
<i>1 min</i> | Action (<i>Bahman Janka</i>) |
| 2. Approval of Minutes
Attachment 1: Draft July 14, 2009 Minutes
Attachment 2: Sign in Sheet/Attendance Sheet
<i>1 min</i> | Action (<i>Subcommittee</i>) |
| 3. Chairperson Report
<i>5 min</i> | Information (<i>Bahman Janka</i>) |
| 4. Metro Report
Attachment 3: Subcommittee Roles and Responsibilities
<i>5 min</i> | Information (<i>Fulgene Asuncion</i>) |
| 5. Caltrans Report
<i>10 min</i> | Information (<i>Kirk Cessna</i>) |
| 6. Legislative Update <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Federal• State Budget <i>10 min</i> | Information (<i>Michael Turner, Raffi Hamparian, Marisa Valdez Yeager</i>) |
| 7. 2009 Long Range Transportation Plan <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Measure R Highway Advisory Committee <i>10 min</i> | Information (Brian Lin) |
| 8. 2009 Call for Projects <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Sponsor Appeals and TAC Recommendations</i> <i>15 min</i> | Information (Susan Chapman) |



Metro

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation Authority

- | | |
|---|--|
| 9. CTC Update
<i>10 min</i> | Information (<i>Patricia Chen</i>) |
| 10. ARRA Funding Update
• RSTP Cost Savings
<i>5 min</i> | Information (Patricia
Chen/Herman Cheng) |
| 11. TEA Program
<i>5 min</i> | Information (Toye Oyewole/
Patricia Chen/James Rojas) |
| 12. Measure R Local Return Guidelines
<i>10 min</i> | Information (Susan Richan) |
| 13. Congestion Reduction Demo Project Update
<i>10 min</i> | Information (Kathleen McCune) |
| 14. I-710 (Long Beach to SR-60) EIR/EIS Update
<i>10 min</i> | Information (Adrian Alvarez) |
| 15. New Business
<i>5 min</i> | Discussion (<i>Subcommittee</i>) |
| 16. Adjournment
<i>1 min</i> | Action (<i>Subcommittee</i>) |

The next meeting of the Streets and Freeways Subcommittee will be held on September 17, 2009 at 9:30 a.m. on the 15th Floor, Windsor Conference Room. Please contact Fulgene Asuncion at (213) 922-3025 should you have any questions or comments regarding this or future agendas.

Agendas can be accessed online at: http://www.metro.net/about_us/committees/sfs/sfs.htm

Attachment 1

July 14, 2009 Streets and Freeways Meeting Minutes

Meeting Minutes

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Streets and Freeways Subcommittee

Gateway Conference Room, 3rd Floor

Members & Alternates Present: Fulgene Asuncion (M), John Asuncion (ex-officio), Mike Behen (M), Robert Brager (A), Kirk Cessna (M), Sumi Gant (M), Steve Huang (M), Ken Johnson (M), Marianne Kim (M), Paul Maselbas (Vice-Chair), Mohammad Mostahkami (M), Alan Patashnick (A), Sharon Perlstein (M), Sgt. Mike Stefanoff (M), Haripal Vir (M), Fred Zohrehvand (M).

1. Call to Order (Paul Maselbas, Chair)

The meeting was called to order at 9:40 AM.

2. Approval of the June 18, 2009 Minutes (Subcommittee)

Steve Huang (League of Cities, South Bay Cities) made a motion to approve the June 18, 2009 minutes, which was seconded by Mohammad Mostahkami (League of Cities, Gateway Cities/South East). Paul Maselbas (County of Los Angeles) abstained and there were no objections. Members voted to tentatively hold an August meeting at its regularly scheduled time. Members will be notified if the meeting is canceled.

3. Chairperson Report (Paul Maselbas, Chair)

No report.

4. Metro Report (Fulgene Asuncion, Metro)

Ms. Asuncion reported that the main item at the June 3rd TAC meeting was the Call for Projects Deobligations/Extensions Appeals process. Information on the results of the appeals and TAC recommendations will be covered in a later agenda item.

At the June 25th Board meeting, the Metro Board approved:

- Extension of the Big Rig Service contract on the I-710;
- FY10 funding allocations of \$1.29 billion in Transportation Funds covering nine different fund sources;
- Preliminary Funding Marks for the 2009 Call for Projects totaling \$330 million in seven different modes;
- Metro as the “the pass-through grant” sponsor for specified federal and state funds available to Cities and the County that are interested and unprepared to apply for and receive federal transit funds. There will be a five percent administrative fee of the grant amount;

Ms. Asuncion announced that the Streets and Freeways Subcommittee will review and update the Subcommittee's roles and responsibilities as part of the September Agenda.

A Measure R Workshop will be held on Thursday, July 16th. The meeting materials were transmitted yesterday to Subcommittee members.

Ms. Asuncion reported that an attendance sheet is included in the agenda package. If any member is absent for more than three consecutive meetings, their membership will lapse and will require reappointment to the Subcommittee to continue participation.

Mr. Mostahkami asked if the Measure R agenda has been sent to the Subcommittee members. Ms. Asuncion confirmed that the agenda and presentation handout has been distributed. Mr. Maselbas asked who are the participants at the meeting and if it consists of the Board or a Subcommittee to the Board. Ms. Asuncion replied that the participants are members of the Board Project Delivery Committee.

5. Caltrans Report: DBE Goal Issue/ E-76 Authorization/ Grant Opportunities (Kirk Cessna, Caltrans)

Mr. Cessna reported that there will be no comment on the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Goal/Issue due to a pending lawsuit. Caltrans will continue to carry out its responsibility as stated in the Caltrans Manual and as posted on their website.

Mr. Cessna reported on the status of E-76 Authorization for Stimulus Projects. He stated that 85% of the Agencies' Authorization requests have been sent to Headquarters. The remaining 15% are awaiting FTIP Amendments, ARRA 1511 Certifications, or have Right of Way (ROW) issues. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has authorized 65% of the E-76 submitted. Mr. Cessna added that he does not have the actual dollar amount to report, but the percentage authorized is very good.

Mr. Cessna stated that Caltrans is anticipating the announcement of the successful candidates for the recent eighth cycle of the State's Safe Route to School (SRTS) Program later in the week. Within the past several months, Caltrans has met with the SRTS Committee and have held a number of debriefing sessions with participating agencies regarding the funding recommendations for twenty projects totaling about \$13.4 million.

Mr. Cessna announced the upcoming Call for Projects for the Highway Safety Improvements Program (HSIP). This will be a two-year program with approximately \$50 million available statewide. The HSIP Guidelines, application form, and application instructions will be available in the next couple of weeks.

Magan Champaneria (LADOT) asked about the FHWA approval process for projects requiring E-76 authorization from Caltrans. Mr. Cessna replied that Caltrans is working closely with FHWA to streamline the project approval process and that they anticipate a quicker turnaround with FHWA in the future.

Mr. Maselbas asked about the 11 TEA projects in the region and if all applications have been submitted to Caltrans for TEA funding. Mr. Cessna replied that he has not heard of any changes to the list of TEA projects and that most agencies have either submitted an application or are awaiting their E-76 or other certifications in order to submit their application. Mr. Maselbas also inquired about SB 286, which requires agencies to use the

services of the California Conservation Corps (Corps) for TEA funded projects, and whether agencies are using the Corps. Mr. Champaneria responded that LADOT has sent and received a letter from the Corps stating that they will participate in future projects; however the amount of participation with LADOT is unclear at this time. Mr. Maselbas asked if LADOT or Caltrans completed a Statement of Public Interest Form as part of the TE project application. Mr. Champaneria replied that completion of this form is required only if agencies are going to hire the Corps as a Sole Source. With LADOT's case, they will hire the Corps as a subcontractor or the Corps will participate through a prime contractor, and will therefore not have to complete this form. Mr. Maselbas replied that the County of Los Angeles was interested in using the Corps on a pavement project; however the Corps does not work on pavement projects. Mr. Champaneria replied that LADOT is using the Corps for clearing and cleaning project sites.

Toye Oyewole (Metro) asked for clarification on the County's project potentially using the services of the Corps. Mr. Maselbas replied that it is an ARRA TEA project. Mr. Oyewole stated that Federal law currently requires agencies to use the Corps for TEA funded projects. Other requirements include paying the prevailing wage for any services rendered by the Corps. Mr. Maselbas raised a concern about agencies that are unable to use or partner with the Corps, as they may jeopardize their federal funds. Furthermore, agencies may have difficulties contracting with the Corps as a Sole Source or partnering with them because of the associated risks in hiring them, and making it difficult for agencies to meet federal requirements. Mr. Oyewole stated that agencies do not have to use the Corps as long as agencies inform or request their participation on available TEA projects. He added that agencies have the right to move the funds to another project, if the current projects cannot use the funds or the assistance of the Corps.

Mr. Maselbas requested that Metro or Caltrans provide further information on this topic at the next meeting. Mr. Mostahkami also asked if this issue can be discussed at a TAC meeting so that all of the agencies can be informed.

There was a discussion about ARRA-RSTP funds and whether all project sponsors have met the May 18, 2009 Obligation deadline for all highway projects. Mr. Cessna responded that all project sponsors submitted projects for authorization to Caltrans by the deadline. Mr. Mostahkami asked if Caltrans had information on the progress other Districts were making towards meeting their ARRA Obligation deadline. Mr. Cessna responded that he was not aware of how other Districts and/or RTPAs are meeting their ARRA funding targets; however he believes that other Districts may have distributed funds to large, existing projects in order to meet the 50% Obligation deadline by May 18th. Mr. Masselbas inquired if a process can be established for any potential ARRA savings that may result from a low bid or other cost savings in order for Cities to retain their funding. If the Cities or the County were to have funding left over, there should be options for the Cities to be able to transfer the savings to another project within its jurisdiction or should be allowed additional time to use all of their funding. Mr. Cessna concurred, stating that the funds are RSTP regional funds and the agreement is between Metro and the local jurisdictions. It was also discussed that any funds remaining unclaimed as of the first deadline of May 18th would be available for a second tier distribution on February 17, 2010 to Cities, the County and Caltrans. Mr. Mostahkami asked to see this item on the next agenda.

6. Legislative Update (Michael Turner, Marisa Yeager, Metro)

State:

Mr. Turner reported on the State Budget and the Bills up for Board review:

- The State continues to be without a State Budget or a planned vote on a budget. The California Teachers Association and the Governor are advertising their views on television.
- There was a big five (Governor, Democrat and Republican leaders in the Senate and Assembly) discussion over the weekend. One topic included the School Accountability Report Card (SAR) - Proposition 98.
- Metro is concerned with the Transportation Congestion Improvement Act, Proposition 42 funds, which were not suspended in the previous budget actions. If there are any changes to Proposition 42, public transit could lose its funds.
- State subventions could be temporarily taken from the Cities and the County. The State may borrow on a two-year loan or permanently take the subventions. Mr. Maselbas clarified that the loan is called a reduction and that the State does not have to pay it back to the Cities and the County. Mr. Turner replied that there was a discussion that it would be paid back in Bond funds.

Mr. Turner announced that two bills are up for Board review: Assembly Bill (AB) 1500 and SB 535. Staff will ask the Board to adopt a “Work with Author” position with Senator Leland Yee’s (D), SB 535, which would allow a new generation of ultra fuel efficient vehicles to utilize the High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes from 2011 to 2015. Mr. Turner added that Senator Yee introduced SB 535 on behalf of General Motors to allow the next generation of ultra low emission vehicles to use the HOV lanes. HOV lanes are reaching levels of degradation that could impact Metro’s ability to manage congestion on the HOV lanes.

Mr. Turner reported that Metro will ask the Board to adopt a “Work with Author” position with Assemblymember Ted Lieu (D) regarding AB 1500, which would extend the sunset for “white sticker” decals to continue to utilize the HOV lanes. He stated that Assemblymember Lieu has introduced AB 1500 to encourage the market for the next generation of ultra low emission vehicles by allowing them to use the HOV lanes. Therefore, with these two policies and the continued degradation of the HOV lanes, Metro is concerned that they may impact the Congestion Pricing – Express Lanes Pilot program.

Marianne Kim (Automobile Club) asked if there is another Bill that would extend the date to 2017. Mr. Turner replied that there are many bills; however, these are the current bills that may affect Metro’s Congestion Pricing Program, which was based on the current law eliminating all SOV in the HOV lanes by 2011. Mr. Maselbas asked if the Assembly Bill that recently passed was a majority or simple majority vote. Mr. Turner explained that the Constitution requires that the Budget be approved by a two-thirds vote. The Legislature cannot get a two-thirds vote so the Assembly provided a measure, in which they argued the Budget can be approved on a majority vote. The argument utilizes a provision in Proposition 13 – the Property Tax - which states if legislation passes a bill, which is revenue neutral, it can be approved on a majority vote.

Federal:

Mr. Hamparian reported that on Monday, July 13th, the U.S. House Appropriations Subcommittee on Transportation, Housing and Urban Development (THUD) marked-up its annual Appropriations bill amounting to \$75 billion in discretionary spending. The Bill includes \$4 billion for High Speed Rail (HSR) in Fiscal Year (FY) 2010. The Bill is up for full committee consideration on July 17th and final House passage is anticipated the end of July.

Mr. Hamparian noted that the \$4 billion for HSR and Intercity Passenger Rail is more than President Obama's proposed \$1 billion budget request for FY 2010. In addition, the Bill contains a provision which allows Congress to authorize the transfer of up to \$2 billion from the HSR and Intercity Rail account to fund a National Infrastructure Bank.

Mr. Hamparian added that the Bill includes marginal increases for Federal Aid Highways totaling \$41 billion, and \$1.8 billion for the Federal New Starts Program. Los Angeles County received several Earmarks amounting to \$70 million which include a \$9.5 million funding commitment for the closeout on the Metro Gold Line Eastside Extension. Mr. Hamparian noted that Positive Train Control (PTC) was not included in the Bill and Metro is pushing for PTC to be included in the final version.

Mr. Hamparian reported that the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act (SAFETEA-LU) Bill will expire on September 30, 2009. Congressman Jim Oberstar (D), Chairman of the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee, is pushing for a six-year Bill. Congressman Oberstar stated that a six-year Bill will give States time to plan their long-term construction projects. Consequently, a short-term extension may cause uncertainty and disrupt the planning process, resulting in fewer projects, fewer jobs and less economic growth.

The U.S. Senate's Environmental and Public Works Committee has marked-up the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2009, an 18 month extension of the Highway portion of SAFETEA-LU. The Extension bill authorizes spending for highway programs through March 2011, providing \$41 billion in FY 2010 and \$20.5 billion for the first half of FY 2011. Seeking to secure immediate passage, the Committee introduced the bill as a continuing resolution with none of the policy changes recommended by the Obama Administration.

7. 2009 Call for Projects: Rainbow Report and Modal Lead Presentations (Rena Lum, Metro)

Ms. Lum announced that the Preliminary Staff report or Rainbow Report was posted online on Monday, July 6th, and that hard copies were mailed to applicants that same week. She announced that she will give an overview of the Rainbow Report followed by each of the Modal Leads discussing projects within their modes

Ms. Lum added that at the June 2009 Board meeting, the Board approved \$330 million as a funding mark for the Call for Projects. We will be asking the Board this month to deobligate \$7 million of prior Call for Project funds and seek their approval to roll these funds into the current Call. If approved, there will be a total of \$337 million in funding marks.

Metro received 307 applications, with \$2.2 billion in unescalated funding requests and \$4.7 billion in project costs.

The modal leads made the following reports:

RSTI Mode:

Walt Davis reported that the RSTI mode received 76 applications, eight of which were Goods Movement projects. After reviewing the projects, 71 projects were determined to be eligible for funding, four Caltrans projects were deemed ineligible and the City of Los Angeles withdrew one project. Metro staff recommended 27 projects to be funded: 5 Goods Movement projects and 22 RSTI projects. In all, the 76 applications totaled \$1.7 billion. Of the \$141,047,550 available to the RSTI mode, \$35.9 million was apportioned to five Goods Movement Projects leaving a balance of \$105 million available to the 22 RSTI projects.

Mr. Davis added that Goods Movement projects were very difficult to evaluate with the non-Goods Movement projects because of the difficulty in meeting the scoring criteria, their higher costs, and the different type of project. In the next Call for Projects, staff will recommend the creation of a separate Goods Movement modal category.

Mr. Davis reported that both a quantitative and qualitative analysis was used to evaluate projects. Most projects that did well in their quantitative scoring also did well in the qualitative scores because they showed density, delay, and a strong project need.

Mr. Maselbas inquired about the SR-47 Expressway, submitted by the Port of Los Angeles, which showed a recommended funding amount of \$9.1 million. He asked if expressways were eligible for Call for Projects funding. Mr. Davis replied that this was one of the Goods Movements projects that met the category. Mr. Patashnick added that Goods Movement projects are for the most part, more costly than non-Goods Movement projects and cover a wide variety of projects, so staff will recommend that Goods Movement project become its own separate category in the next Call for Projects.

Marianne Kim asked if projects that have multi-modal components, such as a combination of Pedestrian improvement with a RSTI project, are considered for separate funding. Mr. Davis replied that Metro encourages multi-modal projects. Projects that have included multi-modal components received more points.

Signal Synchronization Mode:

Randy Lamm reported that the Signal Synchronization mode had \$71.3 million in available funding after transferring approximately \$8 million to the RSTI category. He stated that 19 applications were received and considered for funding and 18 were recommended. Mr. Lamm added that the funding distribution was as follows:

- 64% is being recommended for the County of Los Angeles,
- 28% divide among the other local cities,
- 8% recommended for the City of Los Angeles.

Mr. Lamm stated that the 18 projects recommended for funding amounted to approximately \$86 million. There were more applications than there was funding available; therefore, in order to fund the highest scoring projects, Metro reduced the funding of the County's three Traffic Forum Projects.

Bikeway Improvements Mode:

Lynne Goldsmith announced that the Bikeway Improvements modal category had \$26.6 million available and received 26 applications. Of the 26 applications, 16 projects were considered for funding, one project was transferred from the Transportation Demand Management modal category; two projects included Expo Light Rail Transit Bikeway projects (funding reserved under the Long Range Transportation Plan and were not considered in the funding mark). Several projects involving ROW acquisition were not being recommended for funding because the discussions for acquiring the necessary ROW were not initiated prior to the application submittal. She stated that 14 projects were recommended for funding. Mr. Mostahkami asked what kind of formulas for project ranking was used for projects that exceeded the local match requirements. Ms. Goldsmith stated that she did not have the information at that time, but will follow up with Mr. Mostahkami.

Pedestrian Improvements Mode:

Tham Nguyen announced that the Pedestrian Improvements mode had \$36.4 million available with 61 applications received and 23 projects being recommended for funding. Ms. Nguyen added that the projects that scored well in the evaluation process showed high transit ridership and pedestrian activity as well as good linkages between transit facilities and activity centers. The applications that did not score very well lacked sufficient information, were incomplete, or had a glaring deficiency. Fred Zohrehvand asked what the funding mark was for the Pedestrian mode. Ms. Nguyen stated \$36.4 million was available and we are recommending \$36.4 million (escalated).

Transportation Enhancement Activities Mode:

James Rojas announced that the Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) category has \$10.6 million available with 49 applications received and 15 projects being recommended for funding. Mr. Rojas added that seven of the 15 projects were downscoped due to elements that did not enhance the project location. The funding was evenly distributed among the cities and the County.

Ms. Lum reported that the Call for Projects' schedule is as follows:

- July BOS and TDM subcommittees held a Special meeting last week;
- July 14, 2009, Streets and Freeways subcommittee meeting
- July 15, 2009, Special TAC meeting
- September 24, 2009, Board adoption (tentative).

Ms. Lum announced that TAC Appeals are scheduled for Monday, July 20th and if needed Tuesday, July 21st from 9:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. Sponsors that are interested in appealing their projects, which have scored two to three projects above or below the line, should set an appeal appointment with Quenisha Williams, 213-922-7474.

Ms. Lum wrapped up her presentation by suggesting that project sponsors who do not receive funding should make an appointment to speak with the Modal Leads about their applications after September when the Board will be asked to adopt the Call. She added that the Modal Leads can make suggestions on improvements for future CFPs.

Mr. Mostahkami made a motion to "Receive and File". Sharon Pearlstein (League of Cities, Westside Cities) responded that in the past, Streets and Freeways has taken action to support Metro's staff recommendations in order to avoid or discourage swapping projects from

below the line with projects that were recommended above the line during the sponsor appeals process. This action will also suggest to TAC that any changes made to the recommendations should be fully considered because they can have big ramifications to other projects on the list. Mr. Zohrehvand asked whether Streets and Freeways should be endorsing the CFP's results without knowing the details and agrees with the "Receive and File". After further discussion on what action the Subcommittee should take, Ms. Perlstein made a motion to support staff recommendations which was seconded by Mr. Haripal Vir and the motion passed. Mr. Paul Maselbas abstained.

8. CTC Update (Patricia Chen, Metro)

No report.

9. State Local Partnership Program Update (Patricia Chen/Toye Oyewole Metro)

Mr. Oyewole announced that at the November 2008 Board meeting, Item 7, included programming \$130 million of the anticipated \$275.5 million Los Angeles County share of Proposition 1B, State and Local Partnership Program (SLPP) funds to eligible transportation projects that are already funded. Because the State allocates funds in yearly increments, Metro has projected the SLPP spending over a five-year period.

Mr. Mostahkami asked what funding sources are used for each mode in the Call. Mr. Oyewole stated that the eligible fund sources programmed in each mode is stated on page 20 of Metro's 2009 Call for Projects Application booklet. Mr. Maselbas asked how the type of funding is assigned to projects because if Federal funds are assigned to projects, there are more requirements to meet. Mr. Oyewole referred members to the 2009 Call for Projects application, stating that fund assignments will be available after the Board approval. Ultimately, the type of funding assigned to projects will be determined based on the approved project scope and the eligible fund sources available.

10. Programming Cost Changes: Highway & Soundwall Projects (Robert Machuca, Metro)

Mr. Machuca announced that on March 26, 2009, the Board approved the Metro/Caltrans Cost Control Procedures to control cost changes for highway and soundwall projects. Mr. Machuca reported that this process is intended to establish a cost change review procedure and requires that each cost change be fully documented and approved by both Caltrans Management and our Construction Department before additional funds are approved.

11. Congestion Mitigation Fee (Robert Calix, Metro)

Mr. Calix reported that the Congestion Mitigation Fee Program, which is a local mitigation fee program designed to generate revenue for cities to use in building local transportation projects with regional benefits and address new development impacts, is in its second step. Mr. Calix stated that he has met, along with his project team, with a number of cities in the San Gabriel Valley, Councils of Governments (COGs) in San Gabriel Valley, South Bay Cities, and Gateway Cities, as well as the City of Los Angeles. Mr. Calix stated that the purpose of these meetings was to provide information as well as answer questions about the Program's Guidelines. His team has started to receive letters containing a list of local projects with regional benefits; letters confirming the Cities' growth forecast; and updates to the transportation network. Overall, the Program is progressing well. Mr. Calix also stated that the San Gabriel Valley Pilot Study should be completed within the next two to three months. He anticipates an update to the MTA Board during the Fall on the status of Step 2

and the findings from the outreach efforts. The step two process is expected to be completed Countywide by early next year.

Mr. Maselbas asked what has been the biggest challenge for local agencies with regards to the Step Two process. Mr. Calix replied that local control of the revenue has been a point that has to be consistently repeated to assure jurisdictions that this will be a locally controlled program at each step of the process including implementation. He clarified that the Cities and the County will implement and collect the fees, keep the fee revenue, identify projects, build the projects, and have the option to work with neighboring communities. He stated that Metro's role is to support the will of the Cities' and the County to ensure consistency in the process but ensuring the jurisdictions have local control.

Mr. Behen (North County Transportation Coalition) asked how the Step Two process, which includes verification of the social economic data, is presented for review. Mr. Calix replied that there were CDs distributed with the demographic forecast. He stated that all of the figures in the forecast are from SCAG's 2008 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP).

12. New Business (Subcommittee)

There was no new business to report

13. Adjournment (Subcommittee)

The meeting was adjourned at 11:40 AM. The next meeting of the Streets and Freeways Subcommittee is tentatively scheduled in August 20, 2009 at 9:30 AM on the 15th Floor, Windsor Conference Room. Please contact Fulgene Asuncion at (213) 922-3025 or by email asuncionf@metro.net should you have any questions or comments regarding this or future agendas, or wish to place an item on the agenda.

Attachment 2

Sign In Sheet/Attendance Sheet

Streets and Freeways Subcommittee - July 14, 2009

MEMBERS AND ALTERNATES		Agency	Phone	Fax	E-mail	Initial
Marianne Kim	Automobile Club	(714) 885-2325	(714) 885-2331	kim.marianne@aaa-calif.com	MK	
Stephen Finnegan (A)	Automobile Club	(714) 885-2307	(714) 885-2331	finnegan.steve@aaa-calif.com	MF	
Kirk Cessna	Caltrans	(213) 620-6544	(213) 620-6583	kirk_cessna@dot.ca.gov	KC	
Alberto Angelini (A)	Caltrans	(213) 897-0208	(213) 897-0618	alberto_angelini@dot.ca.gov	AA	
<i>St. Mike Stefamoff</i>	California Highway Patrol	(323) 259-2014	(323) 258-8121	mstefamoff@chp.ca.gov	MS	
<i>ofr. Mark Firkins (A)</i>	California Highway Patrol	(213) 897-6265		stshenian@chp.ca.gov	MS	
Raul Maseibas (Vice-Chair)	County of Los Angeles	(626) 458-3902		pmaselbas@dpw.co.la.ca.us	RM	
Josie Gutierrez (A)	County of Los Angeles	(626) 458-3950	(626) 458-3192	igutierr@dpw.lacounty.gov	JG	
Steve Huang	League of Cities (South Bay Cities COG)	(310) 318-0661 x 2431		steve.huang@redondo.org	SH	
Vic Rollinger (A)	League of Cities (South Bay Cities COG)	(310) 952-1700	(310) 835-5749	vrollinger@carson.ca.us	VR	
Mike Behen	League of Cities (No. County Trans Coalition)	(661) 267-5300	(661) 267-5322	mbehen@cityofpalmdate.org	MB	
Curtis Nay (A)	League of Cities (No. County Trans Coalition)	(661) 286-4135	(661) 254-3538	cnay@santa-clarita.com	CN	
Sharon Perlstein	League of Cities (Westside Cities)	(323) 848-6383	(323) 848-6564	perlstein@wtheo.org	SP	
Elaine Jeng (A)	League of Cities (Westside Cities)	(310) 253-5621	(310) 253-5626	elaine.jeng@culvercity.org	EJ	
Fred Zohrehvand	League of Cities (Arroyo Verdugo Cities)	(818) 548-3960	(818) 409-7027	fzohrehvand@ci.glendale.ca.us	FZ	
Jano Baghdanian (A)	League of Cities (Arroyo Verdugo Cities)	(818) 548-3960	(818) 409-7027	jbaghdanian@ci.glendale.ca.us	JB	
Bahman Janka (Chair)	League of Cities (San Gabriel Valley COG)	(626) 744-4610	(626) 396-8693	bjanka@cityofpasadena.net	BJ	
Craig Bradshaw (A)	League of Cities (San Gabriel Valley COG)	(909) 399-5474		cbradshaw@ci.claremont.ca.us	CB	
Mohammad Mostahkami	League of Cities (Gateway Cities/South East)	(323) 563-9582	(323) 563-9572	mmostahkami@soqate.org	MM	
Charlie Honeycutt (A)	League of Cities (Gateway Cities/South East)	(562) 989-7351	(562) 989-7391	choneycutt@ci.signal-hill.ca.us	CH	
Robert Brager (A)	League of Cities (Las Virgenes/Malibu)	(310) 456-2489x24	(310) 456-3356	rbrager@ci.malibu.ca.us	RB	
Ramiro Adeva	League of Cities (Las Virgenes/Malibu)	(818) 597-7322		radeva@ci.agoura-hills.ca.us	RA	
Vacant	TDM/AQ Subcommittee					
Fulgene Asuncion	LACMTA	(213) 922-3025	(213) 922-6996	asuncionf@metro.net	FA	
Alan Patashnick (A)	LACMTA	(213) 922-3080	(213) 922-6996	patashnickalan@metro.net	AP	
Haripal Vir	City of Los Angeles	(213) 972-8404		haripal.vir@lacity.org	HV	
Mike Uyeno (A)	City of Los Angeles	(213) 972-4982	(213) 972-4919	michael.uyeno@lacity.org	MU	
Mark Christoffels (A)	City of Long Beach	(562) 570-6771	(562) 570-6012	machris@longbeach.gov	MC	
Sumi Gant	City of Long Beach	(562) 570-6618	(562) 570-7161	sugant@ci.long-beach.ca.us	SG	
Ken Johnson	Arterial ITS Configuration Management Group	(818) 238-3965	(818) 238-3918	kjohnson@ci.burbank.ca.us	KJ	
Phil Wray (A)	Arterial ITS Configuration Management Group	(626) 574-5488	(626) 447-7866	pwwray@ci.arcadia.ca.us	PW	
Michelle Mowery	Bicycle Coordination	(213) 972-4962	(213) 972-4919	michelle.mowery@lacity.org	MM	
LaDonna DiCamillo (ex-officio)	Goods Movement	(323) 267-4041	(323) 267-4111	ladonna.dicamillo@bnsf.com	LD	
Patty Senecal (ex-officio) (A)	Goods Movement	(310) 678-7782		psenecal@transportmail.com	PS	
John Asuncion (ex-officio)	SCAG	(213) 236-1936	(213) 236-1963	asuncion@scag.ca.gov	JA	
Pablo Gutierrez (ex-officio) (A)	SCAG	(213) 236-1929	(213) 236-1963	GUTIERRE@scag.ca.gov	PG	

Attachment 3

Subcommittee Roles and Responsibilities



Metro

Interoffice Memo

Date	August 20, 2009
To	Streets and Freeways Subcommittee
From	Fulgene Asuncion
Subject	2008-2009 Subcommittee Roles and Responsibilities

Section 2. Roles and Responsibilities

Under the authority of the MTA, the Subcommittee may engage in such related activities as appropriate to the dispatch of its responsibilities and, from time to time, bring matters of special concern to the attention of MTA and TAC or appropriate policy committees.

At a minimum, the Subcommittee shall consider, comment and act on the following:

- Call for Projects
- Funding issues such as Obligation Authority, STP-L, etc.
- New state and federal requirements, Caltrans Local Assistance Guidelines
- Bicycle and Pedestrian Policies and Issues
- Traffic signal information sharing such as Arterial ITS and RIITS
- System Preservation
- Goods Movement
- SAFE/FSP/511
- General Streets/Freeways/Soundwall Policy Issues
- Long Range/Short Range Transportation Plan
- Congestion Pricing
- Congestion Mitigation Fee
- Reauthorization of SAFETEA-LU
- Sustainability